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WODROW'S ANALECTA.
June.—This moneth I have the affecting accounts of Dr Cotton Mather's death in February last. His character, see funerall sermons on him. He and I have corresponded these twenty years. He was extremly usefull, and did much service to souls. His stile in his writings is peculiar. He and his father keeped life in the Independent interest in New England, and I find severall of the remaining Ministers there incline to come nearer Presbiterian government, and to have Judicatorys brought to their proper weight and influence. He was a person of singular piety, and a deep concern for the generall concerns of souls.

In the close of the last moneth, Provost Peady dyed at Glasgow of a pleurisy. He was at the Assembly for his friend Mr Simson, and got cold there. He was a person of great substance, much modesty, and great firmnes. He has scarce left his equall, take him altogether, in that place; and in their present broken, divided state, they wanted a man of his firmnes, and resolution, and piety. His death is a new stroak to that place, and they have mett with many of late. Their losses, this last year, I am told, amount to twenty-eight thousand pound sterling; and, indeed, it's a wonder to me how they stand throu.
The meeting of Royall Burghs was this moneth. Party work prevails in all Societys, and there the Magistrates of Edinburgh prevail, and have heightened the tax on Glasgow, Rutherglen, and Dumbartan, for their opposition to Shaufeild; and no representations, though never so reasonable, of the losses of the Toun of Glasgou, could be heard, but a very great addition is laid on them, though under all their losses they are the most active, stirring place in Scotland. But all methods are taken by the present managers to bring them lou and bear them doun.

[June 26.]—Towards the end of the moneth, on the 26th, the Colledge of Glasgou had a neu squabble about the choice of the Dean of Faculty. These on the Duke of Montrose side had the plurality, and thought themselves sure; but about an hour before the election they wer surprized with a sist put in the hand of Aikenhead, Rector, and Mr J. Hamiltoun, Vice-Chancellor, from the Lords of Session, that is, Lord Milton, surreptitiously taken out in March, and never heard of till nou, discharging them to act till their election was discussed before the Lords. The sist was most informall, and of no weight in lau, and every way informall. The Rector and Vice-Chancellor, however, stoped, and Mr Ch[arles] Murthland went over and mett with the other side, which was what carried their point. Without him they had not been a quorum, and consequently no election; but he was peremptory, and would go, being Clerk. Mr William Wisheart was chosen Dean of Faculty, and Mr Gray protested against the choice. Mr Carmichael, Loudoun, Forbes, Anderson, and Dr Brisbane, refused to meet with the other side, and Mr J. Hamiltoun. The other side wer Principal Campbell, Mr Dunlop, Mr J. Simson, Mr R. Simson, Mr Dick, and Mr Ross. Mr Gray went in and desired Mr Murthland to withdrew. He sayed for himself, that being Clerk he behoved to be there, and did not understand Mr Grayes motion. But it's feared he was frighted with his sellaries being attacked, or some other reason of that nature prevailed; for had he withdrewn the other side could not have acted. Upon his refusal, Mr Gray protested against Mr J. Simpson, as having no right to sitt there, being suspended from all ecclesiasticall function; and
against Mr Andreu Ross, as not being *compos mentis*, and consequently that the election was void and null. However, they went on, and chose Mr Wisheart, and [in] the afternoon deposed Mr Murthland from being Clerk. Ther seems to be little difficulty as to the Rector, and little of weight to be said against his election; but the Vice-Chancellor used still to be in the person of the Principall till now, and there are few instances of another's being chosen; and I find the Duke of Montrose is not fond to have that affair tryed, in the present state of things, when he has so little to say at Court; because, though his right to nominat the Vice-Chancellor be unquestionable, yet his own right as Chancellor he does not love to be touched, because, except the Earl of Hyndford and he, ther wer no Chancellors but chosen by the Colledge, and the A. B. [Archbishop] under Prelacy was Chancellor *ex officio*; and now, that that falls to the Crown, he is unwilling to have the Crown's right in that matter canvassed. However, he is in no hazard, for the Colledge choiced him also.*

Mr Glass' affair, since the Assembly, is in short: The Commission conversed with him at great length, and gained no ground on him; however, they inclined to favour him, if he could be reclaimed, and continued the sentence of suspension, but appointed a Committee to converse him, and that with power to remove the sentence, or at least to prepare matters for it; but instead of that, as soon as he went home he preached, and broke the sentence of suspension, and resolved, it seems, to break all squares with the Church, and set up upon his own legs on the Independent way. Upon this, the Committy did not meet; and I belive the Synod will depose him.

The Congress at Soissons met this moneth, but we have little accounts of them. Much depends on the state of the King of Spain's health; and how far they will yield, or how far Spain and France are in concert and under a secret treaty, must be left to time to discover; however, matters seem to be in such a situation as it will be some moneths before the Congress will come to any bearing.

* This seems unintelligible. The Earl of Hyndford and the Duke of Montrose were elected by the University, and there is no trace of interference on the part of the Crown.
Mr Walter Ross, Minister in Sutherland, tells me, that in their Presbytery, at the earnest desire of the people, they keep the Sacrament of the Supper in their vacancys. That, at their Communions there, they have vast confluences: That people come fifty miles to a Communion, and the bulk of the religious people through the country wait on them: That they are very much straitned what to do, by the vulgar notion they have in that country, that it is not laulfull to take money for the entertainment of strangers from neighbouring places at such occasions; and yet the charges to the place wher the Sacrament is is so great, that Ministers for the people's sake only have the Communion once in two years.

He tells me, old Mr Walter Denoon is still alive in their Presbytry: That he is much failed, and no wonder, for he is near one hundred years old: That the Presbytry regularly supplys him.

He adds, Mr Murdoch M'Kenzie, first Bishop of Ross after the Restoration, was a very naughty man. He was deposed and excommunicat by the Assembly at Glasgow, 1638; and that some of the Noblemen, upon the probation found against him, voted depose, excommunicat, and he should be hanged likewise. Sir George Monroe, Generall of the Scots forces in Ireland, was called to him about some lands, and brought charters with him. Sir George was a man well seen in Latine and charters. The Bishop looked some of them, but had not so much learning as to understand the Latin. Sir George twittted him with his ignorance. This the Bishop took so ill, that he turned his violent persecutor, and got him laid up in prison as one disaffected to the Government, when nothing was really to be charged on him save his bantering the Bishop.

July, 1728.—This moneth, we have the certain accompt of a presentation to Mr John M'Dermitt, Minister at Air, to Renfreu. It hath been carried on in a very odd manner. Mr Campbell hath procured it without ever consulting either session or heretor, and all keep dormant. The bulk of the people never heard of him. This I take to be the warst use can be made of Patronages, when Ministers have them or the mater* in their hands, and without any concert with either their fellow

* Power of filling up the Benedice.
Ministers or Session, or any concerned, press in a Minister upon a place. Thus Mr C[ampbell] was put in on Renfrew; and this way, it seems, he inclines to serve them with another, especially if ther be, as I fear ther is, a designe to serve a party by bringing in a Minister to manage Magistrates. If Ministers go on to countenance such practises, we shall soon have a corrupt Church. And this was the very method by which Prelacy was brought in to Scotland in the Sixth King James' time, by plying the Court, and making the King to interest himself in settlements, and nominating Ministers for their purposes, and thrusting them in upon Presbities and people.

This moneth, the Duke of Hamiltoun gave the parish of Cambuslang a peremptory answer, that he would give no other to them than Mr Finlater's son, his Minister. The people have withstood him these five or six years, and will never come in to him. The Synod have appointed Committys, and the Presbitry never calls them. I know not a parish in the West of Scotland in such a taking as Cambuslang and Hamiltoun. Cambuslang has been, on the matter, vaccant these fourteen years; and I am told ther is not one under sixteen years who ever has been catechised; and all flowes from the servants about the Duke, who have their little brigues* to get in their freinds. Akenhead is very ill treate by the Duke; he has been usefull to the family. Promises have been made for Mr M'Culloch, the people's choice, and matters are still stayed off. I really think superior Judicatorys are to blame for not calling Presbities to an account in matters of this nature.

This moneth, the old affair of Mr Finlater, in Hamiltoun, is, I find, broke out afresh. See it in the former volume. The witness which the Synod formerly advised the Presbitry not to take her deposition, because a single witness, and the circumstances seemed dubious, came to dye; and on her death-bed she declared her self burdened in conscience in that matter; continued positive in asserting that she saw vile carriage betwixt Mr F[inlater] and Naismith. She sent for two or

* Cabals, plots. Fr. brique.
three Elders, gave an ample declaration in their presence. She sent for Mr R. Hamiltoun; but, it seems, he refused to come. However, this revived the scandal; and she being under some name for piety, and going of [off] time with these declarations, hath set all the old storys abroach, and many things since. This fell in when, upon the occasion of a new Communion, a visitation was sought, and the dissenting Elders, which are the whole but three or four, gave in a materiall lybell against Mr Finlater; and it being turned to a lybell by the Presbytiry, upon the dissenting Elders offering to make the articles good, this moneth, I think, the Presbytiry entered in the relevancy. The first article, relating to the former scandal, they found not relevant, which, if proven, no doubt are sufficient to depose a whole Presbytiry, if so [they] be guilty. He is lybelled or informed against as not having visited the parish of Hamiltoun these eighteen years and more; for refusing to visit the sick; for his baptizing the children of scandalous persons, in privat, without any reason or eminent hazard, and refusing privat baptism to other eminently religious; for bearing company only with the loose and profane part of the toun, and neglecting those that are serious. He is charged with open breach of Sabbath, by his own carnall discourse, his servants bringing in stands of watter through the streets, digging roots, [and] cutting kail; in the Sabbath, for profanity in his family; and, lastly, for breaking the interdictment with Naismith, the correspondence with that family and his continuouing, and he himself frequently being seen go out and in. The dissenters, on the Presbytiry's interloquiture, appealed to the Synod. I wish that matter may be made plain to us.

This moneth, Aikenhead and Mr Hamiltoun petitioned the Lords of Session against the suspension narrated last moneth. Mr Dundas was their Advocat; and that case appeared very black, as opened up by him. See the papers in print. In short, the Lords almost unanimously reponed them to the exercise of their office, but referred the merits of the election of the Dean of Faculty till the next session. Their great
care is to preserve the Dean of Faculty; and how he can stand, and two of the electors fraudulently eluded of their votes, I cannot see, consistent with reason or justice.

This moneth the warm debates continu'd before the Lords of Session between the Old and Neu Bank. Mr Dundass appears with much boldnes for the Old Bank, and the Lords, for most part, favour it.

August, 1728.—This moneth, our vacancys take up all our time in Presbitry, and two of them go well on in their settlement. The Earl of D[undonald] being sensible of his mistake with my Lord P[ollock,] as to Old Kilpatrick, makes amends in Lochwinnieoch, and frankly goes on with the people's inclinations to Mr Pinkarton. We have a most harmonious application for moderating a call; Mr Pinkarton gives in a very limited acceptance when the presentation came in, and a call is ordered to be moderat, wher, I hear, all went on with full smoothnes. In this I cannot but observe a present retribution. The affair of Kilpatrick is in the former volume, and the stope was on Mr P[inkerton's] part, upon a very clear footing, and now his settlement is clear and plain to as good a benefice, and a farr more comfortable people.

Killellan hath had severall clouds at first, but they are blouen over. Mr Bruce,* a relation of the Patron, Barrochan, was presented; the Patron went in to signe a call; the heretors, elder, and people, came in. We wer difficulted at first, from the accompts of his being once a Non-subscriber; but he early left them, and since his quitting them they are most uneasy to him, and his life there is burdensome. This account we have verifyed by the most noted Ministers of our principles in Ireland; so that the Presbitry goes in frankly to the settlement, and the call is like to be harmonious.

Renfreu is like to cost us more trouble. We had the King's presentation on the 20th of this moneth, and a letter from Mr M'Dermitt, not directed to us, but Blythswood. No demands wer made to make any further steps in this matter, and we wer not very willing to take any

* Of Holywood, Ireland.
hastily. We knew the heretors, thirteen to four, are against the settlement; and no great wonder. We expect applications on both sides next Presbitry day; and unless that violent party now setting up for Mr M'Dermitt push things to great extremitys, it's not very probable they will carry. However, the place is like to lye desolate for some time, and the Councill and people in town are indeed mostly to blame themselves, for they stoup to all C[ampbell's] projects.

At Glasgow, this moneth, two things happen pretty singular, which twenty or thirty years ago would have been very odd in Glasgow, the setting up of an Episcopalian Meeting-house, and publick allowing of Comedies.

Last moneth and this, a house was fitted up in James Corbet's land in the Broad Closs, opposit to the Colledge, for a Meeting-house, and one Wingat, a Nonjuror, was got from the East country, who prays not for the King. Northside, Richard Graham, Barrowfeild, Keir, and others, contribute to it, and the collections go to the preacher. Some Sabbaths they preached, and a mobb was threatened; upon which the Magistrates sent for Wingat and threatened him. Mr A. Duncan took it up, and B[ailey] Murdoch warned him of his danger. He was very uppish, and said he would continue, and ther were Ministers that had not taken the oaths. The Bailey said ther were none such in the town, and if ther were elsewhere they prayed for the King; and said he would put a padlock on the dore. Mr Duncan said he would take it off again. This was in privat. He was sent for, with Wingat, to [the] Magistrates in judgment. Ther he was warned of their hazard; the acts of Parliament wer cast up; and ther danger told them, of six moneths' imprisonment. They were more modest before them, yet would not promise to forbear. They are again cited before them. What the issue will be, time must discover. They take heart from the want of a Provost, and the discouragements the Magistrates meet with. A privat house would hold them all, but they incline to make an appearance; and a Meeting-house at Glasgow makes a great noise indeed, and strenghtens their party and interest. These two or three years there have been strong efforts used (see former volume) to have publick Meeting-houses set up in the West
and South of Scotland, where the greatest opposition was formerly made to Episcopacy; and this, no doubt, makes a great dash abroad, when things are magnified; and what the consequences will be to following generations, I tremble at the thoughts.

Things are at a very low pass; any remains of government we have is in the hands of the Justices of the Peace, and Magistrates of towns. The first mind little or nothing, and these* at Glasgow are brou-beaten; and the bulk of our gentry, and many young merchants, &c., at Glasgow, are disgusted: men of no principles, and like to turn Jacobite, and the Government in England are for an illimited Toleration, and screw the principles of liberty to that pitch as, I fear, in the issue, Jacobites and Papists get stronger footing in a little than many are aware of. The Lord himself interpose and prevent us, with the blessings of goodness!

Towards the close of this month a company of Strolling Comedians came to Glasgow, part of A. Ashton's people at Edinburgh, to act the Beggars' Opera. The Magistrates were applied to for a room, and B[ailay] Murdoch, who is too easy, as is said, by a mistake gave a kind of allowance of the Weighouse to act in. They acted two or three days, and had very few except the first day. After that they got not so much as to pay their music. However, the Magistrates complain of the Ministers, that they applied not to them before hand, to prevent their allowance, if they knew of it. I think they were wrong; but considering the noise made at Edinburgh by these strollers, and the brisk opposition made by the Magistrates of Edinburgh, they should have considered better before they allowed them.

Sabbath after the Ministers preached against going to these Interludes and Playes, and complained that they were countenanced by those in the government. This the Magistrates do not take so well, considering that they had not spoken to themselves about it, but, as I signified, to one of them. Sin and duty is to be told, and Ministers are bound to do so. Mr Rob, of Kilsyth, preached in the beginning of September, the 5th, and went through all that was agoing about Meeting-houses, Playes, error, and profaneness;† and spared none, as I hear.

There seems little left now to Ministers but free rebuking of sin, in

* The Magistrates.
† Profaneness.
point of doctrine; and it may be when other things fail, the present state of things may lead to more freedom and plaines* this way. Indeed, thir Playes, Interludes, and Operas, are very unaccountable at this time, when the Lord seems to be calling aloud to mourning!

Towards the end of this moneth ther was a very considerable tempest of wind, which shook a great deal.† Generally a seed is shaken,‡ and in many places three seeds, and in some, they say, five seeds. A hail shour went over a great many places, which cutt the vittall in a most dreadfull manner. Besides this, ther is a great loss this year to the merchants in Glasgow in their tobacco trade. One of them tells me, that, at a modest calculation, of the forty shippes or some more, which went out of Clyde this season, there will be eighteen thousand pounds sterling of loss, which is a vast summe for the toun of Glasgow.

In the end of this moneth there hapned a violent rable§ at the Kirk of the Shotts, on Sabbath the 25th, if I be not forgot. Mr James Millar was presented to that parish. He has a pretty unanimous call, but Sir John Inglis’ tennants, and a few others, opose him; and when he came to preach about twenty-six of them barricadoed the Church dores, took the keyes of the Church, and abused the people who offered to go in. There was a violent mob, and the Duke of Hamilton’s Bailay read the proclamation against riots, and was beat, and the proclamation torn, on the Lord’s day. Ther wer a good many wounded and brused on all hands. Mr Millar was in the change-house, and pressed them to all soft measures, but nothing would prevail. When they could have no access to the Church, he preached to a great number out of the change-house window. The rable very much disturbed him and the people, and gathered stones, and threatned him even in time of prayer. These disorders are for a lamentation. They are prosecuted for a riot before the Sherif Dalserf,‖ and some are fyned, and others are imprisoned. Alace! we have little or no goverment, and things are ready, if Providence prevent not, to run in confusion.

* Plainness. † Of standing corn. ‡ It is presumed the author means that one seed, and sometimes even five seeds, were shaken from each stalk of corn; perhaps five times what was sown. § Riot. ‖ Hamilton of Dalserf.
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September, 1728.—I hear little this moneth, nor since the Assembly, concerning Mr Simson. He continues making a party for himself, in Presbytries, but generally more cautious in speaking than he has been for some time; and yet, I hear, when his scholars come to Glasgow, he talks with the same freedom with them, and with the same latitude as formerly. I hear of a conference with three, Mr B[ur][e]t, Mr William Brown, [and] Mr William Jamison, wherein he declared, in so many words, that in nothing he had altered his sentiments; that he continued just what he had taught them.

The affair at Renfrew I shall not enlarge upon: it will best be seen in our Presbytry’s minutes; and its turning towards me is what makes me say the less on it, till I see the event. This moneth, the Presbytry allowed or ordered the sentiments of the people, and all concerned, to be enquired into, and report to be made. We had lauers pleading many hours before us, which I never saw in our Presbytry. Indeed, they tend rather to perplex than much to clear up this matter. I happen to be in the chair, and the affair of the Presentations being elapsed to the King, not being given in within six moneths after the vacancy, that of the Act of Parliament, which seems to prohibit presentations to settled Ministers, and that of the acceptation, whether Mr M'Dermitt’s acceptation came up to the terms of lauer, wer at full length pled for five or six hours. We went on, and ordered the state of the parish to be brought in by one of our number, * who ver for the presentee, or† a free call.

I hear very lamentable accounts of Mr Hill, Minister at Hollywood. He has been under very great damps for two years or more. He was a man of piety and considerable parts. His case was sent into Edinburgh to the physitians; they desired him to come in. Accordingly he went; the physitians conversed with him, and found him under no bodily distemper, but reconed he was melancholy and damped. They endeavourd to reason him out of his damps; he told them that he was unwilling to enter on that subject with them, because he apprehended they wer not much conversant in it. They turned all to jest, and alledged he was vapourish, &c. When he saw them this way, like to triumph in words

* To ascertain. † For.
and generals of no signification, Mr Hill, who is a man of good reading and reasoning, plucked up his spirit a little, and told them, since they wer ready to run away with the harrous, he was willing to reason with them even on their own principles. Accordingly, he entered a little on his case, and the grounds of his damp,—sin, distance, uselesnes, anger, and the like; and hou reasonable it was for all men, if not mere Atheists, to be concerned about the subjects: That concern about them was neither vapour nor a bodily distemper, nor craze, and weaknes of mind. He menteaned the argument with three or four of the physitians there for some hours, and silenced them, in point of reasoning. They, at parting, told him that they wer nou convinced he was far from crazednes, or vapour; that his trouble was what lay no way within their reach, and he might return home when he pleased, for any thing they could do him service in. He continuoues still under his damp, and now ouns he prays little or none, and will not even desire others to pray for him, but does not refuse to joyn with them when they offer to pray with him. I hear of late (Jan. 1720) that he continuoues much the same, only some better. This good man is a very aufful instance to us Ministers.

I am well informed, by one present, that Mr John Welsh was at Edin-burgh in the end of the 1679, or therabout. Ther had been a great inti-macy between him and Mr Hamiltoun of Kinkell. He was at that time in prison at Edinburgh. Mr Hamiltoun was suffered to go out sometimes with a keeper with him in the day time, and came still at night back. One day, finding Mr Welsh in town, and desirouse to meet with him, he got rid of his keeper for a little money, and came wher Mr Welsh was. When they wer together, his wife brought the allarum that ther was a search, and that it was already in the same land they wer [in]. Mr Welsh paused for a little, and at lenth he said to Miss [Mistress] Hamil-toun, "Be not affrayed, I am assured the searchers shall not once come near us!" and so it was, they did not enter that house. This was the last time Mr Welsh was at Edinburgh, before he went to London and dyed. Mr Hamiltoun's son, a minister, has this from his mother, and tells me. He adds, that Mr Welsh's buriall was the greatest that for many years had been seen at London. That most of the Dissenters
changed their text that Sabbath he was buryed, that ther congregations wer invited to the buriall, at which ther was a vast number of Ministers, persons of fashion, and, if my memory fails me not, some hundreds of coaches.

Hugh Stewart tells me, that in conversation with Professor Hamiltoun he heard him say, that a good many of the present Bishops in England wer more favourable to the Calvinist and Anti-Pelagian schem of late; and wer it not that a generall odium of misrepresentation was spread of it among the nobility and gentry, they would declare themselves that way. I fear this is some mistake in the speaker or hearer, for I can observe nothing like that in their writings. He adds, that of late Dr Clerk, wher he used freedom, was like to declare himself more sound than formerly. Things at present here and in England do not appear so promising like, but quite the reverse, though I own the Lord may soon make a change to the better; but I fear we may have some shakes and desolating stroak before such a mercy come.

In the end of this moneth the election of Magistrates in Glasgou and other burghs come on. Ther continuues a struggle between the unhappy partieys we have been so long divided by.

October, 1728.—Our Synod met at Irwine in the beginning of this moneth. Mr M‘Kneight, the Minister of the toun, was chosen Moderator. Mr R. Maxwell preached, and had some good things against Ministers involving themselves in state partys and politicks. He noticed, that, in some cases, every honest man ought to be a party man, and on a side; as, when truth and error were on the feild, Jacobitisme or the Revolution; but when the question was, whither such a great man or another should be most followed, and have greatest sway in the country, it blacked a Minister’s reputation, and was unworthy of their coat, to medle; and such who did so wer sinking, and would sink more and more, in their credit and reputation.

We had litle before us save Mr Orr’s transportation from Muirkirk to Hoddam. It carryed almost unanimously, Not transport; and an appeal
was made to the Assembly. Mr Orr sheued much aversion himself; his wife’s relations seem to have brought about the process. The parishes are much about one, only ther is a Meeting-house in Hoddam.

Mr Finlater’s unhappy affair was also before us. I have hinted at it before. One of the witnesses in the former process charged him with the former guilt on her deathbed. A visitation was desired, [and] complaints given in. These turned to a lybell. The first thing insisted on was the opening of the former proces, closed upon this neu scandall. This the Presbytery refused to do; and this was the precise state of the thing before the Synod. The Synod unanimously wer not for opening the former process, and so cast the appeal, on which the complainers appealed to the Assembly; but we recommended it to the Presbitry to go on as to the rest of the articles, and offered a Committy to joyn, if they desired, which they did; but they picked and waled* members. The rest of the articles are confessed; neglect of family visitation eighteen years, baptizing a child of a scandalous person privately, breach of Sabbath by his family, and a rash oath, bordering on perjury. The house of Hamiltoun, and many heretors, and all the profane in the place, appear for Mr F[inlater;] all the godly and serious have almost deserted him. I knou no place in Scotland in so lamentable circumstances as poor Hamilton, since Mr Wylie’s death. Ther is a generall desertion of all from Mr F[inlater,] and uneasiness rising as to Mr H[amilton.] The affair of his bargain for teind, at twelve pennies per peck of meal, with a man in drink, though to-morrou the minute signed was given up, breeds much coldness.

The Synod also, finding a copy of Mr Simson’s process directed to them from the Assembly, as well as to Presbitrys, appointed all Presbitrys within their bounds to appoint a dyet in hunc affectum, as soon as may be, and have their report and instructions timously in readines. I mind no more we had, save our common money affairs, which very needlessly spend so much of our time.

I hear litle considerable before other Synods in this country. We
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had not one correspondent from neighbouring Synods. This part of our laudable constitution is very much turned to desuetude. In Dumfreice Synod I hear that Mr R., * who made such a bustle about the Abjuration, and since joyned with the Separatists, came before the Synod, declared his sorrou for his separation, promised orderlynes in time to come. He was exorted to continou in his duty, and his case delayed till next Synod.

This moneth we have the accompls of the choice of Magistrates through the burghs. At Aberdeen, we hear that Provost Fordyce, Steuart, and that side who have carried all before them since the Revolution, have left the balance in that toun, and their party turned out. Pr[ovost] Fordyce is continuoued a counselor, but his party turned out, and he himself will be out next year. Midltoun’s party and the Duke of Argyle carry all before them, and Principal Chambers is intirely in with them.

At Edinburgh ther has been a battail between P[rovost] Campbell and P[rovost] Drummond, who is forced to fall in with P[rovost] Campbell and D. Lindsay. It run but upon one vote, the Deacon of the Goldsmiths, which is like to be a contraversy before the Lords. All the contending partyys are upon one side, as is said.

At Glasgou their election made less noise than I have knouen it nou for many years. It run, they say, generally on D[ean of] Gild Rodgers to be Provost; but it’s said he would not yeild to it, being expensive, and he not for it. P[rovost] Stirling is chosen, and it’s a question on what side he is. Both promise well of him, and yet none of them are certain of him. His B. [brother] Walter seems to have a considerable share, and seems pretty firme against Shaufeld and that side.

Last moneth Mr J. Millar was setled in Kilpatrick, and Mr James Millar in the Shotts. The rable there was taken in task by the Duke of Hamiltoun and Dalserf, the authors of it threatned, and the settlment

* Reid?
easy enough, for any thing I hear. These two were pretty much spoken of, when students and preachers, for setting up for a great latitude. I wish they grew wiser when Ministers, and disappoint fears.

This moneth our difficulties, as to Renfrew, continu. The report is made to our Presbytery that a vast plurality are for a free call, and against the presentee. Three or four have named one, but all the rest hold in general. We had lauers again before us, and long pleadings. We had much of the former pleadings resumed, and now our difficulty run to balance the heretors, and whither the town counselors are to be considered as heretors per capita. They are eighteen, and, joined with five or six other heretors, come pretty much up to the number of other heretors for a free call; and yet the heretors are supernumerary by one or two to the rest, even taking in the council; and the heads of familys are some hundreds, to ten or twelve. We were kept so late with the pleadings that we delayed doing any thing till next Presbitery day.

Mean while, Mr Bruce's call is sent over to Ireland, and is in dependence ther as to Killellan; and Lochwinioch goes on very unanimously, and we [have] taken Mr William Broun, Mr Thomas' son, to tryalls.

The Colledge meets, and at first are very thin, but afterwards they meet better, and Principall Campbell takes up lessons of Divinity under Mr Simson's sentence. At first few came, but in the after moneths he has forty or upwards attending lessons three dayes a week.

November, 1728.—This moneth begins with Glasgow Communion, wher I helped in the wine, [Wynd?] Offence, less than I expected, was taken at my sermon on Immanuell. It seems people are less moved now then formerly, and more used. Mr Robb has lately had several sermons at Glasgow, pretty much spoken of for the freedom of them. Honest Bailay King dyed the Communion Saturnday. He was much taken up in the duty of singing Psalms, and sung in the family a little before his death. That same night my wife, and Pet* before her, wer

* Probably for Pete, Peter or Patrick.
throuen off the horse, by some shotts of some young sparks who left the
sermons, and mercifully preserved both.

Some Ministers mett and aggread upon some things proper to be a
subject of conversation and correspondence among Ministers, as to Pres-
bitrys [and] as to Mr Simson’s process. See my Letter to Mr L. this
moneth.

Mr Brand of Borroustonnes tells me, that he had an account from
Mrs Frazer, the Laird of Brac’s wife, that Mr John Welsh dyed in
her house at London, 1679. That next morning after his death, Lau-
derdale went in to the King, and told him his Majesty oued him five
hundred pounds. He asked, For what? He told him one of the great
disturbers of the peace in Scotland, upon whom five hundred pound was
set, was nou dead! The King said, If he be dead, it saves so much to
me. He was burried on a Sabbath, and the Dissenters invited all their
hearers to the buriall that day, from pulpit; and his burriall was the
most numerouse that ever had been seen in the city.

He tells me the is a pretty remarkable passage as to old Mr Simson,
the father of him in Stirling, in his son’s Commentary to the Penitentiall
Psalms, about some fishers in Dumbar, and a remarkable judgment he
predicted coming on them for breach of the Sabbath, which was very
sensibly and suddainly accomplished. Consult the Book.

The Commission sat this moneth. I hear very litle of any importance
before them. The affair of Mr Glass was out of their hands. They
had advised a conference, which he slighted, and counteracted the suspension
laid on him; and I hear no more lay on them to do. I hear litle of
any concert among the Ministers, either as to doctrine [or?] instructions
as to Mr Simson’s process, though I imagine they had some conversation;
and the Warning, afterwards published, came thus to be published.

Presbitrys began this moneth to enter on Mr Simson’s process. The
Presbitry of Glasgow had some meetings as to the manner of their
procedure, and so in others. But it was fitt that such as kneu that process
from the beginning, and they who wer the Judicatory to whom he was

vol. iv.
most immediatly subjected, should enter upon this matter among the first. See Letters, this and next moneth.

As has been for many years nou, so a neu struggle, and as closly fought as many, comes this moneth as to the choice of a Rector. The boyes wer not a little on their priviledges in point of a free choice. Ther was a designe formed, and severall gentlemen, particularly Blackhall and others, engaged they would be against the person named by the Principal, as contrary to their rights. Ther appeared a great scarcity of persons to be named. Aikenhead would by no means continuou, neither was it agreeable to regulations. The Master of Ross was pitched on by the Principal and his side. Mr Maxwell of Blawarthill was fixed on by the other side, but he would not be active, nor give the least encouragement; but he was gone into, and by far the majority of severall of the Nations was for him; but by cajolling the boyes, closeting of them, and many other little methods of threatning and flattery, they were broke; and Mr Maxwell had between sixty or seventy, and the other upwards of one hundred; and by the charges before, and some little promises to Mr Forbes, and making his son Colledge Advocate, *and some other wayes, the Principal carryes all in the Faculty as he pleaseth.

This moneth the Presbitry had Renfreu affair before them, and though the matter was brought to a pretty narrow point, yet we had long enough debates upon it. The precise question was, Whither allou the petition of the heretors for a free call, and that of the heads of families almost to a man, or to moderat a call to Mr M'Dermitt, the presentee? The three Brethren on the one side, Mr J.,† Mr C. . . . . t,‡ [and] Mr Turner, urged, pro aris et foiciis, we should only moderat a call to Mr M'Dermitt. The foolish pretence of two calls was insisted on, which was not the case here. It was urged, that we could not moderat a call indefinitely without naming a man; that this was contrary to the rights of the Presbitry, who should know the man, and this was pushed hard, as what appeared most popular. It was answered, that there was no word of this till the call was drawn up; neither in a place wher ther wer different sentiments could it be: That the Presbitry's rights wer fully secured in their concurrence after the no-

* Counsel for the College. † Johnston. ‡ Carrick.
mination. When, in reasoning, they were forced from this, and its being our received principle, [that] the free call of the people was to be allowed notwithstanding of a presentation, was urged, which they would not oppose, they urged a reference to the Synod for advice, or to the Commission. The Commission was not a very favourable proposall, the Synod was more urged, but as to both the unreasonablenes of referring a plain owned principle of our Church for advice, was argued, and a reference was refused by a vote, and then we agreed to send two to Renfreu, to moderat a free call to Mr M'Dermitt, or any other whom the plurality of electors wer for; upon which the Provost of Renfreu, Lord and Master of Ross, and others, appealed to the Synod. At night we gave them instructions, and ordered them to go on, notwithstanding of the appeal, the partys being in our bounds; from which Mr J. dissented.

December, 1728.—In the beginning of this moneth Mr J. Pinkertoun was ordeaned in Lochwinnioch. Mr Hunter preached very well, on Heb. xiii. 17, and Mr K. Millar off-hand preached to the people, "Hou beautiful are the feet of those who bring good tidings," &c., exceeding well; Mr Anderson, who should have preached, being deteaned. This hath been a most unanimous and harmonious settlement as we could wish for, and I hope he will be an usefull minister.

I said somewhat on the state of the Meeting-house in Glasgow. By the Magistrates' influence, Wingat, the Nonjurant, was sent off. Mr A. Duncan is now old and failed, yet he would willingly preach, but dare not in the Meeting-house hired. When the Jacobites see they can do no better, they resolve to take up with the English service at any rate, and so now the generality of such who would not joyn with Presbyterians, R. Graham, and others, who incline to the English service, and do not pretend to Jacobitisme, go to the Chaplain of the soldiers, the English Regiment now in Glasgow, and the Jacobites also are going thither, and such who were reconed so, Barroufeild and his family, Keir and his family, Northside and his family, with others who winter in the town. They have got over their scruple at praying for King George; and, indeed, I am of opinion this practise of theirs will gain them more countenance,
and tend exceedingly to the setting up of Meeting-houses, and the law will protect them; and under this scogg* Jacobitisme and dissaefection will terribly be propagated.

This moneth the Presbitry of Glasgow come to an issue, and instruct their Commissioners that the Assembly depose Mr Simson. This they did unanimously, save three: the Principal, who spoke in Mr Simson’s defence but very faintly, whither in a faint or designe, or because of another reason, cannot be determined; Mr Wisheart, who had a long discourse on charity, and other things of that nature; and worthy Mr J. S., [James Stirling;] who, till now, that his brother is dead, and is fallen under the management of his son-in-law, voted and acted in that affair in no publick Judicatory hitherto; he, every body belives, with much sincerity, said several moving things: That he knew Mr Simson from a child; reckoned him pious; and if it were not so, and if he did not think him sound, he would not appear in his favour; but he thought he was hardly dealt with. These three craved their votes against deposition might be marked. Mr Wisheart, when the Presbitry was on the second lybell, had a pretty surprizing speech: That he thought the first lybell was not referred to Presbitrys; that, as to the second, he was of opinion that Mr Simson had run to several gross errors, and sheuen in his carriage a very great and surprizing measure of pride and innovation. It was said that about that time the proposall began to be made by some that Mr W[isheart] should succeed Mr Simson, in case of deposition; but whither this be true or an assertion, I cannot learn. However, Mr Simson’s own Presbitry being for deposition, who should know him best, and had so strangely defended him before, and the stories of their being picked† at him, I know are very ill grounded. This, in my opinion, should have a great deal of weight, and I believe will throw‡ the Church. For accounts of their procedure, see Letters this moneth.

This moneth, or the last, we have the accounts of the Duke of Gordon’s death in the North; which, at first hearing, I reckoned the

* Shelter, covert. † Piqued, chagrined. ‡ Overrule, turn the scale in.
greatest stroke the Popish interest in the North hath received since the Revolution; and now that we have certain accompts of his son's being educate Protestant, (see Letters in February,) we are encouraged under the prospect of having Reformation carryed on with more vigour in that country, and Popery more born down.

All this harvest and winter we are in a perfect silence almost as to publick use. What may be the cause I know not, but I never observed so much of uncertainty as to publick affairs. These about Court keep things in the outmost secrecy; and if that be a wise maxim as generally it's thought to be, it's, under Sir Robert Walpool's management, as much keeped by as I have ever seen. We had accounts of changes in the Ministry, and the Duke of Argyle's being to be made Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, and other stories; but they all come to nothing in eight or ten dayes.

We had the affair of Renfreu this moneth before the Presbitry. I did not go, knowing how matters went. The two Ministers went through the heretors and elders, and called for their votes, and they all centered upon me, save those feu for Mr M'Dermit. The last had the draught of a call, but the Ministers upon the plurality filled up my name in their draught, and it was signed by twenty-five heretors and several hundreds of heads of familys; and the other side desired liberty to signe their call. The Ministers said they could not attest their call. However, they signed it in the same place, nineteen counselors, and four or five heretors, and two contraverted ones, among whom the Colledge of Glasgow as titular of the teans, and ten or twelve heads of familys. The Presbitry had both calls presented. By a vote they approved the two Ministers' conduct, upon which was a second appeal. By another, they found no call to Mr M'Dermit; upon which there was another appeal. They delayed concurrence with it, or any steps, till next Presbitry.

* Teinds, tithes.
M.DCC.XXIX.

January, 1729.—This moneth I had a pleasant child born to me towards the beginning of it; and in the beginning of the next he was taken away, I hope to a better place and state. However, I have reason to observe the good and kind hand of God in preserving my dear wife in her labour, “who is better to me than ten children.”

When my wife was in her labour, and she and I were talking, she told me that, after the birth of Martha, she had a sweet time for some weeks, and a tract of more liveliness and communion with God that she used not to have. About this time the noise was beginning about Mr Simson, and his gross errors touching the person [of] Christ. One day, after this sweet time of more nearness than ordinary for some months, she was in her closet at prayer and meditation, and was led out in the thoughts of the Godhead of the blessed Redeemer, and had a great multitude of Scriptures casting up on a long train with a peculiar, sweet, and convincing power, all confirming as to this great truth. In short, much of the substance of my sermon, some months after, in the Barrony [Church,] upon The Everlasting Father, was suggested, and came very fresh to mind. When hearing this, she saw a great light like a glance of lightning, (it was dark night,) and a glorious inexpressible brightness filling with awe and reverence. The thought which came in present was—“This, perhaps, might be Satan transforming himself into an angel of light;” and that filled with terror and fright. This naturally landed in an earnest supplication that the enemie might [not] have his will. Upon which, that place of Scripture came in—“It is I, be not affrayed!” This came with much evidence, but still fear of a mistake, and its being the effect of mere remembrance remained; upon which there was an insisting in prayer to this purpose, as far as is minded:—“Lord, I
desire nothing extraordinary; let me have Thy Word and Spirit; let me know this is from thyself, by an after set of seriousness and nearness to thyself.” After continuing some time at duty at that time, with some sweetness, little more observable fell out; but, for some months following, a very sweet, serious set of spirit continued, with greater constancy and fever interruptions than ever she had formerly felt. And at the Barronny Communion, when many particulars were much forgotten, though the sweet impression remained more generally, I went through the whole of the former things, and they were renewed with yet greater sweetness. She added, that she was affrayed I might be wrong, or found to be so; there was such a perfect agreement between what had formerly run through her thoughts and what I then [spoke,] with some additions, that she had not cast up to her, was so great. This was certainly a singular vouchsafement, and a step of singular condescension thus to confirm it in the publick preached Word.

I forgot, on the last month, or November, to notice worthy Mr William Lau’s death, Professor for thirty or thirty-six years of Pneumatics and Morall Philosophy at Edinburgh College. He was the worthy son of an excellent father, Mr John Lau, Minister at Campsie, and then of Edinburgh. He made a considerable estate, and was old before he married. He was a person of great learning and solidity in his business, and much gravity and piety. He was as much valued for his being master of his business as any of the Masters, and was a great ornament to that University. They say he had excellent prelections upon Natural Religion, and it will be pity if somewhat of his be not published. It’s said that he is to be succeeded by Mr Scott, of whom above; and that he is to be succeeded by his son in the Greek Profession. If all be true, or the half, that is said of Mr S[cott,] he does not seem qualified to be a Professor of that part of learning.

This month Presbyteries fall in earnest about Mr Simson’s process. See Letters this month. We entered upon the consideration of it, and though all were ordered to read the process, yet we read a good deal. The proposal was made by Mr J. M.* that, considering the difficulty in it.

* John Miller.
and fears of breaking among us, we should intirely leave the first process to the Assembly, to do as they think meet.

This was greadyly fallen in with by such who are reconed freindly to Mr Simson; and, indeed, it was a short work fitt for lazy persons. The great pretence was, that the remitting to Presbitrys was a hasty act, and proposed by Mr Logan and Mr Haddo. To which it was answered, that it was gone into by the Assembly, without any struggle, and the Committy. That now it was the deed of the Church, and a most reasonable one. It was further objected, that the matter was not intire. The Assembly had gone throu the proces, and given sentence; we could not renverse what they had done, and behoved to judge with their eyes, and not our own. To this it was answered, the Assembly had ripened it for us; printed the proces; shortned the proces to us; summed it up; desires our opinion as to what is to be further done; that if we could find any flau in their procedure, we might humbly represent it, and give light on both hands. However, all ended in a new day in February, and letters to absent bretheren to be present; so we lost a day intirely on this matter.

The affair of Renfreu was delayed till March. I was not present, but left things to others, to do as they saw fitt; only, in privat, I told my being to oppose the transportation, when it came to my dore to speak. I was urged to be silent, and let the Presbitry go on and setle me. That I would not yeild to, and so they saw proper to delay concurrence with the call, or a reference to the Synod, till March, which I went into; and this was agreed to in Presbitry.

This moneth we had The Allarume printed at Glasgou. It galled the freinds to Mr S[imson] and himself. The printer was threatened, and forced to abscond for some time, till he got a sist from Edinburgh on a suspension. This step in Mr Graham, as Barron Bailay, was wondered at, and by many reconed not agreeable to law, and a considerable invasion on the liberty of the subject. Whatever be in that, it helped on the sale and spread of the pamphlet. The author, whom I take to be a Minister in Angus, hath defended the pamphlet, in an Appendix, six or eight weeks

* Fr. renverser, to overturn.
after, from the charge of disaffection and Jacobitisme, and stirring up dis- 
sention among Ministers and people and sessions.

This moneth Mr Patrick Bruce came over to Killellan, and was re- 
ceived by the Presbitry in the beginning of the next. I wish he may [be] 
faithfull and usefull, and not medle in party or innovations. He was 
once among the Non-subscribers in Ireland, but left them. We have 
very good testimonies of his sincerity in his change there from subscribing 
Ministers, and yet some things are talked that look as if he reteaned 
some warmnes to things which will not be so agreable here. Mr Anderson 
preached at his admission, wher I was not, from 1 Tim. iii. 1.

The Parliament sat doun this moneth. The King's Speech left us 
much wher we wer, as to peace or war. It looks as Sir R. Walpool wer 
firm in favour. He is certainly the former of the materialls of the Speech: 
and ther ar severall thrusts in it at Poultney, and the party who stand up 
against Sir Robert.

The affair of Mr Blackwood begins to be talked of. Petitions, and 
very numerouse ones, from his Burghs of Glasgou, Dumbarton, Ren- 
freu, Rutherglen, are formed in his favour. He moved soon for a day 
to be heard, and he was refused to be heard before the House, but referred 
to the Committy, which is said to be unfavourable to him; and a day for 
hearing it is named, in a long day, to which the Parliament cannot pro- 
ably sit. Severall great men tell him they belive his cause good, but 
they cannot appear for disobliding a great man. So that it's reconed he 
cannot be member this Parliament.

This moneth, the case of the election of the Magistrates of Dumbar- 
tan is before the Lords of Session, and both sides are declared unduly 
elected; and a person such as Doctor James Smollet, and his son, who 
have had the direction of that burgh since the Revolution, who are not 
trading merchants in the burgh, cannot be elected. This may come to 
be sauce for a gander.

Ther are warm debates about the election of the Magistrates of Edin-
burgh; Provost Campbell and his party contra Bailay Lindsay and his 
party. It comes before the Lords of Session as to the election of the
Deacon of Goldsmiths. My Lord Miltoun, Ordinary, delivers his judgment in favour of Bailay Lindsay his side, and the Lords confirm what he has done. The Magistrates appeal to the Parliament, and carry their point ther as to lodging the appeal to stop execution of sentence, and get it doun in a day or two before they should have been imprisoned for disobedience to the Lords of Session.

Saufeild's oppression of the toun of Glasgow continues this winter. The merchants are threatened with Subpenas, and this frights many of them; and those who are frighted form a supplication to Saufeild to favour them. The Magistrates, and most by far in the toun, will not joyn in the adress; and at lenth they find out that the Subpenas cannot be executed for three years, which puts the people who truckled to Saufeild to the blush.

Mr Alexander Duncan preaches to a feu of the upright, stiff Jacobites, who will not joyn in places wher the King is prayed for, in his own house. The rest they go to the English Service, by the English Regiment Minister.

We hear of gross reports of the young Earle of Kilmarnock, who lives nou at Callender; of breaches 'twixt him and his Lady, and her being about to seek for a divorce. All these are flatly denied by his freinds, and they say all is lyes, and they live in very good harmony.

This winter the merkates are very high. These severall moneths the meal is eleven pence and ten pence per peck, and not under. The straits of many familys and persons are exceeding great, and money is scarce to be had. Tradsmen make the most heavy complaints ever I heard, that they can get sell no manufactor, and nothing is to be had for yarn and spinning, and things look very judgment-like. If the Lord do not graciously interpose in sending a good season next, it's more than probable we shall have a very great scarcity.

The addresses of many Sessions to Presbitrys, to appear for the truth and against Mr Simson, are pretty generall up and doun; and they are a considerable check upon Ministers that incline to support him. See Letters this moneth. They are pretty common in the South and about Stirling, and they are even beginning this moneth to be talked of among us; but most Ministers are not very fond to encourage this step, it being
a little doubtful what may be the consequences of such a step; and, in this case, the most part of Sessions can judge little.

_February, 1729._—This moneth we hear of most of the Presbitrys of the South and West. None yet are for Reposition save Irvine; and it may be Haddingtoun and Dunbarr, wher his relations are. Edinburgh Overture will readily be the louest feir* that will be struck; and, generally speaking, hitherto Deposition seems to be the cheife and universall instruction.

This is a very ticklish time, and we that appear for the purity of doctrine had need to be wise as serpents and harmless as doves. We hear a stirr is like to be with relation to Mr G. C.† Session, some of them went to him, and wished he might give his testimony for the truth, and joyn with his brethren. He excused himself for want of health. He was told he might go doun, as he used, on a horse to week-dayes sermon, and at least give his testimony. He asked, “Unto what?” they said, Against Mr Simson’s errors. He seemed to doubt of the need of that, and expressed himself to the Elders that that was a question only about words. This stuck deep with them, and they and the rest of the Session sent two of their number to represent their stumbling upon that expression, and to signify to him their difficultys to joyn if he should imploy at his communion Mr H. F. and the Principal that appeared for Mr Simson’s reposition. They went, but he declined conversation, and ordered things so as to have company with him while they were in the house, and so it yet stands. I very much fear that matters come to sad extremitys, if the Lord do not direct to wisdome and faithfulnes.

Matters lye over as to Renfreu as formerly, and Kilallan is setled, I pray it be comfortably. Mr Bruce seems resolved to medle in none of our present questions as to Mr Simson or Renfreu, and goes over the week after his admission to bring his family from Ireland hither. However, he seems to say in conversation, that the Ministers in Ireland are of opinion this Church hath gone far wrong as to Mr Simson.

* Degree of censure that will be proposed—from striking the Fiar, or average price of grain.
† George Campbell.
My Lord Ross tells me that it is generally belived that the Earl of Stairs, when at Paris, laid a designe, and hired a person, a Captain, to cut of the Pretender; but the designe broke. When he came over, the designe was disliked, and he frouned upon for it; but this story needs to be better vouched ere it be belived.

It's also said, by the same hand, that Sir Robert Walpole is more firmly settled in the favour of Court than is generally belived or knouen; that he had one of the papers concerning the late King's secret Will; that he prevailed with money with the Dutches of Munster to give another, and a third in the A[rchbishop] of Cant[erbury's] hands was gote up; that he has prevailed to get funds for the Houshold [of] the Q[uue]e[n] settled, larger than formerly; and having the vote of the Commons in his hand, and the command of the money of the Nation, he will be either for peace or warr, as matters stand, and will be a necessary person in both cases. The Lord lives!

I hear ther are severall divisions in the Colledge of Glasgow upon more subjects than one. The nominating a Factor is what the Princip[al]'s party are particularly divided about. The P[incipal] and Doctor Johnstoun and some others are for Wood, factor to the Duke of Hamilton's part of Dundonald, with a reservation of somewhat for Mr Carmichael's son, as under-factor, or somewhat that way. Mr Dunlop, Mr Dick, and R. Simson, are for Mr Thomas Harvey; but he is a bankrupt, and he cannot find caution. Hou that will end, time will try. Another thing like to divide them is a designe in the Pr[incipal]e to setle Doctor Campbell, in Paislay, Professor of Anatomy. He wants persons in the Faculty, to whom he can entirely trust, and when he spake to Doctor Birbane and Doctor Johnstoun, they stormed furiously, and told him he must not take such steps as to encrease persons to vote for him. They are divided, they say, as to the successor of Mr Simson, whom they begin nou to dispair of carrying his point. The P[incipal] and some feu they are for Mr Connell of Kilbride; Mr Dunlope, R. Simson, and Dick, are for Mr W. Wisheart; Mr Loudon, Carmichael, and some others, for Mr Smith of Craumond. However, these are not yet come to any bearing, because the matter is not ripe.
This moneth a most melancholy accident falls in my family. At last term I feed a servant, Isobell Broun, who had been at Pearston, in Mr Mudy’s family. She was recomended as piouse, and a good servant, by the Minister, Mr Sempill; and that she came only away on a debate with her mistress, and she was unwilling to part with her, was what we wer well informed of. She came in December, [and] caryed well enough. Soon after she signified her want of health to my wife, and that her [catamenia ?] since a heat and stress in kemping,* in September, wer not as usuall. She continoued this way, and went in to D. Thomson, her acquaintance, and advised with him her case, but took nothing. My wife’s pains came on in the beginning of January, and the child’s death followed on the beginning of this moneth, which hindered her from not-icing her much; only we found her sleep litle, and frequently mourning and groaning, which we reconed the fruit of her seriousnes, for which we had her recommended. She begun to speak of leaving our service. My wife told her she was not willing to be harsh to her, and did not complean since it was the hand of Providence. She spoke of going to Stranraer, wher her father is a guager and waiter, and using means for her health. At lenth she turned peremptory, and we found her exceeding concerned in the night time, and my wife began to suspect her, shee being turned bigg and round. We, in charity, supposed it a timpany;† but, on consideration, when we found she would go away, resolved to speak freely to her, to see if ther was any cause, or if she had been really guilty. She denied, seemed very vexed we should sus-pect. However, I desired my wife to call a midwife, and told her I would do it, and that an innocent person would not be against a truyll; and, indeed, what swayed us was the fear of murder, in case of guilt. At lenth, on Saturnday before she went, my wife called J. F., who has skill, and told Isobell that they two would try her breasts, unles she confessed. She fell down on her knees, and confessed guilt with ..........; and declared it a rape, late when .......... came from ..........; and that some attempts had been made before,

* Striving in reaping during the harvest.  † Timpanites, dropsy.
and once after: That she cried, but it was late at night, &c. She seemed exceedingly concerned and serious. I once thought to have taken a judicai confession, but did not think it proper to raise a scandal wher it was not; and so I spoke twice to her. She adhered to all. I laid the guilt to her dore, and advised her to take care of further sin. She acknowledged she felt life since January; regrated she had come to a honest family, but hoped she would not have been with child, the guilt being but once, and an absolute force. I wrote with her to Mr Sempill, assuring I would write by post to him. His Letter, this moneth, tells the event. The guilt was laid to another; he was told what she had confessed to my wife and me was a lye; and the reason was, that the true father said he would deny, and advised her to confess as she had, because she would be best belived. Many things must [be] left to the day of the manifestation of all things. She did not appear to me disingenouse, and wished I might keep her till she wrote to the person named; but I inclined to lay it rather before the Minister.

The end of this moneth Hamiltoun Presbitry met about Mr Simson, and agreed that it was highly unfitt that he should any longer have the education of youth in his hands. Ther is one thing told of a young member ther, Mr J. Millar, that I shall be sorry if true; that, in discouring on naturall pouers, he said, he did not doubt but naturall pouers wer exceedingly mistaken and misapplyed; that he was [of] opinion it was naturally in the pouer of God to lye, though it was impossible he could lye. This choaked some, and he was interrupted.

We met in the end of the moneth, according to appointment. After a teezing us with the former story of doing nothing, and referring all to the Assembly, I was called on to read what had been said to have been drauen up. I declined till it came to my turn, and afterward yeilded. In the afternoon we reasoned long and much. Mr Carrick had a longer paper than mine, and asserted that no error in judgment, when retracted, could be matter of censure, and, after renunciation, [it] could not be the ground of deposition; and that a heretick or erroneous person was not to be rejected—that is, as he explained it, censured—till after two ad-
monitions. He was told that error was a scandal, and to be censured even when confessed: That rejecting, ther, was undoubtedly the higher sentence. Mr R. M.* had little of argument, but declamation, and several places of Scripture, very unapplicable to the case in hand. Mr Jo. Millar argued more closely, but on things many times answered. The Elders said little. It was moved to delay. This was again yielded to, to make them the more inexcusable, if, after all, they would insist for waving. Reposition was not desired by any but Mr Carrick, so we delayed the consideration till the 18th of March, with a resolution to end it before we left the place.

March, 1729.—I hear lamentable accounts of the growth of most corrupt and loose principles at Glasgow among the young people, merchants, and others; and do not wonder at it. There is little care taken in their education and founding in the principles of religion; they never wait on catechising; they have multitudes of corrupt books among their hands; and clubs, where every thing that is serious is ridiculed. And at Edinburgh, they say, there are many turned Deists, and that it’s exceeding common ther to mock at all religion and seriousnes.

The Commission met the second Wensday. I hear they had little before them. The affair of the Magistrates of Edinburgh, their appeal from the Presbytery to the Commission upon their settling Mr Kinloch in the Neu Kirk, as the Session inclined, and against the Magistrates, or a good many of them, their endeavours to have Mr Brown, who has been long noncollegiat, settled ther, or to the Synod of Lothian, which of them meet first. This seems an affair of great heat, and Mr Brown is talked of as sett up for by the keen Argathelians,† and opposed by the other. In the Commission it was managed with some warmth; but at length, by a plurality, they caryed that the[y] wer not instructed to medle with that debate, and so they let it take its natural course to the Synod.

Another affair came in unexpectedly before the Commission. Mr

* Robert Miller. † The Argyll party.
Flint, and that in concert with some who are not reconed favourers of Mr Simson, moved a question to the Moderator for advice, Whither it was the Commission's opinion that Mr Simson was regularly sisted before the next General Assembly? He was in a doubt about it himself, and thought it would be a matter of ill consequence if Mr Simson should be absent, and the opinion of so many Presbitrys concerning him come in, and their procedure stoped by his not being sisted. He oued the reference to Presbitrys and to the next Generall Assembly, if they sau fitt to take it up, did incline him to think Mr Simson was sisted; but this being a debatable case, he thought the Commission should consider if any thing wer incumbent on them. It was opposed by some feu, and said the Commission had never that affair remitted to them: That the Assembly had done what to them seemed proper. On the other hand, the generall clause and pouers of the Commission wer urged. In end, it was agreed to write to the Presbitry of Glasgow, that it was their opinion that Mr Simson should be present at the next Assembly, and require them to signify so much to Mr Simson.

They had an advice from the [heritors of?] Campsy sought by the Presbitry of Glasgow, hou to secure the stipend of Campsy upon settling Mr Govan's nepheu there, without a presentation upon the call; and they got, I think, an advice they should take the heretors bound to pay stipend. But it's hoped a presentation will be gote.

Mr Simson's freinds about Edinburgh are for his giving in a dismission to the next Assembly, but he delayes it till the Assembly meet, and he see hou matters go. Principal Wisheart is turned tender, was not at the Commission. It's doubted if he be able to preach to the Assembly. Mr W. Broun is talked of to succeed him. Professor Anderson, at Aberdeen, is said to be dangerously ill, and some speak of Mr John Walker, Canongate, to succeed him.

Our Presbitry met about Mr Simson on the 18th. We had Mr R. Maxwell caryed of from us. However, we caryed our point, after long reasoning and tugging. We had six Ministers against five, and eight or nine Ruling Elders for us, even when Mr J. Anderson was present,
who had a short paper in favour of Mr Simson, but going quite on wrong facts. Mr Turner was absent, and Mr Bruce not come over: And instructed that the Assembly should declare it unsafe that Mr Simson teach, preach, &c.

In the beginning of this moneth we had the suddain and unexpected accompt of Agnes Luke's irregular marriage with Joseph Williamson. It was laid on me to acquaint parents, which I did; and immediatly I brought her home with me, wher she stayed till Williamson took her home with him to Edinburgh on the 20th of March. Expecting to be consulted in this most fashious case, I dashed doun my cursory thoughts upon it as folloues:

CASE.

A., of thirteen years and a feu moneths, is by fair speeches [induced] to have some affection for W., and under breach of trust when committed to W.'s parent for education. Under this affection, after many fears of A. her parents, she is made to belieue that W. is so deeply engaged that he will dye unless they be marryed. Upon this, without proclamation of bands, they are irregularly marryed, and witnesses see them go to bed, and leave them there. When in bed, as A. says, they went no further, yea, can depone it if called regularly thereto: That the marriage was not completed, and that she is as free of W. as the day she was born; and adds, that when W. rose without any attempts to compleat the marriage, she thanked him, and said she resolved to have taken his promise, before marriage, that it should be thus. W. answered, She needed not be in pain that way, for if she should have proved with child, he would recon himself and her ruined, in that event, when parents wer not brought in. A. further tells me, that she had no thoughts at all of an oath; that she repeated some words read to her out of a book, and really did not [know] what she said. She added, she was still of opinion that it was in W.'s pouer, on her desire, to have loosed her and quitt her; and that, in the event of another's being proposed to her by her
parents, a year and more after, when by this time she declared her affections wer loosed from W., and by what had hapned to her S. M. [Sister M. . . . .?] committed also to W.'s mother's care and education, she perceived that, without crushing and killing her parents, she could not but be miserable with W. She still judged [it] in W.'s pouer to loose her. Mean while, W. gives out the contrary, and sayes to me that all is done which was necessary for completing the marriage; and hath, by himself, or at least by a friend of his, spread the accounts that the marriage is completed, and they had many times cohabited. This is the case as it comes to me from both sides; and my opinion is asked, What parents should do in point of conscience?

In point of lau, upon which the resolution, in foro conscientiae, in some measure dependeth, I am told that, by lau, a marriage-vou and promise [is binding] in a woman at twelve or a man at fourteen years: That the irregularity of the marriage; the want of parents' consent; the pretext, if a pretext, that fear of W.'s death brought her into it; that A.'s ignorance, nor any other circumstances, will do to render the marriage null; and, providing the witnesses sau them go to bed, and left them there, the lau (as I think it should) will find the marriage completed. In which case, I suppose summons of adherence will be got against A., and she will be obliged to adhere, or if she keep out of the way, W. will get a divorce, and she must live unmarried.

To me, I oun the lau of finding a vou and marriage promise in so very lou an age as twelve years, does not appear to be founded in Scripture or publick utility. I am sure in all other cases, almost, persons at twelve years of age need persons to act for them. I very much question if at that age they are sui juris, at their own pouer and disposall; I am sure they could not in reason be admitted to give oath in a matter that is of any consequence, and required any reflection and thought; and such a lau, if any such be, appears a great tentation to irregular marriages.

Be that as it will, in point of conscience, after I have turned this matter all the ways I can, it is very hard to me to see the binding force of an oath upon A. at thirteen years and a few moneths, even allowing her to be one of more than ordinary smartnes and capacity. In this case, it
does not appear, but the contrary, if A.'s word may be taken, that she had any knowledge, sense, or fear of an oath; and she still thinks it is in W.'s power to free her. But waving this, when I consider the thing in general, I cannot think that an oath lyes in words or pronouncing of them, but an oath must be in truth, knowledge, and righteousness. That, generally speaking, none of the qualities of an oath can be found at thirteen years. That the tricking, in one of such an age, by professions of dying for affection, joyned with the presence of two witnesses privy to W.'s plot, to get money, (which I cannot help thinking was all in view, with affections subdolously and under trust raised,) together with the vile prostitution of the holy institution of marriage by a mercenary man under the name of a minister, and much more of the solemn, sacred oath of marriage, and the tremendous name of God, which is worst of all, together with the prostitution of the great bond of human society by a man being, or pretending to be, in orders;—that all this should make a real binding oath upon A.'s part, is what does not appear to me. To say so, to me appears without all manner of ground, and the way to encourage those unjust and unrighteous attempts upon parents and poor innocent girls and boys under age by the basest methods.

What my advice shall be, in point of conscience, to parents or partys, I own is one of the hardest cases I ever had upon my hand; and I only dash down my thoughts, as I commonly do, to get light in such cases, in sincerity, and without any byass I know of, and to be matter of reasoning with others, and till I have more time to consult writers upon the case.

As to parents and partys, the choak to me lyes here: Upon the one hand, the lau, as I am informed, will find this a marriage, and completed; and if the lau makes the marriage valid, I see not but it should also be found to be completed, because men can go no further than that which, it seems, witnesses will depone; but, then, A.'s positive, and seemingly ingenious declaration, when under no weight, and told the hazard of making further lyes on this head, that the marriage is not completed; and W.'s as positive declarations, that all that is necessary for completing the marriage is done; which, though a general, yet I doubt not
but he will explain as particularly as is needful. This being the real state of this matter, ther appears a very great difficulty here. Could I be fully convinced that the marriage was not completed, and that A. and W. are really free one of another, considering what danger the parents are in of having their dayes shortned, by the cutting circumstances of this unhappy matter, I would incline to think means might be lawfully used to bring partys to go no further during parents’ lives; since, if what I have remarked above hold, the oath is null upon A. her part, and nothing followed upon it. Indeed, upon W.’s side, the case alters, considering his different circumstances; and his oath, being twenty-three years, was with knowledge and designe. Thus, about three weeks ago, upon my first hearing this matter, I was peremptorily for keeping all secret, as far as possible, for some time, save from the parents and a third person concerned; but by this time matters have taken another turn, and the thing is now open, and in every body’s mouth.

What is now to be done, in conscience and prudence, and without offending God, comes next under my thoughts; which I shall now set down under the above restrictions.

As far as I can perceive, A. may be brought, (being not yet fifteen,) notwithstanding her present cooling and aversion, to have her former love and affection (raised in her artfully, and under breach of trust) rekindled. W. is a person blameless, except in this matter, with whom she may have a small competency, and not be miserable; unless it be that the crush of her parents, or their death following upon it, or her own disappointment as to her portion, lay a fundation for after breaches betwixt her and W. Indeed, these secret subdolous marriages are often attended with miserable consequences even in this life.

W. insists, and probably will publickly intent a proces in law. He solemnly declares and promises no alienation of affection in A. shall ever alter him; that he recons himself happy in A., without a groat from her parents.

I have a regard to them both; and though W. is no equall match for A., yet since they are come this lenth, I see not much in their circumstances, (could I determine myself as to the completing of the
marriage,) but in that event they should live together, and make the best they can of their rash choice. But then, in the event of the marriage its not being completed, I confess my compassion to A., miserably imposed on, and unto the parents, inclines me to the other side.

As to the third person concerned, I take that to be nou intirely out of the question.

The great strait with me, in point of conscience and prudence, is as to the practise and carriage of A.’s religiouse, and to me, upon many accounts, very dear parents. I knou not, but my thoughts may be too much byassed in their favour in this weighty affair. They have one child happily setled; another of them, (and by an irregular marriage when under trust with W.’s mother too, though not in such cutting circumstancies as this,) of whom they have lost [all] hope of comfort in this life; A. is their third and only child remaining, very promising, and, had the proposall of the third person nou out of dores taken, would have been the staff of their old age. That is nou broke; and the question comes, What is their duty in the above circumstancies?

Their worldly circumstancies, no doubt, allou them to enter into a lau process. They have got provocation so to do. What its event will be I do not knou. I am told lauers, and I see the generality of A. her relations and theirs, persons of greater piety, experience, forcast, and some of them of greater knowlidge of the lau than I, are of opinion that, upon a process, the marriage will be found valid and complet. The event of that will, as I take it, be summons of adherence to A.; and, in that case, parents must either give up A. to W., or permitt a divorce to be issued out against her, which W. will, no doubt, carry, and get liberty to marry another, and A. must live unmarried. The parents only can determine themselves which of these hardships they will chuse. I see no sin on either side, save what may mix in, by not forgiving the injury, upon the one hand; or, upon the other, in their not pursuing all Christian, legall, and laulfull measures, for their own comfort, in their child, in their old age. I only observe, that the parents must be very much determined by A. [her] carriage and determination of herself, and her being easy and comfortable to them, and her affections being entirely
loosed from W., and her being free of him. W.'s circumstances are certainly pityable; but by this secret subdolous practise of his, full of unrighteousnes and injustice, in the sight of God and man, to A. in her childhood, if her affections have not been greater to him than I can believe, and especially to her parents, he brought them upon himself; and is bound, by the law of God, and as he would have his sin pardoned, to make restitution, upon supposition that marriage is not completed. And all that lies on [the] parents, as to him, is to forgive what is personal, and to pray for him that he may be forgiven by God on repentance, which will keep him from further rash steps and sinfull steps.

Upon the other hand, if the parents can bring up their minds, after a legall tryall of the validity of the marriage—which, indeed, to me appears to be a duty in persons of their opulent circumstances, both for their own satisfaction in knowing the outmost which the law provides in such cases, and to give a check, if possible, to such vile practises in W. or others; or without that, if the circumstances be doubouse and hazardous, upon the advice of lauers and freinds, they can bring themselves to part with their child, and live easy, through Divine grace, under this heavy dispensation, without going to law, or, which probably may be the case, without forcing W. to plead his claim. I can, at present, see nothing sinfull in this.

Therfor, all I can say further is this: that the matter should be delayed, with mutuall consent of partys and parents, and any further procedure put off, if all concerned can be prevailed with, till A. be of age, to think seriously, upon disposing herself, and upon what hath passed in her nonage, as her case seems to me to be. That, in the meantime, there be a forgetting what is passed, with forgiving and praying one for another. The delay I propose is till A. be of seventeen years of age, which was the term parents at first set to themselves, in the event of the third person, who was much preferable to W.; and, in the mean while, the rights and claimes of all sides stands as they are, untill a publick tryall of them, and ther be no disposall of either of the party's. And I do not see where the hurt or prejudice of this lies on either side.
The affair of Renfreu continues this month as it was. The Presbytery formed answers to the three appeals, and referred the matter as it stood unto the Synod. I have not yet been before them personally; but they took a further step, and concurred with my call, and gave their reasons for so doing. They ordered the call, with the reasons of it, to be put in my hand, and me to be cited, and that I cite the parish to the next Presbytery day. However, this was not done; the reasons were not in a readines, nor read before the Presbytery, and so the call and these were not put in my hand.

I am told by a gentleman that came from London, that the King hath been for some time in an ill state of health; that he is much sunk in his spirits, and is obliged to be supported with drinking, and careful diet; that the load of business lies much upon the Queen and Sir Robert. Some say he had an apoplectic fit, when the Prince was brought over last winter so very suddenly. Whither this be Jacobite neuse or not, I cannot say. The Lord prepare for his will.

*Aprile, 1729.*—The first day of this month our Synod met. Mr M'Kneight had a good sermon on, "He that is faithfull in litle." Mr W. Love was chosen Moderator. Ther was litle before the Synod save Renfreu and Mr Finlater's affair.

Several motions were made for a Fast, and, indeed, ther were many obvious reasons for it. The general sickness this spring in very many places in these bounds; the scarcity and dearth, the markets being exceeding high, eleven pence, twelve, and twelve pence half penny, per peck, and this, considering the present scarcity of money, makes it harder for poorer persons when the meal was at eighteen and twenty pence per boll, [peck?] and ther was more money in the country; besides an extraordinary cold spring and strange saucous.* A Fast seemed to be gone into in the Commity for Overtures by the most part, and was delayed till another meeting; but the affair of Renfreu turned so tedious, that ther were no more meetings of the Overtures.

The affair of Renfreu came in on Wensday forenoon. Upon the Teusday's night, in our meeting in Presbytery at night, after our Synodi-

*Perhaps sicknesses?*
call bussines was over, the Presbitry read the reasons of transportation given in by Renfreu for my removall from Eastwood. They wer but short, and then they called me, and offered to put them in my hand. Last day when the call was concurred with, they wanted the reasons; this day, when they have reasons, they wanted the call. It was delivered in, with other papers in process, to the Commity for Bills, and was not in a readynes. The Presbitry offered to put the reasons in my hand without the call, and ordered me to cite my parish against next day. I told them whatever they did or ordered me to do, I craved they might put in write, and give me an extract of it; and desired them to notice what they were doing, for I was nou on my guard, and would certainly improve every informality. They begged I might come over* the want of this peice of form, since I sau the call was not in their hands. I told them I was on my defence, and they might do what [they] sau proper, and I would act so as I thought most for my interest, and that of the parish; so they desisted. To-morrou I was called to meet with the Presbitry. They had, contrary to the perremptory demands of the appellants, got up the call from the Moderator of the bills, in order to present it in form to me with the reasons, but the meeting of Presbitry being in time of Synod, and not an ordinary meeting, regularly called in the intervalls of Synod, I declined meeting with them; so the call was not put in my hands.

On Wensday fornoon the affair of Renfreu was floored.† The first question that cast up was about the partys. Mr N. Campbell had subscribed a commission to Mr A. Dunlope to joyn in and prosecute Mr M'Dermit's call, and he was desired to remove as a party; this he declined till he had the opinion of the Synod. The Presbitry of P[aisley] wer reconed partys in judging of the partys‡ in this cause, I think without all reason. However, he insisted to have the Presbitry removed as partys, and, without debating, they removed. The Principal urged that he was oblidged, as President of the Faculty, to signe a commission whither he was for it or not, and addressed the affections of the house, and begged their compassion. All did not do; the Synod came to a vote on it, and all, save five or six, voted the Principal a party. In the

* Overlook. † Brought before the Court. ‡ Who should be heard as Parties.
afternoon, and the after debates, I left the Synod and came home, because, though not a party, yet it was not so decent I should be present. And my dear Jamie fell worse, and so I went home. The two appeals were after a strugle cast, and the third was referred for want of time to the Assembly, upon which those for Mr M'Derment appealed to the Assembly. I cannot but in all this observe the Divine Providence in conducting this matter, so as it never comes to my dore;* and probably now it will not come to my dore, but be turned into the Commission, and ther we will be either both laid aside, or it will be so determined as probably I shall not be troubled.

The other affair of Mr Finlaterr came in late on Thursday, when the Synod was outwearyed with Renfreu. The Presbitry and Commity made their report; nothing was found proven but his neglect of visiting for many years, and for this he pretended want of health; and his ultroneus oath, or asseveration; for both which Mr Finlater received a Synodical rebuke; and so this process was again ended. Whither the compleanners will prosecute their appeal to the Assembly I know not; but that poor parish of Hamiltoun lyes in the most deplorable circumstances I know any parish in the Synod.

By this time, it was so late that the Books and the Privy Censure were passed† and referred to the next Synod.

Mr John Loudon, who was some time in the family of Rothess, tells me that the D[uke] of Rothess, though he put on a face of severity and persecution of the Presbitrians, partly to cover his keeping Bishop Sharp fast to him, and to keep the Clergy at his devotion, yet he was no enimie to them in his heart, and shoued them all the favour he could. Particularly one time the A[rchbishop] came to dine with him, as the Dutchess told my informer, and compleaned of David and James Walker, his own tennants, two eminent Christians, one of them father to Mr David Walker, Minister at Temple, as keepers of conventicles, and supporters of that way. This complaint was made at dinner by the Bishop in very great wrath. The Duke seemed to be surprized with it, and said he should take an effectuall course with them, and see them both stringed.‡ The A[rchbishop] insisted that he might not forget them,

* Becomes my duty to take a part in it. † Passed by and deferred. ‡ Hanged.
for they wer incendiary throu all Fife; and the Duke immediatly gave orders to his gentlman, standing at his back, to send immediatly to the toun of Leslay, wher they lived near by, and bring them doun to him after dinner; and with many asseverations promised that they should give the Government no more trouble. The orders wer obeyed, and they sent for. This spoyled my Lady Dutches' dinner, the[y] being her Christian freinds, whom she exceedingy valued. The twa honest men wer brought doun immediatly, and carried into one of the roomes of Lesley. The Duke after dinner sau the A[rchbishop] to his coach, and there again he minded the Duke of the two men. The Duke told him they wer come, and he should not fail to handle them severly. The Duke came up stairs, called for them, and spoke nothing of the matter to them, but asked the prices of the merkates, and what grain was best to him to sou in such and such places of his lands about Lesley, and dismissed them without a froun. The Dutchess retired from dinner in deep concern for the men, and gave orders to a servant to bring them in to her when the Duke parted with them by a back gallery. Accordingly, they came. The Dutches was all in tears, and almost trembling, asked what had past? They told her, "Nothing but kindness." Whither this was to be attributed to an answer to the Dutches' prayers on their behalf, or to the Duke's naturall temper, who was not inclined to violence, I am not to determine; but the fact is certain.

Another instance of the Duke's lenity the same person informs me off was this: A certain persecutor, a broken* gentlman, who had got a commission to search for Conventicle preachers, and was very willing to the work, in the heat of persecution got notice of Mr R. Rule, afterwards Minister of Stirling, and another Minister whose name I've [I have] forgot, who had been preaching near Lesley. Mr Rule was a man of boldnes and courage; the other Minister was of a more timorouse nature. He passed under the name of the Minister's man, and generally carryed a brace of pockit pistolls with him. The gentlman who had commission from the Bishop got nottice of them and of their characters, and that they wer in such a room in such a place, not far from Lesly. He comes in to the place, and asked, If ther was a Minister and his man

* Broken down.
ther? It was a publick-house, wher they wer refreshing themselves. The people knew nothing of them, and said ther was two men with them in such a room. The persecutor, who was no bold man either, ordered the people of the house to tell them that he wanted to speak with them. Mr Rule knew his errand, and asked, if he was alone? They said he was, but he had a commission to seize suspect persons. Mr Rule bad her* tell him they had no bussines with him, and wer bussy. Upon which he came to the dore, and ordered them to come forth, for they wer his prisoners. Mr Rule told him that they would not obey him, they wer persons, free leidges, and he mistook his men. He threatened to break up the dore. The other Minister was at his witt's end. Mr Rule encouraged him, and went to the dore, and opned it, and asked whom he wanted? He said, "A Minister and his man, two rebells." He said, "I am the Minister's man; but if you come any further, (presenting his pistol to him,) he was a dead man." This dashes the gentleman, and he went no further, but called the Minister to him, and catched hold of him. The other being timorous, when Mr Rule went about to rescue him, begged he might not do any harm, he would go with the gentleman.

Thus he carried away the other Minister prisoner to Lesley, and came to the Duke, who by this time had got the story from some other hands. When he came to [the] Duke, he told him he had seized a Conventicle Minister, and brought him to his Grace. He asked wher he was? "Doun stairs," said he. "Bring him up," said the other. When he came, and [the Duke] found by converse with him the story as above, he came out to the gentleman, and said, "You base couard! Go presently and bring me the Minister's man, whom ye have left behind. It's him I want." This made the other ashamed, and he went off; and soon after the Duke dismissed the timorous Minister.

This moneth we have many variouse reports of the Commissioner to the ensuing Assembly. The Earl of Loudon pushed very much for it, as is said, and had the two brothers† for him. The Earl of Buchan is also talked of; and Mr Simson gives it out that the Earle of Isla is coming doun to Scotland, however, and he is to be Commissioner. Hou-

* The Landlady. † Argyll and Islay.
ever, at the close of the moneth we have certain accounts that Earl Buchan is pitched on, which is not a little mortifying to Mr Simson and his freinds. The occasion of the Earl of Buchan’s coming in, I am told, was this: In the summer 1726, the Earl of Buchan got a promise from King George to be Commissioner next to the Assembly. That excellent Prince had a great value for Buchan, as I am well informed; and when he was by, the courtiers scored out of the list of Scots Peers, about the 1721 or 1723; and the list [being] brought to [the] King, he put him [in] with his own hand. In the year 1727 he had been Commissioner; but Seafield got in by Sir Robert Walpool’s means. He had, by a warrand from the King, represented him the former winter, at admitting Duke Hamiltoun Knight of the Thistle, and gave in an accompt to the Threasury pretty large. When he was put off, he proposed to withdraw his account, if Sir Robert would get him named Commissioner to the Assembly. This was done, 1728, on King George the Second his accession. Buchan pleaded his promise, but Loudon prevailed by the interest of the two brothers. But this year, Buchan being very well with severall of the English Nobility, by his relation and estate in England, insisted upon his promise; and when Argyle and Yla continued to act for Loudon, he caused tell them he would not desist; and if they insisted to oppose him, they were never to expect any more freindship. So he carryed his point.

The midle of this moneth we had the accompts that Duncan Forbes was to be President, and the present President to have his sellary turned to a pension during life, and the Solicitor to be made Advocat; but they do not hold.

We have the accounts renewed about the King’s ill state of health, but I hope they are groundless, since now we are assured that he is going abroad to Hannover.

I am well informed that Mr David Williamson, when he was, a little after the Revolution, supplying at Aberdeen, was much hated by the Jacobites and Episcopalls there, [who] put all the obloquy and affronts upon him they could; particularly on Sabbath, when he was going to preach, they hounded out a poor profane man to meet him on the pub-
lick street, and sing and dance on the Sabbath. Whither he had [a] fiddle playing also, I do not mind; but the tune he sung in dancing before him was "Dainty Davie!" Mr Williamson was grieved at the profanation of the Sabbath, and said to some body with him, "Alace! for that poor man; he is now rejecting the last offer he is ever to have of Christ!" The wretch came not to Church, and before night dyed in a few minutes.

Mistress Luke tells me she was present when Mr Gillies was examining in the Laigh Church, a little before his death. He called in his roll one Horn, a flesher, in his quarter. He was not present. He asked if he was present? and was told he was not. He said, with much gravity, "Not present? He never was present; he never shall be present with us till we be all sisted before the Judgment-seat of Christ!" The poor man was in perfect health, and, in a day or two, dyed suddenly, and was never again at Church.

The same worthy person, Mr Neil Gilles, was some times exceeding serious in his sermons. On[e] time the same person heard him either preaching on these words, "Good-will to men," or he cited them, and enlarged on them in a holy rapture; and was running out upon the infinite love and condescension in good-will to men, and repeated it once or twice:—"Good-will to men, and good-will to me! O! hou sweet is this!" A woman long under distress, but serious, cryed out, "And to me also!"—and this was the beginning of her graciously outgate.*

* Ther is a very strange account which I have from several hands, as what is really believed at Edinburgh, though the persons concerned decline to speak of it, and it's kept very close. In January last, or two or three months ago, my Lord Royston, (McKenzie,) his daughter, lady to Coll. Cunningham, this last winter, fell into a decay, and turned weaker and weaker. For many weeks she was diverted by company, and playing at dyce, cardes, and the like; and her hazard was kepted from her. At length the physicians, reconing her within a few dayes of death, took her father, my Lord, aside, and told him they thought his

* Deliverance from despondency.
daughter was lou, and it was proper she should be told she was dying. Her father took a proper time, and acquainted her with her hazard in the most cautious manner. She took the hint, and turned very serious, and could not bear any diversions. Her brother, my Lord's eldest son, a youth about seventeen, very rackish, and loose in principles, at best a Deist, came in to her room, and, finding her pensive and thoughtfull, fell a jesting with her, and, taking up a flute or some other musicall instrument, fell a dancing and playing. She desired him to desist, for she had been to long diverted, and could not bear his dancing; and the less, that the beginning of her decay had been a wrest* in her arm, which she had gote by a suddain turn in dancing in one of their Assemblys at Edinburgh. The youth asked if she was turned whimsicall? She said not; but my Lord, his father, had been with her, and told her she was near death, and she was nou endeavouring to prepare for it. He insisted that she was roving, and should be diverted; and told her he did not belive ther was any thing after death to fear, and wished she might not belive any thing she sau not, and make herself easy. She answered, She did belive she had an immortall soul; that ther was a heaven and a hell. His words I do not repeat, being horrid, but ended with that:—"Girl, when thou dyes, if ther be any thing in those dreams, come back and tell me! I belive none of that preistcraft!" She, with difficulty, charged him off. In a day or two she dyed. Next morning, or soon after her death, her brother being in his room alone, it's confidently said she appeared to him. What passed is not told; but this is certain, the youth came running, like one distempered, to my Lord, his father, his room, in his shirt, as I am told, all in sweat, and told what passed. He was gote composed a little, but keeped his room, and was not upon the street for five or six weeks. Of late, nou, he begins to come out. I enquired about the story at Edinburgh. I find ther is somewhat in it, but the particulars are keeped closs; and people stick† to enquire or to be particular about them.

Mistress Luke tells me that she is well informed that, some years ago,

* Twist, sprain.  † Hesitate.
John McGilchrist's wife, . . . . Smith, daughter to Bailay William Smith, had an uncle, perhaps in Kilmarnock, who was a serious, good man. His daughter dyed of a lingering sickness, pretty much beyond expectation. Her father fell under great damps and darkness as to her well-being after her death, and betook himself to prayer, whither by necessity or out of choice to quicken himself, I know not; but he was wrestling in the room where the corpse was lying, and, after prayer and much liberty in it, the corpse sat up in the bed, and said audibly to him, "Christ is all and in all to me;" and then leaned down in the bed, and was cold and stiff as before. The good man was much astonished at this. These extraordinary things are a dangerous dispensation to be under.

The same person tells me her mother, Agnes Guthry, was with her grandmother, on Mr Guthry's death, at Bogtoun, in the parish of Cathcart. That, at that time, her mother was exceeding serious, and used frequent[ly] in the summer evenings, to go out in the walk of trees at Bogtoun, and continual late in prayer and covenanting. That, one night, she was there at twelve of the clock at night, and got much liberty in taking hold of God as her father's God, Mr William Guthry, and in covenanting. When she came in to the house, by the way, she was earnest with God that she might have a confirmation that what she had met with was not a delusion. When come in, she took her Bible to read, and that place casting up to her, "Go thy way, eat thy bread, thou art accepted!"—and, after it, Thomas' words, "My God and my Lord!"—and, last of all, as far as I mind, "My God, whose I am, and whom I serve, hath appeared to me!"—These gave her much consolation.

The same person tells me that, on the Friday afternoon before the Sacrament at Glasgow, she is well informed that Mr William Wisheart, Mr George Wisheart, and Mr William Hamiltoun, who is in a decay, and probably dying, went out to Mr Wisheart's country house, at the head of the Green of Glasgow, and drank, as is supposed, most of the afternoon. At least, when they came in through the Green, towards the evening, they, especially the last, were observed scarcely in case to
walk, and their eyes muddy, and staggering. I wish this may be false and ill-grounded; but such things, even when reported, wound religion dreadfully.

May, 1729.—There was a meeting proposed upon the Munday, Aprile 28, about Mr Simson, when the Colledge wer upon Counts and some other things; and accordingly ther was [a] meeting of the Masters. Mr Loudon, Mr Carmichael, [and] Mr W. Anderson, would not meet. Doctor B[risbane] was called and heard the paper read, and went off, and would by no means stay. The matter, it seems, was before-hand dressed up between the Principall, Mr Simson, and that side. Mr Simson drew up his declaration of his soundnes and orthodoxy, which is in print, and the Principall had the draught of the Colledge paper ready likewise, which is in print, and it was resolved to send both to the Assembly. Doctor Brisbane, being sent for most earnestly by the Principall, came, not knowing the occasion, but when he heard the paper read, he took up his hat and left them, telling he was no judge of such matters. He was entreated to stay, but by no means would, though he was told it would break the quorum. Mr Forbes reasoned against the Colledge making any application to the Assembly, and declared himself dissatisfied all along with Mr Simson's doctrine, and that this paper was to him as unsatisfactory as the others he had given to the Church. Mr Murthland would not come. There was only Mr Wisheart, Mr Dunlop, and Mr Dick, and Robert Simson; the two last the Professor's near relations, and yet the paper goes in name of the Colledge, when, indeed, there was no quorum, especially for a matter of that weight. This paper was caried in to the Instructions, and ther throuen out, and brought in head and shoulders to the Assembly by P[incipal] Campbell, and very ill treated there, (see Letters in May,) and therafter it was printed.

On this occasion, Mr John Hamilton tells me that Mr Simson undoubtedly at the beginning had altered his sentiments as to the doctrine of Christ. That when urged, upon several arguments, in conversation, which related to the Son's independancy, &c., he said openly, "What! will you make the Son rivall his Father?" That letters passed betwixt
Professor Hamiltoun and him, wherein Mr Simson used such extraordinary freedoms that Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun declined going on in the correspondence, and waved it. My informer is positive that P[rofessor] Hamiltoun was once of opinion, and owned it to him, that Mr Simson had altered his scheme; and when he P[rofessor] Hamiltoun stopped writing, and declined to enter any further on these points, he advised Mr Simson to take heed of entering to Doctor Clark's scheme, in his last letter.

May 1.—As to the procedure of the Assembly, which sat down this 1st day of May, see Letters this moneth. I was not in till Mr Simson's bussines came in open Assembly. After that, ther was little, save the clasning of the Instructions, and the Overture upon the Instructions from Presbitrys. I did not hear the Reasonings. The Overture is in the papers this year. It was necessary, indeed, that the Assembly should come in* to some sort of declaration to that purpose, that they did not intirely go on Instructions from Presbitrys, but wer judges themselves, and sau with their own eyes; and they say that no Suprem Civil Court, particularly the Parliament, will receive Instructions from countys and corporations; but that will not, in all respects, be a pattern for Suprem Ecclesiasticall Courts. It is hard, I own, to describe the pouers of the Supreme Court, or to say they are bound up by Instructions from their inferiors; but, on the other hand, it's as plain that Presbytery, taking them altogether, are the Church diffusive, the whole of the Ministry of Scotland, with the Elders; and I see not but the declared sense of the plurality of Presbitrys, by our Presbiterian Constitution, ought to be considered as the sense of this Church.

The precise question seems to be this, Whither the sentiments of the Ministry and rulers of this Church, mett separately and in Presbitrys, or the sense [of] the plurality of the delegates of Presbitrys met together in an Assembly, should issue† a matter of controversie in [all] the Church? We own both Presbitrys and a delegat Assembly to be Courts and meetings of Christ's institution; and both have the promise and [of his?] conduct vouchafed to them. In that respect, they seem to be on a

* Agree on
† Determine; bring to an issue
ballance. On the other hand, prudential considerations will lead us, it may be, to preferr an Assembly to the plurality of Presbitrys; because there, the most aged, and knoweing, and most experienced Members are there; and Members, not numbers, are to be weighed, and votes are to be pondered rather than numbered. And, to be sure, when it's impossible that all the Rulers of a Church can meet together in one place and time, in the nature of things, there must be som last ressort, and an issue and end to different sentiments; otherwise ther can be no order or society. Upon the other hand, in Presbitrys, it's as plain that ther is much more time and freedome to consider a matter, at least of this nature as Mr Simson's is of, ther is room for amicable conference, considering the circumstances, and speaking of many things necessary to be considered, which are not so proper to be talked of in an Assembly; neither, indeed, is ther time and room. Besides, every body that knowes matters, easily sees that in Assemblys, all matters of consequence must be done in a Committy, which, generally speaking, is as small in number as a Presbytry; and the Overture brought in by them comes pretty hastily to be approven or disapproven by the whole Assembly. Upon the whole, though I am sorry I was not present to hear the reasonings upon this head, which, no doubt, wer in the Committy of Instructions, I cannot altogether approve the generality of their conclusion, which the Assembly is indeed come into. Their generall declaration, whatever regard is to be had to Instructions from Presbitrys, seems too much to lessen the regard an Assembly ought to have to the deliberate judgment of the body of Presbitrys, in a matter remitted to them by a Generall Assembly, after printing the whole process, and transmitting it to the consideration of Presbitrys. The Presbitrys, no doubt, had all before them almost that the Assembly could have before them; and as, I hope, never a case of this nature will again exist, so I wish a more particular regard had been sheuen to such a solemn and deliberat remitt as was made. However, the declaration the Committy and Assembly have made is so far right, that the Assembly must certainly have a judicative power upon the Instructions that are sent up; and, after weighing the reasons sent up by Presbytrys, must, for themselves, and according to their light, form their sentence. What offers to me, in this case, is this,
under correction, that an Assembly, in such circumstances, cannot indeed be bound up from acting and passing a sentence as matters appear to them; but in case, after mature deliberation, the[y] cannot be of the opinion with the plurality of Presbitrys, they ought not to come for the first to a finall decision contrary to the knouen sentiments of the diffusive Church, but may and ought to transmit the grounds upon which they cannot be of the mind with the Presbitrys, and [for order's sake] they may be alloued, in the mean time, to give their judgment till another Assembly, to bind till then; but, mean while, their intermediat act, with the reasons on which they go, should be again transmitted to Presbitrys, and their opinions be again required to the next Assembly. If, upon these reasons, the plurality of Presbitrys alter their sentiments, it's well; if not, I cannot see but the follouing Assembly should give a finall sentence as they find cause, because at lenth ther must be a decision. Things have been, indeed, I hope wisely and moderately, and with the vast advantage of harmony, carryd; but, on the whole, if Presbitrys take care to send up Members of the sentiments of the Presbitry, ther is no great hazard of dilators* and endles clashings in such matters as this, which I pray God deliver us from in time to come.

Other things that hapned in the Assembly, see Letters from this Assembly to my wife, to which I'll add nothing but a few more generall remarks. At the beginning of the Assembly, it was given out that the Earl of Buchan, Commissioner, had Instructions from the King to see that nothing should be done against Mr Simson; and that if any motions to depose him wer made, that he should dissolve the Assembly. As soon as I went in to Edinburgh, being allarumed with these accounts, I was glad of the first occasion I had to converse with the Commissioner, which I got the very day I first sau him. He told me that he was no way straithned by his Instructions; that the King had never given him the least hint about Mr Simson; that he would never have taken such Instructions as would in the least have straithned the Assembly; that the Assembly was not in the least interrupted by the shaddou of an Instruction. However, he spoke favourably of Mr Simson, and thought we could require no more repentance and acknowledgment than he had

* Dilatory proceedings.
given; and wished the Assembly might not run matters too farr against
him. I took the liberty to ask his Grace [if?] his Lordship would trust
[the] Education of his children to a person that had once corrupted them,
and professed he would not do it again? He said little to the argument.
I presumed to tell him that it was in the power of those for the truth to
carry his deposition; and unless somewhat effectuall to prevent his further
teaching and corrupting of the youth wer gone into, they would carry a
vote, "Depose him." He said he was no way straining in his Instructions
as to that, and if it could be carried without a breach, he was easy.
But if we broke upon it, this would be improved against him, the Com-
misssioner, for he was not without his enemies. I said, for my own share,
I was for doing all with harmony, as far as possible, and wished for it,
both for the Churches sake and his Grace's; and if any thing could be
harmoniously gone into that would effectually secure the youth from cor-
ruption in time to come, and unite the Church, I was for it; and so we
parted. This made me very easy.

I find, from the beginning of this affair to this time, that either Mr
Simson or his freinds have spread untruths to support their cause; and,
as is ordinary, when eyes were discovered, their cause lost by it.

The first thing that cast up in Mr Simson's affair at this Assembly,
was the class of the opinions of Presbitrys. See this in the papers for
this year. Ther wer but two Presbitrys for reponing him; a feu, very
feu, for any thing favourable to him; all the rest wer for laying him
aside from teaching; and the far greatest part wer for his deposition from
teaching and preaching. This was a considerable stroak (shoak?) to
his cause in the entry, which his freinds could not easily grapple with.

One of the most plausible defences that Mr S[imson] had, was that of
his not being cited by the last Assembly. It's true, in my opinion, the
Reference cited him; but I do not see any other thing for this save prac-
tise. The acts of Assembly adduced do not appear very strong; but
it seems the Assembly [on] the first tabling of this affair came over* this,
and sent a formal citation to him, and acquainted him they were to go
on in his process. When he came, he subjected† practically. If he had
not appeared, it is probable the Assembly would have gone on, and he

* Took notice of.  † Subjected himself; submitted.
might have taken it to be safer to be present at the Assembly's meetings upon his affair; and it was much more fair and frank, and like an innocent person, to compear and plead his cause.

In his discourses, Mr Simson and his lauers wer exceeding prolix. They wer heard upon what was called alleviations; and, under that head, as they had done upon exculpation and probation, they drew in the whole of the subject, and every thing that might breed favourable impressions of the cause. These took up three or four dayes. See my Letters.

When the Assembly came to advise what to do upon the whole, their speeches and reasonings wer decent, very calm, and with much feuer indecencies than I have too often seen in such a numerous meeting. It appeared to me, (and Ministers of very good experience and knowledge, not at all sided in our debates, who wer present, made the same remark,) that the members who wer for further censure had very much the better in point of reasoning. Indeed, in my opinion, they had the better side, easier to argue upon; but even in the managment and manner of reasoning I did think they acted a closer and clearer part then the other side.

I will not enter into a detail of the reasonings. Hints of them, as far as my frail memory served, are in my Letters. The act issuing this affair is printed. If we may judge by Mr Simson's own thoughts of it, it is certainly very grating to him, and he inclined rather to have been deposed than to have this incapacitating declarature pronounced upon him. What wer his reasons I say not; but one is pretty plain, that this declaration, especially when unanimous, can never with any decency be altered; whereas a deposition, especially had dissents or reasons been given in against it, might have more easily been reversed.

The second lybell was not at all considered by the Assembly. But the sentence of the Assembly run upon the first. This had several advantages, and kepted this Church from new breaches upon these subjects to which that lybell relates. However, it was moved in private, and appeared very reasonable, that this present sentence should not be opened, and the present suspension should not be taken off till the second lybell...
should be judged, and the Church determine how far he was guilty or
not; but that was not moved in publick. It was also proposed in private,
and I am sorry it did not go further, for in this Assembly I hope it
might have carried, that all Presbitrys and Synods should be by the
Assembly prohibited to transport any to the profession of Divinity, till
the advice of the General Assembly were taken, or of the Commission.
This is a most reasonable motion, considering the influence a Professor
of Divinity hath upon this Church, and what trouble this Church hath
had from this Professor.

Upon the whole, this process as to Mr Simson is, certainly, happily off
the field in this Church; and I think it's a token for good among the
many evil tokens we have, that we have got thro' such an intricat,
quiquous,* and tender a process; so as I hope even the men of latitude†
cannot, upon any tollerable grounds, blame us for a persecuting,
vexious temper; since all done personal is but a continu'd suspension, and the
outmost length that is come is only a necessary provision for security of
the doctrine and youth of the Church. Our enemies expected a breach,
and we our selves very much feared it, and an open breach by dissent or
reasons publicly given in, on either hand, would have had ill consequences,
and the harmony and unanimity of the sentence makes it the stronger,
and every way the more desirable.

These three years, nou, as is to be seen in what is above, the Churches
time hath been taken up with this affair of Mr Simson. Nothing else
almost hath been done of any weight. We can get no advances made
in forming regulations, acts, and constitutions, the proper work of an
Assembly. At this Assembly ther was no more save this affair, and a
refused transportation of Mr Wilky from Uphall to Dundee, though the
state of that Presbitry, having five vacancies, made his transportation,
considering his fitness, very needfull; but he was the Commissioner's Mi-
 minister, and averse himself; and it's but seldom, nou, a transportation is
carried (neither, considering the multitude of young men, is there any
necessity, as indeed once was in this Church) wher the Minister is hear-
tyly against it.

* Perplexing. † Latitudinarian principles.
Principall Chambers' case was just the reverse. He was setled in Old Aberdeen, and seemed to have been too active, or at least too much passive in that matter. The Commissioner's daughter, L[ady] Kathrine Frazer, was particularly brought to oppose this settlement, and this helped on a considerable opposition to it. The Ministers of the Synod were very keen against this settlement, and severall things in it did not look well. I did not attend the Reasonings, and so cannot state them, but his settlement was renversed.*

The affairs of Touie and Hutton, and some other transportations, with our case in Renfreu, wer remitted to the Commission; and, at the first Commission, Mr Orr was transported from Muirkirk to Hoddam, and the settlement by the Presbitry which had been by Principall Chalmers' influence and that of his party, reversed by the Synod, was affirmed by the Commission. Thus, at this Assembly and Commission, Principall Chalmers, and his side in the Synod of Aberdeen, are considerably shaken. Indeed, the Ministers in the North are exceedingly dissatisfied, generally speaking, with his conduct. Hou well grounded their exceptions are against him, I do not judge. His changing sides, and his involving himself in politicks, are not to his advantage.

The Earl of Buchan, in conversation, told me that the bulk of the English Peers are, in their opinion, against the Bishops sitting and votting in Parliament, and very openly declare their sentiments. But at present the Bishops are so moderat, and medle so little in what relates to State affairs, and generally are Whiggs in principle, that no notice is taken of their sitting. But would the English Peers deal generously, this is the proper time for them, when the Bishops are upon the side of liberty, and yet by their office, or their grants of lands, have no claim to sit in Parliament, with the best grace, and the most disinterested way, to lay them aside from medling in Parliamentary affairs.

There is a considerable zeal and firmnes at present in our brethren of Angus, Aberdeen, Murray, and Inverness, against innovations upon our

*Overturned. Fr. renverser.
constitution, against Patronages, for the purity of doctrine, and in prosecuting of immoralities in Ministers, more, I think, by far than with us in the West and in the East. Professor Hamilton is extremely blamed by the Ministers of the North with whom I have conversed, for patronizing Principal Chambers in all motions for patronages, and protecting of vicious and looser persons. Processes that came up from the North, (wher it may be some irregularities and haste, on the side of opposition to loosenes and vice, are,) never sooner come to Edinburgh, to Commissions and Assemblys, but he is blamed for siding with the lazer* side; and in Commissions, some younger Ministers, Mr John Walker, Mr George Logan, Mr James Nasmith, Mr Hutchieson’s grandchild and others, joyin, and scandalous persons, deposed Ministers, and the like, are protected. This is exceedingly grievous to many Ministers, and in time, I am persuaded, will come to have ill consequences on our general interests, and at best will raise such jealousys as the general concerns of the Church will not run so as they have done under the management of some of the older Ministers.

The mixing in of lauers, Lords of Session, and others in Commissions and Assemblys with so much keenness, in processes for doctrine, and calls to parishes, and transportations, and party affairs, is a grievance we have been long under, and it’s still growning upon us; and the admitting of so long litigious and forraign pleadings of Advocats, at the barr of our Church Judicatories, is like to have such ill consequents, that it [is] a wonder to me the leading Ministers in Commissions and Assemblys do not observe them, and endeavour to provide some reasonable regulations against them.

Mr Charles Masterton was with me in the beginning of this moneth. He tells me ther is litle remarkable in Ireland. Their Judicatorys are nou again very peacable. The Not-subscribers are much left by their people, and make litle or no noise. They have still a joynt meeting of Subscribers and Non-subscribers about their money matters, and the dis-

* Laxer; more indulgent.
tribution and securing of the King's Bounty. This spring, it seems, one Mr J. Hamiltoun, a merchant in England, who was their receiver of the twelve thousand* the Government allowes them yearly, has got an estate, and is chosen a Member of the Brittish Parliament. When thus a member of Parliament, it was not fitt his name should stand in the King's books as receiving L.1200 pension yearly. This, it seems, is the method. The Bounty is given to one whom the Synod intrusts, and comes not in the King's books under any other notion but a pension to a particular man. Mr Hamiltoun acquainted the Synod's Committy, or the joynct meeting for managing the Bounty, that his circumstances did not any longer allou him to serve them, desired another might be named, to whom he would give all the assistance he could, and moved that a minister on both sides, if they pleased, or one for both, might be sent to London, and his name insert in the books, and that one should yearly come to London and receive the money. This was gone into by the joynct meeting. Mr M'Bride was Moderator, and after some debates, whither one or two [should be sent,] for saving of charges, it was agreed one might do, and a neutrall person as much as might be, neither Subscriber nor Non-subscriber, should be fixed on. Accordingly, Mr Craighead was gone into, and sent over to London, to desire the augmentation of the fund, if it can be got, (and, indeed, they need this very much,) and to represent the greivances of the Presbiterians in Ireland. Accordingly[,] he went over in Aprile or March last.

He tells me, that Mr Halliday is very frank and open; and in conversation with himself owned that the Calvinist scheme was not tenible: That at last he and many others are gone into the Baxterian scheme.

He adds, Mr Patrick Bruce, before his comming over last moneth to Killellan, preached with his B. [brother?] Mr M. Bruce, and baptized his child. This may be perhaps easily accounted for; but when he came to Belfast, he preached for Mr Halliday: He preached for Mr Kilpatrick, and conversed with them and that side: Never looked near Mr Masterton, though indisposed, and though he had been usefull to him in vindicating his character, and sending favourable representations, in

* So in MS. Probably it is a mistake for L.1200.
order to his settling with us. This method in his coming to Scotland savours either of a very considerable remaining regard to the Non-subscribers, or at least not of very much prudence and reflexion, after the declarations he sent to our Presbytry.

He tells me, Mr William Biggart is lately transported from Bangor in Ireland to Inch in Galloway, the Earle of Stair’s parish. He had one of the best settlements in all the North of Ireland. His people and he fell into some misunderstanding. He is turned infirm, and would have had an assistant, and they were not so forward that way as he expected their former regard to him should have led them to be. The people of the Inch do not seem to be altogether so earnest for his transportation. A presentation was tabled before a call, and they were fretted; however, he is now transported, and by this time settled in Galloway. He is a very considerable man, easy in his temper, and highly esteemed in Ireland; but my informer doubts if his settlement be comfortable.

Mistress Luke informs me that . . . Colquhoun, a sister of Mr John Colquhoun, Minister of Drone, a woman that was reconed religious and serious, many years ago, in Glasgow, fell under great straits and poverty, and the greatest penury for daily bread. She kept her circumstances secret; and under the continuance of her strait, and under the violent power of a violent tentation, was at last hurried into self-murder, which she executed. I know not the circumstances, but I believe it was by wounding herself mortally, so as she lived some hours. She sent for Mr J. Gray a little before her death. She was very penitent for the fact, and narrated the whole circumstances, and asked his opinion if mercy was possible for her? He was in a strait, in so extraordinary a case; and, after safe generall declarations to her, asked her her own thoughts, finding her a person of considerable knowledge. She expressed great difficulty, without despair, and aggravated her crime; and said, she saw no place in the Scripture to build on but that, “I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy.” Mr Gray prayed with her. In a little time she dyed. She appeared to him to be a humble serious Christian, and he had great hopes of her salvation.
Mr Colin M'Laurin, who was frequently with Sir Isaack Neuton, and very much valued by him and intimat with him, tells me that he has left pretty large papers upon the Scripture Prophesys. That he had severall peculiar thoughts upon them, and was not of the opinion that Daniel's Last Week was fully ended. Upon my shouing Mr M'Laurin some of Mr Whiston's reflexions lately upon Sir Isaack, and that he sett him on the head of the Arrians, my informer tells me that Sir Isaack and Mr Whiston these twenty years have been at great variance; but, however, he belived it might be fact that Sir Isaack was pretty much in Doctor Clerk's sentiments, and that he has heard him express himself pretty strongly upon the subordination of the Son to the Father, and say, that he did not see that the Fathers, for the first three or four centuries, had opinions the same with our modern doctrine of the Trinity; but that Sir Isaack was extremly cautouse in his discourse upon those matters, especially for some years before his death, when he compleaned of decayes and failours.* He thinks that Sir Isaack's papers on the Scripture Prophesys will be published, and that he heard Sir Isaack say, that he reconed the argument for the Messias, and the truth of Christianity, from the accomplishment of prophesys, was next to a demonstration. That ther is a great expectation in England of somwhat great and neu in these papers of Sir Isaack on the Prophesys.

I had not so much occasion of conversation in this moneth as I have had, our time being constantly taken up at the Assembly and meetings. I heard a very strange account of an apparition, of which I had some accounts in Letters from Mr Mck [Mack?] about the 1724 or [172] 5, at Dumfrice, of the Laird of Coul, if I forget not his name, to a servant of a physitian at Dumfreice. Mr Ogilby, Minister at Haddington Presbitry, had the accounts of these, and being very frank in his temper, seemed incredulouse of it, and to say that he would have enquired severall things, if it had appeared to him. When he returned him [home?] and was riding near his own house, the apparition did appear to him, and told him the same story, and he conversed with it, and had severall meetings

* In his mind or memory.
with it. He discovered this to some feu of his bretheren before his death, which hap[pened] in some years after, but keeped it very closs. However, when he dyed this spring, and his Cabinet was opened, ther was found sealed by his seal, [and] with his own hand was written on the back, "This bundell of papers is not to be opened till after my decease, and that in the presence of two neighbouring Ministers." Whither they were named or not, I do not mind; but they were accordingly opened after Mr Ogilby, Minister at Innerweek, his death, by Mr R. Paton, Minister at Haddingtoun, and another. The papers, a sheet or two of paper, all written with his own hand and signed, giving a full narrative of all that passed between him and the apparition, at several interviews. The papers are now in Mr Paton's hands, and I am promised a sight of them.

This was talked of a little at Edinburgh in time of the Assembly, and Mr Gilbert Anderson, Minister at Fordoun, told a story of an apparition to a Minister in that Presbitry, which stands in several branches of it in their Registers (if I remember right.) The apparition discovered a secret adultery to a Minister, that had been overlooked by his predecessor, and, after some witnesses failed, discovered others, till at length the fact was proven by living witnesses, and the parties were brought to acknowledgment, and to give public satisfaction. Memorandum: To write to Mr G. Anderson to get a fuller account of it. See his Letter to me.

When I was enquiring at Edinburgh about Mr Robert Trail's papers, at London, his nepheu, Mr R. Trail, a Minister in the North, told me that he was at London about the time of his death; that his books in Latine and Greek were given to him by his wife, and but feu; but she keeped all the English, and papers, save a few scraps of sermons she gave him. I enquired, what could become of the course of Letters betwixt his father, Mr Trail, Minister at Edinburgh, and Mr William Guthry, of which he speaks in his edition of Mr Guthry's Interests, London, 1705, or therabout: He said he could not tell; and added, that his aunt Mss [Mistress] Trail had a niece married to one Mr Alston, and, as far as he can guess, they must be in Mistress's or Mr Alston's hands. It will be worth while to cause make enquiry about them, and, if possible,
recover them; for certainly a course of Letters on spirituall exercise between Mr Trail and Guthry, for some years, is a treasure.

Mr Randy tells me that when he was at London, as far as he could learn, matters were entirely managed by the Queen and Sir Robert Walpole; that the King was reconed a mere cyfer, and did no bussines; that really, for all our present calm matters, by the most knowling persons we are reconed to be in the outmost confusion; and, indeed it is not probable but we must have some turn, if Providence prevent not. This state of things cannot long be hid, and we will certainly lose our influence in Europ.

I can mind little more this moneth, save that, though our Moderator, Mr Alston, carryed pretty equall, and certainly acted outwardly a seeming fair part, yet I noticed him notting down some memorandums, and handing them to Professor Hamilton, and Mr George Logan, and some others; upon which we had some pretty suddain speeches and turns made, in favours of Mr Simson: But all would not do.

June, 1729.—This moneth some Ministers dye. Principall Wisheart has been long tender, and was not able to preach before the last Assembly. He was, first, Minister of Leith, and was ordeaned in time of the liberty.* He was then transported to Edinburgh, upon Mr Creighton’s death. He was Moderator of the Assembly before, and of the Commission at the time of the Union, when he had a difficult post, which perhaps was as well in his hand, than in one who would have managed that chair more cleverly. He has been Moderator three times since. He was disliked a little for a sermon he had on Mr Meldrum’s death, when he took some nottice, a covering his faults (Mr Meldrum’s compliyance with Prelacy) with a mantle of love. But I see not why he was so much blamed for that. He has printed many particular sermons, and his Theologia, which is reconed a compend of Charnock on the Attributes. In his last years, he was very firm in the matter of the doctrine of the Trinity, and zealous in his opposition to Mr Simson’s innovations, notwithstanding the weight of his two sons. The greatest matter of objection ever I heard made to him was his too great exactnes

* Indulgence.
as to his brother's, the Admirall's, affairs, and too great narrounes. His father's family is an instance of Divine retribution in this state. His father was Minister in Linlithgou toun or Presbrity, and was persecuted for adherence to Presbyterian principles, and yet his children came all to have vastly more than he could have given them, had he continued without trouble: His eldest son was in the army, and acquired a great estate of Cliftonhall. Sir G. Wisheart, his other son, was Reer-Admirall in England, and left twenty thousand pound to the Principall, dying childless. The Principall was a kind, honest, good man, and affectionat, serious preacher, and piouse.

Mr James Hart, first Minister at Ratho, then at Edinburgh, dyed in a day or two after him. He was a worthy good man, and one whose sermons wer much haunted.* He was naturally a little warm and keen, but of considerable gravity and prudence with it. He printed some feu sermons.

Mr David Fleckfeild dyed this moneth also. He was thirty-eight years Minister of Balfrone, in Dumbarton Presbitry. He was first married on my sister by my mother, Jonet; and since twice married. He was a weak, to [though I] hope a good man, and has done good among that people.

I forgot to set down an account Mr Ch[arles] Mastertoun told me of, a pretty odd passage which happen'd to a gentlewoman of his acquaintance, in Ireland. She was aged, and used spectacles. Her sight, on a suddain, so far failed, that her spectacles failed her. She was very pious, and her comfort was much bound up in the Bible. She had no knowledge of opticks; but when her spectacles failed her, she concluded her reading was gone. On this she set apart some time for prayer, and came from it with much ease in her mind. It came in her mind to look for a pair of old spectacles she had by her, and joyn them with these she last used. She could give no reason for such a thought, but would try it, and the matter answered, and by the two pair she sau as well as ever. A great many followed her way, and Mr Mastertoun had a pair of double spectacles with him, which magnified much, and wer very clear.

* Followed after.
Things are come to a terrible length among us. This moneth I am well informed that lately, in Ayr town, when other sort of meetings were wont to be, there is a meeting of men who deserve little better name than that of Atheists. On the Sabbath, in time of Divine Worship, men of some character, Mr Charles Cochran, James Dalrymple, Clerk, and many others, to the number of seven or eight, who, instead of worshipping with other Christians, meet in a tavern, and read Woolston's Discourses on Miracles, and ridicule all religion. That, ordinarily, in contempt, they are seen to dismiss when the Congregation dismiss, and though Mr M'Derment knows of it, and Mr Hunter, * [no] notice is taken of it; and James Dalrymple, one of them, hath, since it was known, got a token to communicat, though even otherwise he is a drunken, loose man.

We are told of a designe of the leading men about Edinburgh (but I cannot believe it) to lay aside Mr M'Dermitt and me as to Renfrew; and to bring in Mr John Simson to his father's parish, when he is restored to his Ministry, as they say is designed next Assembly. But that is not feasible, and will never go down.

This moneth the Dean of Faculty comes to be chosen at G. Coll. [Glasgow College.] Th' is no struggle about it. Their regulations, it seems, allou him to continuou two year, if the Faculty please; and so Mr Wisheart is re-chosen. He stayed his journey to London after his father's death, till that was over. Now, he and his wife are gone up to order the affairs of the Admirall's legacy, and are to go to the Bath. Without advising with the Presbytrity, he hath left a preacher to supply his Kirk, Mr James Stirling, and they say he alloues him largely two gin[eas] a week till he return.

Mr Mack tells me that Mr J. Hill, of whom in the former volume of this, continuoues very ill, though not to the extremity that sometimes he hath been in; yet is at that length, that when he supplied him last, he would not bid him pray for him, only said he would not hinder him. The same person tells me that he is informed that Mr Hill has told, though persons cannot certainly judge but it may flow from his melancholy, that when young, he was only in one instance disobedient to his

* Ministers of Ayr.
mother, who had some imprecation upon him; and at another time, when young, he was guilty of uncleanness, or somewhat tending that way.

My Lord Ross tells me this accompt of his carriage at the Assembly, 1704. By my Lord Wharton, his brother-in-lau, he was named at that critical juncture. The Tolleration had been essayed the former year, and broke* by the Duke of Argyle's firmnes; however, the Jacobites and Episcopalls had taken great liberty, and made many encroachments in the North, and intruded on Churches. No other offered, who it was thought would be acceptable, and his B[rother]-in-lau, Wharton's interest at Court, wher he could carry what he would, encouraged him. When his being Commissioner was proposed, he told them he behoved to have somewhat openly favourable to the Church to bring doun with him, and what would satisfy the Ministers, and proposed a very strong act against intrusion into Churches. This was opposed by Sunderland, but Wharton carried it throu. When he took his leave of the Queen, she told him her croun was nou in his hands, and upon his managment of matters it very much depended. If she lost Scotland, she could not be easy wher she was. That which put her in a fright was the last Parliament 1723, [1703?] wher Duke Hamiltoun carryed his point against the Court, within six votes; and Wharton and the English Ministry wer of opinion that if the Assembly broke, and the Church wer disgusted, it would effectually strengthen the dissafected party, and next session they would be inferior by twenty. This made the English Ministry come in to whatever could be reasonably proposed in favour of the Church. When my informer came doun, he called to [the] Counsell, and laid the act against intruders before them. The Advocate, Sir James Steuart, when it was read, was pleased with it; and said he had seen nothing that looked so much like earnestnes and effectual dealing for a long time; and when it was passed in Counsell, ordered it to be printed. This act pleased the Ministers much. The Assembly run very smooth. At the close of it, Mr Wilky proposed that my Lord would allou them to adjourn the Assembly, in Christ's name, first, in the termes which since that Assembly have still been made use of, as what would be of great

* Gave way; was prevented.
use to alay heats and settle people's minds after Seafield's dissolving them, and their being ruffled. He said it was but a feather in their cap, but he believed scarce one thing would do the Queen more service. My Lord said he would consider it. He called the Counsell. There all the Presbyterian favourable Lords, Glasgow, Philiphaugh, in short, all of them, opposed it with violence. He debated the matter with them; told them there [was] nothing in his Instructions against it; that he saw it would be for the Queen's interest, and would much satisfy the Ministers, who had been maltreated. They continued to refuse to concurr. A lenth he told them that he would venture on it himself; but being instructed to advise in matters with them, he would not do it alone, but then he would acquaint the Ministry and all the world that he was for it, and that they were the hinderers. Upon this, though with no little difficulty, they came in to it, and the matter was concerted, that the Moderator first dissolve the Assembly, and then the Commissioner; and this form has been kepted nou for twenty-five Assemblys.

Some time this moneth, I think, or in the end of the last, ther was a terrible fire in the Causyside of the town of Paislay. The drought was great, and ther was no stopping it. Twenty-six familys wer burnt out, and they say about fifteen hundred pounds sterling damage done. These dispensations of calamities should have good effects, and, consequently, ought to afford occasion of prayer about them.

This moneth the Colledge Factors are laid aside—Mr Murthland, Mr Carmichael, and Mr Loudon; and Mr William Wood, formerly at Paislay, is made sole Factor, and comes in to live at Glasgow with his family. If ther he be as active as at P[aisley,] he will corrupt multitudes with dissafection, and strethen the Episcopall and Jacobit interest exceedingly. It's a strange step to chuse him Factor to the Colledge, who, I doubt, will pretty openly disoun the King, whose house and rents he has among his hands. I cannot understand the P[incipal]’s tentation. Indeed, Mr W[ood] is a man of smoothnes, sufficiency, and, for any thing I ever heard of, great fairnes and integrity. He has nou an estate, and hath four of the great estates in this country in his hands, and, consequently, a great access to bring many to his way of thinking. He
has Selcridge’s, [Selkirk’s], the Duke of Hamiltoun’s, the Duke of Douglass, and Colledge of Glasgou.

In the close of this moneth the barbarous murder of M. Purcell happens, and James Muir is blamed for it, and, in a day or two, laid up. He stifly denies his share, and continuoues in prison till his triall, of which afterwards. At the same time we hear of D. Robb’s daughter’s murder, but it holds not. A child in Catrart [Cathec] is murdered, but it’s uncertain hou.

Mistress Luke tells me that Mr N. Gille’s last sermons were on Jer. iii. 19, Sabbath before Sacrament—“ Hou shall I put thee,” &c. Action sermon—“ I’le give the a goodly heretage.” Sabbath after, which was his last sermon ever he preached—“ Thou shalt call me, my Father, and shall not turn away.”

This moneth I was at Dougalstoun, throu whose ground the old Roman wall goes. I had the pleasure to see that old vestige of the Roman greatnes. The wall is levelled with the ground, or filled up with every year’s growth and dust many hundred years since. However, the tract of it is very plain; from Kilpatrick to Kirkentilloch it runs all along on an eminency. Dougalston gets all his stones for a large park dyke from it, and the people just digg under a foot of earth and find them in plenty for raising. At the place where they wer digging, the heuen stone with inscription, gifted by Dougalston, 1694, to the Colledge, was turned up. No other freestone has been gote. The workmen are bound down to care, by the promise of a croun, for every figured and lettered stone they find. I saw the vestige of a ditch on the north side of the wall, then the wall itself, which, in as farr as can nou be guessed, has been about twelve foot thick. The hight cannot nou be knouen; and on the south side of the wall, from its root for about twelve or fourteen foot southward, there is a causie* of small stones about half a foot or therby diametter, [and] gravell among them. The wall itself has large stones at the sides of it, and the body of it is made up of smaller stones of smaller size, without any lime we can perceive, but just

* Causeway; the Roman Road.
earth or sand nou turned to earth among them. It has been faced with 
these large stones on both sides of the wall, north and south. They are 
all what we call whinstone, and I observe no freestone at [all] among 
them. They seem to be another stone than those about, and brought 
from some distance. This dyke is just nou a kind of loose quarry to 
the gentlemen throu whose lands it runns. Dougalston tells me that 
all the country houses therabouts are built of the stones of the Roman 
wall.

July, 1729.—Litle offers this moneth. Our Communions this sum-
ner are sweet. The number of communicants is rather mo than usuall; 
and, generally speaking, it’s observed that ther are mo neu and young 
communicants who never communicat before; particularly at Strath-
blane, where Mr Livingstoun is, they talk of more than two hundred 
neu communicants from themselves and neighbouring congregations; 
but, I fear, this is too great a number to hold. This, wer they all worthy—
and I hope many are so—would be one of the best tokens for good we 
could have. At our Communion here, next moneth, (I mark it here 
because of its sibnes* with this,) we had moe first communicants than 
we have had for many years. I have observed thirty-six; and there 
wer, I belive, some others not among us.† 

The estate and family of Eglington is nou exceeding lou; not in 
riches, for they wer never more opulent, but in freinds and representa-
tives. My Lady, and her b[rother,] D. Kennedy, are like to have the 
sole managment of all, and the education of the young Earl. William-
wood is continoued factor to the eastern part of the estate by the Lords; 
and the former in the west.

On the other side, the estate of Dundonald is weakning; and, if care 
be not taken, it’s like as fast to come doun as it rose. The Earle is 
bent on improvements, and they do not answer much in this country. 
He has laid many of his best malins‡ wast. But his house managment will 
run deeper still. This moneth he sells his paternall estate of Kilmaro-

* Relation; literally, nearness of kindred. † Belonging to the parish. ‡ Maillings; small farms.
nok to the Duke of Montrose, at a high rate, nine thousand pound; but this did not hold. The Duke, they say, is to sell his lands in Inshanan to help to pay it. He is commended for buying a Highland estate, for, besides the improvements it’s capable of, it makes him very considerable in the shire of Dumbartan and the borders of the Highlands; and it was old Dougalston’s advice that he should buy lands in the Highlands, and sell in the Loulands. However, they say Gorthy is out* in this matter, and was so before at selling three votes for Parliament, which wer made independent of the Duke.

This moneth the Duke of Argyle comes doun to Scotland. He stayed little in Edinburgh, but hasted to the Highlands, where, as many of his lands are to be of new entred, he is to drau a prodigious mass of money. It’s given out that he is to drope D. Campbell of Shaufeild, and, they say, he would not see him at Greenock and at Inveraray, nor speak with him. It’s talked that Shaufeild, at London, vyes with the Duke, and recons he stands on his own legs; and that the Duke cannot bear. His brother, Pr[ovost] Campbell, and G. Drummond, are to be dropt. Whither all this be grimace, to fank in† the Toun of Glasgou again to his interests, a little time will try. All airs;‡ I see, are laying in the matter this way. P. Stirling, I find, speaks on this side, that it’s fruitles to struggle, and best to keep in with all sides of great men, and be out with none. At the Circuit, Lord Miltoun, a tool of Earl of Isla’s, regrated the hardships on Glasgou, and said the toun had been maltreated, and the family of Argyle had been informed they bore a personall hatred at them, and would not bear any of the name of Campbell, and other such storys; and, at Edinburgh, the Duke told the Provost he resolved to come by Glasgou and stay all night.

August, 1729.—This moneth Argyle is much at Inverary, with Mr Forbes, King’s Advocat, and feu have acces save Sir James Campbell of Auchinbreak, and Sir John Shau. He, about the end of the moneth,

* Dissatisfied.  † Entangle; draw within the toils.  ‡ Oars.
came to Greenock, and stayed with Sir John some dayes. When he came to G[lasgow,] he stayed in the Principall’s, whom some call his bastard brother; but I cannot belive it. The Magistrates provided an entertainement for him, but he excused himself, saying he was indisposed, and Sir John Shau had drunk on him.* He generally refuses entertainments. He has a considerable parsimony, and, they say, both dispises these things, and cares not for the gratifications which are proper at these times. The Magistrates compleaned to him of the ruin of trade, and impositions. He regrated it, and said he had been informed they hated his family, and would not hear of [his] name. This they denied, and appealed to Blythswood, present, that they wer last election for him, in opposition to Shaufeild, and offered to chuse him. He asked Colin if it was so? He ouned it was. “Then,” said he, “I have [been] abused.” They offered him their greivances, in a discourse, and asked leave to give a note of them to his secretary. He said it would be a greater favour to put them in his own hands, and promised to do all in his pouer for their good. Next morning he went early for Edinburgh.

I am told Argyle, at present, has not much to say at Court; that this may [be] one motive of gaining Glasgou; that he does medle very little with Scots affairs; that his top ambition is the army, and he keeps himself by it; that all other things that relate to Scotland come throu Isla’s hands, and he is sole manager under Sir Robert Walpool. The intimacy between the brothers is not great.

They tell an instance of my Lord Isla’s interest above. Lately, in June or July, ther came up a proposall from the Commissioners at Edinburgh to Sir Robert Walpool for bettering the Revenue of Scotland. It was thought to be formed by Shaufeild, and sent doun to Mr Dr[umond ?] and by him modelled and given in as from himself. When agreed to and transmitted to Sir R[ober]t Walpool, he put it in Shaufeild’s hands, who said it was formed by one that knew the Revenue better than he thought any in Scotland had knouen it; that it raised the Revenue thirty thousand pound or more per annum, and it was all right and highly reasonable. Then it was put in Isla’s hands, who, after

* Probably, had led him to hurt himself by drinking.
perusing it, gave his opinion directly against it, as what, indeed, bettered the Revenue, but to the King’s loss, for the subjects in Scotland wer already overburdened, and he would not answer for the consequences if that scheme wer insisted on; he thought it might land in a neu Rebellion, and so it was laid aside.

I hear, from a good hand, Sir Robert Pollock, that this while the Queen does all bussines with Sir Robert [Walpole;] that both the King and Queen are parsimonious and abundantly saving. The matter of the one hundred and fifty thousand pound, last session, made a terrible noise. It seems this summ was alledged to have fallen short in the allotment for the King’s eight hundred thousand pound last year, though ther is a quarter or more to run out in the funds, without which the quota could not be exactly determined; but a round calcul was made from the deficiencys in the time elapsed to what was to come, and that summ required to be made up. Ther wer free speeches made on this occasion, and Sir Robert was like to doubt of the event, and moved it should be waved at this time; but that would not be gone into, and high resentments threatned. At lenth it was promised that any surplus, if it should be, of the one hundred and fifty thousand pound beyond the quarter current, it should be accounted for nixt year. Thus the matter was forced doun with much difficulty and grudge.

The Bill for preventing Corruptions and Bribery in Members of Parliament their election, when proposed in the House, was scarce thought in earnest designed by the opposit side to Sir Robert, and jested at. They themselves had lite or no prospect of carrying it; yet the more it opened out the more it gained ground, and at lenth Sir Robert began to use his outmost efforts against it, but in vain. It’s said to be exceeding weel worded, and to contean all human security, by oaths and such like, that it’s possible to be given against Elections by Bribery. All depends on its execution.

The Prince is abundantly smart and brisk. He is keeped much under tutors, yet my Lord Malpas and he are not in so good termes with him as wer to be wished. His restraints he somtimes breaks throu, and finds
ways to be from under his keeper's eye. The King's health, my informer tells me, is as good as ever, for any thing he could observe.

The Commission mett at the ordinary time. They had the affair [of] Mr Glass, and Neu Macher's planting with Mr Rea, and of Renfrew. I do not hear they had much more of a publick nature. As to Mr Glass, he was present; and his affair is sub-committed to some of the leading men about Edinburgh, and they seem to favour him. He is already deposed, as has been observed, for his contumacy, divisive courses, and spreading Independant schemes. He, though he allowes no judicatorys above a Congregationall meeting, and denyes Nationall Churches, hath appealed to the Assembly, and says, "I will take justice from it, as a meeting established by the King." The Assembly referrs him to the Commission. The strait as to him seems to ly here. He is not charged with error, save Independantisme, nor immorality; and to depose him for his opinion in Church Government, say some, will look exceeding ill in the eyes of other Churches; to depose him for the opinion of the great Ouen, the Mathers, &c. But [that] is not the question. His [he?] is already deposed not meerly for his opinion, but his railing on our Covenants and Establishment, and divisive practises; and the question is, if the sentence should be taken off, and he encouraged. The Commission has discharged him to preach; formerly they would take small acknowledgments, and promises of amendment; but he will give none. He seems buyed up with the hope of being protected by leading men, and that keeps him from all temper. He goes on to preach publickly every where, and disseminat his principle. This is greivous to the Ministers of that country. However, the matter is still put of from time to time, and his affair is referred to the Commission in March.

The other affair is Mr Rhea, P. Chalmers' cusine, his settlment at Neu Machir. This was before the Assembly. Exceptions wer made against his acceptation of the presentation in its terms; and his character, it's said, was not very tender. The Presbytery of Aberdeen opposed him, and took his license from him. The Commission have restored
him, susteaneed his call, and ordered him to be setled; and added a Committty of the Synod supernumerary to the Presbytery, and ordeaneed the day of his ordination. Thir superadded Committys to Presbytery, especially from the Commission, are, in my opinion, dangerouse and eversian of our constitution. If a Presbytery malverse, let them be complianed of to Synod, Assembly, and Commission. Lett Superior Courts appoint whom they will to execuut their acts, but never appoint additions to Presbyteryes to over-vot them, and pretend to act in concert with the Presbytery, when the Presbytery are against a thing. This is scorning and jesting.

In the last room,* the affair of Renfrew came in before the Commission. This affair was caryed by an appeal to the Synod, and by appeal and reference to the Assembly. The reference of the whole was (if it be) wrong in the Synod, since they could refer nothing but what was before them; and ther was no appeal made to them upon the Presbytery’s concurrence with my call. This matter was referred to the Commission, and should have come in when the affair of Neu Macher came in, as was appointed by the Assembly, after Mr Glass’s affair. But the Managers waited Lord Isla’s coming up, and designed a thin Commission, when the Elders should be brought in to overballance the vote; and so Neu Macher was taken in first, though it’s certain, morally speaking, had it come in while the North Country Ministers and others wer in the Commission, it had carried otherwise. (This I take as a kind Providence to me.) When it came in, it was put off Thursday’s night with reading papers, and Friday fornoon with speeches, till the afternoon, that Lord Isla came to toun, and came in with about twenty votes of elders at his back, when they had waited an hour or two for want of a coram,† and many Ministers wer gone away as not expecting another meeting. When it came in, the Commission slumped the matter, waved the appeals, and susteaneed Mr M'Dermitt’s call. Ther wer very warm speeches on it.

Mr Will. Steuart of Perth complianed of the managment, and of such, be who they would, (he had Pr[ovost] Campbell in his eye,) that procured
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presentations, till a parish heard a Minister, and wer satisfied with him, as acting against the principles of this Church; and compleaned of Patronages. My Lord Isla interrupted him, and said he had procured the presentation, and did think he did a service to the Church. The other said he had not his Lordship in his eye, but others; but since he had ouned his hand in it, he behoved still to be in the same mind, that it was ill service to the Church to get a man presented when people could not knou anything of his fitnes for them, and without concerning matters with persons concerned.

The Lord Isla answered pretty long and warm; that we sat here by an act of Parliament; the Revolution was by an act of Parliament; patronages was nou a lau; there was an act of Parliament for this presentation; it ought not to be quarrelled, otherwise the meeting quarrelled their own power; and much to that purpose.

Lord Dunmore was about to back him, when Mr George Gillespy stoped him, and said he was up first, and he thought nou Ministers ought to speak, and that before Elders, in a matter that concerned the interests of Christ and the whole of Church power. He ouned the King and Parliament's goodnes that we had freedome to meet under the countenance of lauffull authority, but could never think the Commission sat here by an act of Parliament. He reconed we sat in the name and by virtue of a Commission from Christ, the Head of his Church, and wished that Members might speak in another stile. He thought we might complean of an iniquous lau, and then entered in to the cause, and moved the vote might be "Sustain Mr M['Dermitt's] or W[odrow]'s call."

My Lord Grange declared he could not vote in that state, but was for waving both, and applying to the Governent to concurr with the parish inclinations. The other state caryed, and Mr M['Dermitt']s call was susteaned by twelve Ministers; for susteaning my call eleven Ministers; but a majority of multitudes of Elders was on the other side. Among Ministers, P. Hamilton, Mr G. Logan, Mr Goudie, and some others, for Mr M'D[ermitt] for the other, Mr W. Steuart, Perth, Mr J. Craige, Mr J. Davidson, Mr G. Gillespy, and others. Mr J. Smith, Principall Haddo, Mr A. Logan, and many others, who wer against Mr
M'D[ermitt's] call, was not present. Some say P[rovost] Campbell stayed in and voted. All the members of the Synod were called save our Presbytery of Paisley. It being a reference, Mr H. Hunter and the Principal had pretty warm words.

Towards the end of this month the Duke of Argyle, in his way to Edinburgh from the Highlands, came to Glasgow about four of the clock, and stayed all night in the Principal's. The town had prepared a treat for him, but he excused himself as near drunk, the two or three days by his friend Sir John Shaufeild's kindness, and really indisposed. This same way he declined dining with the Principal. The Magistrates entered upon a long conversation with him. They lamented that the town for some time had been under his Grace's frowns, which they wished to have removed. The Duke said, he had no reason to take rubs and affronts upon his family and name well. They protested they never wer guilty of them. He said he had been told, and could not well doubt it, that at the very last election they had said, "They would have none of the name of Campbell to represent them." To that it was answered, it was a hellish lye, and they wer glad they could disprove it by one near his Grace. They ouned, indeed, they had opposed Mr Campbell of Shaufeild, and they thought they had good reasons, considering what treatment the community had from him; but so far wer they from what had been told his Grace, that before ever Mr Blaikwood was fixed on, they offered their vote and interest to the Laird of Blythswood, standing by his Grace; for which they appealed to him. The Duke seemed struck with this, and said, "Colin, was it so?" He said it was. "Then," said the Duke, "never man was more abused than I have been;" and seemed to insinuate Shaufeild was his informer, though not directly; and said he now found whom he had to deal with. When this was over, the Magistrates began to open out the impositions, hardships, and grievances, in point of trade, lying upon the town, (which are indeed notour;) and after they had explained them pretty fully, the Provost begged the liberty to lodge a memorial of their impositions, he had in write, in his Grace's secretary's hands, that when he had laizour he might call for it; and
humbly desired his Grace might befriend the town in getting them taken off. "No," said the Duke, "Provest, I'll take it as [a] favour if you'll lodge the memorial in my hand, and I'll take a care of it." It was given him, and [he] promised to do all in his power.

Thus, the peace, they say, is made up between the Duke and town. Let me add here, because it relates to this, about three weeks after, toward the end of September, an express came from Isla to the Provest, telling him how acceptable it would be, if he, and whom he saw proper to bring with him, would come to Edinburgh and talk over somethings about the state of the town. The Provest went, and, as he says, Isla took out the memorial and read it over to them, article by article, and desired them to add what they had to add. He disapproved every thing they had met with, and promised his assistance to a redress.

These are reconed great things gained by the town and Provest of Stirling. The event will best sheu this. If the two brothers can relieve them, good and well. By all this, it's said Provest of Stirling and his party are all gained to be for the family of Argyle, and the town is nou theirs, very cheaply, if no more follou.

September, 1729.—In the beginning of this moneth, poor James Muir's tryall came. The particulars, see Indytment, in print, and Letters. The case came to be plain to the Jury and to the Judge. Ther was nothing of importance proven, so as to land on James Muir; and three witnesses (of no good character, indeed, but these on the other side were better, and whoor contra whoor) proved on oath, that they saw the murdered woman alive after the Saturnday's night lybelled. The Judge, Mr Graham, said somethings very home on the character of the witnesses, and went so far in his requests to one of them, that the Advocates for Mr Muir blamed him. When she had sworn, he called the Advocates near him, and told pretty audibly that he hoped they would not blame him, for the woman had declared the contrary to himself. James Muir was acquitted and dismissed from the Barr; but still he has the misfortoun to be suspected; and the witnesses who cleared him, by their mala fama, have but confounded people. Till Providence open a dore, and discover some other murderer, I fear the scandal lye
upon him. I pity his poor mother and freinds, who are as much almost to be pitied as if he had been condemned. Many things must be left to the great day.

Earl of Isla continuos at Edinburgh regulating the debates in that toun referred to him. He, they say, is not to declare till the next election of Magistrates is secured to P[rovost] Lindsay and his side. They say the Earl would have P[rovost] Drummond turned out of his Commissioner's post, (which, indeed, is all he has to live on,) but the Duke sticks by him, and will not allou that. The Provost, they say, has engaged to medle no further on any side in the toun's affairs, and entirely lye by; and so he is spared for a time. P[rovost] Campble is no more to be alloued any share of things at Edinburgh, being Shaufeil'd's brother, whom the family of Argyle has either droped, or affects to drop at this juncture.

The Pr[incipal*] carryes all in the Faculty as he pleases, and nou begins to make these who differ from him knou what they may expect. I belive I noticced Mr Wisheart's being continuod D[ean] of Faculty, and Mr Wood made sole factor. This step is much wondered at in a Minister, to choice a man a professed and knouen Jacobite, and one who hears no Presbiterian Minister, and doubts of the validity of our ministrations, to be factour to the Colledge of Glasgou. Indeed, he is a sufficient man for the bussines, but it's said that sufficiency is not restricted to him.

This moneth the Principal and Faculty have taken away Mr Murthlan's twenty pound of additional sellary, and the Principal has quitt his twenty-two pound that he might have claimed; at least, P[incipal] Stirling had it, and divided these among his freinds. Mr Dunlop ten pound, Mr Dick as much, Mr R. Simson as much, and the fourth to some other. They say, this year, the Principal has near one thousand merks of income, one way or another; two years' stipend of Renfreu, the Principal's sellary, forty pound for transportation, one hundred gineas from the Exchequer; and yet some think in this aboundance he is in penury.

* Campbell, of Glasgow College.
This moneth—see Letters—we have the accounts of worthy Coll[onel] Blaccader’s death, the Deputy-Governour of Stirling Castle—a person of great value, integrity, and piety; a soildier of courage and ability, and a greater Christian; one that lived very near God, as his remaining Diary will shew. He was exceeding usefull in that place, a firm freind to the Goverment and the Church, and a great terror to the Jacobites. He was son to Mr John Blaccader, whose life and memoires I have; a godly son of a pious father. Many instances I could give of suffering ministers’ children, and Christians also, who have abundantly inherited the reward of the parents’ sufferings since the Revolution; but they are happest who inherit their virtue and grace. Admirall and Coll[onel] Wisheart, Mr Robert Blair’s children, and Coll[onel] Blaccader, is another.

September 17.—Upon the 17th of September the toun of Glasgou susteaneed a very heavy loss by the death of Robert Alexander, frequently Bailay in Glasgou. His health had not been so firm these two or three years. He was carried off by bloody flux in eight dayes time. He had heard the Reformation sermon on Friday, and dyed Wensday after. He was, for many years, Governour of Hutcheson’s Hospital. He was a good, pious, bookish man, exceeding zealouse against all vice and immorality; a terror to evil doers, literally. When a Magistrat, he usually gave in five pound more to the session for fynes of vice than any others. In short, he was hearty and zealouse in a good thing, and, take him altogether, I question if he hath left his match for usefulnes against vice in the toun. Provest Peady’s death and his are great, and the toun is like to susteane losses on losses of valuable men.

September 18.—Upon the 18th, Mr John Govan, Minister at Campsie since the Revolution, dyed. He was turned seventy, unmarried, and left a heap of money behind him, about which ther is like to be debate. He was buryd on Monday, September 22; and his nepheu, Mr Forrester, ordeaned Minister, September 23. He had been his helper, and, with difficulty, he got him ordeaned. He studyed physick,
and practised by Receipts,* but took no money. He lived a retired,
monkish life; was usefull, I beleive, among his people; an excellent
preacher, a person of some learning and knowledge, but lived exceeding
narrowly; and, save at his Sacrament, flesh was not in his house throu
the year. No wonder he left five or six thousand pounds sterling.

This moneth, we hear of Mr Wisheart’s being to be called to be Mr
Cumming’s successor in the Scots Congregation, London. He was up
this summer, and preached once or twice there. His vast money and
estate, from his uncle, the Admirall, lies there; and it’s probable he
will go.

Mr Muir, Minister at Orwell, tells me the present state of Kinross
parish, which is to [be] before their Synod the end of this moneth, and
because it’s remarkable, and like to bring us on the look as to the un-
happy debates on The Marrou, I’le set doun till I see what Providence
brings it to. Mr John Craig, son to Mr Hugh Craig, Minister at
Gallousheills, a good, honest man, was centered† on by the parish of
Kinross. Mr Craig was a pious youth, under great deeps of exercise
for some years. While a student at Edinburgh, when in the Lady
Maitland’s family, his serious exercise brought him to haunt with some
of The Marrou Bretheren, and their followers. When he came to the
Presbytery of Dumfermline, he was sent to Kinross, and the people wer
as one man for him, save one Bailay . . . . who influenced another.
The people prevailed with Sir William Bruce, of Kinross, to give him a
presentation, or promise it, and allou them to call him. The more he
preached there, the more they wer knitt to him. A call is drauen, and
he is entered on tryalls in the Presbytery of Dumfermline. Sir Wil-
liam dyes. His brother, Sir John, succeeds; who, by the influence of
that Bailay, begins to oppose him, and to hint the refusing a presentation.
The report was spread that Mr Craig favoured The Marrou, and unfft
to be settled in that Presbytery of Dumfermline, where so many of them

* Written prescriptions, recipes.  † Fixed on unanimously.
wer. This took some impression in the Synod of Fyfe, Principall
Haddo and Mr A. Anderson soon took it by the end, and soon got a
Committy added to the Presbytery, at Mr A. Logan's desire, none of the
Presbytery opposing. Accordingly, the Committy joyn the Presbytery
in the examination of Mr Craig; and being empoyred by the Synod,
they formed Queries, to the number of twenty, all of them upon the heads
of The Marrou, turning over the act of Assembly 1720 or 21, against The
Marrou into so many Queries. They had been prepared with care by
Mr A. Anderson and others before the joynt meeting of the Presbytery
and Committy, and wer read and approven in generall. He was called
in, and they wer read to him. He desired time to bring in his Answers.
The meeting would only give him till the afternoon sederunt. He drew
up Answers, in that space, to all the Queries save the last, which was,
whither he approved of the act of Assembly 1720 against [The Marrou,]
and read them? Some litle difficultys wer raised upon some of them,
but nothing of importance objected. In short, most owne he was a lad
of sufficiency, in so short a time to form such solid and well worded
answers. As to the last, he desired to be excused, that it was not his
bussines to approve or disapprove such an act. He owne it the deed
of the Church, and did not in the least contravert it. He was removed,
and the Committy insisted upon an express answer in write to the last
Query. He was called in, and desired to give answer. He again begged
they might not insist upon it, not being the proper subject of a question;
declaring his resolution not to medle with or oppung [impugn] the act,
in publick or private, and do nothing against it; and he never inclined
to medle with these things in publick. Mr A. A[nderson] insisted to
have an answer, and was backed. It was put. His answer was to this
purpose: That if the Assembly had taken due time, and fully considered
all that was said by the Representers, and pro and [con.] all concerned
might have the more peace. He would not judge, but since he was put
to it, and obliged to declare in a matter he inclined to have been silent,
he declared, according to his present light, he was of the sentiments of
the Representers, and was content to stand and fall with them. This
was what they wanted. Sir John had come in before, and declared him-
self against his settled [settlement;] complained that he was once crazed in the head, and was a Marrou man. However, after this declaration, the meeting went on as above in their Querys; and when they got this answer, they referred the whole case to the next Synod. What the Synod did, see Letters October next. In a word, they voted, "Stop his tryall;" and an appeal was made by the parish, and a protest by all The Marrou Bretheren in the Synod; and a great many, not favourers of The Marrou, joyned with them, and all comes in to the Assembly.

This, as far as I yet see, is a most imprudent and unhappy step, and is like to cast this poor, divided Church into a new labyrinth. On the one hand, the flames about The Marrou wer just dying out, and the debates just ending. This will revive the whole, and the Representing Bretheren will get multitudes to joyn them that wer before opposit to their peculiar ways of speaking, which I am far from approving. And which, in some respect, is as ill, this especially, after what has been of late as to Mr Simson's Queries, and the battail we had there, [will] expose the method of Queries, and stop any Overtures in dependance about putting of Queries. To urge and stop a man on his tryalls till he give a direct consent to all complex acts of Assembly, and make that a Query, after satisfaction as to his soundnes [in] the faith, is such a stretch, in the method of Queries, as I do not see can be well vindicat. I doubt not but this use of Queries [will] be very satisfying to Mr Simson and his freinds, who with such keeness opposed this just method, when it's regulat prudently.

The same person tells me that Mr Hog of Carnock has got Mr Hunter, Col[onel] Erskine's Chaiplain, to be his ordeaned colleague, being now old and tender: That Mr A. Logan and Mr Hog are in pretty good termes, and very kind and obliging to one another.

Mr M'Alpin tells me that, in conversation with Mr Watts, at London, last spring, he commended the Scots method of preaching, by doctrine and use; and said, God had very much blessed it. He declared his dis-satisfaction with the generall haranging way of sermons nou turning
fashionable, as what did not answer the ends of preaching to the most part of hearers.

He tells me he was in conversation with Doctor Calamy, at London. He has the distribution of the money and fund for support of many Ministers in the country. When my informer came in to the Doctor, ther was a old, decent, reverend country Minister with him, who me [whom he?] treated with a great deal of freedom. He sat near the dore like a servant, and was spoke to with much distance. When he went away, the other scarce noticed. When he was out, the Doctor said to him, "That fellou would starve, if I did not keep him in bread!" After that treatment, which my informer could not but think supercilious, he could never since have the Doctor in that estimation he had.

October, 1729.—In the beginning of this moneth, or rather, this year, in the end of the last, the Magistrates wer chosen at Glasgow. Ther was no talk about them nor Clubs that we heard of. Provost Stirling has the Counsell very much in his hand, they say, and Provost Stark's side is nothing at all nou; but ther was a terrible debate about the convveener, I think. The Trades sent to the Magistrates as [a] lite* for convveener just the men the Provest had turned out of the Counsell. This he took ill, and returned the lite; and would commence a proces against the Trades' right to give such a lite. They protested that if a proces wer begun, it should not [be] off the toun's stock, but out of the Magistrates' pockets. Protests and counter-protests wer taken, and the matter taken up. If I remember, a neu lite was sent up; two others and the man they would have chosen, and the Magistrates chused the man the Trades wer for. Houever, the Provest has lost the 'Trades, and if he be not weel backed with his neu freinds, it's like he will lose more.

At Dumbartan, I hear the party's just continou as formerly; and the two party's have made a double election, and none will yeild to the other.

The Synod met at the ordinary time. Mr Love preached the Synod sermon, on Ministers' duty to be faithfull, and their trust. He had some
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pretty close remarks on Patronages. The Synod had no busineses almost before them, save that of Cambuslang, whose state is most lamentable. The Duke of Hamilton was writt to by the Synod, and Mr H. Finlater, we wer told, was nou to be setled at Lintoun, and then the people would have the choice granted them. We had a Synodical Thanksgiving for the good harvest; there was a struggle about it. We had a current report that the Governmet had appointed a Thanksgiving for England, but it had never been in the Gazet. It was urged ther would be difficulty in case we had two, and therfor it was best to delay and remitt it to the Commission. Against that, it was objected that the Commission ordinarily found difficulties about Nationall Thanksgivings and Fasts, and inclined to correspond with the Governmet as to them, and this would drive over the matter till December or January: That, nou, the sense of the mercy was fresh on our spirits, and every body looked for a Thanksgiving. Accordingly it was yielded, and we appointed on October 23. It was well we did so, for neither Governmet nor Commission have done anything that way.

[October 6.]—Upon the 6th of October, Mr D. Brody, Minister of Dalserf, dyed pretty unexpectedly. He was ane old man, and Minister between thirty and forty years. I hope he was useful among his people. He made little appearance elsewhere. He was a relation of the family of Brody in the North. Ministers do not generally dye single.

[October 14.]—Upon the 14th, we had a very great loss by the death of Mr John Gray, Minister at Glasgow. He dyed probably of an apoplexy. He had been long and sore troubled with the gravel. He was born in Glasgow, and an exception from the general—"A prophet is not acceptable in his own country." He was setled at Glasgow in the year 1694, mostly by my father’s influence. There was a competition between him and Mr Blackwell, afterwards Minister at Paislay and Aberdeen, who was a preacher then very much followed. Mr Gray was about thirty-seven year a Minister, and, for what I know, hath distribute the Sacrament of the Supper oftner to his own flock than any Minister to one flock in Scotland. He was a man of great learning, and weel
seen in Polemick Divinity, and thoroughly sound in his opinions, which he had examined and fully considered. He would have made a good Professor of Divinity. He was an exact disciplinarian, and very usefull in the General Session and Presbitry. He was a man of weight and gravity in our Judicatorys, and a firm opposer of innovations in doctrine. He had a great weight* of the growing corruptions of this time. He was a person of fixednes, courage, and boldnes, and not alterable and changeable. In Mr Simson's first proces, he stood by [him,] as he said to me, because, though he did not like many of his expressions and wayes of speaking, yet he did not suspect him so corrupt and variable as afterwards he found him ; and Mr Simson, in his first process, keeped himself, in most things, under the cover of approven and valuable authors, such as Dr Ouen and some others, and could not easily be reached without putting a tashf upon them. But, in his last proces, which began upon the Trinity, he appeared with firmnes against his novations; and the storys of his personall pick† against Mr Simson, upon their disputing some points, and disagreeing, wer idle and groundles, trumped up by Mr S[imson] and his freinds. Indeed, he told me that he was dissatisfied with Mr Simson's freedoms before he altered his way of teaching in publick ; that he used to cry out against and mock the systematicall Dutch Divines, and he doubted his soundnes from conversation with Mr Simson upon the imputation of Christ's righteousness; and that Mr Simson said positively to him, that the common Dutch systematicall sense of faith being imputed to Abram for righteousness, taken objectively for Christ's righteousness, was nonsense, or some such word. He added, that he found him so vain and variable, that he never knew where to find him; but he never dreamed his unsoundnes upon the Trinity till the 1725, and that no difference between them ever led him to oppose Mr Simson. In short, the loss to that town and Presbytery, and this Church, by his death, is exceeding great, especially at this juncture, when I doubt, as the Magistrates are situat, if his room shall be filled so as one could wish for. Mr Gray was a most ready
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preacher; and, as he grew in years, turned the more acceptable to the serious and godly people in that town; and, in his last years, was much more followed than at the beginning. He was a bold and free reprover of sin, and what he took to be wrong, in all ranks, and that even in his sermons. He has left a plentiful subsistence for his family.

October 16.—My Lady Dundonald is brought to bed of a son, Lord Cocheran. Mr Duncan baptized him the same day. Our family's of rank, many of them, are like to continue in their disaffection to this Established Church. The Lord give a better temper and spirit.

About this time, T[homas] Shields, in Shields, met with a very wonderful preservation. Riding through the Sheil-muir, in a dark night, within a cry almost to his own house, and a servant lad behind him on the horse, the horse stepped into an old coal-pit. The girth mercifully broke in going down. Thomas got hold, in going down, of some old timber with which the coal-pit was cased within, and stuck by his hold. The horse and boy went down. By some way or other, by the plunging of the horse in the coal-pit, the boy was thrown up, so as Thomas, sticking by the sides of the pit, got hold on him, and pulled him out of the water, and helped him up to the mouth of the pit, and he got out. Thomas stuck there till the boy ran home and brought out the family with lights; and cords were bound about a man, and he was let down to the place where Thomas stuck; and Thomas clasped about him, and got out. The horse, of eight or nine gineas, was lost.

About the end of this month, we hear of several persons, considerable merchants and traders, giving way and breaking. Poor John Thomson, whom his father left worth about five thousand pounds, is quite given way. He has had thirty thousand merks of plain losses at sea; but he lived too high, and drunk too hard. Baily Blair is spoke off; but, they say, he has a ballance. A. B., T. C., and several others, are spoke off; and really persons don't know whom to trust. Trade is so far decayed, and the burdens and losses so many, that multitudes begin to be doubted.
In the end of this moneth there are many sudden deaths, mostly of old persons. We hear of a sicknes at London, almost in every family, and near to a plague. In the last week of this moneth, ther are four or five sudden deaths. Old John Graham, son to Prowest Graham, a pious man, while at sermon on Teusday, dropt doun in his seat. He was carryed to the Session-house, in the Laigh Church, and there expired in a feu minutes. He was a single man, and spoke little or none; a person of considerable learning in the tonguees, and was master of the Syriaack, Arabick, and other Eastern tonguees; spent his time mostly in reading the Polyglott Bible, and prayer; attended on all the prelections in the Colledge, on Synods and Presbitrys, but conversed with nobody. He would have answered, “Yes,” or “No;” but I could never engage him in a discourse, nor any other that I can hear of, these forty years. He was a perfect monk, and solitary, except when he came out to sermons, which he punctually keeped, and to meetings in the Colledge and Jucitarys. His paralel I never knew nor heard of. He lived, one may be sure, unmarryed. A designe was laid to marry him [being rich] to a daughter of Mr P. Simson’s; and he was brought to see her, but talked little or none. She drew back. He was educat in Holland, by Mr M’Waird, who marryed his mother, Prowest Graham’s widou, and came over with his mother at the Revolution, and since hath lived with a servant in this fashion. I reason he was turned seventy. He was reconed very pious.

Next day, Stephen Crauford, coppersmith, and Bailay severall times, dyed suddenly. He has been still a counselour since he was chosen by the poll at the Revolution. He was most unhappy in his children. Another merchant dropt doun in the street, and was carryed into a shop, and expired, and some others. Lord prepare us for death!

Mr Wisheart, Minister in Glasgow, who has been at London this last half year, in our riding together, entred upon his call to the Scots Congregacion at London. He sayes he knew nothing of it till that post which brought it to us in the English prints: That, at Mr Cuming’s desire, he preached one day and an afternoon in that meeting-house; but not one word was spoke to him, while at London, about the call:
That he is in a strait. His bussines leads him once a year to be in England: That he might manage it by a doer;* but that wants not difficultys, since he is only in fee of the money and estate, and countable for every farthing, in case he have not succession, as he has none: That he entered into the Ministry against his relations (some of them) their mind, as the station he might glorify God most in, and be most usefull for his own soul: That he has had the misfortune to be as a speckled bird, and to be thought of other principles then his mother Church, though he knoues it not, and he is sure he never concealed his thoughts; but if he had any fault, it was too great opens. He is not so intire with his collegues as he wishes, but has no inclination to leave the Church of Scotland, she being the best he knoues, and would willingly stay, and take and mentean an assistant. I said what I thought proper to these declarations. He spoke much of his comfort and satisfaction in his people. He tells me that the sellary is by subscription, and not a legall settlement, as I took it to have been. He says it's some more than one hundred pound, not two.

He tells me that severall of the Dissenters are going over to the Church: That Mr Harrison, lately gone over, surprizes all; he had no tentation, had a flourishing meeting, near two hundred pound of subscription, and has only gote a small curacy of fifty or sixty pound: He says, the Dissenting interest at London and in England is very lou; many of their subscriptions are failing, by deaths and otherwise. Even Dr Calamy's subscription sellary is much decreased; that he will not have much above one hundred and fifty pound; and all the rest are decayed in proportion. The Doctor would have taken his son to be helper, but his subscriptions would not answer both, and thersfor he is setled with another.

November [3,] 1729.—Upon the 3d of November, we heard of Mrs Glen's giving [way.] She has driven a very great trade, these many years, in silks and Hollands. A bill of three hundred pound, from London, came on her; and she doubted of her circumstancies, and let it

* Agent, solicitor.
be protested, that all her creditors might have as much as she had to give them, and not too much go to one. Many do themselves hurt by engrossing too great a trade, and not stating clear counts. She seems most concerned least religion suffer. He [she] is in debt, they say, to tradesmen in Glasgou, for working and manufacturing cloath, more then five hundred pound. We hear, after which I wish hold, that, on stating counts, she will have three or five hundred pound free.

[November 8.]—The sudden deaths continu, and it looks as if ther wer somewhat infectiouse in the air. We have nou had near six weeks rainy weather and hazie. On the 8th of November, three men, two boatmen, and a workman, in perfect health, standing on the key at the Brimmylau, droped doun speechles and motionles in an instant. Ther was a cart going up to the toun, and they wer lift up as dead, and laid on the cart. The motion of the cart was certainly of use to them; for by [the time] they got in to the toun they greu some better, but continued sickly for some time. I don't hear any of them are yet dead, though all remain indisposed.

November 9.—A very sad accident happned at the Muir Heugh.* The day was Sabbath; and the English souldiers at Glasgou, as well as too many others, are ill keepers of that holy day. Three of them, who, they say, had a woman in common, came out of toun to walk on the Sabbath afternoon. They came out toward the Coal Heughs in the Muir of Glasgou. Ther was an old coal-pitt railed about, but the rail was old. One of the souldiers, in a bravado, would look into it, and trust to the rail. When he leaned to it, being rotten, it gave way, and he fell forward into the Heugh, and, some say, was first brained. However that be, he fell into the pit twenty or thirty fathom deep, and dyed. I wish idlers of the Sabbath would take warning!

Mr William Brown tells me the following accompt he had, when last [in] Perth, from Mr James Mercer, Minister at . . . † as what was

* Coal-pit.  † Aberdalgie.
generally belived as to Dr Rule, Principal at Edinburgh; and the thing was so notour, that it could not miss to be observed. The Doctor hapned to be going to the North, to some Church meeting, and road with a servant. Came to knouen Carnie Mount, that lyes in the high and nearest road, and belongs to the parish of Laurencekirk. Before the Doctor gote to the foot of the Mount, it was turning dark, and the night drauing on him. Ther is a change-house at the foot of the Carnie Mount wher he expected lodging. When he came there, the landlord told him ther was not a bitt of room for him in the house; that the Shirrefe of the shire, and a good number of the gentlemen about, wer to lodge with him, and he expected neither he nor any of the family could have a bed that night. The Doctor told him hou unwilling he was to venture throu the Mount so late, and asked the landlord if he knew of no remedy for him; that he would be glad of any place where he could be free of the open air, and his horses have meet. The landlord said he could find room for his horses, and they should be well enough. For himself, he knew no place for him, unless he pleased to lye in yonder large house about a quarter or half a mile distance, and he should take care to send over bed-cloaths, and a fire, and candles; only he told him it had not been inhabited for thirty years, and it was said to be haunted with an apparition. The Doctor said, if no better might be, he would rather chuse that than to stay in the open air. His servant, however, would not go.

The landlord was as good as his word: sent over his servants with bed-cloaths, fire, and candles; and the Doctor went over. The house was a good house, and the rooms good. After his room was ordered, and every thing well, he was left alone in it. He walked some time in the room, and committed himself to God's protection, and went to bed. Ther was two candles he left on the table, and these he put out. Ther was a large, bright fire remaining. He had not been long in bed till the room dore is opened, and an apparition, in shape of a country tradsman, came in, and opened the courtains without speaking a word. Mr Rule was resolved to do nothing till it should speak or attack him, but lay still with full composure, committing himself to the Divine protection and conduct. The apparition went to the table, lighted the two candles,
brought them to the bedside, and made some steps toward the dore, looking still to the bed, as if he would have had Mr Rule rising and following. Mr Rule still lay still till he should see his way further cleared. Then the apparition, who the whole time spoke none, took an effectual way to raise the Doctor. He caryed back the candles to the table, and went to the fire, and, with the tongs, took doun the kindled coals, and laid them on the deal chamber floor. The Doctor, then, thought it time to rise, and put on his cloaths, in the time of which the spectre laid up the coals again in the chimney; and, going to the table, lifted the candles and went to the dore, opened it, still looking to the Principal as he would have him following the candles; which he nou, thinking there was somewhat extraordinary in the case, after looking to God for direction, inclined to do. The apparition went doun some steps with the candles, and caryed them in to a long trance, at the end of which ther was a stair which caryed doun to a lou room. This the specter went doun, and stouped and set doun the lights on the louest step of the stair, and straignt disappeared. Mr Rule, after a litle, waiting to see if any further should cast up, lifted the candles, went up, the way he came, to his room, and went to his bed again, wher he was no more disturbed.

Revolving in his thoughts what had passed, the Doctor began to think there was murder in the case. In the morning the landlord came over to see hou his guest was, and hou he had rested. The Principal told him he was very weel, and asked him if the Shirriff was still with him. The other answered he was. The Principal desired him to give his service to him, and to tell him who he was, a Minister and Principal at Edinburgh, and tell him he would willingly see him in the house wher he was; but if that wer uneasy, he would come to him, because he had somewhat of weight to communicat. The Shirriff came over, and Mr Rule told him what had hapned, just as above, and that he was much of the mind ther was murder in the case. The Shirrif said it might be so, but it was certainly long since, for it was upwards of twenty, near thirty years since that hous was* uninhabited. The other begged the Shirriff

* Had been.
to cause lift the stone and open the earth where the candles wer left by
the spectre; and he yielded. When the ground was opened, the plain
remains of a human body wer found, and bones, to the conviction of all.
Dr Rule next intreated the Shirrife that he would send orders to the
country people, especially such above thirty or forty, to come to the
place, and he would give them a sermon, and see [if] any hint could be
had of the murder. The Shirriff was not for this, and insisted that the
murder was certainly so old that nobody nou could probably give any
accommpt of it. The Doctor insisted ther was no hazard of their meet-
ing for a sermon; and we did not knou what Providence might discover.
The Shirriff condescended, and that day warning was sent for some miles
about. The people conveneed, the Doctor preached upon some subject
suitable to the occasion, and told what had hapned, and earnestly dealt
with the consciences of his hearers, if they knew any thing of that mur-
der to acknowledge it, nou that God, in his Providence, had brought
it to light. In the time of his sermon, an old man, near eighty years,
was awakned, and fell a weeping, and, before all the company, acknow-
ledged that, at the building of that house, he was the murderer. He
and one of his fellou masons fell into a debate and came to high words,
on a summer morning, when the rest of the workmen wer not come up
to their work; and he killed the man with one stroak of a hammer, and
buryed him under the first step of the stair; and the matter was never
knouen. My informer knoues no more of what followed, whither the
murderer was punished; and, as is probable, dyed penitent.

The same person told him that, in the spring or summer 1714, when
he was in courtship of his wife, Mr Logan, of St Ninian's, daughter, he
would needs come home to his parish early on Saturday morning, or late
Fridayes night. He had his servant riding before him. It was dark
when they came throu the Shirriffmuir, where, in November, the deci-
sive battail was fought, and he saw two armyes of men upon the moor,
with all military accoutrements, engage one with another; and heard the
gunns, and saw the swords, very much by the place where it hapned.
His man saw the same, and stoped. He bid him ride on. He said he
durst not. He asked what he saw? He said, "Two armys fighting;" just as he saw. Mr Mercer rode by him, and bid him follow him. When they came just upon them, all disappeared!

He adds to my informer, that, when he was a Preacher, he stayed in Dundee, in a very good woman's house, Mrs Bell, a widow to the master of a vessel, who died, a little before, abroad, in America, I think. Mr Bell was spoken of for taking up two young boys that were poor, and carrying them abroad with him under the notion of servants; and, it was said, he sold them for slaves. However, he dyed himself. His widow was brought to set rooms in Dundee, and Mr Mercer took one of them. He had not lain in it many nights till he was no sooner in bed but the room door opened, and he heard it open. He took it, a night or two, for the servant coming to take away his shoes; but, on inquiry, found it was not so. Next night the door opened, and he saw two boys, in seamen's habits, standing and looking into the room. Next night the door opened, and they came further in, and so every night for a fourteenth-night without intermission, only they made nearer and nearer approaches to his bed. They never spoke, and he resolved never to speak to them. The last night they came just to the bedside, and then disappeared. He says he is very certain of the thing, and was under no illusion. He was in a great difficulty what to do. If he should leave the house, where otherwise he was very well, the poor woman's way of living would be broke, and he could not easily convince others. The woman told him, when he had enquired about the door's opening, that the servants talked of apparitions, but hoped he would not scar, and assured him nothing would harm [him.] He stayed out his time, and saw no more.

[November 16.]—Upon the 16th, though it was the Saturnday before the Sacrament, the Colledge had an University meeting of their Nations for the choice of a Rector. Many of the Masters and of the Students absent. The Laird of Dunlop, of that Ilk, was chosen Rector without any opposition. That gentleman is unexceptionable, and is lately
married on a sister of my Lord Miltoun, Mr Fletcher of Saltoun's son, the great manager for my Lord Isla about Edinburgh.

November 17.—Mr Maxwell's lady is happily delivered of a son, this day, about ten of the clock. I baptized him, and his name [is] George. This is a great comfort to my old Lord Pollock, to have both his family strengthened by two sons, and to see a child called for his worthy and excellent father, Sir George Maxwell.

November 19.—Our Presbytery met. We were expecting an application from Blythswood, to concur with Mr M'Dermitt's call, but nobody came. Whither it was that the forces were not yet mustered, (for we had none with us who favoured that side,) or that matters are not ripe, I know not. Since the Commission in August, of which before, we have not had a syllable about that parish. At first we were told that Blythswood was to go straight to the Presbytery of Air, and that the Commission's sustaining the call was to be in the room of our concurrence; but it seems that it was not thought sufficient, and Mr M'Dermit declares positively he will not come unless the Presbytery concur. Blythswood said to some of us, that he was to be at our next Presbytery. What stops, we cannot tell. It's generally said that Blythswood is cooled; and, indeed, he says openly, that Mr M'Dermit was never his choice, and, could he handsomely be off, he would heartily leave the matter, and that he is using endeavours to part handsomely with his friends, and probably a third will be pitched upon. But I am rather thinking all this delay is but feigned, to cool the people in their opposition; and they are safe if they get in this matter, by appeal from us to the next Commission, in March. Time must unravel designs.

We had before us the affair of suppressing of vice and immorality, as remitted by the Synod to Presbyteries. We talked two or three hours. We were all of one way of thinking, and this night's meeting put me in mind of our old Presbyteries before the 1715, when Mr Neil Campble came in, and we were split into parties. We never knew what different
sentiments almost wer. Ther wer seven of us, and we agreed that Ministers should meet for prayer, with their Sessions, monethly; that meetings for prayer in Congregations should be encouraged where they wer, and set up under rules. They wer only stated in Eastwood, Merns, and Neilstoun, and Inshanan. They are doun in Paislay, and Lochwinnioch, and Kilmacolm, where they wer. These wer all of us present. We agreed upon Congregationall fasts more ordinarily, but remitted that to a concert with other Presbitrys and the Synod. We agreed to set up our classickall meetings for prayer among ourselves, and that essays should be made to set up a Society for Reformation of Manners in Paislay, Greenock, [and] Port-Glasgou; and invite the neighbouring gentlmen, that are blameles and weel affected, to joyn in the Society; and that the Magistrates of Paislay should be spoke to.

When there, Glaud Simson told me a pretty odd story of his wife, nou dead, Elizabeth Bogle. She bore him severall children, and never kneu any thing like longing till the fourth or last. She was pretty near her time, and happned to be in a house in Paislay before dinner, and sau a sheep-head taken out of the pott. She took a great longing to eat of it, but checked herself, and spoke not of it to any, but came and dined. Soon after, she was brought to bed. The child was strong and lively, but, soon after his birth, took a violent yawning and gaunting. It was not much minded till it continuoued near twenty-four hours, so that the child they thought in hazard. They sent for the midwife, Margaret Wallace. She asked the mother if she greened* for any thing when with him? She said she had never before or since, and told as above. The midwife ordered my informer presently to buy the best sheep-head he could fall on, and boyle it weel, and take a bitt of the flesh, when boyled, and rub the child's lips and mouth gently with it. This immediatly was done, and the child never gaunted any more! The fact is certain; the cause and connection I leave to others.

In November, about the 17th day, the Sacrament was at Glasgou.

* Longed vehemently.
In the Laigh Kirk there was a pretty odd case. When Mr Wishart came in to pulpit there wer but a very feu at it. He intimat his surprize, and earnest[ly] pressed that it might be filled. Some endeavours wer used by the Elders, but feu came. After prayer, before sermon, he gave another warning with the same succes. After sermon and prayer, he gave a long discourse on this, and earnestly pressed that communicants might come forward, but nobody stirred. After he came down to the Table, it was not half full; and he expressed his concern, and signified hou indecent it would be if the work should be ended with the first Table! That he was ashamed to press them any further: That he would sing a little before he began to serve; and if, in the time of singing, the Table did not fill, he was to serve it, and close the work. All this dealing did not prevail till, after singing more than three double verses, people began to come out of their seates, and some persons of distinction, Mr Wishart’s great admirers, rose out of their seats and filled the Table. They had four more, and that was all. This is a neu thing in Glasgow. Whi[ther] this was occasioned by the ordinary feunes of communicants there since Mr Wishart’s admission, or any fret at [the] call from London, or what was the reason, I cannot tell. But this I am informed it was.

The Wind* Meeting-house was, upon worthy Mr Grayes death, supplied by the Session’s choice, Mr Tate, and some others. I was writ to, but would not go, lest it should be misinterpreted, that I would be passive if I should be called, which the Session and people wer so foolish as to speak of. This way of a vacant Session’s employing, even under the conduct of a neighbour Minister, such as wer to help at the Sacrament, I do not knou if it should be encouraged. The Presbytery, undoubtedly, should name them.

This moneth, the Kirk building in Gorbals was finished, and they applied to the Presbytery of Glasgow to have persons to supply it. The

---

* The Meeting-House in the Wynd, Glasgow. Mr John Gray, who had been translated from the Inner High Church, was succeeded by Mr James Dick, Minister of Carluke. This Church was abolished, and the congregation, in 1807, translated to St George’s Church.
Magistrates of Glasgow took this hainously ill, at least appeared so to do, and compleaned that Kirk was built without ouning of them, though undoubted superiors of the Gorballs. The people said, they had acquainted the Magistrates, [and] had the Provost of Glasgow's concurrence, when holding a Head Court in Gorballs. It was said, they should not have done it without an act of Toun Councill. P[rovost] Stirling was keen on the one hand, and Mr Ch. Coats* as keen on the other. The Presbitry appointed a Committy to converse partys. There, matters wer not like to be better; hote words passed betwixt the P[rovost] and Mr Coats. At lenth it was compromised; and the next Presbytery, or that in January next, the Gorball people withdrew their petition, and the Presbytery alloued Mr Coats to supply his people as he sau fitt. This was the proper way, no doubt, for Mr Coats, or any Minister, may preach [in] any part of his parish, and imploy whom he pleases, without any act of Presbitry about it. Thus, the neighbouring Ministers supplied, after Mr Coats had preached first in it, and a collection was made of twenty-four pound sterling that day.

[November 26.]—Upon the 26th of this moneth, at eight at night, the worthy and learned Mr Gershom Carmichael dyed in his house in the Colledge of Glasgow. He was admitted Regent to our class, when Magistrands 1695 or [169]6, so that he was about thirty-four or thirty-five year a Regent in that University. He was brought in by a publick dispute,† throu the influence of the Lord Carmichael, afterward the first Earl of Hyndford. He was our teacher within a year after he had passed his own course at St Andreus, or therby. He was son to Mr Alexander Carmichael, who writes on Mortification. When he came to us, he had litle reading. He was but very young, and was taken‡ from us by his mother's death, and marrying his wife, a good woman, daughter to Mr John Inglish. His mother, I think, was relict of Mr Frazer of Braes. He was scarce six weeks in teaching our class. He dictate us severall sheets of peripatetick physics de materia prima, which I used to jest him with afterward. He was then pretty much Cartesian, and

* Minister of Gorme. Gorbals is within the bounds of that parish. † Disputation, or comparative trial. ‡ Withdrawn from his duty.
taught us Rohault. Afterward he made himself master of the Mathe-
maticks and the Neu Philosophy. He was a hard student, a thinking,
pooring man, and applied himself mostly to Morall Philosophy. He
published an Abstract of Logick for his class, and Puffendorff de Officio,
with notes, which took so well that it bore two editions. But, above
all, in his advanced years he was singularly religious, and I know he was
under great deepths of soul-exercise, and much the worse that he did
not communicat his distress to any body almost. I had a short hint of
it from himself, about a year before his death. He was a little warm in
his temper, but a most affectionat, freindly man. His numerous family
was both a comfort to him, and he had great distress from one of them.
In short, for these twelve or fifteen years last he was of very great re-
putation, and was exceedingly valued both at home and abroad, where
he had considerable correspondence with learned men, such as Barby-
rack, and other learned men abroad; and he brought a great many
scholars to Glasgow. He might have lived much longer had not the
trouble he dyed of spread much the two or three last years of his life.
It began with a little wratt* near his eye, which turned to a kind of
cancerouse trouble. Under this he remained a hard student and seriouse
Christian more than twenty years; but in the three last years of his life,
it spread over his eye, and spread over his nose to the other, and, at
lenth, killed him. His death is a great loss to that society and this
country.

Little more offers this moneth. The report continuoues that P[rofessor]
Hamiltoun is to be P[incipal?] at Edinburgh, Mr Alston Professor,
and Mr Gaudie to be called to Lady Yester’s Kirk; but nothing is cer-
tain, and things readily may take another turn.

I hear a lybell is formed against Mr Montgomery, come to Laswade,
or some such parish in the Synod of Galloway, some years since, from
Ireland, and that for intemperance and some other things; and he is
removed to Ireland, and will not stand a tryall.

They speak of Mr W. M’Culloch and Mr Walter Steuart to supply
Wigtoun; the Earl of Galloway for the one, and the toun for the

* This seems to have been a cancerous wart.
other; but there must be a vacancy there for a year, to get reparations from vacant stipend.

Mr Andrew Gray is called to preach at Tillicoutry, and the Laird and people seem for him. His father’s congregation, Midle-quarter, Glasgow, are as one man for him; but the Magistrates are for somebody on the other side, Mr J. Anderson or Mr J. Dick. The inclinations of people are not now almost at all considered, but politicks and state party, in settlements of Ministers.

Dougalston tells me that it is said that ther is some change at Court. Formerly the Queen did evry thing, and was generally applyed to; but now the King takes a great deal more on himself.

* December, 1729.—In the last moneth, and the beginning of this, ther was the most generall cold and cough, with a feaver, seized almost every body that I ever kneu. Not one of fifty escaped. In Glasgou, they say ther was no hearing sermon, almost, for some time. It proved deadly to severalls, and yet very few hereaboute dyed of it. People wer seized with it in an instant, and somtimes they raved when on their feet; and it was not many who wer confynd to ther beds by it. It began first in England, in the country, and it fell very heavily on London. See the prints. It came doun here in a fourteenth-night, and went over to Ireland. In short, it run throu France, Germany, and Italy, like a plague; and, generally, the better sort wer seized with it. It’s a mercy it went so soon off.

It’s nou reconed that the peace with Spain is concluded, and that it has cost us a great deal of money; and France and the Cardinall de Fleurie have made a fine merkat of it. It is said, (but, in thir things, one knoues not what or whom to believe,) that the merchants and trading part of England are exceedingly displeased with it; and so they must, if it be true that followes:—That they are [to] have no restoration for their losses, save what hath been seazed since . . . . day by the Spaniards; and very few English ships, comparatively, since that time have been taken, and those that wer seazed before amount to many hundred thousand pounds. However, the Parliament is to approve of it as
honorable and safe: That both sides are to goe into it; Sir R. W. particular, because he made it; and many of the others, in order to ruine him by this unpopular peace: That, in a little time, a cry is to be raised against it, and the maker displaced, and the Parliament is to make up the merchants' losses; and this is to be the first act of a new Ministry, to render themselves popular: That the new party severall times named, during this reign, Scarburgh, Wilmingtoun, Carteret, and Chesterfield, are to come in, and Sir Robert to go out. It's said that Walpool has been, since June last, courting the Duke of Roxburgh and others called the Squad, and hath made many acknowledgments to them of his mistake in turning out them from Scots affairs, and joyning with the two brothers, Argyll and Y[la:] That they stood it out two or three applications, and, in September or October, they joyned Sir Robert.

This is Glasgow accompts, wher now the two brothers manage all. It's added, that these two great men wer awarr of this, and timously quitt Sir Robert, and are now very well with Wilmingtoun and the rest. So that, in the event of Sir Robert's fall in England, they will still have the management of Scots affairs. This is what is given out by Argile and Isla's freinds at Glasgow. Time must discover what is in it. It does not appear, three or four moneths after this, that Sir Robert is in any hazard; and, in that event, I doubt not ther will be a change of hands again, for most part of courtiers are still ready to fall in with the Prime Minister.

I hear that, on Mr Carmichael's death, all the English Students have left the University; and, indeed, it's very thin this winter, and his name and reputation brought many to it. They say that, notwithstanding the late regulations, ther are some changes now, and Books are allowed again to be lent out of the library.

[December 7.]—Upon the 7th of this moneth, my brother, John, has another son, in room of his former, that dyed. He is a pleasant, sprightfull child, and bears my father's name. I pray he may fill up his room in the world, and inherit his grace and covenant.
[December 16.]—On the 16th ther was an invitation sent by the Masters to Dubline to Mr Francis Hutcheson, son to Mr John Hutcheson, lately dead in Ireland; a worthy Minister and Anti-subscriber. It is said, that, by his name and reputation, [he] will, in some measure, fill up Mr Carmichael’s room, and bring scholars, especially from Ireland, to Glasgou. But the immediat occasion of this is his relation to Mr A. D[unlop,] who nou has marryed his aunt’s daughter. Mr Francis Hutcheson was educat here at Glasgou, and was Governour a little* to the present Earl of Kilmarnock, and then went over to Ireland, and taught Philosophy privatly; and, at lent, went to Dubline, and, for some time, hath had very many of the Dissenters’ children sent to him to teach; and his scholars turned so numerouse, that he was oblidged to use a helper some years since. His book, Of the Beauty and Idea of Virtue, has bore severall editions at London, and hath raised his character, hou justly I cannot say. He has also answered The Fable of the Bees. Hou the principles he goes on agree with the truths generally received in this Church, and what influence his teaching them here may have, time will discover. It’s doubted whither his encouragiment at Glasgou will be equall to that at Dubline; but certainly it’s more honourable to be a publick Professor than a privat teacher; and, I doubt not, he will accept the invitation. It’s said, Mr Loudon, as eldest Regent, pretends to have his choice whither to teach Logick, which he nou teaches, or any other class that happens to vaile.

They say ther was a great strugle before this invitation. The Prinicipall was not for Mr Hutcheson, both because he will strenthen Mr A. D[unlop]’s side in the Colledge, which is too hard for him already, and because he was for Mr D. Warner to succeed Mr Carmichael. It’s said, both Mr A. D[unlop] and he wroth up to my Lord Isla, who is the primum mobile; and Mr A. D. prevailed, and the Principal was oblidged to drop his pretensions, when his patron failed him. The Principal has non in the Faculty throughly his, but Mr J. Simson; Mr Dunlop manages the rest of that side; and the five others wer for Mr Frederick Carmichael to succeed his father; and the P[incipal] was so high that, till he was overborn by my L[ord] Isla’s orders, he was inclinable to have

* Short time.
joyned the five who wer for Mr F. Carmichael; and if he had, he would have cast it on that side.

[December 23.]—On the 23d, we had the lamentable accompts of Lieutennant William Pollock, Sir Robert’s youngest son, his death at Dubline. The circumstances are so melancholy, that they ought not to be remembred. It’s a great breach to that family. He was the strongest and healthiest-like son left; and, taking all things together, I must say the worthy mother of that family has met with as much for her tryall from a mercifull God and Father as ever I knew one of her religion and atteanments. I doubt not she has suitable and proportioned supports, and truly she needs them.

About this time, (see Letters,) Mr John Flint, the eldest Minister nou at Edinburgh, dyed. He was a worthy, affectionat, zealouse man, of considerable learning. He was educat in Holland, in the late suffering times before the Revolution; and, I think, had a share in the Dutch Edition of Pool’s Criticks,* and was a tollerable linguist, and pretty much about the famous Leusden’s hand.† Whither he was sent over, and menteaned some time while abroad, by the Society people, I cannot say, but I have seen severall of his Letters to them, and he seems once to have fallen in with the whims of altering the names of dayes and moneths. But he soon got rid of these, and was Minister at Laswade; and from thence, about twenty-six years or more since, taken in to Edinburgh. His book, in Lateine, against Mr Simson, as to the process betwixt him and Mr Webster, sheues his reading and knouledge in the Arminian controversy. He was a pious, warm-hearted, usefull Minister, very aged, and troubled with a palsy in his head some years before his death, and continoued at his work till his death. He was usefull among serious persons ther, and near eighty when he died. The removall of so many old seers and Ministers, at such a time, boads ill; but we should still be thankful we enjoyed them so long.

Indeed, the Ministry of Edinburgh, the cheife watch-tower, hath, within these feu moneths, had great breaches made on it; and, on the matter, there are six vacancys in that toun. Mr Hart, Mr William

* Synopsis Cricticorum.  † In his society.
Wisheart, and Mr Flint, are dead within these six moneths, and ther are three others very near dead. Mr Greirson, who hath been almost laid aside by a failour and palsy for severall years; Mr Sandilands is superanuated, and not fully master of himself, and his voice so weakned that he is not heard at all; and worthy Mr Craig, they say, is very ill, and spitting blood, and threatened with death. Those falling in at such a time as this have a voice* to this Church.

* [December 24.]—On the 24th, Mr M'Dermit’s call, as susteaneed by the Commission last, was presented to the Presbytery of Air. The Presbitry reasoned a good while on it, and found ther was no call before them, and gave it as their opinion, that since no Presbitry concurred with the call, it was not to be received, nor would it bear a process. The Commission had, indeed, susteaneed it to be a call, and preferable to the other; and ordered it to be proseute according to the custome of the Church; but the Presbytery of Air wer [of] opinion ther was no call before them, because of the want of concurrence of a Presbitry to it, and they did not see that the Commission had made up that defect. The Magistrates of Renfreu appealed to the Commission, but, as we shall see, did not proseute their appeal, but it was cast in another channell.

* [December 28.]—On the 28th of December, Munday morning, we had a most terrible and continoued thunder and lightning, some very great and fearfull cracks, and a continoued flash of lightning for some hours. I have not knouen so much of this at this season of the year. They say, winter thunder breeds summer’s cumber and hunger.

* Of warning.
M.DCC.XXX.

January, 1730.—About the 8th or 9th of this moneth, R. Sanders, of Aldhouse, dyed and left the lands of Aldhouse, and money, to the Merchants’ Hospital at Glasgow. It is reconned they have got, by him, about two thousand pound sterling. They are to have, also, about two thousand pound more by Mr Mitchell’s death, Mr John Orr’s relation his death, this moneth, at London; of which, just nou. Robert Sanders was exceedingly disobliged by his relations, and so put all he had by them. He promised to me to leave a hundred pound Scots to our Session. He has been paralitick since his last fitt, about two years since.

We hear that P[incipal] Campbell, finding matters like to go against him in Mr M‘Dermit’s settlement at Renfreu, wrote up to my Lord Isla that there wer so many difficultys like to arise, that he began to think of dropping it; on which my Lord made him a return, wherin, in a short and very peremptory oath, he swore he should be Minister there, who ever should oppose it. This letter, it seems, the Principal let his son-in-lau, Mr Sommervail, see, and [he] had not the closnes to conceal it, so that the whole toun of Renfreu has it among them.

Some time this moneth, the Principal, who teaches some, under Mr Simson’s suspension, one day in the open Hall, among the students, regrated to them that he was so throgn that he could not attend them so closly as he would, (they had no more but a meeting or two a week,) but he hoped that, shortly, the Professor, Mr Simson, should be restored to them by the ensuing Generall Assembly, and he would take a better care of them. This is thought a very odd step, unles he have very full
assurance that his designe shall hold; and if ther be such a concert among his freinds, it does not appear so very prudent to blab it out so openly to a meeting of young students.

There seems to be a generall rumor, in most parts of the Church, much more than hereabout, at this time, of restoring Mr Simson by the insuing Assembly; and the Ministers of Perth, Angus, and Fife, have formed a Representation to counter any such designe. It is very hard to say what can be in designe by such who talk so loud for a Reposition. It will inevitably run us in a breach. To prevent this, an assertory act is proposed of the doctrines opposed by him; and, on the other hand, it will be urged that he be not restored till the second lybell be gone throu. I cannot conceive how he can be restored without a reason for his restoration and repentance; and it does not appear what further length he can go, in professions and verball repentance, than he [has] gone already. I know not in what shape his restoration will come in. If it be sought that the suspension from the ministry be taken off, it may be said that he was not blamed nor lybelled as to his preaching. And this will appear a little modest; but then, with what decency one who has been declared incapable to teach youth, should be allow'd to preach the Gospell, I cannot see. If the shape be, that he be restored to be Professor, it will be a bold stroak, indeed, to counteract a judicall declaration, in a way of compromise, and by way of ending his process so very soon; and it will be an odd instance of the unsteadynes of this Church in a matter of doctrine of this importance.

[January 13.]—On the 13th of January, Mr John Logan, Minister at Neu Kilpatrick, dyed. He had been eight or ten years a Minister, and he was reconed a man of land and money; but, by taking his father's land to his own hand, and it being burdened with debt he knew not of, and he paying the portions and giving away his stipend to his sisters, still depending on the paternall estate, he was sunk in debt. He married [Bailie] Murdoch's daughter, and got five hundred pound with her, much of which run to the paying his debts; but neither he nor his wife's relations knew the debts on the land till after his death. But
melancholy and discouragement broke his natural spirits. He was a well-natured easy man, and a good man. We that are Ministers should not involve ourselves in the things of time and of this world; we have another work on our hand. And I see several sad instances of Ministers that do so, who lose any small thing they have; and really, by disappointment, (and we are not much acquaint with the methods of managing the concerns of life,) lose their health and life.

This moneth ther was a considerable struggle betwixt Mr Charles Erskine, my Lord Buchan's brother, and the Laird of Strichan, my Lord Isla's cousin, for the Commissariat of Edinburgh. Shaufeld, they say, used his interest for the first, his brother-in-law, and the two brothers* for the other, and Strichan has carried his point.

February, 1730.—Nou the talk is that the Toun of Edinburgh will not hear of P[rofessor] Hamiltoun to be a Minister at Edinburgh and Principall; and without that, he does [not] care to quite his post as Professor, which has lately fifty pound annexed to it by act of Parliament, on the two pennys per pint to the Toun of Edinburgh. So he has two thousand nine hundred merk and a house. He would have taken a ministeriall charge with the Principall's post, because he is overburdened with the multitude of students; but that, it seems, will not do. And the present Provost, Lindsay, inclines to have in Mr James Smith of Craumond to the Toun, as a popular act, and that will please both sides in the Toun; so the project is, nou, which will hold. The Principall's place continues vacand for another year. Mr G. Wisheart comes to the Trone Kirk, in his father's room; Mr Gaudie to Lady Yester's, and Mr J. Smith to Haddock's hole,† in Mr Flint's room.

This moneth Mr Dundas of Arniston goes up to Parliament. It is said he was not to be up this session, being on the discontented lay; and our politicians at Glasgow are allarumed at his going up, as forbidding a change at Court: But I doubt ther is nothing that way; but the bill

* The Duke of Argyll and Lord Isla.  † Haddo's Hold; i. e. "The Little" or New North Kirk.
for the adjourning* the Session, at least, when he goes up; I see he is
chairman for it.

The talk is renewed of changes in the two Bords of Custome and
Excise. That Mr G. Drummond is to go out; but the Duke of Argyle
stands yet for him, and the Master of Ross to come in, and Mr Thomas
Cocheran to the Bord of Excise. The last gains it; but I hear no change in the Customs at present.

This moneth ther is an accidentall fire at Leith, and a lodging burnt.
A very strange passage is told relative to it. Mr George Shirriff, deor;‡
I think, for the Earle of Hoptoun, either had his house burnt by it,‡ or
it was in imminent hazard, being next to the burning, and he had a
strange premonition about it. The fire was about midnight, or early in
the morning. Mr Shirriff was over in Fife: The day before ther came
on a contrary wind, or storm, or some what that made it a little incon-
venient for the Boats to come from Kinghorn to Leith. He had no
pressing bussines to bring him home, nor was he resolved to come over
the watter till next day, when others wer coming also. But that night
he took an unaccountable uneasines in his spirit, for which he could give
no reason, but he thought there was somewhat or other, he kneu not
what, that made it necessary to be at home that night, and went to the
boatmen in the evening; but they pretended difficultys, and said they
could not that night come over the watter. His uneasines continued
to that pitch that he applied to the Magistrates at Burntisland (I don’t
mind positively but it might be Kircaldie) for orders to the Boat to come
over, and prevailed. When he came home to Leith three or four hours
before the fire, he found his family well, and no apparent thing to sup-
port his anxiety. However, about midnight the fire broke out, and then
he saw the need of the premonition he had. I have knouen severall
instances of such kind premonitions in Providence, by impression on good
men’s spirits before hazards and dangers. He is a man of very con-
siderable sense and learning, and of an excellent and fair character, not
to be imposed on by fancy and vapours.

* Giving the Court of Session the power of adjourning.

‡ Agent, man of business.

Next, adjacent to; "hard by."

VOL. IV.
Last moneth and this we have the greatest number of unexpected legacys and huge heaps of money breaking out to persons generally very much needing them, that ever I heard [or] read of. I hear of several in other parts of the nation, Scotsmen, that have been in Spain, and made some fifty thousand, some one hundred thousand pounds; and send over Commissions to buy lands for them in Stirlingshire, Perth, and Fife; two or three whose names I have forgot. But in Glasgow and the neighbourhood ther are five or six very strange and surprising ones.

Mr John Orr, late Bailay in Glasgow, his wife's uncle, Mr Mitchell at London, dyces, as we have heard, about the beginning of January. Mr Mitchell was born in Glasgow, and if I remember, had his prentice-fee payed by some of the Trades in Glasgow, at least was in very great straites. He left the place and went to England. There he married a rich Irish woman, and got forty thousand pounds with her. She had no children. He had another brother who followed him, and he has a son who gets the one half of [his] means. Mr Orr's wife is a sister's daughter of his. Mr Mitchell was a paun-broker at London, and made a prodigouse mass of money. By that, and his narrou way of living, which was almost incredible, he has left some say one hundred and fifty thousand pounds. About twenty years ago he made a will, and left his brother executor; and left two thousand pounds for a free school at Glasgow, and severall legacys to some naturall children of his. But he outlived his brother, and was so narrou as he would do very little for his brother's son with him at London, during his life, and had not the pouer to make a neu testament. Thus he dyed intestate, the executor being dead, and no other nominat. Thus Mr Orr, in right of his wife, the niece, falls in co-heir with Mr Mitchell the nepheu, who, it seems, quotes his claims of heirship, and divides with Mr Orr. Unless this incident had fallen in, Mr Orr would have been certainly quite excluded, or got very little. Mr Orr has been my acquaintance since his youth. He was a student of divinity under my father about the 1700, and a lad of good parts, for whom my brother, Mr Alexander, had a great value, he being one of his parishioners. After my brother's death he quite* his studies, and married his present wife, by whom he has a competency to live on, and took

* Quitted, left off.
himself to trading; and with Mr Harvey and some others, who had been graduates and scholars, fitted out a ship whom they named Apollo, but they lost her, and were reduced to great straits. His wife's uncle inclined about twenty years [ago] or therby to be chosen member of Parliament for Glasgow, and said he would leave twenty thousand pound sterling to the Toun. But that did not hold. Mr Orr then entirely broke, and had nothing left, paid all his debts honestly; and Mr A. Dunlop, Mr R. Simson, Mr Johnstoun, and some others, lent him fifty pounds sterling a piece, six or seven of them, and took his bill for it, and he set up a shop in Glasgow for cloaths, &c. and had many customers; and being a man of good sense and integrity, he got a livelihood; and gradually cleared what he had borrowed, and was chosen Bailie of Glasgow; he still continued to read and was bookish. In his straits he went up to London, and communicat his straitened case to his uncle, Mr Mitchell, but he gave him little or nothing, being such a narrow man, one that could part with nothing, and cooked his own meat, and they say would never so much as keep a servant. Many were the straites Bailie Orr and his family went through these last ten or twelve years. His wife, a pious good woman, had a trade of thread-making, and men-teaned the family, and he kept the shop, and they say she gave him eightpence a day for pocket-money, most of which he gave in charity. Now, on a sudden, his circumstances are altered, and by his uncle's death he has got forty-two thousand pound, in good money. It's said that it's good to be sibb* to gear; but here I desire to observe the vanity and folly of the world, the uncertainty of riches, and the present strange turns of them, and even a present providential retribution of his honesty and fair dealing, and his and his wife's diligence, and I hope dependance on God, and their mite of charity.

Another great legacy is that of Mr John Grant, Minister at Afflect.† His wife is sister to Mr Colin Campbell, the great architect at London, who wrote Vitruvius Britannicus, and the two folios of Draughts of Fine

* Literally, of kin. † Auchinleck.
Seats, and was to give an Edition of Vitruvius' Architecture. Mr Colin Campbell, I think, was Inspector of the King's Buildings, and made much money. And we are told that Mr Grant, who is at London this winter, gets twelve thousand pound for his share. Mr Grant, as is to be seen in this Collection, 1711 or [17]12, suffered a great deal from the Jacobites, was wounded in his head, and almost murdered. He has been Minister at Afflect since, and was still on the zealouse side, and a little inclined to The Marrou. This great summ fallen to him is a retri-

bution, and an hundred fold in this life, to a sufferer. There was an unhappy rumor raised of a woman he recommended, who fell with child afterwards; but I hope it's altogether groundles, as to him. Whatever his circumstances wer formerly in straits, he is nou releived out of them.

Another odd thing of this kind is the above John Graham, who deceased in winter last. His papers, or rather those of Mr M·Ward, I have got. His lands and houses wer to go between Mr William Dennistoun and [his] cusine, and nobody interfereed with them, nor quarrelled the thousand pound left to Dougalstoun; but Mr Dennistoun, to whom all was left, going throu old trunks covered with papers and pamphlets, finds to the value of fifteen hundred pound sterling in silver money, all antiquated, and never touched since the Union, and probably litle minded since the Revolution. It's a thousand pound good money, and there will be five hundred pound loss. This has been probably lying by him since his mother, Mrs M·Ward's, death. And Mr Dennistoun was so just, though he might have keepepd all closs, all nou being committed to him by freinds, that he acquainted the Magistrates with it, and it was numerat. This has raised the hue and cry on all the relations of P. Graham, and many are nou putting in for the executry. This is another odd instance of John Graham's temper, as above narrated, to have so much money lying dead by him for near forty year!

Mr John Edmonstoun, Minister at Cardross, about the same time, has, or is to have, left him one thousand pounds sterling, or five hundred
pound, as others say, by his uncle, William Edmonstoun, in Dumblane, who had an only daughter marryed to Mr Hugh Clerk, the Mountain* student; but both he and she are dead, without posterity; and John Edmiston is nearest.

Mr Bailay, of Monctoun, to his great surprize, has an estate in West Calder parish, possessed by one Buntine, about thirty thousand merks left to him, just as Major Buntine’s heir, though ther was no relation between the defunct and the Major.

Ther is one that was born in the parish of Saltcoats or Stevenson, that has been long in the East Indies, and has more than one hundred thousand pounds, and wants to have an heir and relations, and [has] writ doun to Scotland; and one of them, a mason, M’Crea, Cree, or Crevoch, or some such name, is gone up. The event we knou not.

Ther is another—and all broke out these two last moneths—of the name of Semple, born in the parish of Cardross, worth forty or fifty thousand pound, to whom Mr James Semple, in Dreghorn, was said to be nearest relation; but another proves much nearer; and he has no relations at London, and has writ to Scotland for his nearest freind to come up.

Another servant lass is talked of at Hamiltoun, who marryed a Dragoun, who has left her; and, by the death of a freind, to whom she proves the nearest relation, she comes to possess fifty thousand pound sterling.

They speak of two old weemen, sisters, in the toun of Lanerl, very poor, who have fallen [heir to] five or six thousand pound; and one has quitted her part of it for about thirty pound a year presently secured to her; and it’s all she needs to make her easy.

It’s certain, a servant lass of D[ean of] Gild Rogers has fallen, by the death of some relation, a thousand pounds starling. This is as odd a chain of incidents, this way, in so short a time, as ever I heard of or read of, and is a very full proffe of the vanity and instability of human affairs.

March, 1730.—We have, this moneth and the last, the accompts of

* Student for the Ministry amongst the Cameronians, or Mountain Men.
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a blind man in Kintyre, who hath visions of angells denouncing judgments on Scotland. The account of which, see a paper by itself.

My wife tells me that she heard her mother frequently tell that Mr John Campbell of Craige, Minister, whom I knew when young, frequently told her that he had been abroad preaching, and generally at that time ther wer many hints of witches, and several persons in proces for witchcraft; (it was some years before the Restoration;) and, in his preaching, he cautioned his hearers from hearkening to Satan, or credulity in believing him, insisting that no regard was to be had to him or his creatures, he being a lyar from the beginning. When riding home alone to his own house, he heard one calling him by his name in the highway; and Mr Campble looked about, and sau no body. This was repeated a second or third time. At the third time, he sau nothing, but heard a hidious laughter, and a voice saying, "The Minister himself must hearken to the Devil!" He rode on without any return. In a little he was called again by his name, which he did not notice, but rode on: then the spirit cryed to him, that he had better hearken to him, for he had a matter that very nearly concerned him to impart. Mr C[ampbell] still rode on, not seeming to mind what was said. The voice continued—"Well, belive me or not, it's true I tell you, and you ought to take heed to it! When you go home, your wife is expecting you to supper; and ther is a hen roasting at the fire for you, but do not tast it, for it's poisoned." He rode home; and when he entered his house, he sau a hen roasting. He was then in some perplexity, and asked his wife where she had the hen? She told him the beast was brought in dead, though warm, and sold by a woman under a very ill fame for witchcraft. He went to prayer, and asked light from God. He was in a great strait betwixt a just care of his own health, and taking a warning from an evil spirit. However, at supper, he cut up the hen, which looked well, and no way discoloured, which made him incline to eat her. Just at this instant a little dog came in to the room, and it struck him in the mind to try an experiment on the dog; and he cast a piece of the hen to the dog, who had no sooner eat it, but he swelled and dyed! This cleared his way, and he eat none of the hen.
There are some evil spirits, that, when permitted, seem to delight in freaks; and yet, it seems, this devil has been forced to tell Mr Campbell his hazard, and used as an instrument for preserving this good man. The fact is sufficiently vouched, and may be depended on.

The Commission met the second Wednesday of this month, as usual. They had little before them save the affair of Mr John Glass, which has been delayed and put off till this day of the Commission. We have seen above how it stood. The Ministers of Angus were well convened, and had taken pains to gather the members of the Commission. The account I have of what is done, see Letters. I shall only add, that Professor Hamiltoun, with all his party, set up violently for Mr Glass; and the vote ran very narrow, and came within six or seven. It's thought that this determination of the Commission will weaken Mr Glass's party in Angus, and put an end to the divisions of the country.

The affair of Renfrew should have come in by way of appeal to this Commission; but Principal Campbell waved that, and, in the close of the Commission, when it was reconed there was not a coram,* this affair was brought in in another shape; and the Commission was desired to explain an act of the last Commission on this affair. They had sustained Mr Mc'Dermit's call, and ordered it to be proceeded in according to the rules of the Church. The Presbytery of Air stuck at proceeding, because it wanted the concurrence of a Presbytry. To supply that, the Commission declared that their sustaining the call to Mr Mc'Dermit was equal to a Presbytry's concurrence; and they did concur with the call, in the room of our Presbytry. A question was moved, (the number of members being very few,) Whether the members of the Synod should vote? They were excluded from voting, though, in the former Commission, they were allowed to vote; and so the concurrence with the call was carried. There was little other thing but matters of form and common concern, that I heard of; and, indeed, except party affairs, little other thing is now handled in the Commission.

* Quorum.
[March 14.]—On the 14th of this moneth, there was a barbarouse murder committed in the Brigend* upon one John Youl, by a villan who was in company with the robber, More. He was seized and tryed, and brought off in point of self-defence. This is five murders hereabout within this twelve moneth, and no punishment inflicted on the murderers. By some quirks in lau, they still get off, so that two very good lauers at Glasgow say that nou they believe that none shall be condemned for a murder, unless an instrument can be taken upon the murder in the hands of a publick nottar.

The ... day of this moneth, my wife was safely brought to bed of a son, James, who, I pray, may repair the breach made on me by taking away my eldest and most hopefull son, of that name.

[Aprile, 1730.]—Our Synod met at Air, at the ordinary time; see Letters this moneth. It is a perfect jest for us to meet at Air, for scarce any bussines can be done for want of members. There was but one member from Glasgow, Hamilton, and Lanerk, each; two from Dumbarstan, and three from Paislay. When the Presbytery of Air were turned out, ther was not the face of a Synod, or of a Presbytery, not above fourteen. They had nothing before them but the minutes, and rose [on] Wensday, early.

[April 1.]—In the beginning of this moneth, Mr Wisheart offered a dimission to the Presbytery of Glasgow. I know not but it might be proposed last moneth, and partys cited to this day; but I shall give the whole together. I have remarked the state of his affair before. What hindered him to take the ... .† of a process of transportation, I cannot tell. The callers of him at London, I heard, granted powers to some in Glasgow to prosecute the call. But, at rights, and to shorten the work, it seems Mr W[isheart] chose to give in his dimission, though it may be doubted if this be a habilé way for a Presbiterian Minister to treat his people and Judicatorys, when going to another Congregation,

* Bridge-end of Glasgow.
† Probably course.
especially in such strong terms as it’s said he gave it in, “That he had received a harmonious call from London,” without the least of his own interposition; and he was, after considering the affair, come to a resolution to accept of it, and had hereby declared his acceptance of it, and dimitted his relation to the Congregation in Glasgow. Hou far this was the proper way for a Minister of this Church to do, without consulting with his people and Presbytery, I must leave to others. The Presbytery, however, supplied defects, and when the dimission was offered, (last Presbytery day probably,) they caused cite his people to appear, before they would give their opinion as to accepting of it. This Presbytery day, Aprile, I think, the Magistrates appeared and his session, and declared their respect for him, and unwillingnes to part with him. Mutuall complements passed, and he insisted that his affairs called him to be in England, and he could not manage what was in Providence entrusted to him by any other person. He signified his great respect to his people, and his desire to continou in this Church; but, all things considered, he was determined to follow the call of Providence. He added, that he was to be in Yorkshire by the midle of May on bussines, which could admitt of no delay; that he would give the Sacrament on the 19 of Aprile, and could preach no longer, and desired the Presbytery might declare the Church vacant the day after. The Presbytery accepted the dimission, and appointed Mr J. Stirling to declare the Church vacant, Aprile 26. Houever, I am told that in conversation with the Magistrates, it was found that they could not pay him the half year’s stipend unless he preached after the term; and so he altered his resolution, and, with the consent of the Ministers, the declaring the Kirk vacant was stoped. When the Presbytery in May came, there was like to have been a demelec* in the Presbytery, and an adherance to their former sentence, and to declare the Kirk vacant before the term; but there was a compromising of the matter, and he sheued some inclinations to leave that half year’s stipend to pious uses in the place. Upon which, the Presbytery went in to his preaching after the term, and yet I hear he has only left five hundred merk§ to the poor.

* Contest, debate, difference. Fr. demelt.

† £27. 15s. 6d!
[Aprile 20.]—Upon the 20th of this moneth the affair of Renfreu was tabled before the Presbytery of Air, by the Magistrates, nou that the Commission had put themselves in the room of our Presbytery, in point of concurrence. The Presbytery, after reading the reasons and answers, unanimously refused Mr M'Dermitt's transportation. It was said that a plurality of the Presbytery, some from one vei, some from another, would have been for his transportation; but the Magistrates being against it, and Mr M'Dermitt expressing his aversion, and the Presbitry finding that though they should transport, he and the Magistrates would appeal to the Assembly, they chused rather to be unanimous, and refuse the transportation; and so the Magistrates of Renfreu and Principall appealed to the Assembly. I thought that they had designed, by the appeal, to have had the time of tabling it in the Synod, and that they designed to postpone it to the Commission, but the event sheued I was wrong.

Mrs Luke tells me that she has frequently heard my father express his regard to Mr William Guthry, and signify his satisfaction that his brother-in-law was married to my informer, Mr William Guthry's grandchild. Had he been alive, I believe he would have been yet more pleased at my marrying another grandchild of his. Besides his great regard to Mr Guthry for his usefulnes, it seems Mr Guthry was the first that God used as the instrument of awakning him. He was a young lad, at Egilsbam, and went with others to Finwick, to hear Mr Guthry. He was wearyed with the walk of some miles, and was warm and weary; and in Mr Guthry's first prayer, he confessed, in name of the auditory, many sins, and among others, heavines and wearynes in prayer, and sleeping when at it. My father heard this, and yet afterward he slept for some time in the first prayer; and after prayer was over, Mr Guthry began his lecture or sermon with words to this purpose: That he believed some might be sensible that they had fallen into the same very sins that they had confessed in prayer to God, and were guilty this very day of what they had been professing to confess. His conscience smote him that he had slept, and this gave him matter of very serious reflexions.
This moneth, the Bill was brought in and passed in Parliament, em-pouring the Lords of Session to adjourn themselves, for what time they sau proper, any time from the 26th of December till the 29th of January. This is designed for a recess to the Lords, and yet so as not to fall in with the Youl vacance, because Youll is excluded.* It is gene-erally thought that this is preliminary to the Lords of Session their drop-ing the summer session; and it's generally thought that the dropping of June and July, providing they sat in October to March or April, would not be a disadvantage to the leidges; since very little, as mat-ters nou stand, is done in the summer session, and it brings more un-easines both to the Lords and leidges to be at Edinburgh in the summer time than can be ballanced by all the good that is then done.

We have, this moneth, accounts of one of the most atrocious villanys attempted that I ever almost heard of. The Laird of Aughtifardell, in the parish of Lesmahagou, has been for many years, since his killing Mr Houstoun, upon a terrible provocation, at the Cross of Edinburgh, about twenty years ago, been reconed a serious and most religious man. He is an Elder, and takes particular notice of his servants. He had a woman servant whom he endeavoured to instruct, and frequently reproved for what he sau amiss. This servant took the gentleman's reproofes hainously ill. Ther was arsnick in the house for poisoning rats. The servant asked, if that thing would kill men and weemen as well as rats, at her fellou servants; and that is the only presumption against her. She was told it would. Next morning she was employed to make her master's breakfast, of bread and milk, and it's much suspected she mixed in the arsnick with the milk and bread. When Auchtifardell, his wife, and some of his daughters, eat the milk and bread, they reconed it had a peculiar tast, but suspected nothing. In a little time they all sickned, and fell exceeding ill. Happily they got a physitian, who vomitted them, and it pleased the Lord to bless the mean. They all recovered, save one of the daughters, who, they say, is yet very ill. This is the most villanouse act ever I read or heard, almost.

* Its observance abolished.
May, 1730.—This moneth, we heard Mr Simson and his freinds were exceeding pleased that the Earl of Loudon was Commissioner to the Assembly. From him, it seems, they expected all favour; but they were out in their expectations. I believe I may have formerly noticed, that in January Pr[incipal] Campbell, when he taught the scholars, students of Divinity, that is, once or twice a week heard their discourses, and read a little of a Systeme, he told them he was sorry he could not wait on them so closely as he inclined; but he hoped, against the next session, they would have their proper Master, Professor Simson, restored to them, and that the next Assembly would take off the sentence. This, two or three lads present tell with some positiveness, and the Principal, they say, refuses it. Be that as it will, the noise of this went through the Church, and raised considerable fears of a designe to repone him. We heard that Mr Simson had proposed that the Colledge should address the Assembly to restore him, but the masters were generally against this; and a little before the Assembly, we heard that his freinds said, that they never had any designe to get him repened to teaching; that they would not so much as ask that, but only to have the sentence of suspension from preaching and the ministeriall office taken away, that he might be placed in a Congregation. Meanwhile, Mr Simson is exceeding well in his health, never looked so well as he does, and seems to be perfectly easy under the censures upon him. He still enjoys his sellary, and the youth in the West of Scotland are perfectly neglected.

[May 14.]—Thus matters stand before the Generall Assembly, which met May 14 this year. I hapned to be a member; and Mr Simson's case being the most important matter that we had in view, I laboured to state the matter to myself, and form the clearest view of it on both sides, and in my opinion it stood thus: The question might cast up in two shapes; 1st, Whither Mr Simson should be repouned to teaching divinity? or, 2dly, Whither, still barring him from teaching, he should have the sentence of suspension as to preaching and other parts of the ministeriall office taken off?

As to his being restored to teach the youth, I could find very few ar-
guments, I could think of, to be urged for this, except these that have
been formerly urged against his being laid aside, which I have, in another
paper last year, given my opinion of, the lamenes of the proof as to his
teaching error, and his renouncing what was proven. It appeared, then,
as to this, that the Generall Assembly could not in any decency go in
to repone him to teach, for three reasons that appear very strong: 1st,
That the last Generall Assembly, 1729, hath ended that process, which
depended three years with a great eclat* in this Church, and given sen-
tence, and a finall sentence, that it was not proper he should be, after
this, intrusted with the care of teaching. Now, when the Supreme Judi-
catory of any society ends a proces, ther can be no opening that sen-
tence, otherwise ther could be no order, but plain confusion in the society.
We find this strongly urged as to the pouers of the Commission in mat-
ters committed to them by an Assembly finally to determine, that what-
ever iniquity they committ in a sentence, unless they have done what is
incompetent, and gone beyond their pouer, an after Assembly cannot,
or at least ought not, to reverse what they have done, because they would
thus open a dore for confusion, and nobody could be sure of a process
being ended. If this be the case of a delegat sort of Supreme Court,
the argument will be much stronger as to a proper Supreme Court. Let
us suppose a Generall Assembly hath ended a process of transportation
by a vote and sentence, or a process of scandall, upon a Minister. Shall
the next, or any posterior Assembly, take it up and alter it; especially
if it be a declaratory sentence, on good grounds, that a Minister, by reason
of some particular circumstances which still continou, shall never be Mi-
nister in such a place, or that such a scandall shall be so and [so] testifed
against? To me it appears that that sentence cannot be opened by a
succeeding Assembly.

2dly, Ther seems to me to be more in the sentence of the Assembly,
1729, than a mere declaration of his unfitnes to have the charge of the
youth. It was a declarature from what was found in the process, and
what affected the office of teaching; it was a declarature that related to
all times coming, without any reserve, and in most generall terms, and

* Noise.
flowing necessarily from the gross things found proven and confessed; it was a declarature even with a vieu to what a Commity of the Assembly had found as to another lybell of teaching error and breach of an interdiction; which second process, indeed, was not finally judged, but by this declarature that process was to be droped and ended; but, lastly, it was a declarature, if I may call it so, by way of compromise among partys litigant, and a midse* struck by a harmoniouse consent of two different partys in judgment, and with a designe of kindnes and favour to the panall, giving him another year's (and nou it happens to be two years') sellary. In those circumstances, it appears very evident to me, that such a midse and compromise, which, I remember, last year was, by lauers from the throne, declared to be the strongest barr against Mr Simson's return to teach, cannot, without evident iniquity, be broke in upon and altered.

3dly, I think the Assembly can never go in to the reponing Mr Simson to teach the youth, because that will infallibly kindle a flame, and make such a breach in this Church as will be perfectly incurable, and of worse consequence than can be told.

For these reasons, I hope another Generall Assembly will never venture to repone Mr Simson to teach the youth.

The question is a little more narrow and disputable, Whither this Assembly may not take off the sentence of suspension from him as to preaching, and other parts of the ministeriall function? It is plain that this suspension, in the act, is only continuoued untill another Generall Assembly shall see cause to take it off. This, I knou, was quarrelled; but it was said, that whither it was in the act or not, such a supposition was in the nature of the thing, and another Assembly had it still in their pouer to take it off; and some went into this point of form, to give the greater force to the other part of the sentence, and [as an] absolute and unconditionall declaration that it was never to be found fit he should be restored to teaching the youth. This suspension from preaching and

* Medium, middle course.
other parts of the ministeriall office, did arise upon the proces on the
doctrine of the Trinity, and was thought necessary till the proces was
issued and ended; and when the process came to be summed up, and
it was not found proper to discuss the second lybell on doctrine, there
was no reason appeared, but much on the contrary, to take off the sus-
pension formerly laid on him. Thus it was that that suspension came
to be laid on, and continuoues till this Assembly.

As to another Assembly's taking it off, no argument can be urged, as
it would seem there may be as to reposition to teaching the youth from
incompetency and want of pouer, but from inconveniency ther are many
arguments may be severally urged. But that I may give what offers to
me on both sides of the question, as far as I am able to state them, I'll
first consider what may be advanced for taking off the suspension from
Mr Simson; and then what appears of weight with me against the taking
it off.

1st, It may be argued, in Mr Simson's favour, and for taking off the
suspension, 1st, That Mr Simson was never lybelled nor blamed, in any
of his processes, as to his preaching and the exercise of the ministeriall
office; and that the sentence of suspension as to this was not upon any
thing pretended as to his doctrine in the pulpit; but meerly because it
was not thought proper a person under a lybell, in matters and point of
doctrine so very high, should preach or exerce the ministeriall function,
till it was issued. And, indeed, I must do him that justice as to say, I
have once and again heard him preach, and I could never blame his
doctrine in the pulpit save once, and that was about the 1711, before
Mr Webster's proces, and when ther wer no jealousy of his hetrodoxy.
In the Wine,* on a fast day before a communion, preaching on Joh. iii.
16, he to me seemed to have some things which savoured of the error
afterwards charged on him by Mr Webster, on the connection between
morall seriousnes and God's giving of grace; but it was so dark and
indistinctly said, that I recon feu in the Church would observe it. At
other times since I have heard him preach at communions sound doc-

* The Wynd Kirk is here meant.
trine, and frequently refute the Socinians and others. It, 2dly, may be said, that compassion to his numerous family, since the Church is secured against his teaching of the youth, should move Ministers to allow him to preach and have a country charge, or some other, wher he could not do much hurt, and have a competency to subsist him and his. This is plausible, and affects many. 3dly, It may be urged, that the suspension was laid on during the proces upon the Trinity, and that and the second libell being nou ended, and no more to be taken up, it seems hard for ever to close his mouth, and forbid him to be usefull. 4thly, That it wer injustice to him, without a lybell upon his preaching and his malversations as a Minister, to deprive him of that; and whenever he begins to vent errour, a check is at hand by the Presbytery, Synod, and Assembly; and ther can be no hazard in allowing him to preach and act as a Minister, till once he malverse. I shall not stay to answer those arguments. Materiall answers may fall in upon the next side of this state. Let me only, in a word, nottice, that though no lybell was given him as to preaching, nor instances advanced of his malverse, yet his suspension was a consequent of his malverse in teaching, and nothing in that proces, when summed up, appeared for taking off the suspension, but much to the contrary. That his family circumstances are not straitning; that he is rather among the rich than the poor, and has enjoyed his sellary four year after gross errors in teaching cast up, which sheues much compassion to him and his family. That though the suspension was laid on him during the process about error in teaching, yet that being found, it does not follow that the suspension in preaching should be taken off, ther being a connection between errors; and if he enterterean them, especially in foundation-truths, it will be hard to think but that he will mix them in his sermons, especially considering his rash, unstable, and innovating temper. That the proces is indeed ended as to the Trinity, and the other as to the second lybell is sisted; but the sentence of suspension is part of the sentence for his unsoundnes, and cannot easily be reversed without opening the whole, and really altering the sen-

* Malversation, or misconduct in that respect.
tence: For a suspension *sine die* was no small part of the sentence issuing both lybells, as well as the declaratory act, asserting him unfitt for teaching. But wiving these, I come,

2dly, To give the reasons why Mr Simson ought not to be reponed, even to preaching and the exercise of the Ministry, very shortly: 1st, It’s too plain that [if] a man found, after tryall, to have once taught errour in the schools, and for that cause declared unfitt to teach youth any more, should be allowed to preach in the pulpit and spread errour among the people, that if he act consistently and sincerly when he handle the points in preaching, especially those of the second lybell, he must (unles it appeared he had changed his sentiments, of which ther is no evidence) preach the same doctrine. 2dly, It does not appear possible that, with any kind of decency, a person against whom such things have been found by this Church, as tend to alter the object of Divine worship and the method of salvation, and aect all the Gospell truths that can be touched in sermons, should be permitted to preach, and have the charge of souls. One would think that it wer utterly unaccountable, that one who, in a very solemn manner, hath been declared unfitt to teach youth, should be allowed to preach to young and old, and secretly to instill his loose notions to his hearers. What could bystanders conclude, if he who is reconed unfitt hereafter to teach, should be thought fitt to preach; though unfitt for the greater, allowed to be fitt for the smaller? 3dly, The Apostolical canons will be found unalterable, especially when we are in no strait for aboundance to preach, that “a Bishop should be of good report, and apt to teach.” Certainly, Mr Simson is found not to be of good report; yea, much as to foundation truths relating to Christ, the great subject of the Gospell, hath been found against him, and that plainly proven. And how he who doubts as to the necessary existence, &c., of Jesus Christ, can be apt to teach and preach that Christ, is more than I can tell. 4thly, It does not appear to me that any grounds are offered, from any thing that rests up since the suspension was laid on, 1729, as to preaching, &c., for taking it off. It’s, indeed, said that Mr Simson has renounced the errors charged on him, and professed sorrou for the offence taken; but as that was before the laying on the suspension,
and so cannot affect it since, so it will be observed, that for all the plain proofe, he has never confessed that he was guilty of what was proven, far less professed any repentance for his teaching, as it hath been proven he taught. And it's said, as to the second proces, that the act 1729 puts an end to that second lybell; and any thing in the second libell, not being judged by the Assembly, can never affect Mr Simson. Upon this I only take notice, that it's true the Assembly sists the second process, and declares the matter shall end here; but at the same time they lay or continou Mr Simson under the suspension; and that is the method they take to slump and end the second libell; and at least this will follow, that before the suspension be taken off, the second libell must be taken up and judged, since it's only on the supposition of a continouing suspension that the second lybell, for peace-sake and saving of time, and new debates, was not entered into. Let me further add, that though, indeed, the Assembly did not judge the second lybell, yet ther is as much in that proces confessed by Mr Simson, as, in the opinion of many, very nearly affects our doctrine, besides what is proven by concurring testimonies and printed, and so open to all, as, till he purge himself by renunciation of these errors, as well as those of the first libell, and repentance, will be a sufficient ground for a suspension from preaching. And, indeed, if Mr Simson continou (as is more than probable he does) in these sentiments, he must preach another doctrine and Gospell than is preached in this Church.

But further, 5thly, I wish it could be said in Mr Simson's behalf, that nothing has intervened since the Assembly 1729, which should justly hinder the taking off the suspension; and to us that live near him, and have occasion more narroly to observe his demeanour, several things have fallen out, that, when laid together, may amount to a legall hindrance of the removall of the suspension, at least till they be examined and judged. He hath since the last Assembly deserted his ordinary seat and Kirk wher he used to hear and has a seat and his family, and gone to another Church at a considerable distance from him. He and his family, though somtimes in the Church when others preach, yet seldom or never when Mr John Hamiltoun preaches; and they ordinarily, at least
Mr Simson himself, hears Mr Wisheart in the Laigh Church. 2dly, I myself saw a testimoniall to one who had been his student, and was going abroad, signed S. T. P.* in July last. Whither that will be found a breach of the sentence he stands under, I must leave to others better seen in than I. Perhaps it will be reconed of the same kind with his sitting and votting in Faculty, and joyning in the deeds of the Colledge, which he has not ceased to do these four year he has been suspended. It's pretended, indeed, that the Assembly's sentence does not touch him as an University Master, and does not hinder him to vote in Faculty, signe testimonialls, &c. By the same way, for any thing I see, the Assembly's act should not hinder him from teaching Divinity, and keeping his lesson, which is as much an act as a Master, as his sitting in Faculty and signing testimonialls; and some of the Masters, I hear, mentean he ought not to stop teaching for all his sentence. 3dly, It is noturc† that for all that is past he never has oued any guilt. He says, openly, he has never altered his sentiments, and is just what he ever was, and guilty of no fault; that the witnesses have entirely mistaken him. These, and such like expressions, mutatis mutandis, in the matter of immorality, where [or?] scandal, would be reconed sufficient reasons not to take off any censure lying on a person. Lastly, in a word, he never converses with his bretheren, the Ministers in Glasgou, never expresses any concern for what hath hapned, or his sorrow that he is under censure and sentence; and is openly sullain and sour to all that were not of his sentiments in the process against him; and his freinds, and such as are inward‡ with them, take all measures to blaiken them. These things, at least, are rather hinderances then helps to repealing the sentence [he] lies under.

6thly, If his sentence of suspension must be recognosced, in order to its being taken off, I think he should first be made to apply to his more immediat judges, the Presbitry of Glasgou, and lett them give their opinion on his suplication, and from them lett it come regularly to the Synod or Assembly, they being certainly the first and best judges of his per-

* Sacrosanct Theologic Professor, appended to his name.
† Notour, notorious.
‡ Intimately acquainted or conversant.
sonall character, and the fitness or unseasonablenes of such a desire, anent which a Generall Assembly can knou very little; and if Mr Simson apply to the Assembly, unles his Presbytery joyn with him in his petition, I am of opinion the Assembly should remitt it to all Presbyteries, and the Presbytery of Glasgow in particular, to enquire into his character and circumstances, and report to another Assembly. This is the best way I could range my thoughts on this subject, as it comes under my vieu. But it's well it cast not up to this Generall Assembly, who, as far as I can guess, would have been more favourable to him than the former.

I shall nou set doun some generall hints as to the procedure of this Assembly, who did not medle with Mr Simson's affair. It was setled at London before the Commissioner came off, that if Mr Simson's affair was like to breed any disturbance, the Commissioner should discourage it, and do all he could, in proper methods, to prevent its coming in. My Lord Grange told me, that he, being at London, advised the Commissioner to setle this matter with the Ministry before he left London, which he did. So, as soon as I came to toun, Mr Alstoun told me there was not to be a mum* this Assembly about Mr Simson, unless it was cast up† by such as wer for his deposition last year.

The first thing that cast up was the Moderator.‡ The Commissioner was for Professor Hamiltoun, though it's but two years since he was in the chair, and had the sermon, last year, upon Mr Wisheart's death. I was for Mr Smith;§ and, I belive, he had been ready to have carryed it; but Mr Smith's freinds wer not for his competing with Mr Hamiltoun, since the Commissioner was for him, on a reason I may afterwards hint at, that a designe was formed they should be colleagues in another society. My Lord President, from what reason I shall not say, it seems, was not for Professor Hamiltoun, but proposed a young man, Mr Dick-son,|| to the Commissioner; but he stuck by his point, and nobody was

* Whisper. † Introduced. ‡ Choice of a Moderator. § At Cramond. || At Aberlady.
put on the lite with P[rofessor] Hamiltoun who would have any votes; and he caried it almost unanimously.

In naming the Committy for Commissions, and nominating of Preachers, I was sorry to see that all, except Mr A. Anderson,† wer of one side. It seems, a designe was formed to have Principal Chalmers' Commission, and some others, susteaned; and to have a set of Preachers before the Assembly that wer of the modish way. And so they did their bussi- 

nes. Mr Wallace of Moffet, and Mr Telford of Hounam, [Hawick,] if I remember right, the two helpers to Mr W. Wisheart, at his first Communions, whose sermons made such noise in the West country, wer named, I doubt, on no good vieu, for the interests of truth and the method of preaching in this Church. Mr J. Dick,‡ as being Mr Simson’s brother-in-lau, was mixed with them, and Mr R. Hamiltoun,§ who got himself excused, and Mr Patrick Cumming of Lochnaben was put in his room. I belive the namers repented their choice of Mr Telfair, as I’le afterwards have occasion to observe.

This was no very good omen in the entry of this Assembly. Mr Dick and Mr Cumming’s sermons wer unexceptionable; but the other two young men wer too young and too confident to set up on such a speciall occasion as this. Ther sermons gave no good evidimus of a fleece of young men notted to have been students under the Moderator, and of the vitiated tast of the youth, and young Ministry. Mr Forbes of Deer attacked Mr Wallace’s sermon in the Committy of Instructions, and moved that notice might be taken of sermons upon morality, whe ther was nothing of Christ and the Gospell, and that the Assembly should provide against innovation in preaching. It was waved. Mr Wallace gave us flings at zeal, and attacked Queries, which the Assembly had ordered in some cases, and approuen in others; and the prosecutions upon a fauna clamosa, though prescribed in our Form of Proces, as rules established contrary to charity; and Mr Telfair gave a satyre of the former Presbiterian times, and our best times, as we shall hear.

The Instructions from Presbitrys came next in to the Committy ap- pointed. I classed them, as I used. See the class, and my extracts

* Lect. † At St Andrews. ‡ At Carlake. § At Hamilton.
from them, in a paper apart. I shall only notice, that there is a pretty generall inclination against Mr Simson being reponed, even to preaching, in about eighteen or twenty Presbitrys, though there was no pains nor concert to make it universall. On the other hand, by influence from Professor H[amilton] who was for Mr Glass, there is a great appearance from the Synod of Merse against the Commission's procedure against Mr Glass. Particularly, I am told, that The Marrou Bretheren, Mr Wilson, Mr Bostoun, and Davidson, were very keen against the Synod of Angus' procedure, and, under pretext of liberty, and out of a regard to the Independants, were violent against Mr Glass his deposition; and the flaming Instructions from the Presbytery of Jedburgh, they say, were draun by Mr Ricarton, the author of The Sober Enquiry, and the politcall disputant, who is thought to favour The Marrou; whereas The Marrou Bretheren in Fife are violent against Mr Glass, and his opposition to our Covenants and Nationall Establishment they give justly as the reason of it. Whither this will creat any misunderstanding among the twelve Representers* or not, I cannot say; time will try. Ther is likewise an Overture from the Synod of Fife about Queries, which, I fear, be not got throu, and raise new heats among us. I was called out of towne before the Commity of Instructions could meet, and so know not what is become of the Instructions.

I observe, these three or four years, the Instructions from Presbitrys are read, indeed, and classed timely enough; but though they are still ready, on the first Munday, for bussines, yet, by art, and with designe, they are shuffled off to the end of the Assembly; and the occasion of that is very plain. During the last three Assemblys, Mr Simson's affair took up the whole time, and nothing almost got in. The Assembly before them, the litigious cause of New Aberdeen, shuffled them out; and now, this [Assembly] the affair of P[incipal] Chalmers took up all our time. Members of Presbyteries that have Instructions do not wait on to the close of the Assembly, when dyets are appointed, and the leading persons about the chair are willing to be rid of the trouble of them. And,

* In favour of the "Marron of Modern Divinity," condemned by the Assembly, 1720.
last year, they were found not to bind up the Assembly; and thus, from

time to time, they are like to be altogether neglected.

The first cause that came in was that of Renfrew, for which see Let-

ters this moneth. It was generally thought Mr M'Dermit's own speech,

shewing his aversion, determined the Assembly to continou him. How-

ever, the people about the throne, and Mr Neil Campbell, got a very

remarkable disappointment; and it was thought the Court, and my Lord

Isla in particular, were more set on this affair than any cause before us,

and much more positive in that than as to P[principal] Chalmers. *

His affair was what fell in next; and it stands in Letters this moneth.

It was exceeding warm. The Presbytery did their outmost, and the

bulk of the weel-affected people there are against him, and his own ac-

tivity appeared very plain. We spend six long sederunts upon it. I fear

the affirming the Commity of Synod's sentence, setting him ther, [will]

have no good influence upon the state of things in the North. The

Ministers do complean much of P[principal] Chalmers affecting a su-

periority, and pushing every thing in their judicatorys, and oppressing

and overbearing his bretheren; and, I fear, the flames increase. The

method of Synods overpouring Presbitrys by Committys is like to turn very

troublsome. It's true, superior judicatorys must have a pouer to execut

their sentences, in case inferior judicatorys refuse; and on this the hinge

of this affair turned. But if matters go on at this rate, it wer to be

wished that appeals from lower to higher courts should stope execution,

till they be determined by superior judicatorys, especially in litigious

matters. This, indeed, would bring a load of affairs upon the Generall

Assembly, and to the Commission, but ther is no help for that; and

they come by appeal, however, with the disadvantage of execution of the

sentence under an appeal.

The affair of Hutton,† came next before us, and that stands at full lenth

in my Letters this moneth. I shall only set down what passed after the

dissent was offered and refused by the vote of the Assembly, in privat

among the Dissenters, as I have it from one of them. The dissent being

refused, the Ministers and Elders, who dissented, resolved to draw up

* Principal of King's College, Aberdeen, presented to Old Machar. † Viz. the settle-

ment of Mr Robert Waugh.
the Reasons of Dissent, and subscribe them, and lodge them in the hands of Coll[onel] Erskine, to be kepted, in order to be published as circumstances call for. Mr A[ndrew] Darling and Mr Eb[enezer] Erskine formed two generall Reasons of Dissent. The one, that the Members of the Commission in the Assembly, who votted in the affair of Hutton, wer not excluded vooting. It was, I mind, urged by Mr E. Erskin, that the Members of the Commission should not be called in the roll. It was said by the Moderator, they that proposed that would loss as many as they would gain, and there was no further insisting. But since, in looking the sederunts, it seems the Dissenters find that was a cheat put on them, and they would have gained more than the four votes, by which Not Reverse carryed. The other reason was, because this approbation is contrary to the principles of this Church, that a parish should not be settled upon a mere presentation, without the consent of the people. For these, and other reasons to be added, they dissent. The Dissenters are, Mr James Hog, Mr Ebenezer Erskin, Mr A. Darling, Mr Moncreife of Kilfergie, Mr Henry Erskin, Mr J. Forbes, and some others from the North; Mr H. Hunter, Mr Allan Logan, Coll[onel] Erskin, Mr Ch. Erskin of Edenhead, and others. At another meeting, they brought in more Reasons of Dissent; but not being agreed to, all wer put in Coll[onel] Erskin and Mr John M'Laran's hand, to be extended and sent to the different parts of the country, and Ministers and Members of Assembly to be dealt with to joyn in the Dissent; and the Reasons of the Dissent, when the full draught was made up, to be published in print. Before the Assembly and Commission rose, ther wer twenty-one hands at the Dissent, with the two above specified Reasons.

This Assembly, four complaints wer tabled against the Commission, and the debate about reversing what they had done was pretty warm; for which see Letters about Hutton. In that case, it came within four votes. In the affair of Touie,* the Commission wer found to have done wrong; but the settlement was continued, and the Minister continues. Never wer ther such complaints on a Commission as this. The iniquity in Renfreu was not diped into; and, indeed, it was palpable, though they wer found not to have gone beyond their pouers. I wish Commis-

* The settlement of Mr Andrew Moir.
somewhat afterward be more sparing in their sentences; but, I doubt, it will not be so.

Somewhat has been said upon the Preachers set up to preach before this Assembly. I shall here notice, that we had a very good sermon from Mr Dick, forenoon, May 17. In the after[noon] we had Mr Wallace’s sermon upon “Charity thinketh no evil;” the bulk of which was borrowed from the Spectators, and ill put together. Last year we had a sermon upon Zeal, with a byass plain enough to one side of the question, and so as zeal was turned for Mr Simson’s side. Now, we have a sermon on Charity, with flings at zeal, very ill-worded. Next Sabbath, May 24, we had a sermon in the forenoon, upon Overcoming evil with good, upon forgiving of injuries. It consisted of several safe generalls, very well and easily expressed, and, indeed, Mr Cumming has as happy and easy a way of delivery as I have heard. But in the afternoon we had one of the wildest out-of-the-way sermons that ever I heard. I pray God I never [shall] be witnes to such a discourse and such an auditory, which looked rather like an audience at a farce than at the hearing the Word of God. There was almost through the whole of it a smiling, laughter, and mocking at the Preacher. Mr Telfair, I am told, is Minister at Hauick or Hounam. His father was a Bailay in Edinburgh, and a Captain in the Traine-band. He is but a young man, and though I will not judge the spring of this discourse, it looked as if it proceeded from a designe to be taken notice of for somewhat singular. He was not straitned in time; for he had ten days warning before he preached, and therfor had time to choice his subject, and his method in handling it. His text was Eccles. vii. 10, “Say not thou, What is the cause that the former dayes wer better than these? for thou dost not wisely enquire concerning this.”

When I heard the text read, knowing somewhat of the character of the preacher, I expected a satyr upon the former times, and an encomium on the present, with biting flings* upon those who regrated the declining and growing evils of this present age; and I was not disappoint-

* Scoffs.
ed. The text I had some rau thoughts upon, in case (which I am thankfull did not happen to be the case) that I had been pitched upon to have preached at this Assembly, struck me strong in the mind, when Mr Telfair read his, as what appeared to me much more seasonable; that was Rev. iii. 2, "Strengthen the things that remain, and are ready to die; for thy work I have found not perfect before God." We had nothing like an explication of the text, and, had Solomon's words been fairly opened up, I doubt much if they would have been, without a violence, turned to the preacher's intended purpose. To me, I oun, they have a quite different meaning than he put upon them. He began with an observation, that Ministers, in a particular manner, wer bound to encourage vertue and well-doing, and stirr up people to thankfulnes to God for his goodnes. Then it was observed that, in Solomon's time, it was a prevailing notion, against which he thought himself bound to appear, that the last age was still worse than the former that went before it; that the world was still upon the decline, and things growing worse and worse, in morall respects. This, he said was a very false and comfortles position. Here the speaker was certainly fighting with his own shaddou, and impugning what I scarce belive any body ever asserted as universally true; at least, it was far from being the sentiments of any to whom Solomon wrote, in his time. For, in Solomon's dayes, there was less ground for such a complaint, that the former dayes wer better then the present, that every body knoues things wer at the very light of glory and prosperity under Solomon's reigne, and the decline hapned afterwards. So that I can never think that this can be Solomon's meaning. Be that as it will, we had a quarter of hour's discourse, to sheu the ill tendency of this position, which Mr Telfair thought Solomon struck at, that the former times wer better than the present. He observed that this position, that the present age was worse then the former, was not fact; for many posterior ages wer much better than the former, and great improvements had been made. That undoubtedly the age, at the Reformation from Popery, was much better than the former. He noticed that this position was injurious to the Divine goodnes and Providence. And, lastly, that the laying doun this a principle, universally holding, was the way to discourage persons from all industry, vertue, and goodnes.
Here the preacher's work was easy in making to himself a man of straw, and pulling him doun. During this part of his discourse there was some tolerable gravity in the hearers, but in the succeeding part all bounds wer broke. He then came, next, to enquire what ground ther was for the complaint in the text, that the former times wer better then the present, in our present case at this day. And here he said, in the entry, that ther might be some feu cases wher things might be worse than in former times; as the breaking out of vice, in some instances, ungratitude, and some other evils; he hoped they wer feu, and was of opinion his text did not lead him to enquirys upon that side, but rather to sheu what improvments and bettering of matters wer in this present age, beyond the time before us in this Church and land. And these he gave us in the following particulars, which I shall give in the order he keeped, as far as my memory serves me. Many of his surprizing observations and assertions are escaped me, they wer so far disagreeable to experience, and observation, and the common feeling of every one, that I have lost severall of them, and the loss is not great.

He began, first, with sheuing that the former times wer not better than ours, in point of religion and principle. And here he gave a short, poor, and ill-grounded satyre, upon the former times in this Church and land. He said, that in our fathers' dayes, it was a prevailing principle that nobody who differed from us in point of Church Goverment, Presbyterian Goverment; that none who wer for Episcopacy, or any other form of Church Goverment, wer good men and good Christians. He oune that the circumstances of things among us in former times, perhaps, made it more necessary than it's at present to enter into the contraversys about Discipline and Church Goverment, but that was a generall received principle, that nobody who differed from us in those matters could be good men and Christians. This is a figment of his owen, and a false asertion of our former Presbyterian time. But nou, says [he,] the generality are fallen into much more moderat and charitable principles; and it's generally acknowledged, nou, that persons may differ from us in this respect and be good men and Christians. The next head, he said, former times wer not better than ours, was in point of liberty. Here
he run out in commendation of the King, who was exceeding tender of the liberty of his subjects; and all his servants about him, though faithfull servants, yet they wer none of them slaves. This was an ill-said complement to the Court.

He came next to consider our bettering and improvment as to peace and warr, and that was as wild ane article as in his sermon. He observed that the prophesy relating to the Messia's times, that swords should be beat into plou-shares, was accomplished in our day: That we had forty years' peace: That there seemed to be a general inclination thro Europ, at present, to peacefull measures: That the King and Ministry wer using their best endeavours towards this: That we wer much improven in this matter: In former times there was nothing but broyls and feuds between familys and persons, nou these wer at a happy end: That in former times ther wer partys and divisions among our Nobility and Gentry, nou ther wer no partys. Here was a general smile and mock throu the Church, and every body sau hou far the speaker was stretching. He added a complement to our Nobility and Gentry. In former times, he said, there could be no meetings among gentlemen and neighbours for bussines or freindship in one another's houses, unless it ended in drunkennes; and freindship in former times could not be compleat till the company wer deadly drunk; nou, ther was no such thing to be seen; when, I fear, drinking drunk is in many places as common as ever. This brings to my mind a passage he had upon the first head of bettering in point of principles and zeal. He said, in former times, Religion was so far driven, especially in Ministers, that it was a principle they should not be conversible, and they should only be taken up upon serious things in common conversation, but nou they wer more at liberty, and might talk about the affairs of human life, and be free and open in their conversation, as well as others; or words to this meaning. He parted with this branch of peace or warr with a very impertinent, impudent, and false assertion, either proceeding from his ignorance of our history, or some what worse. He said, that the broils and stretches of our Ministers, and judicatorys and Ecclesiastick meetings in the minority of King James the Sixth, and during the beginning of his reigne, laid the foundation for
the Civil Wars, broyls, and bloodshed, and confusions, in his son's reign. A Clarendon, an Eachard, or one of the most violent Tories and Jacobites in England, could scarce have given this a falser and bitterer turn than he gave this!

He came next to assert we wer better than former times in point of trade and industry. That he pretended was so evident as not to need a profe; when every body sees an evident decline, even in this point. And next, he came to the bettering in point of riches; here he was like to fall throu, and every body sau him straitned. He ouened ther wer complaints might be made, this way, as to particular persons and places; but this was a native consequence of trade and industry, and our trade and improvements being grouen much since the Union, the other would follow. At least he might assert it, that the generous and publick spirit that run throu our Nobility and Gentry, in their encouraging industry, improvement of land, and our own manufacture and produce, though the advantage was not yet sensibly felt, it could not fail to make the next generation rich.

He came next to assert that we wer better than former times in point of Lau and Justice; and here he commended the honourable Judges and executors of the Lau. He ouened ther was some ground of complaint that lau-suites wer needlessly prolonged by people concerned; but he knew that the Judges wer very much in their opinion for the Scripturall rule laid doun, 1 Cor. vi. 2, 3, 4, and very much encouraged references and taking up of matters by freinds and neibours, and preventing lau-suits. He came next to our improvements in knouledge, and arts and sciences; and said we had come in to the method of true knouledge, and wer much leaving the scholastick formes of expression; and this could not but have a good effect in time on practice. He ended this branch, I think, with morality and improvements in practice. He ouened we wer furthest behind in these, and some evils wer breaking out, and the dictates of reason, virtue, and the grace of God, wer not regarded as they ought. But the foundations laid in knouledge, he hoped, might afterwards come to improvement, even in this matter. However he, in the entry, had observed, and nou repeated it, that he was not to run the parallel in every thing. He ended this wild discourse with an inference or two, of the
duty of praise and thankfulnes, and encouragment to go on in the wayes of virtue.

I have given a larger account of this discourse than it really deserves. It was an instance that this time is worse than the former good times of this Church; when I am sure such a sermon, if it deserves that name, would not have escaped without a censure. Upon the whole, one could not help wishing that his generall position, that we are on the bettering hand, had been true. But when it is evidently false to common observation, it is hard to conceive what could prevail with the man to insist at the rate he did. He seems to be a sour, confident man; and as his sermon was contrary to Solomon’s words he read, in their proper meaning, so it was really a satyre upon our former Presbiterian times in this Church, ill-said, and worse supported; and the softest thing I can say of him is, the words of Solomon himself, “Thou hast not wisely considered this.” However, this sermon may not want its own use. I hope it will open the eyes of people to see what may be expected from the confident impudence of some of our young Preachers. They stretch matters so as to expose themselves; and I hear this discourse was displeasing to a great many of our young light Preachers themselves, and they condemn him. I wish it may open their eyes, and those of others, to see how far we are declining!

[May 25.]—I came out of Edinburgh upon May 25, and I hear since that the Committy of Instructions met that day. Ther Mr Hog* and some others attacked Mr Telfair’s sermon, but they wer not hearers, and wer not distinct in their charge. Severall desired the charge might be laid directly, but that was not done. Nobody approved of the sermon, save Mr George Ogilby, the Earl of Finlater’s son, a foolish young elder, they say of very loose principles, [who] said he heard the sermon and approved it. The matter was dropped, and it was said in privat that the best way to censure the sermon was to neglect it and contemn it.

Mr Moncreife of Kilfergie pressed much an assertory Act about Doc.

* Minister at Carnock.
trine, and had a long discourse in the Committy of Instructions to that purpose; but he is young, and a little unfit to manage such an affair. It ended, as I am told, in a recommendation to Ministers to preach against the errors and other evils of the times.

That afternoon, the Assembly met and referred most of their matters to the Commission. The affair of Kinross, of which above, was remitted to it. See the printed Case. Sir John Bruce, when meeting with some Ministers at Kinross to oppose Mr Craigie, his horse fell with him, and broke his legg. I heard ther wer inclinations to be soft in that matter, by the Synod, and that Sir John Bruce was falling from his opposition; but I doubt that will not hold. The matter is referred to the Commission in August.

[May 26.]—Next day, May 26, the Assembly rose with the common forms, and more references to the Commission. In this Assembly there was the greatest number of young faces I ever sau. Their very garb and habit was not what hath been in former meetings; and nou I belive a plurality of votes in our Assemblys is but an ill signe of the sentiments of this Church; and I would not wish any thing of considerable importance came to a vote; for I am apprehensive a determination would as readily fall on the wrong as the right side of the question.

The matter of Mr Glass came not in to this Assembly. It was well it did not. He is sinking much in Angus since his deposition. The Laird of Teeling has left him, though his lady, it seems, sticks to him. Many of the people are leaving him; and his setting up his Elders to be exhorters, and allouing them to preach, is what is much to the weakening of his party, and exposing his principles. No doubt, had he not been supported in his irregularities by the seeming countenance that P[rofessor] Hamiltoun, and some others, gave at the Commission, he had probably sunk before nou, and I hope his party will dwindle to nothing.

The affair of The Marrou is at some stand. The appearance of these bretheren Representers in the Synod of Merse, in favour of Mr Glass, is disliked by Mr Hog and the Ministers’ Representers in Fife, and I doubt [will] be the occasion of a coldnes among The Marrou bretheren. Ther seems to be an inclination in the Ministers favouring The Marrou in Fife,
to conferr with the bretheren that differ from them, [and] to renounce the things charged on them as to assurance being of the essence of faith; and of late Mr J. Hog, and Mr Logan,* and some others, are in tollerable terms; and Mr Logan his joyning in the dissent as to Hutton is like to cement the differences in Fife. Certainly, if some neu thing fall not, in that affair of The Marrou, [the complaint of the] representing bretheren will come to nothing; and the less it be noticed and medled with, it's like to dwindle the more away.

The bussines of Queries to Ministers was like to come in to this Assembly by the Instructions from the Synod of Perth, Fife, and Angus, but came not in, that I hear of. The affair of Kinross is like [to] bring that affair on the carpet, and undoubtedly Queries wer overstretched in Mr Craige's affair. The overture from Fife is well worded. See it in a paper apart. But this is not a season for any regulations to the better, and any thing that tends to the strict side of proceedure and discipline is scarce like to take, at such a juncture and time as we are at present in.

Most of the Assembly's time, these many years, hath been taken up in things quite alien from the proper work of Generall Assemblies, which is to consider what may be proper to be done for bettering of discipline, and what neu rules and regulations are to be made. But, nou, litigious and very idle debates as to calls and settlements of parishes consume our whole time, with complaints against Patrons, without endeavouring to better matters as to Patronages. An act of Assembly as to the manner of calling Ministers, and determining when a call is to be found a proper call for settling a Minister, would save a vast dale of time and trouble to Synods, Assemblies, and Commissions. But though a draught of such an act, for some years, hath lyen before Assemblies and Commissions, the leading men about Edinburgh will never allou that act to come to any bearing.

These are the generall remarks that offer to me upon the matters before this Assembly, and things of a more generall nature. Ther wer

* At Culross.
feu complaints from the North of the insults of Papists and intruders. The change in the Family of Gordon* certainly makes the Ministers in that bounds much easier, though, I fear, Popery prevails still very much. And, for Episcopall intruders, many of them that qualify are coming in, and against them ther is no lau.

They tell a story, how far it's fact I know not, that the Bishop of London has offered twenty pound a year to such as set up Meeting-houses, and pray for the Government. But this neither appears to be Bishop Gibson's character, neither will his large sellary allow him to give twenty pound to every one who will pray for the King, and set up a Meeting-house. So, I doubt, this is an aspersion on that learned man.

They tell a story, quite reverse to this, of Bishop Talbot, Archbishop of York, and a friend of Bishop Gibson's, which makes me give less credit to the other: That Lord Kimmergame married a lady who was keen enough Episcopall. He had two daughters, it seems, educat that way, two or three years since. The two young ladies were at Berwick at the time when the Bishop of York, Talbot, was there in his triennial visitation, and many were applying to him for confirmation, and Kimmergem's daughters applied likewise. When B[ishop] Talbot heard of their coming, he signified his dislike at their coming. However, it seems they were forward, and came, and waited on the Bishop, and were earnest to be confirmed. He told them that they were not of his charge, and they belonged to another Church, and were born and educat in Scotland, and he was not over-fond of confirming such as were not of his particular charge. The ladies insisted, and signified that they were educat after the order of the Church of England; that they owned the Government and Doctrine of the Church, and desired to be confirmed. The Bishop still declined; and, when further urged, he said, "Ladies, I don't blame you for asking confirmation; it's a very ancient and decent rite; but still you belong to Scotland, and if you want to be confirmed, there are nine hundred Bishops (he meant the Ministers of Scotland) who can confirm you just as well as I. You must apply to any of them!"

* In their having become Protestants.
The settlement of the town of Edinburgh, as to Ministers, is now over. Mr Smith, Gaudie, and G. Wisheart, are to be settled without any opposition. The only remaining post is that of the Principall. There was a considerable opposition made to Mr Gaudie his call. The reason was, it seemed to have been the project laid down to make him Professor of Divinity. This the Ministers in town—Mr Matheson, Craige, Bannantine, and others, were not fond of, Mr Gaudie having made no appearance but in favour of Mr Simson; and that seems to be all the merit he has. Mr Alstoun certainly had the offer of being Professor; but that did not altogether please him. He rather inclined to be Principall and a Minister. He was sensible of the importance of teaching Divinity; and that, being turned fifty, he was too old to change the course of his studies. Professor Hamiltoun, on the other hand, did not like to have a younger Minister than himself set up to be Principall, and therefore opposed Mr Alston’s being Principall. He has taught Divinity now twenty or twenty-one years, and is weary of the toyl; and the Principall’s post is an easy post for him, now that he is aged and turned sixty. There it stuck. Mr Smith was agreed to by the Magistrates to be Minister. His party in the Presbytery inclined to have him Professor of Divinity. Professor Hamiltoun and Mr Smith have not been entirely one and of a piece these five or six years, and Mr Smith carries his point in the Presbytery against all that Professor Hamiltoun can do. He crossed him in Mr Simson’s affair; he crossed him in Mr Glass’ affair; and carried his point in both these, by stricking in with the stricter sort of Ministers, in both. Professor Hamiltoun now sees that he cannot entirely maintain his significance in the Church, if Mr Smith and he don’t joyn more cordially than formerly; and so, it seems, inclines to fall in with Mr Smith’s being Professor, and dropes Gaudie, and leaves Mr Alston, who is a man by himself, and will not come in to any particular set, and seems to incline to Mr Smith to be Professor, and himself Principall; and the rather that he has a son passing tryalls, ready to be settled in Cramond, when Mr Smith is taken in to Edinburgh. Provost Lindsay, it seems, inclines to be closer with the Ministers of Edinburgh than his predecessors in the Magistracy; and when he saw the opposition
made to Mr Gaudie, he told the Ministers of Mr Smith's side, that he believed their opposition was not so much to him as Minister, as from their fears he was designed for Professor; and assured them that was not his designe, but only he should be non-collegiat at Lady Yester's; and allowed them to say, that, in his opinion, he was for Mr Smith being Professor. Upon this, they yielded to Mr Gaudie's call. Thus matters stand at present; and the designe is to settle Mr Smith Minister of Haddock's-hole,* and the Professor Hamiltoun colleague with him in the half of that parish, and make Mr Smith Professor. If my Lord Isla come in to this, no doubt this schem will hold. What changes that will make, if he stand out, I cannot say; but thus things are at present. And on this score it was that Mr Smith declined being Moderator in this Assembly, in opposition to Mr Hamilton, as hath been hinted.

I am pretty well assured that P[rofessor] Hamiltoun is very willing to teach no more. Whither he be altered in his principles, I cannot say; but by severals who know him well, it's thought he is departed from the Calvinisticall doctrine, and the ordinary doctrine taught in this Church, though he hath the wisdom to keep himself in the clouds. Yet this winter, they say, he hath opened upon the head of the connection between morall seriousnes and grace, and other points. It's very plain Mr Hamiltoun is exceedingly bigg† with Doctor Calamy and the London Non-subscribers, and it's thought he is not far from them in point of opinion in other things. It's certain that the students and preachers that are most recommended by him, and most students that have been under his lessons for some years, are very much off the principles of this Church. His warm side to Mr Simson, his treatment of the Committy for purity of doctrine in the matter of Queries, and the second libell, in the Assembly 1729, did not look well; and it's pretty probable that it would be for Mr Hamiltoun's reputation to give over teaching before he farther open his change of opinion. In short, P[rofessor] Hamiltoun, who, since Mr Mitchel's death, sett up to manage all things in this Church, so as to keep fair with England, and the Court, and the Dissenters at London, seems to have fallen in with every thing that tends to

* The New North or "Little Kirk" of Edinburgh, of old called Haddo's Hold.  † Intimate.
depart from the usages and principles of this Church. The set of young Ministers and Preachers come from his hand for many years, if they have learned their way and principles from him, is not a good *vidimus* of their master. In short, he does not appear to be firm, but seeking the management, and seeking his family's settlement.

There are some who want not their fears as to Mr Smith. Certainly he is a man, for easines of speaking, for distinctnes of thought, and appearances in judicatorys, far above the other. Some doubt if he is thoroughly firm in point of the connection and the Spirit's work in regeneration, of which hints have been given formerly; and whither he has fallen in, in the case of Mr Simson and Mr Glass, to sheu his weight on whatever side he goes into, I am not to judge; but, undoubtedly, he is a fitter person than Gaudie, and, I hope, is wiser and firmer than to depart in doctrine from this Church, if he be in the chair.

When I am upon doctrine, I have a very melancholy remark to make, that, since Mr Simson's proces began, the Lord, in his Providence, has removed a great many who wer firm in point of doctrine, and otherwise exceeding usefull in this Church, and opposers of him and his innovations. I have remarked their deaths above, and I shall lay them altogether here. Sir James Steuart, who was at first Committy; Mr William Mitchell, Mr John Stirling, Mr Thomas Blackwell, Mr William Wisheart, Mr James Heart, Mr John Gray, Mr G. Carmichael, Mr John Flint, and Mr William Steuart of Kiltearn, and Mr M'Kenzie of Inverness. Perhaps I have overlooked some, but these make a dreadfull gap in this Church; and I doubt,* if as many of their eminency and significancy wer removed, it would make a most terrible gap. When these and such as these are taken away, when error is grassent,† it's a very loud-speaking Providence, and looks as if the Lord wer taking away the standart-bearers and standers in the gap, and making way for evils to come in, with a terrible force and pouer, among us!

By the best accounts I can have, matters at Court are in the greatest

---

* Apprehend.  
† Growing, increasing.
confusion. Nobody can tell what to make of them, and it's hard to say if any of themselves can form a distinct judgment. Wilmingtoun is certainly come in to Court, and Lord Carteret is come over from Ireland. Townsend is out, and yet Sir Robert stands as well as ever. Wilmingtoun and Carteret are certainly antipodes to Sir Robert, and yet the changes that we have in the neuse-letters, and are fully confirmed, save Wilmingtoun, are all Sir Robert's creatures. Time can only unriddle matters.

The accounts following I can depend on. My Lord Grange tells me that my Lord Townsend is nou out of his post. My informer was lately with him, and has the honnour of his freindship. Townsend told him that he was nou turning old, and in his master's favour; his family was in good circumstances and provided for, and that he inclined to retire from the amusements and toyls of a Court, wher he had been so long, and enjoy himself in the country, or, when he pleased, in the city, with his freinds. That this was the reason of his giving up. But my informer found that he and his br[other]-in-lau, Sir R[obert] Walpool, had not been well* these two years, and ther wer misunderstandings betwixt them, though, as to outwards,† they stood fair enough.

My informer is exceedingly troubled at Townsend's throuing up and retiring from Court. He tells me he was the only one at Court that had any real concern about the interests of Religion, and might be depended on as to our Scots affairs, and was firmly for menteaining our present constitution and settmment. That he has a great deal of the old English sturdines of spirit, and is scarce, in his temper, cut out for a Court, though, from a long being about Court, and in all the Courts of Europ, and being at the top of affairs, he is much smoothed from a naturall kind of ruggednes and stiffnes; but somewhat of it sticks, and, he belives, is the spring of his throuing up;‡ That he has much of plainnes, and hates things that are not fair, and seems not pleased with the present managment. He is not a professed free-thinker, though he has some turn that way; but from politicall vies, and from a hatred at Popery, he is for keeping things intirely on the Revolution foot.

He was one much depended on by the late King George the First.

* On good terms. † Outward appearance. ‡ His office.
I beleive I have set down above what passed as to Mr S[imon]n, and the declaration he made in presence of . . . . . . . to my Lord Grange, that he would not in the least protect that man. My Lord Townsend asked my informer very lately how things were going in Scotland as to doctrine, and if Dr Clerk's notions were taking there? My informer said, he feared they were too much. "Then," said the other, "the Protestant Religion is gone in Britain;" adding, that [he] had still hoped ther would have been a stand made to these notions in Scotland; but since they were corrupting* there, he thought the natural consequence would be the prevailing of Popery, and the overturning the Reformation; for he was of opinion, that when the Scripturall doctrines, though he would not say that they were what he was stiff upon, wer quitted openly, there was nothing could come in their room but Popery, and implicite subjection, and perfect confusion.

He told my informer a very odd story of my Lord Sommers, who was a pretty pressed [professed?] free-thinker, and inclined to Deisme, and yet was much of the same way of thinking with Townsend in this matter. The passage was this: About the 1710 or 1711, when Dr Clerk began to discover himself Arrian, Townsend made a visit to Sommers, (I have forgot whither it was Lord Sommers or Cooper, but I think, it was Sommers,) and the conversation fell upon Dr Clerk and the Arrian opinion that was breaking out. Sommers said, "Dr Clerk is gone mad; and if these opinions prevail, Protestantism is at an end in England!" Townsend expressed his wonder that the other said so, seeing he reconed his Lordship among the free-thinkers, and thought he would rather have favoured the Doctor's way. Sommers owned he was, in his opinion, a Deist; but added, that, "in the nature of things, Protestantisme, whatever way it was founded, was the only scheme of principles which could keep things upon the Revolution foot, and make us hang together in Brittain and abroad against Popish pouers." The ordinary way of the Deists speaking of the Bible, then and now, was to call it "The Book;" and so Sommers added, he was for keeping by "The Book" in publick doctrine, and he really wondered how they could swallow all The Book, and stick at a small verse at the end of it, which, he thought, came re-

* Becoming corrupt.
gularly enough in. He meant 1 John v. 7. He owned, in his own sentiments, the history of the creation, the deluge, and a good many places of "The Book," were as difficult to him as that little verse; and that the whole "Book" was to be taken complexly, and in a chain, and was only tenable that way; and he thought that such as owned "The Book," behaved to take it as it lay together in a body. But he was peremptory in it, that Dr Clerk's principles and those of the Arrians would bring us to an unsettled state, and cast all things loose among us, and effectually bring in Popery, which he hated. Tounsend added, he was much of the same way of thinking, and still hoped that Scotland would have been fixed, and a barrier against what tended directly to unhinge everything: And if Dr Clerk's principles were prevailing, he saw all things loosing in Britain, and the result believed to be our throwing ourselves wholly into infallibility: And an established guide for something what we believed to have; and if once the credit of "The Book" were destroyed, we could land nowhere but in the arms of Rome. This was, he said, what made him so much in earnest to have our doctrine in Scotland preserved.

My informer told me, further, that by his advice my Lord Loudon settled matters as to this Assembly. He represented what it would betoken if a breach fell out in the Church when his Lordship was Commissioner; and that he would be importuned when he came down to repone Mr Simson, unles he was buckled.* My Lord, therefore, went to Isla, and others in the Ministry, and represented the hazard of a breach, if so soon Mr S[imso]n should be restored; and got their opinion that his name should not be mentioned at this Assembly, unles his opposers would hale† him in, and do somewhat further against him. In that case, Loudon was allowed to declare for his reposition. By others, I am told, P[rofessor] Hamiltoun wrote up to Isla to see if application might be allowed from Mr S[imso]n at least to be reponed to the Ministry, and received a direct denyall.

The forsaid person assures me that, nou that Tounsend is out of Court, he knoues none there who have any care about our constitution,

* Restrained, (by special instructions.)  † Drag.
and that all things tend to an unhinging and unsetlement: That it is equall to Isla and Sir Robert, whither we keep together or not: That our breaking in peices is what, when matters are ripe for it, they will make their own purposes succeed by; and he doubts not but, one time or other, they will make Mr S[imso]n an instrument to tear and rent us, when it makes for their designes: That my Lord Isla, however he despises Mr S[imso]n, yet he does favour him; and ther seems to be a designe, at some other Assembly, to thro' up him, or some other bone of contention, to break and divide us: That when our Assemblies break upon this or other points, they will be prohibited by the King, and either Commissions, or some other select meetings, called by the King’s writ, will have the managemant of Church affairs: That their scheme is very easy in the plan laid down by King James the Sixth; first delaying and deferring the Generall Assembly, or prohibiting them to meet, under pretext of preserving the peace, and then setting Church power in the hands of a few, and naming three or four superintendants, such as Mr Neil Campbell for the West, Mr Chalmers for the North, Mr Hamilton for the East. When I objected the Act of Settlement, my informer was of opinion, that the Court would make nothing of that at all, and could carry anything they pleased: That ther was nothing at all in the Act of Settlement excluding Superintendants: That the name of Bishops would not be pretended, and really was not liked: That Superintendants would have no claim to be in Parliament, as Bishops would, and so they would easily go down: That, in England, nothing is made of our Act of Settlement, and all power is undoubtedly in the hands of the Suprem Court;* and our own representatives will not only concur, but desire the change: That, at present, the designe seems to be to unhinge the Settlement, and cast all things loose, for politick and party views.

The same person tells me that the Queen is exceeding loose in her principles, and quite another person than we take her to be: That she sets up as the head of learning and a refined tast, and such as are intirely loose as to all principles of religion: That, lately, when Generall Ross came to wait on her, she said, “G[eneral] Ross, you are a brave officer

* i. e. The Parliament.
and an honest man, but I cannot understand hou you are come into The Cant'"—meaning of religion and seeming seriousness.

That the King knoues nothing but by the Queen: That nobody has access to him but such as Sir Robert alloues, and he takes care that nothing pass that may disconcert measures: That the method taken is this:—When Sir Robert would have anything done, he gives a hint, a transient one, to the Queen, and she gives a suggestion to the King, who thinks of it till the next day; and then, when the Ministry come in to him, he tells them that such a thought has struck him, and asks hou such a plan would do? The thing is humored, commended for an extraordinary thing! It's wondered who has suggested it; and when told that it's his own thought, it's highly extolled, and the thing gone into! That the King certainly reconshimself the most absolute Soveraign Prince in the world, and reconsh everything his own doing, and yet is perfectly under conduct:* That when the King goes abroad, it's perfectly surprizing to think hou little he is noticed; and, indeed, nobody almost regards him: That, in short, the King is very weak, and thinks he does everything, and does nothing; and the Queen is extremely haughty and proud, and does all things; and Sir Robert hath as absolut a pouer as ever a Minister had.

That the Pretender is nou generally hated throu England, and dispised: That he is perfectly abandoned to all wickednes, and the very blackest of vices: That now Mr Hay is taken in to his family; and Mrs Hay, whom Princess Sobiesky was so much offended at, is no more talked of, and Mr Hay is said to be the Pretender's Catamite; and these unnaturall, horride wickednesses are to[o] open, and both effeminat him and make him the common object of hatred and contempt.

That the Dissenting interest is exceeding lou at London: That Mr A. Taylor is a helper to an old Minister at Deptford; that he has an estate in Kent, and another in Essex: That the Ministers who used to meet had very much given over their meetings—I mean those who stood for the old doctrine and principles of the Non-conformists: That the breaches among them are pretty much charged upon Mr Bradbury's heat and warmth: That Mr Bradbury's brother is married lately upon a

* Guidance; conducted or led by others.
very rich fortune: That things have a very melancholy and dismall aspect there and everywhere.

That the Bishops in England have very much lost their reputation: That the Nobility only consider them as a party that are just for going into the Court measures: That Bangor, nou Sarum, is sunk into a hackney writer: That the Bishop of London and Talbot [Archbishop] of York are liked best; but none of them being firm to any set of doctrinall principles, they are much dispaied.

The same person tells me that Chub the tallow-chandler, or but a journeyman to a tallow-chandler, his book is highly admired: That the witts and Deists just admire it; and my Lord Isla said to him, he wrote like one they called inspired, for the man had no education and letters, and writes strongly and connectedly: That he was taken in to be steuard to the Keeper of the Rolls, and continued in that station with the ill-natured Sir J. Joseph Jekill, I think, while alive: That the story of his being put in the Custom-house, and getting two hundred a year settled on him, does not hold: That the Queen professe to admire his Tracts; but, when dealt with to settle somewhat on him, declined that.

Dr Watterland is engaged at present in a contraversy about the Sacraments and Positive Institutions, with such as defend Clerk’s Catechisme: That it’s generally said that the Doctor is turned Calvinist, and must be so, if he will mentean his point against his adversaries.

It is ane ordinary thing nou to make applications to the Queen; and when she is applied to, her ordinary answer is, "Is Sir Robert for you? If Sir Robert be for you, me be for you!" And that she is too much in conversation, when that falls in, bantering and scolding the narrow principles of the Church of Scotland.

The same person tells me that he saw a letter just about the time, in February last or therby, from one present at the King of France his Bed of Justice, to an acquaintance at London. The Bed of Justice is when the Kings of France take upon them to enact laues and constitutions by their own personall pouer and soveraigne authority. This spring, at the instigation of the Cardinall Fleury, and, as it is believed, to influence the

* Dr Benjamin Hoadley.  † When that subject occurs.
Election of a Pope, and bring the Cardinalls at Rome to go in to a Pope of the Spanish and French faction, the King declared his resolution to turn the Bull Unigenitus against the Jansenists into a lau and constitution of France, in a Bed of Justice. The Dukes, it seems, and Peers of France, are Counselours to the King, together with the Presidents of Parliaments, and the Presidents à mortier; lauers so called, from their capes they wear. Those are, in number, I think, near one hundred, and esteemed the ablest lauers in France.

When the King constitutes his Bed of Justice, the Nobility Counselours stand next him; the Presidents have seats or stalls according to their seniority. The King, having the Cardinall at his elbou, signified his desingne in this solemn manner, to adopt the Bull Unigenitus among the constitutions and laues of France. One of the Presidents, who was zealous against the Jesuites, and, in his opinion, a Jansenist, came out of his place, and fell doun befor the King upon his knees, while sitting in his Bed of Justice, and begged most earnestly he might consider what he was going to do: That he was bringing himself and his kingdom again under bondage to Rome: That he was infringing the libertys of the Gallican Church: That, in so doing, he would lose the hearts of the greatest part of his best subjects; and that he was affrayed he was, with his own hands, pulling the croun off his own head. He was removed, and, instead of further censure, he was only rebuked for speaking out of order, and till it came to his turn to speak. Then the arest* was read to the meeting. The Nobility, who have a right to advise the King in thir cases, wer easy, and would not oppose the King, though feu of them wer for the Arrét. The first Presidents declared against the King's designe and the rest.† When it came to the President that formerly spoke before it came to his share, he again fell doun before the King, thanked him for overlooking his impudence, and begged his Majesty would consider what had been offered to him, and, in the name of many of his Majesty's subjects, humbly requested that his Majesty would be pleased to name that villan who had advised him to call this Bed of Justice, to do so ill a thing as was proposed to be done, that he might

* Arret.  † Arret.
be prosecut legally for giving his Majesty so bad counsell! Every body knew that the Cardinall standing at the King's hand was the adviser. The whole Presidents wer against it. However, the King passed the Bull into a lau, and sent it to the Parliament of Paris, to be registre there; and they unanimously refused to registre it, and protested against it. Thus ther seems a very great party in France against the Jesuits.

The same person tells me that he had heard that Mr James Smith* had sent an offer of his service lately to my Lord Isla, and that he could scarce belive it, till he enquired at Isla himself; and he told him it was very true, and he had accepted of it, and would serve himself of them all, and they wer all of the same kidney!

That Mr John Hepburn, this last winter or spring, had come to Mr All. Logan, and lamented to him the courses that seemed very fast to be running into by Professor Hamiltoun, Mr Crawford, and others, at Edinburgh, and said he had gone too far on with them; and yet since that time he was gone in gum† with all their measures, and was mostly in all the clubs and concerts with Professor Hamiltoun. That my Lord Monie was pretty far gone into the notions of the Pietists, and that he and Walter Pringle, my Lord . . . . . , read the Books of the Count Metenish,‡ particularly the Baron's Book De Ratione Fidei; and that the Lord Monie told him he did not understand the ordinary doctrine he heard preached on Justification by the Rightiousnes of Christ: That he was far from thinking of the doctrine of merit, but we wer justified by a vitall union with Christ, and by becoming, in an unexplicable manner, one with him; which he explained some way by the rayes of the sun, their enlightning and purifying the soul. My informer told him, by anything he could understand of his notion, he confounded Justification and Sanctification. This error is what severall of the graver and more sober sort of gentlemen and others are running to. The Lord pity us, for multitude of by-paths are running to, on right and left hand!

Mr James Wilson, lately come from Holland, tells me Dr Clerk's life

* Minister at Cramond. † Displeasure, umbrage. ‡ Metternich.
is writ, late[ly] by Hoadly, Bishop of Bangor, and nou of Sarum; and he has displeased many. He comes to give account of his peculiaritys, and particularly upon the doctrine of the Trinity; and when he enters on them, he says, we will not determine whither in them he is right or wrong. This, people at London say, from the mouth of a Bishop, is a plain enough declaring himself of his opinion, and can be constructed no otherwise.

Mark and Wesselius talked with my informer about Mr J. Simson. They have no distinct accounts of him, and told him they were not so much masters of the English as easily to read the proces, but they gave their opinion that Mr Simson seemed to be a stiff, peremptory man: That if he had, at first, given his bretheren the satisfaction that afterward he chuse when threatened with a sentence, all might have been ease, and much noise and debate prevented.

That they told him (see his Letter to me in March last) that Turretine, the son, had quite overturned everything in Geneva: That subscriptions to Confessions were no more required in that city: That, while a student at Leyden, he was very forward, and opinionative, and headstrong. His Letters upon Subscription to the King of Prussia are printed, and I am promised them in a little.

It was in February or March that the affair of Coll[onel] Charters broke out, and it has made a great deal of noise. The generality believe that he has met with no favour but the hight of lau. A very ill woman, who had be[en] the Coll[onel]'s whoor many times, and, they say, stollen one hundred gineas out of his pocket, when he would force back the one hundred gineas, by the direction of Alderman Child, with whom the Collonel had a debate about five thousand pound, swore a rape upon him; and the Jury, being impressed with the Collonel's ill character, brought him in guilty of death; and the sentence was accordingly passed, though the Judges sheued their dislike. His lands, coaches, lodgings, plate, &c., were all seized, and he put in Neu-Gate, in the condemned hold. I heard the solicitor, Mr Erskin, tell he went to see him. He told the solicitor he was never so vexed as he had been that morning. The hangman had been with him asking money, and the Ordinary of
Neu-Gate came to him. He got rid of the Parson, by saying he was a Presbyterian, but the hangman was still dogging him. He said he was an old man, and was easy about his money and effects, but he was deeply troubled about the Earl of Wemyse, married on his daughter; his children would be beggars. He has this moneth got a pardon; and he owns that this scrape will stand him near forty thousand pound sterling. No body pitys him.

Mr Steuart tells me that black John Walker, since he came into the Society for Propagating Christian Knowledge, by his rashnes and forwardnes, has exposed himself. He blames Mr Spence for changing letters, and Mr Cave for not accounting quarterly for what money he receives, alledging the interest will come to somewhat. This foolish rashnes had fretted these two worthy persons and the members exceedingly; but it was hoped it would be made up. He is a forward, fiery man.

They tell me at Edinburgh, what I had not any accounts of at home, that Mr John Simson is writting against Collings,* and writting a Systeme of Divinity, to shew his orthodoxy, and convince the world of it. He compleans we have no right Compend of Divinity, and promises one exacter than any, nou that he is laid aside from other work. They say, Mr M'Laran, at Edinburgh, is writing another Systeme, and is to publish it. It’s probable they will not perfectly harmonize.

Alexander Voy tells me, that his father was born in Orkney, and he heard him frequently tell this story, which was the occasion of his leaving that country and coming to this. He was educat at the Grammer School of Kirkwall, and put an apprentice to a writter and publick nottar in Kirkwall, of very considerable bussines. His master was marryed upon a very considerable gentman in Orkney his eldest daughter, who wanted the left arm from the elbou from the womb. She was proud and severe to him, and put him to work in the house, [which] he thought belou him as his Master’s apprentice. When she insisted, he answered, It was not work suited to him, she behoved to cause her servant weemen to do it!

* Collins, the Deistical writer.
She got up the kitchen tongs to strick him; which perceiving, he got in upon her, held her hand, and bade her strick him with the other, if she pleased!—knowing she had no other. Upon this, he took the opportunity of a ship, and came away to Edinburgh.

The occasion of the mutilation of his mistress, as was known and fully believed in Orkney about sixty or seventy years ago, was this:—Her mother was a very short time married to her father, a Laird of three or four thousand merks a year, and lived in a Castle in Orkney. She was fond of children, and one day there came a poor woman with four pleasant children to the gate, begging. The young lady asked her whose children these were? She said hers, and their father was lost at sea, and she was obliged to beg for her own bread and theirs. The lady was much taken with the pleasant faces of the children; and fell, in the height of her temper, to scold the poor widow, and said, Wher had she got such well-favoured children, she that was a beggar?—and added, she wondered that God did not put a difference between gentlemen's children and beggars' children! The poor lady found her rash words had too visible effect. In some time she bore her eldest daughter, a very well-favoured child, but wanting the arm on the left side to the elbow, as we have seen. She next bore a son, and he was a very pleasant child, but wanted the penis, and in the place of it had a hollow passage for urine. She next bore another son who was perfect every way, but want[ed] the one eye, and in room of it there was a smooth bone covered with skin. And she bore next a daughter, and I think she was maimed in a legg—and [then] left off bearing. Thus, Providence made a difference very remarkably between the beggar and the lady's children!

I find Mr G. Logan, Minister of Dumbar, is gone over to the Hague, to see his uncle, Mr Alexander Cunningham* of Block, who is fallen into a palsy, or some such distemper, and is a-dying. Mr Cunningham of Block is certainly of a considerable age now. He was a comrade of my father's forty or fifty years ago. He had been governour,† and gone

* Cunningham.
† A pedagogue or private tutor to the Earl of Hyndford, the Duke of Argyll, and others.
abroad with young noblemen and gentlemen: He was in Scotland about the 1700 or 1703, when I keep the Library, and was a day in conversation with my father. He came into the Library, and understood the editions of books nicely. He was famouse for his skill at the chess, and one of the first-rate in Europe at that exercise rather than game. The Earle of Sunderland, one in France, and another in Italy, and he, set up for being most skilled in that study of the chess. He played a game with my father, and told him by way [of] a great complement, that he was in the thirty [third?] rate players, and he believeth ther wer not many in Scotland above him: That he kneu my father's strenght exactly, and his own; and that he was able to give him a queen and a paun, and no more.

When I was present he told my father, that he had read all he could find on the Christian Religion in generall, and that he was drawing up some papers upon it. He open'd up his scheme; which I cannot fully, at thirty years' distance, recover. He designed to shew that ther was not one of the laues of the Old Testament but what was absolutely necessary for the Jews; and that, in the nature of things, they could have no other laues than God gave them; and even the laues about meats and the like wer bottomed upon necessary reasons. And as to Christ, he was to demonstrat, if my memory fail me not, that, on the supposition of God sending his Son as Messias, it was absolutely necessary that, when he came to the world in our nature, he should act directly the reverse to humane wisdom, and the maximes of the world. He promised to send a copy of his papers to my father when he had put them in order. He had a very great value and respect for my father. I remember my father made some objections against his scheme, which he said he would fully consider, and hoped to obviat. Some years after that, he set about the Edition of the Justinean Code, from a copy in the Duke of Florence' Library, and had some encouragement given him from our Scots Parliament to give a compleat edition of that foundation of Civil Lau. Since that time he has been much in Holland. He had somewhat yearly from my Lord Summers, Lord Cooper, Lord Sunderland, the Earle of Oxford, and, more lately, from my Lord Isla, as I am told, yearly, for corresponding with them, and picking up the curious
editions of the Classicks, and other scarce books. He has lived these many years at the Hague, and has spent much time in giving an edition of Horace, and making Remarks on Bentley's edition. I hear no more either of his designe on a Vindication of Christianity, nor of the edition of the Code. He was among the first-rate Criticks, and understood the Classicks and editions of books, especially Lau, beyond many. I belive he may be nou about eighty years.*

Mrs Stirling tells me that the last time ever my father was out, that she knoues of, was about June 1708, when he came in throu the Common Hall to her room in his goun, and asked if she kneu the place in the Church-yeard where he was to lye when he dyed? She said she did, and went out with him throu the Principall's garden, and let him see it. He lay doun on the grass on his back, and, fixing his eyes to heaven, said, "O! that thou wouldest hide me in the grave!"—and said he longed much for it. She said, he was happy that could long for it. He answered, "I knou I am to have all my ill things here!" She said, she was still affrayed to die. He answered, "You are not yet near death; stay till it be near you, and then the fears of it will, it may be, [be] taken away!" After my dear brother, Mr Alexander's death, she was regrating his loss the next day. He answered, "The loss is great indeed; but I knou who hath sent it—the good God, that cannot wrong me! Sandy was a son that never displeased me; he was my comrad, he was my counselour, he was and would have been my helper; but, since God has taken him to Himself, I am silent!"

[May 17.]—On the 17th of this moneth, Mr William Wisheart preached his last sermon in the Trone Church at Glasgow; and, next day, went towards London to setle there. Ther is much speaking of that sermon. The text I have forgote; but the discourse, they say, was very artfull, and calculat to raise the passions; and delivered with a great deal of seeming concern, and in the manner of a tragedy. He gave them strong assurances of his sorrou to part with them, and fre-

* Mr Cunningham was born in 1654. His father was Minister at Ettrick. He was British Envoy to Venice from 1715 to 1720, and author of a History of Great Britain, from 1688 to 1714, written in Latin; an English translation of which, by Dr William Thomson, was published in 1787.
quently wiped his face and eyes, as if he had been weeping. He commended the people very much, and declared that nothing less than the outmost necessity had prevailed with him to part with them; that it was impossible, wherever he was, to forget them. There was a general weeping thro' the whole Church, and he himself appeared to be in tears. This was not formerly his way, and what he blamed in others, as a mechanical way of stirring up the passions; and, indeed, if anything of this sort be mechanical, it’s said ther was as much of mechanisme and labouring of the passions, [such] as is done in the Playhouse, as ever was essayed in our pulpites. People of rank, and who never weeped in a Church before, wer in tears; and when asked, What made them weep?—they could not tell, and ouned it was not the matter delivered, but the manner, and his and others’ weeping about them, and his apparent affection. He gave them the character of the Minister whom he wished they would pitch on in his room, in a pretty extraordinary way; and it was generally thought he pointed at Mr J. Anderson. But enough of this. His text was, “Only let your conversation be according,” &c. He recommended charity, and love, and union, and very little of faith, or its life. He preached over the sermon, with his brother, next Sabbath, at Edinburgh.

[May 20.]—Upon the 20th of May, ther was a man found murdered near Air. He was hid in the sand, and had been for some dayes lying there. He had eight or nine wounds in his body. It was thought he was a man come up with the cess from about the Largs, and was murdered for his money. Murders are turned exceeding common nou, and within this year we have had five or six. The woman, J. Muir was charged for, the man at Partick, Youl in the Gorballs, the Laird of Auchtifardel’s family, and this. Blood toucheth blood, and we have reason to expect some very fearfull purging of the land from blood.

June, 1730.—Mr James Wilson tells me that it was generally belived the following odd freak of the King of Prussia was belived to be true:—About two years ago, or therby, that King was traveling, with two or three servants, about twenty miles from Berling, wher he met a coach with a young lady in it. He stoped the coach, and asked the
lady wher she was going, with a great deal of civility; asking her a thousand pardons that he should use this peice of rudenes. She answered, she was going to Berline. He said he imagined so, and that was the occasion of his incivility; adding, he had a letter about a bussines of considerable consequence, that required speed and safety, to be given to the King’s Secretary; and asked the favour that, as soon as she came, that it might be delivered. The lady, taking him to be some person of distinction, undertook to take a care of it. The King thanked her, and said he presumed further to begg that the lady might deliver it out of her own hand to the Secretary. The lady was pleased to undertake to do so; and the King wrote a short line, and sealed it, and delivered it with many complements, and went off. The lady took her journey straignt to Berline in coach. She was going to be married on a gentleman at Berline; and the gentleman mett her when the coach came, and offered to hand her out. She told [him] she behoved, having given her promise to a person of quality, to deliver a letter at the Secretary’s office out of her hand, to drive forward to that, and then she would return. The bridegroom asked what he was; and, by the accounts, began to suspect ther was a trick in it; and being acquaint with the raverys sometimes the King fell into, and jealousing a little from the circumstances that there might be somewhat in it, desired the letter, and he would presently carry it or send it, with a sure hand, to the Secretary.

The lady yeilded, and was handed up to her room. The bridegroom took the letter, and went to the street and gote an old woman, and gave her money to carry the letter to the Secretary, and deliver it out of her own hand, which she did. The Secretary received it and read it, and looked to her again and again. The letter was to this purpose: “Upon the recepit of this, immediately marry the bearer to such an one, among the tall grenadeers, under the pain of my displeasure.” He well kneu the King’s hand, and that he must be obeyed. He asked the woman wher she got it. She told him from a gentleman she kneu not; and then offred to go her way. She was an old, ill-favoured, lame woman. The Secretary told she behoved to stay a little, and he sent for the

* Extravagances.
Grenadeer, and read the King's letter to him, and told him it must be obeyed. The man was almost out of himself at the command, and the woman as backward as he; but the thing behoved to be done, and they were married, and, as the letter ordered, put to a room together. In two or three hours the King came straught to the Secretary, and asked if he got his Letter; and when he heard he had, asked for the newly married couple. He was told they were in the room together. The King went in to them, and soon saw the change. He found them, the one at the one end of the room, and the other at the other, scolding and weeping. The King dissolved the marriage, and dismissed them; and published a declaration, that if the person who received such a letter at such a place would discover themselves, they should be pardoned and rewarded: But the gentleman would not trust his Majesty, and none would discover who had thus tricked the King, knowing he is so absolute and capricious that nobody can depend upon him.

He tells me that the Prince of Nassau, or Orange, is exceedingly beloved in all the Seven Provinces: That three of them have declared him Statholder; that the other four stand out, not from any dislike, but because they would have him married to our Princess before they declare him. And our Court incline to have him owned Statholder by all the Provinces before the marriage be declared. So that the youth is in a rack* betwixt the two, and thus that matter stands.

That the Criminall Jurisdiction in Holland is very odly mixed; the Magistrates of their touns have the pover of life and death, and in the country, except in some particular places, where Noblemen have it, the boors have this pover in their hands. The boors, in such a precinct, meet together and chuse a number of themselves Judges, * in hunc effectum, twelve or such a number, and they come into the Toun-house of the neighbouring city, and hear the lauers plead and pass their sentence, and the crimannl is execute in the toun. He sau one of them, and the hangman is in the habit of a gentleman, with his laced hat, fine wigg and cloaths.

He tells me, that in Holland Cocceianism and Voetianism continou much as they have been formerly: That in touns and citys the people

* Strait.
and Ministers are generally Cocceians, and in the country places they are generally Voetians: That at Lyden and Utricht they find it their interest still to have some Voetian Professors, because foreigners chuse generally the Voetian Professors.

The publick in Holland and the Seven Provinces are certainly under prodigious burden and debt, but in case of any pressing necessity, they are in no difficulty, for they have multitudes of their magistrates and merchants who are immensly rich, and willing enough to advance money to the publick, when they see expenses or warr necessary.

That the nature of their Republick requires a constant standing army, and that there are in all their towns great numbers of soldiers constantly ready, at a call, to keep the peace, and to execute the State’s orders; but there is very little need of them, save by way of precaution.

That the taxes in the Provinces, especially upon eatables and the common necessaries of life, are higher in Holland than any part of the world, and immense summs of money are raised for the support of the poor, and they are exceeding well taken a care of, and every body put to work that can work.

That in the Provinces Ministers are generally very rich; and the occassion of it is not their stipends, which are moderat, though exactly payed, and encreased as their family encreases, but from this reason, that, generally speaking, Ministers make their marriages with very rich persons, and feu of the remarkable Ministers, and especially Professors of Divinity, marry under eight or ten thousand pound stirling.

He tells me that this last winter the noise of sodomy was breaking out, and it was talked of particularly among the young people and a club of students at Utrecht; but there was nothing come in publick about it when he came away, in March or Aprile last. Since that time, we [see] the tryalls there in the neuse papers, to that pitch that is shoaking to Christian ears.

Some of them, as well as of state crimnalls, are privatly execute; and if any executions are to be in privat, which, however, seems not so consis-tant with the designe of punishment and executions-penall, (that is, the ter-
rifying of others,) one would think that this unnaturall crime may be among that number.

He tells me, that there wer severall Deists come over from England, professed Deists. Some of them had been Felloues of some of the Colledges in England, and oblidged to fly for fear of being prosecute; and, this last moneth, we find that severall Masters are expelled Oxford for Deisme. They, generally, in Holland, study physick, particularly one . . . ., who was not knouen to be Deisticall till, last harvest, a merchant's sone in London, who had been his pupil, hapned to dye, and a course of correspondence between the Fellou and pupill was found amongst his papers; of which, when he heard, he thought fitt to withdraw to Holland this last winter.

In conversation with Dr Cumming, at Irwine, he tells me that Mr John Cumming, first Dissenting Minister at Cambridge, then at the Scots Congregation at London, and lately made Doctor of Divinity by the Colledge of Edinburgh, who dyed last year, was a relation of his. He had not heard of the story of his being converted by an old Dissenting Minister, which is narrated above in thir Analecta; but tells me that his father was an Episcopall Minister in the North, who was exceedingly violent for Episcopacy, in all its heights; and, for his warmnes and running things to all extremitys, was called frequently Jes uit Cumming. This Minister had a brother whose name was John, another Episcopall Minister, who quite* his parish because he would not take the Test. That, at the Revolution, this Mr John Cumming went to England; and when he sau the constitution of the Church of England, and their ceremonies, he turned Dissenter, and would not joyn with them; and he taught the youth philosophy. That he printed a sermon upon Queen Mary's death, which was very well liked. This Mr John Cuming, uncle to the last Dr Cuming, after the Revolution, mentained a correspondance with his brother, the Doctor's father, upon the Revolution and

* Left, quitted.
Episcopacy, which he had now left. His brother maintained Episcopacy in its height, and the unlafulnes of the Revolution; and there passed many sheets of paper on these subjects, wherein some who sau them say the subject on both sides was handled very closely. After the Revolution, the last Dr Cuming being, for his violence, oblied to leave Edinburgh Colledge, went up to his uncle in England, and was educat by him.

The Isle of Arran hath about eighteen hundred examinable persons in it, and two Ministers, who preach in Irish and English. The late Dutches of Hamilton established a helper, who preaches and catechises, and has five hundred merks a year; and three schools, with a hundred pound a year. Ther is a vast change upon that Island within these twenty years, or therby. Mr Reid tells me there is twenty instead of one who understands the English tongue since he knew it; and, indeed, ther are not many now but understand the English tongue. Mr M'CLean, who was first Minister there after the Revolution, did not much propagat the English tongue. He was reckoned one of the greatest masters of the Irish tongue in Scotland in his day, and, I think, translated the Confession of Faith into Irish, and the Shorter Catechisme and Psalms.

My Lady Eglingtoun, her brother, Mr David Kennedy, and three of my Lady's daughters, with their weemen, wer over in Arran at the goat-milk this moneth; and a very odd passage fell out, which I have weel attested from two or three who had the accounts from my Lady and her brother, anent a disturbance they mett with. They wer lodged in a house on the shore-side, the best house in that part of the Isle. A kind of surgeon lived ther, some years since, and, it was alledged, a man who was sick and dyed in that house had not very fair play. It's said that, several times, noises wer heard about the house; lights seen in it when nobody lived in it; and the neighbours wer beat with unseen hands. However, these passages wer not much belived by persons who went over to the Isle, because the people, inhabitants of the Island, as all the Highlanders generally are, wer reckoned credulous and fretty.* Ther

* Full of freits, superstitious.
had been severall lodgers in the house, who met with no disturbance; and care was taken to bear doun the storys, least lodgers should scarr at the house.

My Lady, and her brother, and the rest, wer one night disturbed with a noise in the night-time, a little after they came to lodge there, which revived some former storys; but on enquiry it was found to be from two drunk persons who had some brandy in some of the cellars belou them. They wer seized by Mr Hamiltoun of Bardouy, the Duke of Hamiltoun's Bailay, and brought to the Countess of Eglingtoun. The Bailay offered to punish them at her pleasure, but she passed them, and the whole of the former storys wer knocked doun as groundles; and my Lady and her brother wer satisfied all was but story and credulity. In some dayes ther wer frequent noises heard in the rooms, and when people wer sent nobody could be found. Some of the young Ladys' weemen wer frequently frighted; and some of them had stroaks, as they said, laid on them by invisible hands. My Lady and her brother, being fully satisfyed as to the first noise, would belive nothing after that, and endeavoured to jest them out of their freights, and caried the matter the lenth that she frighted them herself by a suddain throuing a cod among them when in company.

But the disturbances from another art† continuod, and at lenth they wer all convinced that ther was some what preternaturall about the house. One night, when in the room altogether, they hear a very extraordinary noise. Mr David, who is no way credulous, said to my in-former, he could compare the noise to nothing but five or six squibbs bizzing and giving a crack altogether in the different corners of the room, and the young ladys and their weemen say they sau the head of a man sweeming over their heads in the room, which was pretty high, and his face looking doun on them. They wer all in the outmost consternation that persons could be in, and did not in the least doubt ther wer invisible pouers about them, and, as soon [as] a boat could be gote, left the house in a feu hours, and came over to Eglingtoun. This is a certain fact that may be depended on.

* Pillow or bolster.  
† Direction.
Mr Reid tells me, that Mr John Wilson, Minister at Largs, was an excellent person. He was once stoped, or, as we call it, really stucked a sermon in Ireland; that is, his matter quite failed him, and he was obliged to give over. This made him, after that, constantly in fear. He was an excellent preacher, and, generally speaking, wrote every word; and yet he was of opinion, that keeping the very words of what is written tended much to the breaking of a person's memory, when it was tyed down to words and phrazes; and yet, throu the forsaid fear, he never durst venture to the publick till he had mandated* word for word. We fell a talking as to the method of writting and mandating sermons, and seemed to agree that ther are advantages in a closs writting of the matter of a sermon, and that the writting the enlargments† themselves is of very good use to make what is delivered exact and accurat; but then it may be considered, whither, by mandating every-thing, the memory itself may not be overburdened, and really weakned, and that, perhaps, the best way may be for Ministers to study and write the heads of a sermon, and some pertinent Scriptures to each of them, and to mandat these; but in mandating, to study and think upon the enlargments, without writting them. This will both releive the memory from the bondage of the words and phrazes of the enlargement; but, in thinking and meditating, the heart is impressed much more than in a transient glance in writting the enlargments, with the subject-matter itself, and a savour of the truths spoken of will be reached. These impressions, and this savour upon the mind in meditating on the enlargments, will both strenthen the memory, and lead the speaker to suitable exercises proper to what is thought on upon his own soul; and what is spoken will readily, as coming from a heart impressed with these truths, have a great deal of more weight with judicious and serious hearers, than a feu quaint expressions writ doun in a hurry, and mandated as a schoolboy's lesson.

My mother-in-law was very suddenly taken ill, towards the end of this moneth, when Mr Warner was in Ireland; so that I was necessitat

* Got by heart. Lat. mandare. † Illustrations, amplifications.
to go West on July the 2d. She was in a violent feaver, and yet it pleased the Lord to carry her throu her trouble, to our great surprize. She seemed to be under the expectations of death, and the more that this was near the close of her seventy-fifth year, being born, I think, July 7, 1655; and she observed that her mother, Agnes Campbell, Mrs Guthry, her grandmother, the Lady Skeldon, and her mother, dyed all of them precisely in their seventy-fifth year of age. Yet the Lord hath brought her into the seventy-sixth year of her age.

Mr Warner, who is lately come over from Dubline, tells me that he waited on Mr Iredale there, who thinks the interest of the Dissenters in Ireland exceeding lou. Their divisions have exposed them, and they complean of a coldnes even when they seem to be united, and a terrible degree of decay in seriose godlynes. Mr John Abernethy was preaching there when he was at Dubline. He heard him, and thought he did not apply the Scriptures which came in his way to the Divinity of Christ, but exceedingly waved that subject, when he had fair occasion for it. A good many of the more serious sort are not for his coming there.

They term the city of Dubline the fourth or fifth city in Europ for bignes, and the number of inhabitants; and in the year 1715, on the Rebellion, when a list was taken up of the inhabitants, they wer reconed thirteen or fifteen hundred thousand.* Ther is exceeding great profusion, and they live exceeding high. They have abounding of money in great, but have no small money for carrying on of trade or bussines.

He tells me, that we have relations there, by my mother-in-lau, his mother; two near cusins of the name of Campbell; excellent weemen, of great piety and sense: the one marryed to Mr Muir, who deals in silver lace, whose sons I kneu when at this Colledge; and the other marryed to one Mr Caldwell; both [of] them persons of great substance and interest in Dubline.

The toun of Glasgow, this moneth, susteans a very great loss, as they have had very many of late, by the breaking of a Dutch factor, a Scots-

* Probably a mistake in transcribing figures, for 130 or 150,000.
man. It's reconed upwards of two thousand pound sterling, but being divided among different persons, it's the less sensible.

This moneth the Heretors of the parish of Renfreu had a meeting, where, I am told, my Lord Dundonald, Blythswood, Walkingshau, and others, agreed on Mr Anderson, of Port-Glasgou, at Blythswood's desire; with his promise to them that, if he did not carry, he should come in to my Lord Dundonald's man, who is Mr J. Millar of Neilston. But I doubt if this come to anything.

As to Glasgow vacancys, it's said that Pr[incipal] Campbell is named to the Laigh Church; and the Provost would be for him, but the toun oppose it, because it would bring a burden on them still to make Principals Ministers in the toun, when a vacancy falls out.

Dougalston* is very ill this moneth, and some way in hazard. This is the second or third year his Rheumatisme hath attacked him, and I doubt he will not live very long. He will be a considerabe loss to this country, being not only morall, and a person of weight, but a great bearer doun of sin and vice, and a very usefull person in the toun and country.

Mr H. Steuart tells me a passage, that Mr James Ramsay, when Chaplain to their Regiment, told him and severall of the officers. When in Yorkshire, Mr Ramsay had the account from the first hands. The grave-diggers there, about twenty-five years ago, wer making a grave in a church-yard, and turned up a scull, which, when thron out, fell a shaking and tumbling up and doun. This very much allarumed the grave-diggers; and they went and vieued the scull, and perceived a large toad lodged in it, which was the cause of the motion of the scull, and certainly was lodged ther in Providence to make the following discovery:—When they had turned out the toad out of the scull, and wer veuing [it,] they found a large nail, of severall inches long, sticking in the scull. This very much allarumed them. They caryed the skull, and nail in it, to the Minister of the parish, (from whom, if I forget not, Mr Ramsay had the account.) He presently, by the parish books, found out the person last buryed in that grave; and, upon enquiry, got accounts that the man who was buryed there dyed very suddainly: That

* Graham of Dougalston.
his wife was marryed, soon after, to a servant of theirs, about fifteen years before: They lived still in the parish, and wer sent for, and confessed they had murdered that person by stricking a nail through his head. As far as I see, this relation may be depended on.

I heard a story, much of the same nature, that hapned in the parish of Luss, some years since, of a smith in that parish who was at variance with his wife, and dyed suddainly; and when his grave was opened, a nail was found in his skull, about twenty years after. His wife was examined, who had maryed the servant, and the murder was confessed. Enquire at Mr Robison, Minister ther, and get the circumstances.

Mr M’Claurin, in Glasgow, tells me he heard of another discovery of murder in Argyleshire, when Mr John Campbell, of Mammore, lately dead, was Shirrif; upon which the man was taken and execute. Two persons wer traveling thrue the shire, and one of them murdered the other. That same night, the wife or mother of him that was murdered dreamed that he* and another man, whom she knew not, but gave all the marks, from his face, and hair, and cloaths, [who] murdered him. This dream made great impression on her, and she told it to her neighbours. In a little after, the accounts of the murder came. The dead body was found in the same place she dreamed of. The other man was gone away. Search was made for him, and he was found; and Mr Campbell sentenced him to dye, and he was execute.

July, 1730.—This moneth we hear that Bailay Orr, of whom above, to whom so much money has fallen by his wife’s uncle, out of his regard to the Colledge of Glasgow, hath gifted five hundred pounds sterling to the Library, the interest of which is yearly to be applyed to the buying of Books, whose authors have lived at least three hundred years ago. He has, they say, the Classicks and Fathers mostly in vieu, and thinks the Library is defective as to these. I wish many might follow his example in making donations to the Library; with regulations of another kind, as necessary as this.

My son, Sandy, this moneth, at Blantyr-well, falls worse. He went out in a cart, and fainted; and continued so ill, that we found proper

* She saw him.
to bring him home. I do not see that the minerall watters have been of any use to him. He is in the Lord’s hands, and it’s probable his life will not be very long.

We hear some noise of a sermon preached by Mr Armstrong before the Synod of Dumfreice, at their last meeting, of a very odd nature. This young Minister, Mr Telfair, Wallace, and a brother of Mr Armstrong’s, and, some say, Mr Cumming of Lochmaben, some years ago wer talked of as in a club, and having some meetings wherein pretty odd notions, pretty much favouring Arminianisme, wer vented; and they strenthned one another’s hands in them. It seems the effects of such more secret cabballs are nou coming out. We have heard of Mr Telfair’s sermon at some lenth. I have not yet distinct accounts of Mr Armstrong’s, but only that it was mostly taken out of The Rights of the Christian Church by Tyndall, and conteaned a satyre upon the Ministry of this Church for imposition; when one would think we are so far from that, that we are running to the other extreme of latitude and libertinisme. However, I am told, after his coming out of the pulpit, being aprized of the Synod’s generall displeasure with the matter of his sermon, he sau proper to withdraw, and ride off the place.

This moneth I have the very melancholy account of the open breach in my Lord Grange his family. Things have been very dark there for some time, since his Lady* took up a jealousy of him, charged him with guilt with another, and had spyes upon him in England, when last there about his son’s process of murder. She intercepted his letters in the Post-Office, and would have palmed treason upon them, and took them to the Justice-Clerk, as is said, and alledged [that] some phrazes in some of her Lord’s letters to Lord Dun related to the Pretender, without the least shaddou for the inference. Last moneth, it seems, his lady (being, for her drukennes, palpable and open, and her violent, unhappy temper, and mismanagement, inhibited by my Lord) left the family. This was pleasing to her Lord, and he did not use any endeavours to have her back, since sometimes she attempted to murder him, and was innumerable wayes uneasy. Upon this, my Lady gave in a Bill to the Lords

* The celebrated and unfortunate Lady Grange.
for a mentenance, and conteaning the grounds of her separation. But
the matter was taken up, and my Lord entered into a concert with her
freinds, allowed her one hundred pounds a year, and she declared she
would separat from him, and be satisfied with that; and so they live
separatly. This man is owned, by his greatest enimes, to have had the
greatest provocations possible, and his family distresses have even drauen
pity from them that (I hope) groundlesly have loaded him with the great-
est calumnies and reproaches. I recon him among the greatest men in
this time, and would fain hope the calumnies cast on him are very ground-
les; but they are exceedingely fostered and spread by such as dislike him
for his zealouse appearances for this Church, and against Mr Simson.

This moneth, the touns of Glasgou and Edinburgh are at an issue* as
to their vacancys. Mr Smith is transported to Edinburgh, and Mr
Wisheart and Mr Goldie, and I suppose settled also, al being admitted
by one sermon. Professor Hamiltoun’s son goes to Cramond, and there
is like to be a great heat about settling Mr Wisheart’s place. Mr Jardin,
in Glencairn, is what the Ministers and most part are for; but that is
like to be defeated by a young man of the new stamp, forced in from the
Highlands by my Lord Isla and some others.

The toun of Glasgou have come to an issue as to their vacancys, and
have fixed on Mr J. Anderson from Port-Glasgou. For what I hear,
P[rovost] Stirling, with Mr Wisheart, before he left the place, with the
younger set of people in Glasgou, ordered matters so as ther was no dif-
ficulty in the particular Session, or in the Quarter, and all went pretty
smooth. It was not so as to Mr Dick, who, at lenth, came to be fixed
on for the Midle Quarter. The bulk of the Session, and the generality
of the heads of families, wer for Mr Andrew Gray, their former Minister’s
son; and the Ministers wer also for him; but P[rovost] Stirling and
the Magistrates by no means would come in. Mr Dick was Mr H . .
nepheu, and Mr S . . . . . . had some vieu for his son, they say, to
Carluke, whence Mr Dick was to come. So it was gone into. Indeed,
the election in Glasgou is as much forced as in many places, and the
sentiments of the people are very little regarded. When a Minister is

* Come to a conclusion.
agreed on, and concerted, there is some shaddou of enquiry made whether the heads of familys are against him; but he is not a whit their choice; and, indeed, they have no choice, but to be for him, or to give objections against him.

The affair of Hutton has been noticed in May as it stood before the Assembly; and, being there ended, I hear the conclusion and settlement of Waugh is equal to the strange and unprecedented steps taken by the Commission and others in that affair. When the day of ordination was come, the dores of the Church were barricadoed—the people as averse as ever; and the Shirriffe of the shire, with upwards of a hundred armed men, were present to force on the settlement, and protect Mr Waugh. Such procedure as this will be a blot in our history, when it comes to be writ; and, I doubt, before that, the enemies of the Church will make a sport of us and our settlements of this sort.

Mr Thomas Finlater's settlement at Linton is like to be much of the same nature. The people are opposit, the Presbytery for it, but not joint. It was remitted to the Synod, and they ordered a Committy to join with the Presbytery. When they met in June, they were on the matter railed; and Mr Findlater (who was not there) was sought for, with threatenings to tear him. Objections against him were sought by the Committy and Presbytery. The gentlemen, and heritors, and elders, said they would advance none but one, that at home, in Hamilton, where he was best known, he was not liked. The Committy referred the matter to the Synod of Lothian.

This moneth we have our accounts first in the London prints, that the Colledge of Glasgow have made two Doctors of Divinity, a la mode Edinburgh; Mr Grosvenor and Mr William Wisheart. I have not talked with any about it. Somewhat may be said as to Mr Wisheart, being their Dean of Faculty, and a member of their society, and going from them to settle at London; but how they came to pitch on Mr Grosvenor, I cannot conceive, unless it has been by Mr Wisheart's recommendation. He is a very violent Non-subscriber; and, I fancy, Mr

* Unanimous.  † Mobbed.  ‡ Opposed to the subscription of Formularies, or Confessions of Faith.
Alexander Dunlop has not knouen that Mr G[rosvenor] was the writter of the Remarks upon his excellent brother, Mr William Dunlop, his preface to our Confession of Faith, Edition 1720, in defence of Subscription. Indeed, Mr Grosvenor there does, in his loose way, in that pamphlet, attack this Church; and, in his Occasional Paper (for he is reput the principall writter of the three volumes of Occasional Papers) upon the Trinity, he very furiously attacks that doctrine. This must strongly expose the Church of Scotland as departing from both doctrine and practise, when our University[s] are loading such men with their thin honours and University degrees.

Last moneth, the Colledge of Glasgow, on Mr Wisheart's going to London, chuse worthy Mr James Stirling* for Dean of Faculty. The Principall designed Mr M. Connell;† but Mr Dunlop was not for him, and carryed his point by a vast majority. It was a meer off-put to the Principal's man. For Mr Stirling has not accepted, and will not accept of that post; and, indeed, neither his head nor heart lyes to mix in with their little party-work.

This moneth, without my knouing any thing of the matter, a race was appointed and publickly intimat at Beith, to be run in the Shaues,‡ by R . . . . M . . . . . and the gawger Anderson. I am so impressed with the profanity and loss of time and work by the convocation for races, which much more then ballances all the pretended charge, and disposall of ale and goods at them, that I thought it my duty to endeavour to prevent it; and, indeed, my Lord P[ollock] was active as I could desire, and stopped it effectually.

I mett with a pretty odd incident about the last [ninth?] day of this moneth. John Wallace, in the Shau, came to me in a great consternation for my advice. The day befor he came, July 8, he was early in the morning going to his taylor work in Deaconsend, and sau a herd, whom I had lately challenged for want of a testimoniall, as he thought in the act of bestiality. This struck him with the outmost horror, being a seriose, knouing man. He being near him, went streight to him, and asked him what he was doing? The herd was seemingly in great con-

* Minister of the Barony. † At Kilbride. ‡ Probably Pollockshaws, in the barony of Eastwood.
fusion, but boldly denied any evil or evil intentions. He called out his master, when he was going to work, and told him what had hapned; and they went both to the herd, and dealt with him, but in vain. He was dashed, but stifly denied guilt. However, his master called him that day, and payed him for what time he had been with him, and sent him off. John Wallace was in a deep concern, and desired my opinion whether he had done right, and what further was [to be] done? I approved his conduct, and told him I perceived no more to be done but a close secrecy, and a charging his master to a secrecy, that the scandall might not break out. This very much strenthsens me in my strictnes, for which I am by some blamed, in insisting for testimonialls. This is the second instance of this in herds without testimonialls in this parish; one about a year after I came to the parish, who had been guilty, and fled from the Highlands to us without a testimoniall; and this.

Agust, 1730.—Very little offers, this moneth, but what is in the prints and my Letters. The Commission met at the ordinary time, and their proceeding is in the Edinburgh Courant. The affair of Balfron setlment was before them, and they laid aside Mr D. Broun, and that though it was evident he had eighty heads of familys, and most of the elders, and near as many heretors as any of the other two; and preferred the presentee’s call, with thirteen heads of familys, and an equal number to Mr Buchanan of heretors, to Mr Buchanan, who had seven elders, (and the presentee none,) and thirty-five heads of familys, contrary to our received rules and principles. This is turning very common.

This moneth, we had our Sacrament at our ordinary time, the third Sabath. We had about one thousand and forty Communicants; and I find that, generally, everywhere, this season, Ministers observe that there have been moe Communicants than have been knouen. What to make of this, I cannot tell. It’s good, in itself, that people are making a profession, and attending ordinances; and if they all be serious, or many of them, it’s a profe that the Gospell is doing good. But this must be left to the day of manifestation of all things.

Mr Wilson tells me that, in Holland, the men and the weemen com-
The tryall of one Cunningham, a Kilwinning man, before Thomas Orr, Barron-bailay, at Glasgow, for horse-stealing, made some noise at this time. He was one of the men of the worst of character; a resetter of the Mores, and delated by Campbell, execute for murder. In short, he seems to have been art and part in the most part of the robberys and murders committed in the West of Scotland for severall years. B[ailie] Orr was willing enough to do justice on him; and when the jury brought in an undistinct verdict, not answering the articles found relevant, he desired them to return and bring in a fuller verdict. Some complean of this as illegall; others vindicat it. However, on their returning a fuller verdict, he passed a sentence of death; and the generall voice was that Cunningham richly deserved it. However, the matter was tabled before the Lords of the Justiciary. Before the day of the execution of sentence came, Cunningham was taken in to Edinburgh, and the books of the Bailay wer called for, and he ordered to attend at Edinburgh. There it was pleaded, on the one hand, that the Lords had not pouer to open sentences of the Royality Courts. One instance of their pouer was only produced since the Revolution; and the Lords found themselves Judges. Ther was no fault found in B[ailie] Orr’s procedure; but the Lords found they had reason to alter the sentence from that of death to perpetuall banishment from the kingdom. This taking of causes by advocation from Regality Barron Courts by the Lords of Justiciary is much questioned by lauers, and, they say, allarumes our Nobility a little.\textsuperscript{X} The Duke of Montrose, whose Court the Regality of Glasgow is, and the Dukes of Argyle and Hamiltoun, it being a common cause, they say, are agreed to oppose this step of the Lords; and I am told that, upon a complaint of this matter to the British Counsell, the Lords of Justiciary are called up to answer before them. What the event will be, I cannot say; but everybody regrates that, one way or other, the greatest malefactors, murderers, and theives, and the like, escape nou.
**September, 1730.**—Sir James Campbell, brother to Provost Aird's wife, tells me this account, which he had from Shaufeild and his lady, and that ther is not the least doubt to be made of its truth:—About seven or eight years ago, Shaufeild and his lady wer going up to London, in the winter season; and near to Burrou-bridge, * or some place on the road of a name like this, wher there is a bridge which, in great rains or floods, is, at one end of it, surrounded with watter, so that the passage is rendered dangerouse, if not impracticable, they met with this passage very remarkable. Upon a litle eminency very near it—it's in Yorkshire, I think—there lived a Popish lady, who, two or three nights before Shaufeild's coach came there, dreamed that she was looking out at her window, which is almost within cry to the bridge, in the night time, and saw a coach, with a lady in it, almost lost, and that she sent doun her servants and saved them. This dream made such impression, that the lady got up and sent her servants immediatly to the place; but ther was nobody there. However, the impression continued so strong, that, nixt night, the Popish lady caused her servants, some of them, to watch much of the night. Nothing hapned that night either; but the third night, pretty late, the Lady Shaufeild came, and, of a suddain, the coach was overturned, and filled with watter. The coachman got upon one of the horses, to save his life. The good and religious Lady Shaufeild was for some time under watter; and, upon the cry rising, the Popish lady's servants came to their assistance. With much difficulty, the coach and lady in it wer got out of the watter. Every body thought the Lady Shaufeild was dead; her body was full of watter, and she was laid on a declivity on the ground till she voided some of the watter, and recovered her senses. She was caryed soon to the Popish lady, wher all care was taken of her; and she recovered a little, and stayed a day or two, and then went on her journey. Last year, when Shaufeild and Sir James [Campbell,] my informer, came that road, Shaufeild sent up his servant to see hou that lady and family wer, and still does se every time he goes or comes that road.

Mrs Maxwell, at the same time, told us that shee had what folloues

* Boroughbridge.
from the first hands at Edinburgh:—About seven or eight years ago, Graycrookes,* the gentleman that left his estate by a mortification, for the support of indigent Ministers' widows, and his lady, from whom I think my informer had the account at that time, had a servant man and woman. The woman had some money scraped together; and she was murdered in a cellar, as was violently suspected, and her chest opened and robbed; and Graycrooks (if I remember) missed some of his own money at the same time. The matter was so secretly done, that there appeared no presumptions at all against the man. However, Graycrooks parted with him very soon. A year or more afterwards, one night, the Lady Graycrooks dreamed that she saw the man murder the woman in the cellar, and carry off her money, and put it in two old barrels filled with trash. When she awaked, she communicat'd her dream, which left a deep impression upon [her.] Graycrooks was a lauer, and a wise man, and desired her to speak of it to no body. He made enquiry about the fellou, who was nou set up for a smith, I think, some part in the suburbs of Edinburgh, and found that he had plenty of money. In a few days, he got a warrant from the Magistrates sudainly to search his house, which was done, and, in two old barrels, as his lady had dreamed, full of old iron nails and such trash, found some money, and his own baggs, which he kneu on seeing them, and which had been amissing at that time. The fellou was apprehended and tryed, and sentenced to dye; and, if I remember, confessed the murder, and was execute.

I am told that the late Dutches or Countes of Rothes was one of the most extraordinary persons for religion, and good sense, and eminent acts of charity, that was in the last age. That her life, could it be recovered, would make a beautifull figure in our Biography. I have little hope of recovering it. In the late dear years, 1697 and [169]8, she was remarkable for her charity. She distribut many bolls of meal among the poor every week, and it was calculat that she dealt out most of the

* This Charitabe Institution, "Craigcrook Mortification," was left for "assisting old men and women who have been reduced in their circumstances, and for orphans;" and is under the management of the Presbytery of Edinburgh, two Advocates, and two Writers to the Signet. It was bequeathed by Mr John Strachan, W. S., who died about 1719.
yearly rents of the estate that way. She had a day in the week—Friday, I think—when sick and indisposed persons came to her; and she spoke with them, and gave them medicines gratis; and some cheats, pretending to be objects, she discovered, and severely punished them. She was most intimate with John Archer, Alexander's father, and many eminent Christians in that neighbourhood. She was eminent in prayer and wrestling, and had many singular answers of prayer. It's pity so little about her can now be recovered.

We hear, Mr James Craigie is again fallen ill of his spitting of blood. He recovered a little by going to the goat-milk in May, and has preached since; but now it's thought he shall scarce preach any more, for his concern and seriousness in preaching brings now his spitting of blood on him; and though he may dwine* for some time, yet it's not thought he can recover.

The Master of Ross, this moneth, is translated from the Board of Excise to that of Customs, where he has one thousand pound a year, and an easier post.

Mr Walter Steuart, son to worthy old Bailay Steuart, in Nilton Merns, is now on the way to be settled at Ashkirk, in Tiviotdale. Professor Hamiltoun's interest with my Lord Minto, and other Heritors concerned, takes him there. It's the Presbytery where three of The Marrou bretheren are—Mr Boston, Wilson, and Davidson. There Mr Tailford also is. A pretty strange mixture. It's the best benefice in Scotland, for what I know, and reckoned near three thousand merks a year. Mr Wylie was transported from that to Hamiltoun. Mr Charles Gordon, a man of great sufficiency and learning, was after him.

Mr William Maxwell returns this moneth, or the end of the last, from South Carolina, wher he went, being ordeaned by the Presbytery of Glasgow, on a call brought over by Paul Hamiltoun, about 1724, as I believe has been noticed. His settlement there did not answer expectation. He had not what could even subsist him, though single, so that in seven years' time he has expended one hundred pound of his own. The settlements there are very precarious. If a planter or two dye, or remove to

* Linger on, continue to decline.
another place, a Congregation dissolves—that is, the rest are not able to
subsist a Minister, unless he has a vein for taking a plantation, and
managing it by servants; and that way Mr Stobo, who went over to
Caledonia, and when that project broke in the 1700, setled at Carolina,
has made himself rich, and is worth ten thousand pound of our money.
They have in Carolina nine Ministers, which meet in a Presbitry. Mr
Maxwell tells me he dispensed the Supper to about sixteen communi-
cants: That some familys were twenty-two miles distant from the place
of worship, and that his charge was not much above one hundred, or
therby. The debates about Subscription are warm, and four of the one
side, and four of the other, when he left them. One Mr Fisher is a
most sufficient man there. The contraverty is in print. See pamphlets
this year.

Mr Henry Fisher, one of the Ministers there—one who, by his papers,
appears a very sufficient person—Mr Maxwell tells me, is upon a work
that may be very usefull; and of a long time I have wished to see
somewhat done that way. He is, in his opinion, for keeping only by
David’s Psalms in singing, or other Scripturall songs; and much against
the practice of severall Ministers in England, and some in America too,
who sing their own hymns and composure.* Mr Fisher designes a dis-
sertation against this; and, in the meanwhile, he is going throu the
Psalms of David, and considering every verse of them, with a vieu to
what should be the soul-exercise in right singing of them; and shueus
that they are all properly designed for praise; and hou we, in the Neu
Testament, are to praise God in singing of them, and each passage.
He has gone throu twenty or thirty of the Psalms this way, by way
[of a] directory in singing; being of opinion there is no branch of our
worship wherin, throu ignorance and want of a proper directory, many
are further behind than that of singing.

He tells me, that Mr Coleman and Dr Cotton Mather, when alive,
in a particular manner approved of his designe: That those two endeav-
oured to prevent Mr Fisher and Mr Smith’s debate on Subscription,
&c., which is now come to the press: That in pressing mutuall forbear-
ance, Dr Mather, I think, in a letter, acquainted Mr Fisher with this

* Compositions.
step of the Ministers of Neu England. A person in Boston, of considerable repute, and one of their constant communicants, fell into very gross errors as to the Deity of Christ, either Arrian or Socinian. They used much pains with him, in conference, to reclaim him; but all in vain. At length they prevailed with him to keep his opinions to himself, and got him to engage not to converse save with the Ministers, when he pleased, on these heads; and advised him to withdraw from the Table of the Lord; and he yielded; and no further notice was taken of him, and the thing was smothered, and had no ill effects among them.

I hear the Quakers at Edinburgh are considerably altered, and are upon a concert to fix upon one among themselves to preach to them, and no others are to offer to preach; and they are to give him a sellary; and they say he reads, studyes, and discourses very accurately to them; and the Magistrates are like to agree to grant them their protection, and give their Minister some sellary yearly. His name is Erskine, a breuar.

They talk of my Lord Isla's coming doun this moneth to setle things in Scotland, and the Professor's place, to be vacated by Mr Hamiltoun's being made Principall, is not to be filled till then. It's yet uncertain whither Mr Smith, Goldie, or some other, be professor. But nou that this moneth, or the end of the last, the Earle of Seafield and Finlater is dead, it's doubted if Isla be to come doun till the election of a Peer in his room. It's thought Colonell Douglas, nou, by his brother's death, Earle of Mortoun, will be the man. The Earle of Seafield's character is the same at his death that it was throu his life. He was one of the cheif agents for the Union with England, and then Chancelour; and no side trusted him much further then his interest went. He has left a great estate behind him to his son Deskford, who is commended.

[September 16.]—Upon the 16th of this moneth, our Presbytery transported Mr J. Anderson from Port-Glasgou to the Toun of Glasgou, unanimously. Three or four wer silent. I was not there. I was
not in health, nor very willing to be present or active in the transporta-
tion. I sau he was for it himself, and the generality of the Presbytery; and I did not think it decent we should transport him, but rather referr
it to the Synod; and, in my opinion, I was positive the-town of Port-
Glasgou, considering that numbers of people resort, of strangers and
Glasgou people, to it, might have been laid in ballance with any of the
Congregations of Glasgow. Mr Anderson declared he would take it as
a favour that we should not transport him, and that he was willing to
live all his dayes with his people, if he could but gain one soul to
Christ. It's true his stipend is small, considering the dear way of living
there; but that inconvenience will be to his successor likewise. I pray
we may have a right one. I rather wished Mr Anderson had gone to
Renfreu. I fear his temper is too easy for Glasgow, and that he may
be imposed on there.

In the end of this moneth, we had an application from Renfreu, with
a petition for a Minister to preach and moderat a call to one not named;
but we kneu it was to Mr R. Paton, of Haddingtoun, in whom the dif-
ferent partys there centered. My Lord Dundonald was for Mr Millar
of Neilstoun, the one-half of the Colledge for Mr Rouat,* and the Prin-
cipal for Mr Bruce in Killellan: But Blythwood opposed Mr Millar,
and fell in with Lord Miltoun, who had the presentation in his hand to
fill up in concert with P[rofessor] Hamiltoun, who was, as is given out, for
Mr Millar; but that came to nothing. Mr Patön is under uneasinesses
with his colleague and the Magistrats of Haddington, because of his
mixing in their elections; and has but a very small stipend; and all
the Heretors are come in to him. He came West in Agust, and preached
twice at Glasgow, where the people [of] Renfrew heard him; many
mo than ever heard Mr M'Dermitt, or Mr N. Campbell; and, I doubt
not, he will setle there. The Presbytery sent Mr Millar to try the in-
clinations of the people, and session, and heretors.

In the end of the moneth, Mr Patrick Bruce called an occasionall
meeting of the Presbitry, and acquainted them ther wer two gentlmen
come over from Ireland with a call to him from his father's congregation,

* At Dunlop.
who dyed in the beginning of this year. The Presbytery met and summoned the parish to the third Wensday of October, when, no doubt, he will be transported. He was brought over to Killellan, with promises to provide better for him. He was pushed for to be settled in Glasgow and in Renfreu, but without success. He was made to hope, by Sir John Shaues means, and Collonel Cathcart, that he would be made one of the King's Chaplains or Almoner; but no vacancy hapned, and that misgave;* and so he returns to Ireland again. He has spent some money in his transportation; but his health is really ill since he came here.

October, 1730.—This moneth begins generally with the Magistrats' election at Glasgou. Ther was much to do this year. The party before headed by P[rovost] Stark† brought in the Stirlings, and they brought in the Buchanans; but, in the course of managment, the Buchanans and Stirlings fell by the ears, and plotted one another's destruction. Provest Stirling's managment does not please the toun, and he has not been popu[lar]: he has been reconed to bring in the toun to the family of Argyle, and brought in Ministers suspected to be on that side, and not very pleasing to the toun. These two partys breaking, the old side wer stronger than any in the Councill; and B[ailie] Murdoch was agreed on as Provest by both sides, as an easy man, who had no following‡ in the Councill. When the election came on, the Stirlings joyned Pr[ovost] Stark's party against the Buchanans, and Walter Stirling was chosen eldest Bailay; but then he has none save his brother in the Councill, and two or three more that he [can] recon on; and John Culters, and one [James] Peacock, who is of Pr[ovost] Stark's side. The Buchanans seem intirely out, and have no man on their side; all depends on the turns in Councill.

[October 6.]—Our Synod met October 6. We had a very good and pointed sermon from Mr James Laury, Minister in Dalrymple, upon Urim and Thummim. Mr John M'Lauran, Minister at Glasgou, was

* Failed.    † He was Provost in 1725 and 1726.    ‡ Supporters.
chosen Moderator. At the last Synod at Air, there was not the representation of a Synod; not ten, if so many, beside the Presbyteries of Air and Irwin; and, except upon speciall party affairs, we never have an appearance of a Synod but at Glasgow. The last Synod left the absents to be censured by this Synod themselves, and not by a Committy; and about twenty-four crowns were exacted.* This led the Synod to consider what was proper to be done for remedying this evil, and a Committy was appointed. There the matter was reasoned at some length. The bretheren of Air and Irwine declared their unwillingnes to separat from us on this side, and that they would not submitt without an appeal to the Assembly. They said, that, being such a large meeting as seven Presbitryrs, our counsells, in arduous cases, were better, and had much more weight: That we had been together forty year and more: That the touns of Air and Irwine had a claim upon us: That it was lazines, which should not be yielded to, which hindered the bretheren in the five Presbitryrs not to come to Air and Irwine, which would still grou when yeilded to: That if our good laues as to absents were execut, as we were beginning to do, they would secure attendance. We urged, that our meeting with the Presbyteries of Air and Irwine was really without rule: That there was no act of Assembly for it, but only permissive in the 1638: That, originally, we were two Synods: That the old Ministers at the Revolution were for our separat: That we were too numerous a meeting for bussines and any close attendance: That it was unreasonable to bring the bretheren of Air thirty-six miles or more to our meeting at Glasgow; or force Dumbartan, Glasgow, and Lanerk, to Air: That it was not to be thought of that Synods would be keept at Air by the execution of our money-laues: That the very doing of this, and examination of absents, took up the bulk of our time when met at Synods; and it was a shame our time was so spent: That bussines was not at all done at Air and Irwine, and it was really lossing that meeting of Synod; and, thersfor, it was proposed that we should still meet at Glasgow, because, without separat the Synods, which we had not so much in our pouver as the fixing of the place, the face of a

* As a penalty for absence without a sufficient excuse.
Synod would be better kepted up; and the brethren of Air that wer at
distance from Glasgou, wer far feuer than these of Dumbartan, Glas-
gou, Hamiltoun, and Lanerk, from Air; and Glasgou much more cen-
tricall than Air or Irwine to the most part of members: That the touns
in the West could not accommodat us: That they had no claim, and
really little proft, by our coming: That the bulk of Ministers in the
West wer willing, once a year, or it may be twice, to come to Glasgou
on bussines. Kilmarnock was proposed as more centricall than Air or
Irwine; but then it was doubted if we could be accomodate there. In
a word, it was urged by the brethren of the West, that this proposall
[of] meeting still at Glasgou was a forcing them to divide from us,
which they wer unwilling to do.

To that it was said, that we did not urge a division; and, if they
found meetings at Glasgou inconvenient, they might weel meet in a Synod
by themselves, and have five Presbitrys—four of them of ten Ministers,
and one of nine. After long debates, we came in, by a scrimp vote,* to
propose to the Synod that there should be three meetings at Glasgou for
one in the West; and that this was to be remitted to Presbitrys to bring
in their report to next Synod. This the Synod went into.†

We had, next, Mr Dick's transportation from Carluke to Glasgou,
referred by the Presbytery of Lanerk to the Synod. Mr Dick appeared
alone—not one of his people with him. There was a letter from one of
his heretors, telling that they valued their Minister, but wer hopeles
to keep him; and so did not appear. Mr Dick, in two or three words, said
he had a very loving people, and had lived seventeen years with them,
he hoped not without fruit: that he was sensible of his unfittnes for
Glasgou, but submitted to the Synod. Ther was no reasoning in the
Synod about it, and he was transported, and the ordination appointed on
the 28th of October.

We had the affair of Balfron before us, of which above. The Pres-
bytery‡ read a representation of the affair to the Synod, for advice and
their interposition. Therin they stated the case, and declared their un-
animouse resolution not to setle the presentee, while matters stood thus,
as what was contrary to their principles; and, indeed, the representation
was abundantly pointed. This came into the Overtures,* and it was
yielded that we should instruct our commissioners to the Commission to
joyne the Presbytery of Dumbartan; but I and some others urged a
letter from the Synod to the Commission. This was much opposed, as
countenancing the Presbytery of Dumbartan in their standing out against
the Commission’s sentence, as making us partys, and what would make
a noise, and what the Commission could not go into, it not being in their
power to alter what they had formerly done in a prior meeting. To that
it was answered, that when a Presbytery took a resolution that they
thought agreeable to our constitution, they should, if we were of the same
opinion, be supported in it: That all [that] was sought was a sist, and
going no further till the Assembly; which was very reasonable. And
so, the Synod went in to a letter to the Commission, wherein we repre-
sent the state as above, and declare that it’s not from any want of re-
spect to the Commission that the Presbytery do not fall in with their act
in August; and desire they may sist till the Generall Assembly take this
matter in their hand. What effect this will have, I know not. It will
make a noise, and let the Commission see that Synods and Presbyteries
will not still overlook their strange procedure in setting of parishes; and
if they proceed and clap a Commity to the Presbytery for setting Mr
Sinclair, without an elder, and contrary to the whole inclinations of the
people, it’s probable our next Synod (at least I think it’s our duty) will
make a plainer representation of our principles.

We had a motion for a Thanksgiving for the last harvest; but there
did not appear such peculiar things, though our harvest be a great bless-
ing, as to set apart a special day. And so it was not urged much. Ther
is a hazard in going in to appointments of fasts and thanksgivings without
very plain causes, and a hazard in neglecting them when ther are causes
clear and evident.

The Heretors of Renfreu made an application to our Presbytery at
the Synod for drauung up a call to Mr Paton on Munday next, and
moderating next Wensday, that it might be ready against the Synod of

* Committee for Overtures.
Lothian, to meet November 2, which they reconed would be of some use to them, and would forward their affair. We compleaned that the heads of familys wer not duly advertised by Mr R. Millar. We wer all strangers to Mr Paton, but yeilded to send two to moderat the call, so as it might come in October 28. We thought it very suddain to do this Munday next.

The Synod of Stirling met the week after ours. I hear, from a Minister who was there some time, that they had very litle before them. There was one pretty odd case—one M'Cartney, or a name like this—a young lad that has been blind from his infancy, and has been a student at Edinburgh for some time, and every one ounz he has has very uncommon ablilys, and hath made a great progress in all kind of learning. He has good testimonialls, and nothing I hear of is objected to his character, save some letters he wrote, or rather caused write, wherin he sheues some keeness to be licensed, and talks with some measure of freedom of the Ministers who wer not for his passing tryalls. However, these wer not insisted on. He was proposed to the Presbytery of Stirling; and they divided on this matter; and it came by a reference to the Synod. There the matter was argued. Litle could be said as to his letters. What was most urged was his face and countenance, which has somewhat (as generally all blind people have) uncomly about it. He has a protuberance on his one eye, and his face is spoted, and his other eye has a pearle upon it. It was urged that, under the lau of Moses, such wer excluded from sanctuary service; and his uncomlynes was pretty much insisted on. Mr Archibald Campbell, at Larbert, who has not yet been at Saint Andreus,* was the great reasoner for the blind lad; and argued, that nothing in his face was disagreeable to his tast; that this was his misfortune; and he urged much that he and other students that had spent their means in four or six years attending Divinity lessons, and wer not disqualified according to the Rules of the Church, had a claim and a kind of tacit right to plead that he should have the benefit of a license to preach, if they had no other disqualification save their

* Where he was appointed Professor of History.
countenance. He had a fling at Mr Muir in Stirling, as was thought, when he added, that Ministers that in preaching and prayer disfigured their countenance, and, by their tone and singing, their voice, were much more disagreeable to him in the pulpit than this youth would be if he were in a pulpit with all his disadvantages. However, it was the unanimous opinion of the Committee that the Presbytery should delay entering him on tryalls.

He tells me Mr Campbell's Essay on the Apostles makes a great noise in that Synod. When Mr Campbell went to London this year, his friend, Dr Innies, seemed not to know him; and till Mr Campbell had produced some letters of his, which [he] had with him, made him alter his method, the Doctor was like to have stood it out; but, hearing of these, he altered his measures, and, as I hear, gave Mr Campbell one hundred guineas to be soft and easy. It's said, when he got ac[cess] to the Chancellor, and owned himself the author of the Enquiry, the Chancellor said he took Dr Innies to have been the author, and gave him a living of two hundred pound; and now, since he was mistaken, he was ready to give it, or as good to him, if he would stay in England. Mr Campbell thanked him, and said, if he had any talents which might be useful, he chose rather to use them in his own country; and if his Lordship could help him to anything consistent with that, he would be in his debt. So, the Chancellor got him to be Professor of History at Saint Andrews. My informer says he is reckoned hardy, hasty, and forward, and imprudent in publishing his pamphlet at this juncture, because it will not recommend him at Saint Andrews.

The Synod of Stirling had another case before them of a student, Hepburn, in the Presbytery of Auchterarder. He was a Minister's son, and was in some post in Herriot's Hospital, and fell out with some of his superiors there; and in a tavern, it seems, run out to passion, some say cursing, and drunken. He retired, and was put on tryalls by the Presbytery of Auchterarder, where his father, they say, was a Minister. The Presbytery of Edinburgh wrote a letter to the Presbytery of Auchterarder, signifying to them that he was under scandal, and desiring them to sist; but, upon Hepburn's application to the Presbytery of Edinburgh, in six or eight weeks he brought a full testimoniall from
them. This made a new demurr; and when the Synod came, the book of the Presbytery of Edinburgh was revised. The Synod of Lothian, in their attestation, signified their disapprobation of the dissent that was entered into by a good many members against the testimonial to Hepburn. Upon which the Presbytery of Auchterarder, still demurring, referred the matter to the Synod of Stirling; and they were on it when he left Stirling, and had not ended the affair.

They had a reference from the Presbytery of Dunkeld, about one M'Laggan, I think, that is in hazard of joyning Mr Glass in his Independent notions. They recomended it to the Presbytery to deal with him by conference, and all proper methods, to prevent his defection.

[October 10.]—Upon October 10, the Collège of Glascou sat down, as usuall. I have before noticed Mr Carmichael's death, and the Collège calling Mr Francis Hutchison. They say, by an act of visitation upon a vacancy, the eldest master (when they were fixed two years since to particular classes, and not to go throu with their scholars, Logicks, Ethicks, and Physicks, as formerly) was allowed upon a vacancy to chuse if he would take himself to that class or not. Mr J. Loudoun formerly had the Logick Class, and was not so much for Mr Hutchison's coming. It was said he was easy,* since, being eldest master, he had his choice to teach Ethicks or the Morall [Philosophy] Class himself. Soon after the invitation of Mr Hutchison, he went to England, to the Bath, and passed the summer, and came home October 9. When Collège mett, they say ther are about twenty English students come doun, expecting Mr Hutchison was to teach Morality,† for which, by his enquiry into the Idea and Beauty of Virtue, and his book on the Passions, he is highly esteemed in England. Mr Hutchison was not come over from Ireland. So great was the expectation of the students which Class Mr Loudon would chuse. He and the Principall came doun together, and Mr Loudon went in to the Morality Class, wher his Logick scholars last year, such as wer come up, wer conveened. Upon this the English students were disgusted, and did not enter. It seems to have been a concerted thing. In the afternoon a Faculty was called; and a paper was

* Indifferent. † Moral Philosophy.
presented by the English students, signifying that they were come down in expectation that Mr H[utcheson] should teach Morality, and their parents and friends sent them on this view hither, and if it was otherwise, they were resolved all of them to go to Edinburgh. In short, Loudon was so dunned* by the rest of the Masters, that he yielded to let Mr H[utcheson] have the Morality Class for this year. He is used with teaching the Logicks. It’s generally the stronger Class. He had asserted his right, as eldest master, to take his choice, and yet for the satisfying of his colleagues and strangers, he quit his claim by an act of self-denyall.

Mr John Grant, Minister of Affect, brother-in-lau to Mr Campbell, the famouse architect, has been, upon the account of his legacy from Mr Campbell, [away] from his parish (where he has left a preacher whom he satisfies†) about two years or less. They say he has offers of a meeting at London; but the real cause of his stay, I am told, is a lau-suit he has with his sister-in-lau, Mr Campbell’s lady, or some of his freinds.§ The case is this: Mr Campbell left by his will about twelve thousand pound to his sister, Mrs Grant, and her children. His testament was made and finished in deu time before his death; but within the term directed by the law of England, he, ignorant of the law, added on the margin of his testament a thousand pound more, or some such summ, to Mrs Grant or her children. This, it seems, is pretended to make the whole paper void and null by the English forms, and that all he has comes to his relict during her life, who offers Mrs Grant five thousand pound, or some such thing; but they can not agree, and are gone to law. This is among the many instances we have of the vanity of riches, and high expectations from them, and the uncertainty of the most promising things this way.

[October 14.]—On the 14th of this moneth, the Dean of Gild of Glasgow was chosen. We heard severall named, particularly B[ailie] Orr, but it’s said he declined it. But Mr William Cunningham, Craigend’s son, is chosen, of whom we had no hints formerly; and they say he is

* Pressed. † Mr Loudoun. ‡ Pays for his services. § Relations.
brought in against the mind of the Stirlings and Buchanans. Whither it’s so or not, time will discover.

The same day, our Presbitry met and unanimously transported Mr Patrick Bruce from the parish of Killellan to his father’s parish in Ireland, where he has no great encouragement either. That people wer earnest to have him. He was willing to go, and, indeed, his health hath been very ill since he came among us, and his encouragement at Killellan is very small. His freinds, Barrochan family and Ladiland, whose daughter he married, designed him for some better post, Glasgow, Renvreu, and even one of the King’s Chaplains wer spoke of, but they could not be got done. He is a considerable loser by his transportation to Scotland and going back; a thousand pound Sterling or more; and his stipend at Drummore was better, I am told, than in the parish he is no[w] going to. It was given out that he was uneasy with his neighbours in Ireland; and I hope he was sincere in his vieus in coming hither, being a grave, modest-like man; but I never see changes by Ministers promoted by their freinds from secular and worldly vieus, and their changing and couping from place to place, as men do horses and merchandize, but it’s witnessed against, some way or other, and people’s expectations are often blasted.

About this time Mr Hutcheson came to Glasgow, and about eighteen or twenty of his former students at Dublin with him. He is well spoke of. He teaches Mr Carmichael’s Compend and Puffendorf, and speaks with much veneration of him,* which at least is an evidence of his prudence. He is very clos in examining the lads on the Sabbath night as to the sermon, and seriouse in his sacred lesson on the Munday; and he has many, not scholars, in the rest of the classes, who wait on his privat classes, severall tradsmen and youths in the toun.

There is a Highland gentlman, who is not throughly master of his reason, yet does hurt to nobody, who rides up and doun the country with a servant, Campble,† I think, of Glengyle, a stately proper person of a man, with this oddity about him, that from his birth one of his thighs is,

* Professor Carmichael, his predecessor.
† Gregor M’Gregor, (or James Graham,) of Glengyle. He was subject to occasional insanity. From the black spot on his knee, he was called Glune-dhu.
they say, coal black, and is generally termed the Gentleman with the Black Thigh. Some of the old prophesys, it's added, Rhymer® or others, speak of one in the Highlands to be born with a black thigh, in whose time great changes are to be. The fact seems certain enough that his thigh is dis-coloured.

About this time I hear of a very melancholy accident, or Providence rather, in Glasgou. One Robert Scot, a tradsman there, hath had his wife distempered for severall moneths, and the woman is under the reputation of piety formerly. Lately she is turned furiose. Her husband used to have much influence upon her; and in dealing with her one day she bitt him in the arm. The man, in a feu dayes, turned distempered and mad, and dyed of the bite, and in a rage. We are not suitably thankfull for our reason and senses; and it's a very great omission we have not publick houses for distempered people.

October 28.—Mr Robert Paton's call was drauen to Renfreu. The Heretors centered† in him, and the people wer willing to be setled. A feu of them heard him, and some were pleased, others not so much. Ther was no opposition made, no presentation spoke of, and, from different vies, the Heretors concurred, and the setlment goes harmoniously on. I wish them blessings one to another.

[October 29.]—Upon the 29th, Mr J. Anderson and Mr J. Dick wer received by Mr M'Laurin in Glasgou. I have said already hou matters stood as to them. It's plain the Ministers could make no other of it. The people and sessions wer much overuled, as is nou too ordinary in settmments. I doubt, in some feu years, they may be content they had been wher they wer formerly, among a loving and kindly people. The enterteanment was in Hutcheson's Hospitall, the company being great.

November 3, 1730.—Upon the 30th of this moneth, Mr Francis Hutcheson was publickly admitted, and had his inaugurall discourse. It's in print, and I need say no more of it. He had not time, I knou,
to form it, and it's upon a very safe generall subject. I knou he communicat it to Mr M'Laurin and Mr Anderson, and some little amendments wer made upon it, of no great importance. He delivered it very fast and lou, being a modest man, and it was not well understood. His character and carriage seems prudent and cautious, and that will be the best vidimus of him.

At this time I was surprized with the breaking out of the irregular marriage of K[atharine] W[odrow,] my cusine, about eight dayes before she brought forth her child to Somervail, a coppersmith. Nothing like this was expected from her; and if I could [have] promised any thing for any body for modesty and gravity, it would have been for her. Her concealing the marriage has dashed her reputation. Reasons are given for it; but her conduct has been suspicious; and it's well her worthy father is in heaven! The longer I live, the more unexpected things I meet with, and even among my own relations.

The Commission met at the ordinary time. What is done by the Commission is nou generally pretty fully in the Edinburgh paper; and I mind little remarkable save the case of the parish of Balfron, wher things wer pushed most unaccountably. In Agust, Mr Sinclair's call was susteane, though both Mr Buchanan's call and it were ordered to be moderat; and, by* all calculations, Sinclair's call had the minority, and not the shaddou of the parish with [it,] nor the other almost either; and yet they approve the worst call of the two, and that when the whole Presbitry and the whole Synod of the bounds wer unanimously against it, and declared so much to the Commission. Our Letter from the Synod was read, but laid aside. At this time the Commission adjoin a large Committy to the Presbytery, and ordean them to proceed to tryalls; but before the Commission came to intimate this, they wer not a quorum, and so nothing was done in that affair.

They had Mr Archibald's case before them likewise. He is a follouer of Mr Glass in some things, but a better man, though not of such abilitys. Professor Hamiltoun was outvotted in Mr Glasses deposition. After his keenest reasoning, he could not get his freinds in England

* Contrary to, beyond.
gratified (as is said) in that matter; though, I am ready to think, no Independant in England would stand up for Mr Glass his principles; and it was not for Independency meerly he was deposed, but for divisive schismaticall principles. Nou, it seems, P[rofessor] H[amilton] would sheu his weight in Judicatorys by preventing Mr Archibald’s deposition, though of the same professed principles with Mr Glass; and, as Mr Archibald is only declared not Minister of Guthrie, and no Minister of this Church,* this plainly makes the Commission last year clash with the Commission this year, in different sentences upon the same matter, and very much exposes the Judicatorys of this Church in the eyes of onlookers.

During the Commission’s meeting, my son Sandy dyed, in the Thursday morning, as stands elsewhere. He was a smart and pleasant boy, with many excellent qualitys. He was long under trouble, and yet got much patience; and had much peace and some comfort at his death, which should make me silent.

The Synod of Lothian had the affair of Mr Finlater’s settlement at Linton. The heretors wer generally for the settlement, and the Patron, and, I hear, the plurality of elders; but that is contradicted. However, the Synod ordered the Presbytery to go on, and added a Committy to them, at their desire, to setle him. When the edict was served, the people wer so averse, that they would not give the neighbouring Minister, who came to preach, liberty to preach nor serve the edict. They would not give him lodging in the parish the night before. They took† the copy of the edict, upon which soldiers wer sent out, and six or eight of them taken prisoners to Edinburgh, and some let out on bail. This makes great noise, and is turning a common thing. The settlement in January was peaceable; the soldiers were quartered in the parish, but not in armes, at the ordination, as was given out. Our troubles are growing as to settlements, and that chiefly by Ministers yeilding to Patrons and settlements direct cross to the inclinations of the people. I am affrayed, if things continuau at the rate they are, Presbytiry and Ministers loss the affections of the common people by thir settlements against the

* Without being deposed from the Ministry.  † Carried off.
plurality of heritors and people's inclinations, as ever the Episcopall Ministers were, under the late reigns; and when we loss the inclinations of the people, we are not much to lean to the affections of the noblemen and gentlemen, men whom we now strive to please in setlments.

This moneth, we hear, Duke of Hamiltoun, who had presented this Mr Finlater to Cambuslang, and keeped them vacant till he was setled these seven years, has nou condescended to Mr M'Culloch's setlment there, whom the people wer for. But nou Westburn* draues back.

December, 1730.—This moneth, John Luke, merchant in Glasgou, who has been tender and very brashy† these many moneths, turned very ill. He is astmatick, and under a complication of ill. I have been in his freindship these thirty years. He was my cautioner in the Library, and, ever since, a true freind; and, this moneth, gave me a fresh proove of his freindship, under vue of death. He was at the Sacrament in Glasgou this moneth, out of case to goe out any of the dyets;‡ yet had an earnest desire to communicat, and was carryed in a chair to Mr Hamiltoun's Church; and went in, and heard a Table served, and communicat, and came home the same way. This, I think, was the last publick worship ever he was present at; and he was exceeding easy after it, and met with his last feeding-meal§ there.

The designe of a Work-house for the poor was set on foot this moneth: See the papers printed on this subject. I took occasion to give a hint commending the designe, and encouraging to it in my ser- mon, Sabbath night, Laigh Kirk. It was not written, and only in a feu transient sentences. However, I had thanks for it by the Ministers and people concerned. See Mr M'Laurin's Letters this and following [month.] I was pressed afterwards to come in and preach on a week-day before the subscriptions; but that I declined, as very improper, and what was the work of the Ministers of Glasgou.

Upon the Munday's night after the Sacrament, Mr M'Laurin, Hamiltoun, Rob, P. Maxwell, and I, met and talked of what was proper to do

---

* Hamilton of.
† Sickly, poorly; literally, subject to water-brash.
‡ Week-day sermons.
§ Of spiritual nourishment.
as to Mr Simson and the next Assembly. Mr Hamiltoun told us Mr Simson had visited him on Wensday before the fast, and had been four hours with him in his room. He told him before the Communion, he was willing to talk what had passed these three or four years over with him, thinking he had been exceedingly wronged by him and his brethren. They entered to the detail, and Mr Simson had nothing but what had been again and again answered, both publickly and privatly. He said, that the most considerable Ministers in the Church, and some of the most pious, wer of opinion he had been hardly treated. When asked, Wherin? he had nothing to offer but what hath been said over and over, and as often answered. When urged that he was sensible ther was ground for all that had been done, in his very retractions, he said that he was still of the same sentiments ever he had been, and he was entirely mistaken when thought to be changed. On the whole, he and his freinds openly regrate their not applying to the last Assembly, who, they think, would have done Mr Simson justice, and restored him. We agreed to write to the different corners of the Church, and acquaint Ministers of the probable designes to restore Mr Simson next Assembly, and press them to be on their guard. It was laid on Mr Hamiltoun, Mr M‘Laurin, and me, to write. See my Letters this and next moneth.

Mr Simson and his freinds give it out, that he had the offer of the Professorship of Ecclesiasticall History and Divinity at Saint Andreus, (which Mr Campbell, of Larbert, has since got,) and refused it. If so be as they represent, which I very much doubt, it looks as if Mr Simson wer very sure of keeping his post at Glasgow, and being restored to teaching; otherwise one can scarce think he would have neglected one of the best settlments in Scotland—one hundred pound, or one hundred and sixty pound, as some call it, and preferred an uncertainty to it. But I hesitate a little if he had that Professorship in his offer.

Mr Francis Hutcheson is much commended since he came here. He carrys himself gravely, and will not meet in their clubs at night, nor drink: That he is not Arminian, but strictly opposite to these principles: That he sayes, on reflection he sayes, he is not throughly satisfied in
the principles, or rather some superstructures on which his book upon the Beauty of Virtue [is founded;] and if he publish another edition, he designes to alter severall things: That he is most intimat with Mr William Anderson, Mr John M·Laurin, and uses most freedom with them: That he seems to be under some very serious impressions from his father's death, and that of one of his children: That he is very full and positive for the restoring the discipline of the College, keeping the students to rules, catalogues, exact hours, &c., wherein ther is certainly a very great decay; so that, I hope, ther will be very good effects of his being at this juncture come to this country. In party matters, and some politicks, as to smaller matters, it's like he will be in the side with Mr Dunlop; but, in the main matters, it looks as he would be very useful.

Mr William Anderson tells me that, last summer, he hapned to be in conversation with a Deist, a person of considerable rank. They wer alone, and they very soon fell upon the debate betwixt us and Deists. After the person had used the best arguments he had, and Mr Anderson had given such answers as offered to [him,] the other fell upon the debates as to Church Government. The Deist said, "You will be, perhaps, surprized that I am intirely upon your side, and a Presbiterian in this matter! But I see that Presbitry is the only constitution agreeable to the libertys of mankind; and I am really perswaded that Ministers in equality, and minding their proper bussines, are exceeding usefull in a society, and necessary to it."

In talking of Mr Wisheart's diploma for being Doctor in Divinity, I was told that the Colledge of Glasgow gave it to him meerly as a member of their society; and when I enquired, How Mr Grosvenour came to be joyned with him in it? I was told, Mr Wisheart recomended him, and it was from that intirely that they went in to him.

The above said person tells me that he is well informed that the two brothers, the Duke of A[rgyll] and Earl of Isla, take much pains to have some interest in all the varrous societys in Scotland, and to have some thoroughly engaged to their side every where. Every body sees it in the Members of Parliament, the Lords of Session, the settlements of Ministers, and particular Presbyteries in the General Assembly. Indeed, I thought the lauers had been pretty free from party influence, save by
other engagments; but he assures me that, for these many years, a young advocate never sooner appears in the House, and discovers his parts and rising genius, but he has some favour sheuen him, or some gratuity and pension given him by one of the brothers, or some promise made to him. Thus universally carefull are they to spread and secure their influence.

Mr Anderson tells me, that he was a scholar to, and most intimate with, Professor Reeland,* about the 1720. He gives him a high encomium for piety and regard to pious persons, for exceeding great diligence, and a peculiar regard to our Scots students, who did well. My informer observed a mistake in Mr Reeland's solution of the difficulty, arising from the Scripture account of the brazen-sea; its circumference arising from the geometrical rules as to the proportion 'twixt a circumference and diameter; and, one day, set down another solution of it, with a sort of demonstration of it from principles of Geometry; and desired the Professor to read it over, and consider whither it was consistent with his, as it stands, I think, in the first or second edition of his Antiquitates Hebraice. Mr Reeland read it over, and presently saw it was eversive of his own solution, and told him he would think on it. Next time they met he thanked him for communicating it to him, and letting him see his mistake, that in the next edition he would correct it, and insert his paper, and give him publick thanks. Mr Reeland dyed a little after of the small pocks. He had a child that fell ill of them, whom he loved dearly; and whenever he took them he had some kind of presensation that he was to take them, and was to dye of them. He told some of his freinds that such a thought had seized him; that he could not account for it, yea, he was easy under the thoughts of it, beliving he was to make a happy change by death, if God was to order it out. Only he took care to conceal it from his wife, who loved him dearly. Accordingly, in eight or ten dayes he sickned, and in a little time dyed of that distemper. My informer was with him under his illnes. He was most calm and submissive to the Divine will, but did not express his fears of death, his wife being by him.

* Roland.
He told me, that Professor Mark was, though Anti-Cocceian, yet not a strict keeper of the Sabbath. That, on the Satardays, he used to go out to his country house; and, on the Sabbath, did not spend his time after Divine worship but in his garden; and would be sometimes seen pruning his treas, and ordering his flowers.

That the Lutherans are eminently taken up in signing hymns of a sacred nature; and this has been used by them since the Reformation. They sing much in their publick worship; and, every morning before they fall to their trades and bussines, sing some hymns, each of them by themselves; and, through the day, frequently, when at their trades or day’s labour, that alloues singing, they are very frequently repeating hymns melodiously when at their ordinary bussines, and when going from one place to another; and some (seem) to do this with much pleasure, and devotion, and seriousnes.

This moneth, towards the end of it, Mr Coats* and the people of the Gorbals entered to a concert for supplying the people there with catechising and sermon, as I hear, when they cannot get actuall Ministers, as they have got done pretty much for the year bygone. They agreed to give Mr W. Broun, and Mr James Sloss, six pound yearly, for examining the people, as an ease to Mr Coats; and that they should have, per vices, the care of supplying the Church with preaching, when not otherwise supplied, and be satisfied for this. The people are generally set on Mr Broun for their preacher, and Mr Sloss is likewise very acceptable.

They are going throu Glasgow and the neighbourhood for a supply for a fund to a Minister’s stipend, and have considerable promises and gifts. Mr John Orr, who has done so many good things with his money, hath offered them one hundred merk; and, further, has offered to bind himself for them in five hundred merks a year for a Minister, and take his payment from them as they could give it him.

This moneth, and formerly, we have many storys about Mr W. Wisheart, his being in uneasy circumstances at London. Sometimes it’s said that his wife does not keep her health at all at London, and is

* Minister of Govan.
advised to return to Scotland. At other times they say that he has
sent doun orders to enlarge his little house in the head of the Green,*
and that he is coming doun this spring to live in it. This seems to be
certain, that he and the Scots Congregation at London are not on very
good terms. I am told, by one who was his hearer, six or seven weeks
ago, that his auditory was exceeding thin; that ther wer not one hun-
dred hearers; that his sermon was all upon calumny, and reproach, and
evil speaking. Some say, that his intimacy with Mr Chandler, and
other violent Non-subscribers, have much broken him and his people.
Others, that are his friends, deny all this, and say he is exceedingly
liked at London; that he hath an invitation to the Court end of the
town, where they offer to build him a neu Meeting-house. What to
belive, on these different reports, I cannot say; a little time may dis-
cover it. However, as I have observed, when secular vies enter into
Ministers going from one place to another, I do not ordinarly see such
changes very satisfying to Minister or people. Whither this be the
case here, I do not knou.

Mr Loudon, who has been in England this summer, tells me that Mr
Thomas Burnet, nou the only surviving son of the knouen Bishop Burn-
et, who has his father's History, I am told, in his hands to publish,
_i.e._, the Second Volume, is in a strait for money, and, it's hoped, will
publish his father's book; and if he do, it's hoped it will be the more
uncastrat and unaltered, because, at present, he is malcontent and dis-
gusted with the present Ministry. The case is this:—Mr Thomas
Burnet was taken notitice of, by the Courts of Hannover, in Bulingbrock's
administration, for his pamphlet, dedicat to Bulingbrock, intituled, "A
Certain Account of a Certain Person, &c., proving that the Pretender
is indeed James the Third." He was made, in King George I. reign, the
King's Envoy to the Courts of Germany; and, in some time after,
he was the King's Resident in Genua, or some toun in Italy. Upon
King George II. his accession, and Sir Robert Walpool's administration,
he had no money due to him for his sellary remitted to him, and the
Government oued him L.6000 or L.8000. When he could have no

* Near where the Washing-house stands at present.
payment, without laying doun his character, he resolved to come home, and solicit his own arrears. He came by Paris; and there some villan, a Jacobite, attacked him, in order to murder him. Mr Burnet escaped, but sent over an express, with a complaint on the attack made on him. The murderer in designe came over to London sooner than his express, and applied to Sir Robert last year, or the preceeding; gave the story another turn, and pretended to reveal the Jacobites' secrets to Sir Robert, who is very much taken with such renegados. When Mr Burnet's express came over, Sir Robert parryd off the attack, and it came to nothing. Mr Burnet came over himself, but too late. Sir Robert was engaged; and he had little access, [and] no redress against the villan: and when he solicited for his arrears, he could get nothing from the Treasury, and came to be pretty much straitned. So that now, they say, he is a discontented Whig, and has thoughts of publishing his father's History, by which he will probably get as much as may relieve him of his straits; and if it be published in his present humor, ther will be no amendments nor suppressions of truth.

The same person tells me, that Lord Carteret has been exceeding bussy against Sir Robert Walpool in England, and has formed a very considerable party both among the House of Commons and the Nobility, and has been gathering matter for an Impeachment. We have a generall talk, this moneth, of a double impeachment, on by Sir Robert, in the House of Peers, against Carteret; and another, in the Commons, against Sir Robert. But when the parliament sits doun, no hint of this. The party against Sir Robert, in both Houses, seems not at all to be increased, but as little as last year.

He tells [me,] the narrounes of the Queen is much talked of in England. Ther was a very rich stuffe that she sent for, the richest that has been in England; and when she sau it, she liked it, but came not to the price by ten or twenty pounds, and it was returned. When it came back, Alderman Parsons sau it, and streight payed the price, and sent it home to his lady. In some hours she took a liking to the cloath, and sent for it again. The merchant told it was sold. The
Queen sent again, to know to whom? It was told; and she sent to Mrs Parsons to have it; but that could not be done. Another instance was a gold snuff-box the Prince, when he had got some money, bought in a toy shop, and gave seventy gineas for it. In a day or two he gave it to one of his sisters. The Queen saw it in her hand, and asked how she came by it? That was told: the Prince called for, and asked where he got it? He told. A servant was sent to the shop with a complaint that the box was too dear; and fifty gineas were offered, otherwise the box was to be returned. The people sent word it could not be afforded, and they had scarce a ginea of profit: that they sold it rather easier to the Prince than to another. The box was returned, and the money taken back. These things make some noise in Old England.

He tells that several of the Dissenters in England are turning Arrian; and yet there is a good body of them free. Many more would, probably, discover themselves, did not their people's giving up with them, and calling others, prevent this. This makes them conceal themselves as long as they can: That the interest of the Non-subscribers is daily losing ground, and several of the Non-subscribers are going off to the Church. Our publick prints bear this; one particularly, very rich, and having a good sellary, went over to a less in the Church.
January, 1731.—There is not much remarkable, this month, that I mind of, save what is in the prints, and Letters to me. The Parliament meets, and the King’s speech leaves us in the same state of uncertainty that we have been in, as to publick affairs, these several years. The party in Parliament are much as formerly, and seem to gain very little ground one upon another. We were dunned with impeachments and warm speeches, but not much that way hath appeared. It’s said, that money keeps all quiet; and an incident is fallen in, the end of this month, which makes matters much more easy to Sir Robert—the Duke of Parma’s death; which will probably unite the Empire of Spain, and preserve our peace.

The beginning of this month, William Niven, younger, dyes suddenly; which, with the unsettlednes* of the remaining son, is a very heavy rod to that honest family.

Mr Andrew Gray’s settlement at New Kilpatrick goes on. The Presbytery find his call good; and it’s certain he has the plurality of legall callers, as they are termed, heretors and elders; and he has a good number of the heads of familys, near an equality with the other side. There is no opposition made but what flows from a particular disgust, not at him, but the Duke of Montrose,† and picks [piques] among the heretors themselves. The Presbytery resolve to go through with the settlement; and though the opposers have appealed to the Commission or Synod, (which meets first,) yet they are going [on] with his tryalls, and will settle him before the Synod.

We have the accounts continuouing of Mr Wisheart’s uneasy circum-

* Unsteadiness.  † The Patron.
stances at London with his people; and his freinds at Glasgou do not deny but he has thoughts of coming doun; but they say he is to be made Principall of the Colledge of Edinburgh; but I do not think that probable, as matters stand, neither do I see wher his interest lyes to get that accomplished.

Principal Campbell, end of the last moneth, began to gather the students of Glasgou. He gave it out very publickly he was not to teach; but he altered on a suddain, from what springs I cannot say. But he proposed, in Faculty, Whither he should teach or not? The Masters said they wer not to advise him in that, neither had they formerly interposed. They desired to knou why he had nou communicated his designde with them. He insinuat, and afterwards more directly told, that he expected the College would consider his additionall trouble in teaching, especially that some other of the Masters had received gratuities for their extraordinary teaching; as Mr Anderson for teaching Mr Carmichael's class after his death. That they peremptorly refused, and said, that if any body wer to consider his pains, and if he did not think he was oblied as Primarius Professor of Divinity, it was Mr Simson's bussines, not theirs, who enjoyed the sellary. He has nobody nou in the Faculty who joyns him save Mr Simson. All the rest beard him in every thing. The meetings of Theologues* are but just a form. The Principal only hears discourses. He has not, this session, had above two or three prelections; he does not explain almost any thing, but only hears discourses; ther is none present but the bursars, and some feu Glasgou lads, and a feu about.†

I hear the toun of Stirling are upon getting a third Minister. Ther are severall considerable mortifications for a fund; and Collonel Blaeder left some hundred pounds, and his Lady offers some more, if she be satisfied in the choice. We hear, somtime after this, that the people generally are for Mr Ebenezer Erskine, one of the Representers or Marrou Bretheren; and she is for him to[o ;] and it's probable that designde will go on. The Ministers‡ are against it, though they are thought very favourable to The Marrou, and decline to give their judgment till the Presbytery be advised with.

* Students of Theology. † From the neighbourhood. ‡ Of Stirling.
[January 13.]—On the 13th of this month, John Peady, of Roughhill, son to the last Provost Peady, dyed, in four or five dayes illnes, of a pleuretick favour.† From his taking it, he laid his account with death. His lady, Blackhall’s sister, about eight dayes before, was brought to bed of a son to him. I am well informed that he was under some surprize and damp; for three weeks before his death; the reason of which he signified to his lady, on her importunity; but really it should not have been spoke of; but being knouen nou, I set it doun. About three weeks before he sickned, he was going out to or coming in from Roughhill, in a dusky evening, and an oul crossed his way twice, and, some say, sat, or offered to sit, on his shoulder. This incident, he said, affected him much. It was his weaknes, and he was ashamed of it, but by no means could he get it laid aside; and the more, that the same thing hapned to his father, about three years ago, as the Provost told him, at the very same place, and in an evening, an oul came twice about him, crossed his way, and indeavoured to perch on him. He was in perfect health; and just about a moneth before his death, of which we have heard before, his father told him that he did superstitiously regard it, knouing ther was no connection betwixt [it] and any calamity on him or his, but he feared his own death, or some of his, was near. Mr Peady, younger, meeting with the same incident, it affected him very much. He was a youth of great piety and good expectations. He has left a plentyfull fortune, more than twenty thousand pounds sterling, and an excellent lady. This is a very strange passage, but certain enough.

January 20.—Upon the 20th of this month, Mr Robert Paton was settled Minister at Renfrew. Ther was nothing but harmony in the settlement. I was keepe, by the children’s illnes, from being present at it. Mr R. Carrick received him; and he came immediatly, with his family. He is like to be tender.§ He had an ague, some years ago,

* Provost Peadie died in July 1728, while he was Chief Magistrate of Glasgow. He had been elected Provost October 3, 1727.
† Arising from inflammation of the pleura, then styled pleuritic fever.
‡ Depression of spirits.
§ Unhealthy.
and had the Jesuit’s-bark given to him; and it was well purged off, and his ague but half-cured, so that it frequently recurs; and he is troubled with a kind of vertigo and failour of memory, which, I am told, is the reason of his keeping his papers constantly in his Bible.

I have had considerable distres on my three youngest children this moneth; and Lilly and Martha and little Jamie are brought near to death with the chin-cough, a most violent renting distemper, in which parents tast of death, as it wer, for many weeks, not knowing but at every kink* the child may be carried off to an unchangeable state; and when the distemper comes to a very great hight, as indeed it has done with mine, it is a constant rack, as it wer, to a parent standing by. The two youngest have measles, small-pox, and teething all together. Lilly, that wants these, at least outwardly, appears in most hazard.

February [1,] 1731.—Upon the first of this moneth, Mr James Craig, Minister in the Old Kirk, Edinburgh, dyed, or at least we had the accounts of his death. He has been long ill of a decay and spitting of blood. He was, I think, an Episcopall Minister’s son, and was Minister at Yester, and from thence transported to Edinburgh. He had once a very numerous family, about fifteen or sixteen children, and now they are reduced to two. He was a very grave, modest man; a most fervent, accurat, and distinct preacher, highly valued by his people, at least the most judicious of them. I have heard him blamed for preaching morall dutys, without Christ; but his hearers tell me that is very much wrong. He was the person at the Committy who straitned Mr Simson with a question which he refused to answer. He seemed to be a little favourable to Mr Simson, but was plain for his laying aside from teaching. He had a kind of burr in his speech, but when he warmed in the pulpit it was not much observed; and his hearers reconed it rather a beuty. His sermons wer all very accurat and very pathetickall. They talk of printing some of them after his death. He seemed to me, in conversation, to be a firm Calvinist, and much for the doctrine of the grace of God, in Christ. His poems are printed under the title of "The Spirituall

* The act of hooping, in this distressing complaint, is still, among the vulgar, termed kinking; and the disease is called the kink-host.
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Life.” Hou a foolish story came to be talked, that many of these poems were composed by his son, who dyed about twenty or twenty-two years,* a youth of great expectation, I cannot conceive. His affection to him was singular, and his death seemed first to break his father's health; and we parents, if not unnaturall, are ready to do every thing that may tend to the preservation of the memory of our children when taken from us, and to steal his son's poems, and put J. C. to them after his death, is a vile supposition. I saw some of them in MS. before his son was capable of making an English verse, and I knew hou averse he was from publishing them. Indeed, I think they are the best Scots Poems ever we had published; and in the poetical flight, fancy, and strong images, are equal to most of the celebrated English performances; and his preface to them is very good.

[February 3.]—Upon the third of this month my daughter, Lillias, dyed of the chincough; and, I doubt, had the kind of small-pox the other two had afterwards begun in her, and probably dyed in the out-striking of them. She was a tender child, and when opened, had two or three polipuses in her heart, through want of exercise, and her liver was very large. She could not have lived much longer. She was in a high fever two days before she dyed. We thought her better some hours before her death. She was a smart, thinking child, little more than six years, of a great spirit and considerable thought. Some time before she dyed, she declared her willingness to dye, and that she chused rather to go to Christ; and being asked, If she would leave her mother and me? she said, “It was better to be with Christ, and in heaven.” She said, some days after that, she was feared she would rue, and was sorry for it; but still thought it best to go to Christ. This is six children I have, I hope, in heaven, within these two years, or some less. May those that remain be fair in the way to it!

This has been a calm, still, and hazy winter; few winds, very little rain as ever I saw, since September, and no frosts, save two nights, one of which was the sharpest I almost ever felt. I doubt the effects of it

* Of age.
on our bodies are not yet over. I expect diseases in the spring. Kink-
hoasts* are turning common among children. Ours had them first in
this place, but many since; and persons of sixteen and eighteen years
of age, and [they] tell me people of thirty and upwards, have it, which
was scarce ever knouen in my time; the chincough being a distemper
proper almost to children.

This moneth, the Gorbals people are supplicating for a contribution for
paying the building of their Church, and many persons in Glasgou offer
pretty frankly, and especially B[ailie] Orr, as has been noticed. It's
strange that the toun and Colledge, on a mistaken point of honnour,
oppose a people that have exceeding† every one that I have heard of,
almost, in this excellent work of Mercy to Souls.

[February 15.]—On the fifteenth of this moneth dyed Mr Michael
M'Taggart, Minister at Glasfurd, at Kilbride, in his way home. He
had been in suit of a daughter of Mr Alexander Muir, and it had many
ups and douns. At lenth, the week before he dyed, the matter was
ended, and a minute signed, and he was to have been proclaimed [the]
Sabbath after he dyed. When he was riding home he felt his legg swell
and turn very painfull, and [he] alighted at Mr Connell's, in Kilbride, and
dyed. His pain increased, and he turned sickish, and Mr Connell sent
for Mr Gordon from Glasgou. Mr Gordon sau it was a gangren in his
legg, and declared it uncurable, and that he would dye. His legg had
been spoyled some way when he was young, and cured, but it seems
imperfectly, and the scarrs and a blacknes still remained. He was not
very apprehensive of death himself. However, he soon fell a raving,
and dyed a little after. When he was asked, to whom he would leave
any thing he had? he added, "To whom but my wife, Christine Muir!" A
writter was desired to form his testament, but before he could get it
formed, Mr M'Taggart was not able to signe it, and soon dyed. He
was come to age,‡ a piouse man, of a very good gift of preaching. He
was the occasion, accidentally, of Mr Simson's first process; being born
in the parish of Penningham, and, 1714, a student with Mr Simson, and

* Chin-cough, or hooping-cough. See note, p. 200.
† Exceeded.
‡ Somewhat advanced in life.
Mr Rouan had his informations from him, and communicat them with Mr Webster and Mr Simson himself. He was, in the last process, pretty favourable to his old master, Mr Simson.

About the same time dyed Mr Hugh Thomson, Minister of Kilmares, but dimitted his ministeriall relation to that parish, as I belive hath been noticed about the 1712, having no freedom to take the Oath of Abjur-ation, and being affrayed of the fines being exacted. He studied physick, and came to have some reputation in it, and purchased land to the value of one thousand or twelve hundred merks a year. He took no money, while a Minister, for his advice; but his son sold druggs, and that way the family made money. He was with me a hearer and partaker at se-verall Communions, and at the last a helper; and it was the last time, I suppose, he communicat, unles at Kilmarnock, if he was there. His successor, Mr H. Cochran, and he did not agree. He opposed his settlement as an heretor. He was the longest preacher ever I heard, and would have preached four [or] five hours, and was not generally under two hours; that almost every body expected. He lived for some time, till about a year ago, at Glasgow, and was pretty much impolyed in physick, in which he had long practise, but could have no exactnes in the theory. He was a piouse good man, and a fervent affectionat preacher, and, when I heard him, he had a vast deal of heads, and a great deal of matter, and generally very good and practicall, but very long. He was exceeding ready, and would have preached long with very little study.

This moneth the subscriptions for the work-house at Glasgow, for impolying the poor, begun. The richer persons signed twenty and twenty-five pounds; the ordinary merchants and shopkeepers ten and five pound. In short, in Scotland, I never heard of any thing so much charity and chearfulnes appeared in. In a week or two twelve hundred pounds sterling was signed for, besides two hundred pounds Mr Orr gives; and the Toun, Merchants'-house, and Trades, are [to] give largely to it. The toun, indeed, has susteamed great losses, impositions, and hardships, in their trade, and yet in this matter have done in some measure beyond pouer, and most liberally. I hope it will be an excellent
pattern to the shires about, and to all the kingdom. All will depend on the choice of Managers, into whose hands this money, building the house, and managing the poor in it, which they propose shall be several hundreds of working poor, falls; and it will be a thousand pitys if it shall nou fall into wrong hands, or miscarry, after such noble and encouraging beginnings, and great progress made in it.

*February* 21.—The 21st of this moneth, being Sabbath, ther was one of the most wicked and scandalouse rables and riots at the Neu Kirk of Kilpatrick that I have heard of in the West of Scotland. Mr A. Gray was appointed by the Presbytery, his tryals being nou well nigh over, for that parish, as has been hinted, to supply them that day. The heretors, the Lairds of Mains, Succoth, Kilmerdinny, and James Graham of Kilmanan, uncles to Dougalstoun, and ther sons, mett several times the week before to concert measures for the putting a rub on the young man, and prevent the settlement before the Synod; and at lenth fell on this of a riot. They have nothing to say against the youth, Mr Gray, and declare they like him as well as any other; but are angry at the Presbitry for hasting the ordination before the Synod, to whom they had appealed. The Heretors are by the ears among themselves, and privat picks [piques] and disgusts, upon the Duke of Montrose granting a place to one of their sons and not to another; and Mains, Succoth, and some others, are upon Argyle's side, against Montrose. They hired and hounded out thirty or forty servant lads in that parish, and some neighbouring parishes, and some weemen, to joyn them. It's said Mains got up early in the morning of the Sabbath, and road throu these of whom he hounded out.

In the morning they came (feu or none of the parishoners, servants excepted, and some weemen who wer parishoners, wer concerned) and took the Church keeyes, filled the pulpit with stones, and hung up the forms on the lofts, and barricadoed the dores. Carscadden,* Shir riff, hearing of this, sent up the shir riff-officers about nine to disperse them,

* Colquhoun of Carscadden.
but they were driven off. At the ordinary time, Carscadden and Mr Gray came up, and Hutcheson,* and the Church-yeard was guarded with thirty or forty young fellows; no body suffered to enter. The people were mostly conveened to hear sermon, and standing at some distance. Carscadden, hearing that Mains and other opposing heretors were come up, and seeing the opposition, went to them, and desired them to join him and keep the peace, and procure access to the Kirk, and prevent the profaning the Lord’s day. They answered, they were come to hear Mr Gray themselves, but would not meddle with the people, to disperse them at the Church-yeard. Carscadden, with the shiriff-officers, and Hutchison and others, went to the Church-yeard. The officers again were attacked by the rioters who guarded the Church-yeard. Hutcheson was beat down with a club, and fainted, and was carried off. Carscadden was struck, and forced off. Upon which he retired, without reading the King’s proclamation; which would have made the rioters guilty of death. Ther was no sermon. The two gentlemen were bruised and wounded sore. Kilmerdinny and Kilmanan sons were the only persons of note. Some weemen and men were hurt in the confusion. Mr Gray stayed in the change-house, and went home with Carscadden, to whom and Hutcheson surgeons and physicians were brought. Next week Council letters came out, and severall of the rioters were taken and imprisoned, and severalls fled. A man who was imprisoned, not as active in the mob, but found ther, the Miller of Garscub, who went to bring out his wife, Agnes Paul, a chief rioter, from the confusion; and she fled and he was taken, dyed in Glasgow three weeks after, being in an ill habit of body, and bailed.

This is one of the most outragiouse profanations of the Sabbath, and breaches of the peace, that has hapned hereabouts, and is designed to protract the settlement, and is the woefull effects of party rage and privat pick, [pique.]

In the end of this moneth, we had the accounts of a bill preparing by my Lord Isla for nailing doun Patronages on this Church; see Letters this moneth. It is to take away Presbyteries’ *jus devolutum*, to lodge calling in Heretors, to exclude elders, and to take away Presbyteries’

* Hamilton of Hutchison.
pow'r of second tryall, and ordering the present setlements on presentations. All the account of this is in a Letter from the Laird [of] Herron, who is exceeding inward, * they say, more than any other Scots Member, with Sir Robert Walpool. We shall hear afterwards all this is said to be groundles.

March [10.] 1731.—The Commission met the tenth of this moneth. I went in upon the allarume of the Bill about Patronages. At our first meeting the setlement of Balfrone came in by the minutes. The Commission in May ordered Mr Sinclair and Mr Buchanan to be on the lites, and the Presbytery obeyed and turned out Mr D. Broun, though the people wer evidently for him. The Commission, in Agust, preferred Mr Sinclair to Mr Buchanan, against all rules, Mr Sinclair having the plain minority. In November, the Presbytery sent a very strong paper, and the Synod a Letter, urging a delay till the Assembly. The Commission went over † both, and ordered a Committy to joyn the Presbytery, and to take Mr Sinclair on tryalls; they gave instructions to the Committy, and finished all, to the intimation of the sentence. When the partys wer called in, the quorum was challenged, and found not sufficient; and so all stood as it was till March. When we mett, the minute was read, and the question was, Hou the Commission should nou act? It was moved, that they should go on to compleat the setlement. Against this it was objected, that the setlement was not agreed upon at the last Commission for want of a quorum. That was denied, and it was asserted, that all was good that was done before the quorum was questioned, otherwise there would be no certainty in any sederunt of the Commission; and, therfor, all conteaned in the minute was the deed of the former Commission, and could not be rescinded by this; and so nothing was to be done but the sentence of the Commission to be intimat at this dyet. Then the minute behoved to be read again, and it was found not clear enough; and, therfor, the members present at the Commission in November claimed it as their right, exclusive of us who wer not there, to rectify and enlarge that minute, it not being read till this day. And so they did, and made it express that Mr Sinclair was to be

* On confidential terms. † Disregarded.
setled before the Assembly, and some other clauses. This was a very strange procedure, and lyable to much mistake at least. In the afternoon we mett again, and resumed the affair. It was asked, What we wer nou to enter on, and what was intire and not already concluded? It was answered, the nomination of the members of the Committy, and their time and place of meeting. It was urged, that then ther was room to delay till the Assembly. That was denied, and the minute, rectifyed in the fornoon, was cast up. It was said, that a delay to the Assembly was not a rescinding of what was done, but a delay. That would not be granted. Some members of the East country wer adjoyned to the Committy; the Moderator, Mr Smith, Crawford, Naismith, Walker, and others, wer adjoyned, with a designe to have a meeting after the Commission, and name texts to Mr Sinclair at Edinburgh. That did not please some of the proposers. Mr Smith said, it would be better that the Commission themselves execut their own sentences, and name Mr Sinclair texts and subjects, ex cathedra; and nobody could except against that! It was [said,] That was taking the Presbytery’s pouer out of their hand, and by that way of doing the Commission might engross all the pouer of planting of places in their hand, and pass tryalls, and ordean the Minister likewise, without the Presbytery. That matter was reasoned a little, and put to the vote, and it caried, “Delay till the meeting of the Presbytery,” by nyne votes; which was a disappointment.

The affair of Patronages, and the bill about them, was what was very allaruming to us in the country. We had a meeting among some Ministers with Coll[onel] Erskine and Mr Charles Erskine, on Wensday, at dinner, wher we talked the affair over. Ther was no letter but the above from Herron, four or five weeks ago, and we hear of no steps that way taken since. It was the opinion of our two gentlemen, and some others, that the matter should be cast up* in the Commission, and an adress moved against Patronages, on this rumor; and, according to the Instructions by the Assembly to the Commission, the rumors we had wer thought ground enough for this application in generall, which might regularly have been made even without thir rumors. This was not to some of us so desirable. The Assembly was near, and application from

* Taken notice of.
them appeared much more weighty than from us. In case any such thing wer in vieu, at London, as the nailing down Patronages, our Adress perhaps might irritat, and push some, who otherwise would be silent, to stirr. It was uncertain if we would carry our Adress in the Commission, and better not press it than loss it. On the other side, it might encourage ill-designing men at London, if, when they kneu hints wer given of the designe, the Commission met and did nothing; and confirm them in the thoughts that really we, the Ministers, wer not against Patronages. I moved a conference with bretheren on the other side, since we must have sides; and Mr James Henry and I wer appoint-ed to speak with the Moderator, and Mr Alston, and some others. We did so, and they went into the thing.

Accordingly, that evening Mr Henry* made the motion, that the Commission might nominate some Members to conferr on a matter of some common concern. And, accordingly, the Moderator, Mr Smith, Mr Alston, Mr M. Crawford, Mr J. Walker, Mr Kinloch, the Laird of Pencaitland, Mr Henry Gustard, H. Maxwell, R[obert] W[odrow,] Colonel Erskine, Mr Charles Erskine, and some others, wer named. We met that night in a very freindly temper. The alarume was opened, and advice asked. It was moved by Mr Charles Erskine, that the Commission might address the King upon our greivances; that was thought not so advisable. It was asked, what was then to be done? Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun said there was [no] letter but that of Herron’s, and he was of opinion it was writ with a particular vieu to the West Kirk; but he did not think any thing would be done, at least he had no letters, nor kneu of any from Members of Parliament; and they used still to write when any thing was to be brought in that related to the Church. I asked, if there might not be a designe, in silence, to have no stirr till the Commission was up; and the bill might be passed in this week, and car-ryed thru before the Assembly, and so it might be passed before any applications could be made by the Church. To this it was answered, they would answer for no man; but only, if such a designe was in hand, it was strange that there should be no hints of it from any Members of Parliament to any body, save Herron’s letter. Then it was proposed

* Minister of Kinghorn.
what was proper to be done to prevent the worst, and a surprize. Mr Smith, Alston, and Professor Hamiltoun, said that they did not think an application proper from the Commission; but if we were attacked, especially without acquainting us, we should make a publick application; and they declared them willing to join with us in it with the greatest vigour, because it was a plain invasion on our constitution, and such a bill would go near to ruin us. The Commission meet quarterly for privat causes, and what is refered. There have been instances of Commissions pro re nata; but these readily must have six weeks advertisement, that members may at least have time to come up. That would not hitt our case at present, and the only habil method was by short adjournments of the Commission, which we had been in use of since the Revolution. The Commission has adjourned somtimes a week, a fourteenth-night, three weeks, &c.; and if we heard account of the bills being brought in, then members would come up; if not, the members at Edinburgh, though not a quorum, could adjourn a fourteenth-night, and so on till the Assembly. This was gone into unanimously; and, accordingly, next fornoon, the Commission adjourned for three weeks. Thus this matter passed harmoniously, and this was all could be done.

I remark only further, that it was observed, in our reasonings, that the people above seemed to be much encouraged to fasten Patronages upon us, by the procedure of the Commission in plain siding with patrons, against the plain rights of Presbitrys and people; and gathered from this, that really the Church of Scotland, of whom they make their judgment from what is done in Commissions, would peaceably stoup* to Patronages. Another thing I noticed was, that one made an observation that the reason why nothing was done upon our Assembly’s proposals to King and Court as to our greivances, was want of inclination, or perhaps want of application to our Members of Parliament: That the effectual way to gett our greivances redressed was this, that the Assembly appoint Ministers to deal with all our Scots Members in the recess, and see if they can be gained to be freindly, without which all applications to King and Parliament are in vain; and then, if they can be gained, for the Commission to apply to the King, and send

* Submit.
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up Members to agent the affair in time of Parliament, and hold hand
to it.

I notice that Commissions of our Assemblys are taking very wide
steps, under the notion of executing their own sentences, and this way
evidently incroach upon Synods and Presbitrys, which will be of terrible
consequence. An affair as that of Balfron, by a most irregular appeal,
upon a most groundles pretence, is taken out of the Presbitry’s and
Synod’s hand to the Assembly, not with any designe the Assembly
should consider it, but [to] turn it over to the Commission. The Commiss-
on act as a feu about Edinburgh are disposed, to please Patrons [and]
great men, and a feu make a false step, and then it’s pretended it can-
not be rescinded by a posterior act of the Commission, and so must be
execute; and Committys of called-out men are chosen by the Commis-
sion, and under the pretext of executing the Commission’s sentence,
refuse to allou the Presbitry to meet with them, unless they act as the
Commission acted. This sapps our constitution, exposes us, hightens
our division, and is the way to make the whole Church of Scotland
stoop to a feu at Edinburgh, as if they wer Bishops.

This brings to my mind a story I hear of what lately passed betwixt
Mr Cunningham of Boquhan and Mr Smith of Craumond, nou of Edin-
burgh, upon the very subject of Balfrone, though his brother-in-lau,
Kilcrough,† is one of Mr Sinclair’s side. Mr Cunningham, in conversation
with Mr Smith, with much seriousnes asked Smith, what he and some
others, men of reflection, sense, and knowldege of the world, proposed to
themselves in violenting people and Presbitrys in the settlments? and
told him he thought they acted very imprudently, and would soon loss
the affection of the people and many gentlmen; asking what, under
God, they had further to look to? It seems this raised Mr Smith’s passion
a little, and he answered him, “Sir, we have done it, (Balfrone settlment
by Mr Sinclair,) or we will have it done, and [it] must be done.”—“Must
be done!” sayes Boquhan; “that is an impertinent answer from any
Presbiterian Minister, and unworthy of you;” and he run him doun
fearfully, till he had nothing to say.

* Selected for the purpose.  † Napier of Culcreuch.
This is a growing evil, which will undoubtedly in the first room divide us, and brings in a spirit of party amongst us; it will sink our reputation in the eyes of persons of rank and influence; it brings in animosities and emulation among Ministers, and is a plain departure from our Presbiterian principles, and quitting the proper rights of Generall Assemblies, by sinking their power as to settlements in the hands of a few Ministers; and is what, in my opinion, Presbyteries and Synods should consider the tendency of, and endeavour to provide remedies against.

There was little further before this Commission but some causes from Angus and the North, about matters of no great concern to [the] publick, and which must necessarily be ended. There was a cause of divorce came before us, by appeal from our Synod at Air, in the case of James Caldwell. His wife fell in adultery; he obtained a divorce in New England, upon the notoriety of the fact of her being brought to bed of a child in his absence. The woman, four or [five?] years after the divorce, married, irregularly, another man than the person with whom she committed adultery. The Presbytery interdicted them converse; they appealed to the Synod: The Synod, when none but Air Presbytry and Irwine almost were present, affirmed the Presbytery’s sentence, and the Commission disannulled the Synod’s sentence, without any opposition. They went on that principle of the Scots Lau and Canon Lau, that where there is a divorce for adultery, both parties may marry, even the pars ledens, save to the person with whom the adultery was committed.

My Lord G[range] was not in this Commission. He has been ill in his health this winter, for several weeks confined to his room. I waited on him. He is sore shaken, and appears hearties and sinking. The case of his family, and his lady’s separation, I doubt sticks hard on him. He is riding for his health.

I am told that, in the Presbytery of Edinburgh, Mr M[athew] Crawford said, in one of their debates, that Patronage was no greivance on this Church. This, if true, was strange impudence, and not only contrary to the Churches declarations, but the declarations of all Churches.
which bear the name of Christians. The Bishops of England complean loudly of them, and so doe many of the Popish writters. Alace! what a lou pass are we come to, when Patronages are questioned to be a greivance! I hear, since the Commission, at the meeting of the Presbytery after the Commission, Mr Crawford read a paper in Presbitry, as his speech in defence of Presentations, which is a strange step.

Doctor James Crawford, Professor of the Hebreu tongue at Edinburgh—a man of piety, of excellent solid sense, but a recluse, modest man—dyed in the end of February, or the beginning of this moneth. Mr M[athew] Crawford, P[rofessor of] Hist[ory] is using all his small interest to get into that post, and hold plurality of benefices. It's about sixty pound per annum, and in the hands of the Toun Councill of Edinburgh. Mr Mathew Crawford has, I am sure, (if not changed since he left us,) but a very ordinary knouledge of the Hebreu tongue; but I believe he could overcome that. He has one hundred pound, and really does nothing for it. He will give no privat Colleges* but for money, and nobody comes to him. His publick prelections are not frequented; he will not have six or seven hearers, they say: Not one of his Collegues favour his designe; and he will have very little interest in the Toun Councill, that post being designed for P[rofessor] Hamiltoun, when Principall.

Which brings me to set down what I hear as to the filling of the Principall's post at Edinburgh. It has been vacant since Mr Wisheart's death. In May last, I set down what I heard about this. Since that, nothing is done that I hear of. Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun is weary of teaching, and does not appear to care much for continuouing. Mr Gaudie, it seems, he is inclined to, though he does not name him; and, poor man, he is in very hard circumstances with his family. His wife is dis-tempered, and a great cross to him. She is gone to the country, but threatens every week to return. His son is his successor at Erlstoun, if I remember, where he was before. The Magistrats continuou in their designe for Mr Smith, and must soon perfect it nou, being to go off

* Private examinations and instructions after lecture, &c.
next Michaelmas. Their project of Mr Smith and Mr Hamiltoun being joint Ministers of one of the charges in Haddoch’s Hole,* does not take. The people are not for it, and desire to have Mr Smith to have the charge of all. Mr Smith and Professor Hamiltoun are not very inward,† though they seem to act the same part in the Presbytery and publick; yet Mr Smith knows his superiority in the Presbytery; in all cases where he and Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun differ, the Pr[ofessor] cannot carry the vote without him: Besides, the Magistrates are not very fond to have the Principal in a ministeriall charge, but would rather have this custom interrupted. Now, this occasion falls in well of the Hebreu Professorship being vacant: The Principal is, indeed, as such, a sinecure almost, and has time enough to teach Hebreu. This will, with the Principal’s post, make the Professor’s salary better than his salary is at present. They say the Magistrates are all for this coalition, and that now soon it will be done.

It’s plain Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun is one who has great interest among the young Ministers of the Church; his interest among the elder is declining much. But Mr Smith, by falling in to some popular things, especially Mr Simson’s discharge from teaching, and Mr Glass, is better liked, but he has the Presbytery of Edinburgh upon his side. I see Mr Alston beards Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun and Mr Smith in the Commission. The complaints of the wildnesses of the students at Edinburgh continue: their haunting dancing-schools and publick dancing; their night revells; and the sermons of some of the younger preachers against the Spirit’s work, under the notion of enthusiasm, and making their auditorys laugh by mocking serious religion in the pulpit, and smiling themselves. These give a very ill impression of their master, if he indulge such things in them.

In the beginning of this moneth, the Pension Bill, passed by the House of Commons, was thrown out by the Lords. Some used a very strange argument, that that Bill seemed to interfere with the sovereignty of the

* Haddo’s Hold, the Little or New North Church of Edinburgh. † Intimate, on good terms.
Crown over the subject. When it was thrown out by the Lords, the party for it in the House of Commons, who had lost it in that House, if the majority had not yielded, knowing the Lords would throw it out, resolved to give them a bite; and proposed, the next day after the Lords had cast it out, that since the Lords had rejected it from being a lau, yet they had power over their own Members, and moved that presently the House should enter to a resolution to make enquiry among their own Members, who had Pensions and trusts contrary to the act they themselves had offered as a lau to the Lords. But this was soon thrown out by a great majority of the House, though they were, indeed, in so doing, properly contradicters of the Bill they had passed. But this was Hackerstoun's Cou!*

I am well informed, that the English Strollers and Comedians are a prodigious sum of money to the town of Edinburgh. It's incredible what numbers of chairs, with men, are carried to these places; and it's certain that, for some weeks, they made fifty pound sterling every night, and that for six nights a week; and they will, even of the Saturday evenings, be coming home from them at one in the morning. This is a most scandalous way of disposing of our money, when we are in such a check for money; and it's a dreadful corruption of our youth, and ane ilet to prodigality and vanity; and the money spent in clothes, &c. for attending these is . . . . . . . .

The settlement of the West Kirk‡ makes a terrible noise all this winter; see Letters. The state of it is there very fully. I hear Mr Smith is not for Witherspoon, but he and P[rofessor] Hamilton join to have both—that is, [him and] Mr Jardin—laid aside. Mr M'Vicar is blamed for writing up to London in terms to this purpose, that he did not wish for any presentation, but if ther was any, he hoped it would be for Mr Jardin. This was sent down to P[rovost] Lindsay; and upon this, as breach of the agreement, the presentation was sent to Witherspoon at the Magistrates' desire.

* Alluding to the proverb.
‡ St Cuthbert's Church, Edinburgh.
† Eyelet, a hole for admitting light, &c.
Sir John Bruce, Patron of Kinross, hath stoped payment of stipend to Mr Ebenezer Erskine and Mr Thomas Mair, upon the account of their Non-jurancy. However, the people pay their part, but he keeps sixty pound in his own hands due to Mr Erskin. The reason is their opposing him in the settlement of Kinross. He intended a process before the session this winter, for a declarature of his not being obliged to pay stipend to them, since unqualifyed; or attempted it, but was diswaded from it. Mr Ebenezer Erskin tells me he had advice of lauers he was in no hazard, on many grounds; but soon after this attack on them, Sir John's debtors [creditors] fell upon him for his debts, and he is like to run the country for his own debts.

There has been a process between the Marquis of Tweddail and some Minister and parish, about a Reader and Precentor, which he claims power to put in as a Reader of the Bible; and the parish and session pretend power to chuse their own Precentor, the office of Readers being abolished, which his Lordship's charters relate to. I have forgote the particulars; but the Marquis carryed his point before the Lords, and he was found to have the presentation of Reader.

I am perfectly informed that Mr Conduit, Sir Isaack Neuton's nephew, or near relation, who has all his papers in his hand, is designed to publish his Life at a great length. Mr Conduit has lately write down to Mr Colin M'Laurin, at Edinburgh, desiring his allowance to publish, in his Life, a passage which Mr M'Laurin is concerned in. It is this: When Mr M'Laurine was upon the call from Aberdeen to Edinburgh, Provost Campbell was then in the chaire, and had a mind to bring in Mr Campbell, but was disappointed. Many difficultyes were raised about paying a sellary to Mr Gregory, and Mr M'Laurin also, by Provost Campbell, and some others in the Magistracy. Sir Isaack had recomended Mr M'Laurin to Edinburgh, and had a peculiar liking to him. And hearing that the matter was like to meet with rubs, and the difficulty was how to get a sellary to Mr M'Laurin and Mr Gregory both, resolved to interpose, without any application at all from Mr M'Laurin or his freinds, who never heard of it till Mr Conduit's letter informed them; and Sir Isaack informed himself whom in Edinburgh it was pro-
per to him to apply to; and being told John Campbell was Provost, he wrote to him, and reserved a copy of his letter among his papers, with some hints on the back, giving the above occasion of it. Theirin, after complements, and expressing his concern for Mr M'Laurin's settlement, he offers, for the encouraging of the settlement of Mr M'Laurin, he [Sir Isaack] offered to settle twenty pound sterling a year on Mr M'Laurin during his life, and allows the Provost to shew this letter to all concerned. This was a very high instance of Sir Isaack's regard for Mr Coline M'Laurin; and, no doubt, he will give his consent it be insert in Sir Isaack's Life. Indeed, it's a great deal of honour done to Mr M'Laurin. Mr Conduit likewise desires Mr M'Laurin to draw up some account of Sir Isaack's mathematicall publications, and send [it to] him to be insert in his Life, which I believe Mr M'Laurin will essay.

[March 16 and 17.]—On the sixteenth and seventeenth of this month we met, at Paisley, for our Priory Censures and ordinary business. I have not been with them since October, by reason of the indisposition either on myself or family. We had a good deal of ordinary business before us, and in conversation with the Elders of Port-Glasgow, we find that the people there are unanimously set upon Mr D. Broun, and have petitioned the Magistrates to joyn with them in his settlement. This is ill taken by the Stirlings and Buchanans, though the town sent him to them to hear. If they stand to what they have promised to be for him in whom the people and Presbytery center, he will be the man. Mr Turner is continually absent, and no course taken with him. We agreed to the Synod's meeting three times at Glasgow, and disliked the proposall of the Assembly, about susteaneing those who have voted in a cause to vote again. We chose, in common course, Mr Johnstoun, Mr John Millar, Mr Pincartoun, members; and Mr Maxwell, Elder. We could not make a better of it. Two of them will be pretty favourable to Mr Simson. Mr Paton was indisposed, and not with us.

[March 18.]—Upon the eighteenth I went to Neu Kilpatrick, and was present at Mr A. Gray's ordination. I have noticed the unaccountable
rable, about a moneth ago, in that place. The settlement is discouraging, but Mr Gray would not throu it up, because he found the opposition was declared not against him, but against any his freinds would name. Since the rable the Heretors offered to joyn in the ordination if the criminall process wer dropped. Gorthie, the Duke of Montross' doer,* answered, that was not in his pouer to do. It was expected they would have been present, but came not. All was in peace, and a great multitude present from Glasgou. Mr Sidserff† preached from Heb. xiii. 17, very well. After the action was over, when discouering to Mr Gray, he gave it him as his opinion, that nou, being Minister in the place, he should use any interest he could make for mercy to be sheuen to these guilty of the rable and confusion lately there; for the most part of them, he said, he might make use of what the Scripture [says] of the followers of Absolome, that what they did they wer put upon by others, and did it in the simplicity of their heart. When he spoke to the Congregation, after he had ended what he said to Mr Gray, he lamented the unaccountable disorder, breach of the peace, and horrid profanation of the Sabbath in that Congregation. He questioned if he had many of them personally guilty to speak to, but told them he reconed it a congregationall sin, and what they wer all to mourn for, that it was such a scandalouse breach of order that had not been knownen almost in the West of Scotland, and in his prayer he again regrated it, and asked God pardon for it.

At this time, we hear of John Walkinshau of Borroufeild his death. He was a violent Jacobite, engaged in the Rebellion, and a person of considerable sense. It's said at the division of the West country, when full of hopes, 1715, he got my Lord Pollock's estate, and was angry because he had not the Aldhouse also!

[March 23.]—Upon the twenty-third of this moneth, John Luke of Claythorn, merchant in Glasgou, dyed. He was son to an eminent Christian, of whom I have said somewhat before in some of the former volumes of this Analecta, and on my father's life. My mother-in-lau,
Mrs Luke's sister, is the only child of old Mr Luke now alive of eighteen. They all, who came to any age, had plain evidences of grace at their death, and one of them, Nian, who had little evidences through his life, had his affliction sanctified to him, and seemed to get it in his last period. This is a rare instance of God's taking in a large and numerous family. I have known eight or ten of them remarkably pious; and my mother-in-law, the only remaining child, is an aged Christian, and the eldest of any who came to age. Mr Luke's character, who is now dead, is exceeding savoury in Glasgow, and known to all. I scarce ever knew one more universally liked. He was a lover of good men, a person of a very peculiar talent of freedom in taxing vice. He spared nobody, and as he used to say, he was still on the side of the King and Ministers. He was remarkable for integrity and uprightness. He was singularly zealous for the truth and our constitution, and against errour. He was a true and fast freind, wher he had a value; he was generous, and exceeding charitable to persons in want; he was a knowing, modest Christian, and a close walker with God. I would say more of him, wer I not under particular tyes to him. As persons who live well ordinarily have much calmnes, and little to do at death, so was he.

I talked frequently with him under his last ilnes. He had a solid satisfaction, a great depth of thought in some things, and great modesty made him speak softly. He had not many fears, but much faith of adherance. When I spoke some things about heaven, and seing as we are seen, being lou in body, he said, one Sabbath night, "You must forbear, for I am not able to bear the thoughts of what is coming. I am swallowed up, and my body fails me; I feel what I cannot tell, and put in words." And yet, though he had an opulent fortune, and pleasant children, his crosses did arise from his children, and what he had to give them. His eldest daughter is, indeed, well settled; but the other two were perfectly crushing* to him, and, I may say, hastened his death. He carried very Christianly under both their setlments; but, alas, they stuck to him, and thoughtfulness broke his health and constitution. He told me, and desired me to tell his youngest daughter, this moneth, that

* Oppressive.
she was his dear child; and when [I] told him that I did not question of his forgiving what was past, he answered, "I knou she was torn fro me, and I do not blame her so much as others! As to [that] affair, throu grace I never permitted my mind to allou any rancor; and it never came to a hight against those who betrayed her."

I hear that the students at Glasgow, particularly Mr H. Millar and others, who are under the conduct of Pr[incipal] Campbell and Mr Simon, are handing about a petition to be subscribed to this purpose: "That whereas the Students of Divinity at Glasgow, and the whole society, are at a great loss for want of a Professor of Divinity exercising his office, these four or five years, that therefore the General Assembly would, in their wisdom, fall upon such measures as they may be taught Divinity; and the rather, because many of the bursars there are oblidged to attend at Glasgow by their holding their bursary, and can go no where else." This proposall is, no doubt, suggested by wiser heads than the boyes, and is to be improven as matters cast up in the enseuing Assembly.

[March 24.]—Upon the 24th of this moneth, the Commity of the Commission met at Dumbartan with the Presbitery there. Ther wer only Mr N. Campbell, Mr R. Paton, Mr James Wilson, and Mr Sidserf, Minister of Dumbartan, who joyned from the Presbitry at this meeting. Ther wer eight or ten members of the Presbitry met with them upon the Commission's Letter. Upon their meeting, the first question was, Who wer members of that joynt meeting? The Commission, by their act, called the Presbitry and Commity, or the Commity and such of the Presbitry as should joyn with them. The Presbitry wer met; but the Commity, that is, the three named to joyn with them, for of near twenty named, and sixteen of them in this Synod, none would joyn save these three. Before they would allow the Presbitry to join them, [they] put the question, Whither they wer ready to execute the Commission's sentence of setting Mr Sinclair in Balfrone before the Assembly? The Presbitry answered, They wer come there in obedience to the Commission's Letter, and ready to act according to their light: But the Com-
mitty insisted on the Commission's Letter, and would not allow them to act, unless they would declare for Mr Sinclair's settlement. On this they retired all, save Mr Sidserf and Mr M'Calpin of Arrachar. These, with the Committy, gave Mr Sinclair three discourses, to be delivered in the intervals of the Synod at Glasgow. This is a very dangerous innovation, come in but of late, to adjourn Committys, a quorum, three or five, to Presbitrys, to execute the sentences of Superior Courts, especially the Commission, which is but a delegat Court, with exclusive powers to cut off both Presbytery and Synods. This takes away all the proper powers of Presbytrys and Synods, and lands all in the Commission solely, which is a direct infringement of our constitution, in my opinion.

At this time, ther was a Visitation by the Presbytery, in the parish of Govan, about the Neu Church built in the Gorballs, of which somewhat has been said above. The honest people of the Gorballs had got promise of considerable sums of money promised them; and to compleat the matter, they asked a recommendation from the Presbytry, and by them from the Synod to the Assembly, for a voluntary contribution. The Presbytry divided in this matter. The country Ministers was for granting their petition, but the town Ministers drew back, as thinking a collection could scarce be carried thro; or, if got thro, would come to nothing, unless the Magistrates and College of Glasgow came into it. The Magistrates pretend they, being superiors, should have been consulted, and were not, in building that Church: Whereas Provost Stark* did allow that project, and promised to hold hand to it in one of their Head-Courts; but now it's pretended he had no warrant nor act of Council for what he did, and so it was void and null. At this rate, there is no dealing with corporat societys. The College pretend to be Patrons of that parish, and that the erection of a new Parish there, and the building of a new Church, ought not to go on, to the prejudice of their right; and both opposed the collection, and protested against it.

This is a very hard case. The publick-spirited persons concerned have expended above twenty thousand merks, if not thirty, on the build-

* He was Provost in 1725 and 1726.
ing of that Church, upon the increase of the inhabitants of the Gorbals to above the number of two thousand, and, for many years, have and are like to lie out of their money and interest. The Visitation came to little or nothing, and ended in a Conference, upon Aprile 6, between the Colledge, Magistrates, and Presbytery, and the feuers of Bridgend or Gorbals. Meantime, the persons in the Bridgend or Gorbals, to bring the matter to a narrow point, drew up an obligation, subscribed with their hands, to be presented at the Conference, binding themselves, about twenty in number, providing a Collection were given, to advance immediately thirty-three thousand merks for a fund for a stipend, and for ever to free the heretors, town of Glasgow, and Colledge, for any thing laid out for the Church; or, in time coming, for stipend and manse to an intrant, or reparations in time coming. Thus, these well-disposed persons, from beginning to end, burden themselves with more than five thousand pounds sterling for this pious use of a new Minister—an example that scarce has ever fallen out in Scotland; and yet all is like to come to nothing, by the violence of the Stirlings and their party in the Council, in concert with the Colledge. The Colledge have no pretence but the reservation of their right of Patronage on the new erection, and the Magistrates' motive is to bring in the inhabitants of the Gorbals or Bridgend to bear scot and lott with them; in which case, they offer to pay the expense of the building of the Church, to give a stipend and manse to the intrant. Thus, through selfish views, this excellent design is like to be broken, the inhabitants of the Gorbals not being willing to be brought in subjection to the taxes of the town of Glasgow. When the conference came, in Aprile, there was nothing but jangling, and they broke up without any issue, and so the matter lies over.

About the end of this month, I hear, the lamentable state of Hamiltoun continues. The dissatisfaction with Mr Finlater remains. They have but three Elders officiating, and Mr Findlater continues in his offences and extravagances. About a moneth ago, some process of scandal was remitted by the Presbytery to the Session [of] Hamiltoun, that they might examine witnesses. Mr Finlater was on the side of the
pannell; and a letter, proving that he was not *alibi* at the time that was alledged, being produced, this put Mr Finlater in a passion, and he fell a railing, and, in his passion, swore, in presence of five or six persons—

"As I shall answer to God;" or, "By God, this shall not do the business; he shall be acquitted!"—or words to that purpose. This is the second or third time he has broke out, as the people in Hamiltoun thing, [think,] in rash swearing on publick occasions, in the exercise of his ministeriall work, and yet he is still screened. *O tempora! O mores!*

*Aprile [6,] 1731.*—Upon the sixt of this moneth, our Synod met at Glasgow. Mr M'Laurin preached the sermon, on "Who is that faithful and wise servant?"—and had many sweet things. He was over-toyed with work, and not so well in health. He had little or nothing upon doctrine and error, as was expected. Mr Dick was chosen Moderator. The votes wer equall betwixt him and Mr John Scot of Stenhouse, which has not fallen out in our Synod, save once or twice, since the Revolution. The former Moderator cast it in favour of his colleague, Mr Dick.

We had the matter of dividing our Synod into two before us, as has been noticed on the last Synod, where the arguments *pro* and *con.* wer hinted at. This subject cast up thus: Air and Irvine wer against this, and brought in strong papers against it. What they run upon most was, that ther had been an originall contract, at the provisionall joyning of thir two Synods, which could not be broken without the consent of both sides; and that it was not in the pouer of the Synod to divide the Synod, or to bring them, without their own consent, to meet three times at Glasgow, and once at Irvine and Air. The first of these was denied; and it was said ther was no concert, but with consent of the Assembly, a voluntary association on no termes, and that interrupted: That all the old Ministers at the Revolution wer for a separation of Synod, which could not have been had there been any contract: That it's true they might appeal to the Assembly; but the Synod had pouer in themselves to separat, never having been united by any authority save their own. But this was not the question at present, but only, whither we should
meet three times at Glasgow for once in the West country; and that was not beyond the Synod's power, and has been done in the Synod of Fife, and other Synods. The stating the place of meeting, however, threw clamour and importunity, though the Presbitrys of Paislay, Dumbartan, Glasgow, Lanark, Hamilton, approved the Overture, yet, by a vote, it was delayed till the Synod in April 1732, and the next Synod in course was to meet at Irvine. Mr Campbell was very active for this delay; much of his dependance and following in the Synod leaning to some young men lately ordained, and the Presbitrys of Air and Irvine. This is the third or fourth time I have seen this design evaded.

Ther wer three appeals upon scandall, two which went, in my opinion, wrong, and upon the lax side. One Dumbarr, a custom-house officer, who had one accusation of scandal of adultery, which failed in probation; but a new scandal in the midst of it arose, and upon the Presbytery exculpating him of the first, with a reservation to go on with the second, he appealed; and his appeal was sustained, and the second scandal dropped, for want of some forms. The other was from Stewartoun; a scandal of fornication on an elder, remitted to his oath of purgation, which he offered in his own terms, but not in these in the Form of Process; upon which the Presbytery were going on to intimat the matter before the Congregation, and the appeal stoped that. The appeal was sustained, and the matter dropped. The Minister made a favourable representation of the man, and an unfavourable of the woman; and the matter turned to personall characters, and went off the allegata and probata, and the affair was dropped. I am sorry to see so many Ministers advocates for scandalous persons, and that we are departing much from our forms of discipline. The last was an appeal of Gilbert Ware, adulterer, in Glasgow, once a shining professor, who craved absolution from adultery, which the Presbytery refused till he was reconciled to his wife, from whom he has lived separately, by a kind of consent, these fourteen years. He summoned her to adhere, by a publick nottar. She refused. But when examined by the Synod as to his willingnes to receive his wife, he hagled* in his answers, and pretended she was not

* Hesitated, prevaricated.
willing. She and he both are averse to a reconciliation, and pretend hazard of life. The question was, whither, though morally serious for his crime of adultery, he could be absolved from it till he essayed reconciliation with his wife, whom [he] injured. The Synod thought he could not be absolved till he sheued himself in earnest to live with his wife; and remitted the affair back again to the Presbytery of Glasgow, that they might essay a reconciliation. He appears a knowing, cunning man.

The Synod sent instructions to the Assembly for urging our releife from the burden of Patronages, and falling on some uniform rule for planting of Congregations in the mean time.

During the intervals of Synod, the Commity of the Commission above named, (and no moe would joyn them from the Synod,) with Mr Sidserf and M'Calpin, took Mr Sinclair's tryalls in three dayes, and appointed an edict to be served; but would not acquaint the opposing partys in the parish with the day of it: Yea, any hints given to them wer not as to the day which was agreed, but another, as one of the Elders told me. I shall here give the whole of that affair as transacted this moneth before the Assembly, such a settlement never being known in the West of Scotland before.

The day the edict was served was keeped close from the people, that no objections might be made. Mr M'Calpin, Minister at Arracher, came to the parish, under cloud of night, the Saturnday before, and did not advertise the parish he was come. Ther wer no bells rung till the people wer gone to other Churches, and so the Minister had none present but the thirteen, or a few more, who wer for Mr Sinclair. On the 23d, when the ordination was, ther opened a very unusual scene. When the Commity, Pr[incipal] Campbell, Mr Paton, Mr James Wilson, (Mr Sidserf soured on the ordination, though he joyned in the tryalls;) but Mr M'Calpin continued with the Commity, and not another from the Presbytery of Dumbartan; and Mr David M'Colm, Minister at Dud-distoun, was accidentally there, and joyned; when these met at the Kirk of Balfron, on the 23d or 25th, at ten of the clock, the heretors and elders came into them, when the return was called for, and gave in
their objections by way of complaint or lybell against Mr Sinclair. The heretors and two elders, for Mr Buchanan, with some lauers, and advice from Mr Grant, at Edinburgh, their advocat, gave in their complaint, in three branches, against Mr Sinclair; of which, see Letters this moneth: That Mr Sinclair had dealt actively with the elders for his own settlement, and used pretty severe threatnings against them, if they stood out. The next was, that he hired a horse on the Sabbath day, or desired that one might be provided for him, on the sater of one the night before: There was little in this. The last was, that in the park of Bandaloach, Mr Sinclair was seen kissing a woman of an ill fame, and, after that, went in with her to a thicket of treas; and what passed there was not seen. They offered witnesses for every point, and had them present. The Committy made some objections, as if the witnesses were ultronous, because they came to bear testimony not called; but that was soon removed, they being only in a readiness, if called. The lybellers desired the Committy should give their judgment on the relevancy of the articles given in, to prevent unnecessary swearing: There was a long debate arose on that. At length, upon Mr Paton’s desire or proposall, they concluded to delay the judging relevancy till they called and deposed the witnesses, as in Civil Courts is somtimes done. When this was intimated, the heretors, for Mr Buchanan, reconing themselves lesed,* appealed from them to the Assembly, and left them. After this the Committy went on and called some of the witnesses, and interrogat them as they saw good, in the absence of partys, and found the complaint groundes, and designed to postpone the settlement till after the Assembly. After this, which took up till two or three in the afternoon, the elders came in, and gave in a paper conteaining reasons why Mr Sinclair should not be setled; a modest, discreet paper; but it was not nou to be heard, and they resolved to go on; whereon J. Edmond, in name of the session, protested against the settlement, and appealed to the General Assembly. This took up till after six at night. The people continuoued in the Church and church-yeard, and not many from Balfrone except for

* Injured, hurt.
curiosity, but from neighbouring parishes, from nine of the clock to six at night. Ther was likewise an Independant company, not with their arms with them, but they wer at hand, they say, to keep the peace; but ther was no disorder that way. After six, the sermon began, by Mr James Wilson; and they went on, almost after sunset, to ordain him. Multitudes of the people left them when they began sermon. However, they ended their work after it was dark, to the great discontent of that country. Very feu of the parish stayed. This is the shortest vieu I could give, from my information, of this very melancholy and extraordinary affair.

We hear of great heats and contentions in the town of Stirling about a third Minister. The Magistrates and town are for a third Minister, and Coll[onel] Blacader's lady hath given somewhat to be a fund for it. The Ministers are not for this, or slou; and Mr Muir gave the Magistrates hard words, and called them "Michaelmass Lairds."* The veu is to call Mr Ebenezer Erskin from Portmoak thither; and the Ministers and Presbytery are against him, as being one of the Representers.

In the election for the Presbytery of Edinburgh, this year, Mr J. Smith was left out. He was against being chosen himself; and his party in the Presbytery spoke to Professor Hamiltoun, who voted for him; but his followers, Mr M. Crawford, Mr Jo. Walker, &c., they scattered the votes, and Mr Smith lost it by three. But when my Lord Loudon came down,† he would have Mr Smith; and, it seems, was not for Mr William Millar's being Moderator, which very probably would have been the case had not Mr Smith been gone in; and so Mr Thornburn, one of the members for Edinburgh, made his excuse that he was going to the goat-milk, and the Presbytery chose Mr Smith; and, even in this case, Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun's party were not cordiall, and it was a kind of force on them, so high do their humors there run.
It's talked, nou, with much assurance, that Mr William Wisheart is

* In allusion to the brief tenure of their dignities, from Michaelmas to Michaelmas.
† To be Commissioner.
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to be made Principall at Edinburgh. His freinds give it out that ther is nobody at London so inward* with the Earl of Isla as he: that he is very uneasy with his people at London. It's certainly so; and his meeting very thin. Some say they take ill that somtimes he goes to the playhouse; but I doubt if he will indulge himself in what is so openly unfitt for his ministeriall character. I rather belive the reason of their coldnes is the company he keeps, and the Ministers he is intimat with; and his people give it out, that the only Ministers he haunts with are Mr Chandler and Mr Foster, and a few more Arrianized, young, hot-headed Ministers. Whatever be the ground, it’s plain the breach 'twixt him and them is great; and, they say, my Lord Isla is to provide him of the Principall’s place at Edinburgh; but I yet doubt of this.

They tell a very odd story of a dogg belonging to the famous Mr Tolland, whom he either gave to Sir John Shau, or he continoued with him when Sir John left London. The dog Sir John took in to the coach, and keeped him closs with him all the way; and as soon as Sir John came to Greenock, and the dogg was loosed, he got off, and, in three dayes time, as Sir John found, by a letter from Tolland, he run from Greenock to London! This is an odd passage, and I may enquire further about it at Greenock.

When Dr Calamy heard of Mr Hutcheson’s being called to Glasgou, he smiled, and said, I think to Thomas Randy, that he was not for Scotland, as he thought from his book; and that he would be reconed there as unorthodox as Mr Simson. The Doctor has a strange way of fishing out privat storyes and things that pass in Scotland. He told my informer all the storys about the students at Edinburgh—their meetings, going to the dancing-school, some of them being apprehended, drunk in the streets, in the night-time, by the guard, and Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun’s interposing for their releif—in a clearer way than my informer, who was in Edinburgh at the time, kneu them.

This moneth, my Lord Grange went to England. He was called up

* Intimate, confidential.
by letters bearing that his sister-in-lau, the Lady Marr, was recovered
her reason, and nou to be dealt with. He hopes to bring her doun to
Scotland, for the advantage of the family. His health is much broken
this winter and spring. He is to be again absent from our General
Assembly, and so is Collonel Erskine.

Dr Bentley, in England, some years ago, in conversation with Dr
Watterland and others, said, he hoped, ere long, to give the Neu Test-
tament as exact and genuine as it was sixteen hundred years ago. Dr
Watterland pulled off his hat, and, lifting up his eyes to heaven, prayed
that God might preserve to us the text of that holy book!

Ther has been, of late years, a paper warr betwixt Dr Bentley and
Professor Burman, at Leyden, who teaches the Bell-Lettre. Dr Bent-
ley is wearyed of the squable, and sayes he is fairly beat at Billings-
gate and scolding by Mr Burman; that, in that sort of writing, he is not at
all able to hold it out against his adversary. He adds, that now the
tast of this age is corrupted, and we have no such learned men in Europe
as Heinsius, and Scalliger, and Lipsius, to appeall to, otherwise he
would make a publick appeal, and leave the matter in their hands: But
he resolves to publish an edition of Ovid, with notes, (it’s on criticismes
on such authors they are tearing one another,) and that as a lasting spe-
cimen of his criticall learning; and leave it to the coming age, which
he hopes will be better judges than the present, to end the contraversy
between him and Burman.

Ther is a neu edition of the N. T. [New Testament,] to which an
appendix is printed, at Amsterdam, last year, beginning to be published.
The author of it is a learned German. He pretends to restore the text,
but the rules he layes doun very probably will deprave and pervert the
text, and ther seems to be a present run and endeavour, by the licentious
use of criticisme, to wrest from us the originall text of the Holy Scrip-
ture. Every thing that is valuable to us [as] Christians seems at present
to be struck at; Christ, his Spirit, his Grace, and his Word.

This moneth, the affair of the settling of Port-Glasgou, vacant by Mr
John Anderson’s transportation to Glasgou, comes to a bearing; and I
shall set doun a detail of it, as far as it yet hath cast up. When Mr
Anderson's call was drawn, the people of Port-Glasgou were unwilling to part with him. He was not unwilling to go, but would not break with them. All the interest [that] could be was made to break them, but in vain. When they came up to our Presbytery in September last, as I am told by the person immediately concerned, they* desired a sight of the answers drawn up by Port-Glasgou to their reasons of transportation. They were communicat to Provost Stirling and Alexander Finlason, Toun-Clerk, commissioners from the toun, Provost Montgommery of Hartfeild, commissioner from the session who called Mr Anderson, and Mr Hamiltoun and Scot. When they saw them, and how peremptory they were, that if the Presbytery did transport their Minister they would appeal, and carry the matter to all the higher Judicatorys, P[rovost] Montgomery told P[rovost] Stirling that, unless that paper was smoothed, they would miss their designe, our Presbytery would never transport, and it was to be doubted if other Judicatorys would; and, therfore, they travailed with the elders and fewars of Port-Glasgou to smooth the paper, considering the Minister's inclinations to go to Glasgou, and the Provost and Clerk gave them the strongest assurances in name of the toun of Glasgou, that if they would be easy in the matter, they should have their free choice of another Minister, and the toun would come in to whomsoever they should be for.

Upon this, through much importunity, they amended their paper, turned out the strong expressions in it, and gave in this altered paper to the Presbytery; upon which Mr Anderson was transported. The same assurances were given to the Presbytery that the people should have their choice, and nobody should be fixed on for that parish but by the consent of the people, and in concert with the Presbytery. Thus matters stood; and, with the consent of the toun of Glasgou, they got a hearing of Mr D. Broun and Mr Moody. After they had heard both, they unanimously fixed on Mr D. Broun, and, upon the faith of that promise, made to them in so solemn a manner, they deputed two of their number to wait on the Magistrates of Glasgou with a subscribed petition, that they might concur with them to get Mr Broun to be their Minister. Pr[ovost] Stirling, and his brother the Bailay, struck out, and represented this as a

* The Presbytery.
lainous insult upon the toun, who wer Patrons, and had the sole pouer, as they speak, of calling and presenting, for them to subscribe their choice of a person to be their Minister till they had consulted them; whereas ther could be no want of decency and respect to the toun, in going upon their own grant and promise, and only in a petition to themselves. It's said, that Pr[ovost] Stirling and his brother* had their eye to one Mr James Stirling, who would never have gone doun there. But that seems out of dores; and the opposition seems meerly for opposition's sake, and to shew their strenth in the toun. The bulk of the toun of Glasgow who have bussines in Port-Glasgou appear to be for Mr Broun.

Things went on till our meeting at the Synod, wher the Presbytery wer inclining to speak to the Magistrates; but wer assured, by Mr Finlason and Pr[ovost] Montgomery, that [if] a little forbearance wer used, Mr Broun would be amicably gone into, it being thought the plurality of the Councill wer for him. The Provost Murdoch and Mr Finlason both assured me of this, and yet that very week, as is suspected, Principall Campbell and Pr[ovost] Stirling, when they dispaired of gaining the Councill to be against Mr Broun, without a trick, wrote in a letter to Lord Miltoun,† and desired him to recommend Mr Moodie to the toun by a letter. Upon the Munday after the Synod, a letter came from him, signifying that he had received theirs, desiring his advice as to their settlement; and he and their freinds above wer for Mr Moody, and he was sorry he could not be for Mr Broun, whose character, he heard, was very good; and, for eight dayes time, Pr[ovost] Stirling, his brother, and Mr Finlason, dealt among the Counsellours, and insinuat that they behaved not to disobligde their freinds, considering they had the subpenas, and the neu grant of the two pennies of the pint to carry throu in the year 1733; and, by all means, they must be for Mr Moody, Argyle's and Milton's man. So a Council was called, and there ther wer very free speeches. D[ean of] Gild Rogers declared the promise made before Provost Montgomery, who desired him to acquaint the

* Probably George Stirling, who was elected one of the bailies, September 30, 1729; though, on the following year, the first bailie, along with Provost Murdoch, was Walter Stirling.
† Justice-Clerk and Minister for Scotland under the Earl of Islay.
Councill that he was never engaged in a smooty* and unfair thing, as this would be. However, they carried it in Council, by sixteen votes, against eight for Mr Broun, that a presentation should be given in to our Presbytery to Mr Moody. Accordingly, Instruments were taken in the Moderator’s hands, and the presentation and letter of acceptance lodged with him. These he tabled before the Presbytery, at a visitation, the last Wensday of April. The Presbytery remitted it, to be received with the ordinary nota of its being a greivance to us, and reserved a pouer to judge next day, or any time thereafter, whither the presentation was not undue, after the six moneths, and a non habente potestatem. With this the town gave in a representation, bearing that, by the act of erection 1716, by the Lords of the Session, they were the sole callers and presenters of a Minister to Port-Glasgou. Thus the matter stands this moneth.

As things are stated with us, I do not see how irregular marriages can be well prevented, as long as there are irregular Ministers to celebrat them for money. It’s not to be expected that any fines will prevent mercenary men, hired by money, from venturing upon any thing; but I am told there is no such thing in Holland as runaway or irregular marriages, for in all marriages the partys compear before the Magistrates of the place where they are. There, the objections against the marriage is heard, if parents be against it; and a writ is granted from the Burgomaster, for instance, or proper Magistrate, to the Minister, and he marries none but such as have this writt. With some alterations, this, or somewhat like it, might be of use among us; but, indeed, the Justices of the Peace, who can pass an irregular marriage when made, might, perhaps, be prevailed with to connive at one to be made.

Mr Francis Hutcheson tells me that his grandfather was a Minister in Ireland before the Restoration, and very intimat with the Lord Forbes, afterwards the Earle of Grenard, in Ireland. He was a great courtier in King Charles the Second his reigne, and had the managment of all Crown revenue in Ireland, and was not unfreindly to the Scots Presbiterian Ministers, and had a particular kindnes for Mr Hutcheson.

* Smutty, dirty.
His grandson tells me, his father had this story from his grandfather's mouth, and he has heard his father tell it often. One day, old Mr Hutcheson was with the Earle of Granard, and the Earle gave him account what pains he had been at in settling the Civil List; and that now all the Crown rents and revenues were disposed of and collocated* to proper services, save six hundred pound. On this, a thought came in Mr Hutcheson's mind, which he ventured to propose to the Earle; and this was the occasion and foundation of The Royall Bounty to the Presbyterian Ministers in that kingdom. Mr Hutcheson ventured to tell the Earl that all the King's freinds were provided for, and taken a care of; only the Dissenting Ministers, who had been firm Royalists in Oliver's time, were still under incapacitys, though they would never joyn with the Usurper, pray for him, or countenance him: That they had been considerable sufferers for their loyalty, and had no small share in forwarding the King's Restoration; and the allocating of that small matter of six hundred a year, to be divided in small portions among them, for the support of their families, would be an act of generosity, and worthy of the King. The Earl knew what Mr Hutcheson said was fact, and promised to use his interest at Court to get the thing done; and he accomplished it. A warrand was procured for it, and the Dissenters in Ulster had this all King Charles' time. It was taken from them on King James' accession; and, at the Revolution, King William, knowing their firmness to the British interest, and that of the Reformation, and their being firm supports of the Government, advanced it to twelve hundred pound a year, which was exceeding useful to them, and continued all Queen Ann's time. Upon King George his accession, he, knowing their appearances for the Protestant Succession when in hazard, in the end of the Queen's reign, was soon prevailed upon to add eight hundred pounds yearly to the Royal Gift to the Presbyterian Ministers there, four hundred to the Ministers of the North, and four hundred to the Dissenters in the South. He adds, that that was punctually payed till the two last years of his reign; and, at his death, these were resting,† and they continued unpaid; and nothing has been payed of the addi-

* Allocated.  † Owing, in arrear.
tionall Bounty during this reign, though the former twelve hundred pounds is payed.

The same person tells me, that his father, Mr John Hutcheson, was the occasion of ane incident which was very grateful to King George, the then Elector of Hannover, and the occasion of his addition. About the 1712 or [17]13, when the Torrys wer at the top of their power, and doing all they could to overturn the Succession in the Protestant line, Mr Hutcheson made a proposall to some few of his brethrener, the Presbiterian Ministers in Ulster, and very few wer let in to the designe and secret; but means wer found that every Minister made a secretary in his Congregation, [to enrol] what persons might be depended upon as ready to rise in favour of the Protestant Succession, when called and authorized to appear. And, accordingly, ther was a List formed of about fifty thousand fencible men, who, if an attack wer made on the Succession, and if they wer provided with armes and ammuniton, wer ready to venture their lives in defence of the Family of Hannover, and with the list of persons able to bear ends,—[arms?]—Gentlmen and others wer pitched on in the severall parishes and countys fitt to command them, and whom the people would trust, and with whom they would venture their all, if called. When this calculation was made, they had a difficulty to get the Court of Hannover made acquaint with it, it being most inconvenient that any of the Ministers should go [to] Hannover; and so they deputed one Du Board, a French Minister, and bore his charges, and sent him over to Hannover, where he had quick access to the Elector, King George the First, and let him see the List and their officers. The Elector was very fond to hear ther wer fifty thousand stanch freinds to him. He promised, as soon as possible, to endeavour to provide them armes, and received the proposall with many thanks.

May, 1731.—This moneth, our General Assembly sat down at Edinburgh, and continuoued sitting as usuall; and I shall here set down what hints offer unto me of things most observable.

The first thing that cast up was Mr John Dundas of Philpston his illnes. He hath been Clerk to this Church twenty-eight years, and

* To the Regium Donum.
lately was seized with a jaundice, and has been in an ill state of health for some time. When the Assembly met, the Moderator produced a letter from him, intimating his not being able to attend, and his desire that the Assembly might allow Mr Paton, Clerk to the Synod of Lothian, to officiate for him, till he saw what the Lord would do with him, seeing he knew that the Assembly needed two Clerks. At the same time, I had a verball message from him to give Mr Paton any help I could, especially in the affair of the classing the Instructions, which I did, and likewise in the Commity of Overtures. There was interest made, in the mean while, for a successor to him. His post as Procurator and Principal Clerk is worth near four thousand merks per annum; and those who set up were, Mr John Millar of Neilston's son-in-law; Mr William Grant, son to the excellent Lord Cullen; Mr Archibald Murray, Cringlety's brother, an Advocate; and Mr Michael Menzies, Culcrea's brother. The second was not so acceptable. The third is a person much commended for his piety, and, by some papers of his drawing, appears a person pretty good at forming papers; but he has no great interest. Philpstoun continuued sickly and dwining for some days, and then we had the account of his death by an express. That very morning the Assembly entered upon a choice of another Clerk; and Mr Grant was named, and the other two. It was feared, considerable interest would be made for the three different persons, and the Lord Miltoun and others, perhaps, interest themselves in the choice; and so, without any further,* a vote was entered upon. Mr A[rchibald] Murray, when he was named and put in the lite by a member, (when Mr Menzies also was put in the lite,) stood up and thanked the Assembly for the honour done him, but declined to stand—he knew he had not a backing; and Mr Menzies did the like. So the lite was left at large, and every member voted as he pleased. Three or four voted for Mr Murray, six or eight for Mr Menzies, and all the rest for Mr Grant,† who was called on, and gave his oath \textit{de fide}, and took his place, and desired two favours of the Assembly,—that he might be allowed to plead

* Delay.
† Mr Grant became successively Solicitor-General, Lord Advocate, a Judge, (Lord Prestongrange,) and Lord Justice-Clerk.
the causes he was engaged in at [the] barr for this Assembly, because partys had no time to impoy another lauer, nor inform him of their case; and because, in severall affairs he was to be at the barr, he begged that Mr Paton might be alloued to assist him. Both wer alloued.

I shall not give Mr Dundas of Philpston's character. I have enjoyed his freindship and much intimacy with him nou these twenty-six years. He was a pious man, and still* on the side of truth. He was not so good a reader of papers, but a very good former of them. He was a most diligent and indefatigable person in the affairs of the Church. He and Niccol Spence have, indeed, had in their hand the current affairs of this Church these twenty-eight years, and have most faithfully and regularly managed them. These two, with Sir H. Cuningham, Sir Francis Grant, afterward Lord Cullen, James Steuart, Clerk of Edinburgh, Commissar Broady, Dr Dundas, Sir Francis Pringle, Mr George Mel-drum, and some others, wer members of a Praying Society, and set up [a] society for prayer, and a kind of correspondence for religiouse purposes, about the 1698, as I sau by the records of their meeting. This privat meeting laid the first foundation of that noble designe of reformation of manners in King William's time, and Queen Ann's time, that did so much good. They held a correspondence at London with the Societys there. About ten years after, they gave the first beginnings to the Society for Propagation of Christian Knowledge and Reformation of the Highlands and Islands, which has come to so great a length. Hou great a matter doth some times a litle good fire kindle! They concerted subscriptions, they formed the charter to be expede by [the] Queen, and brought the matters to an excellent bearing; and all as a litle weekly society for prayer and conference upon Christian purposes! There wer but eight or ten members, lauers; generally speaking, men of knouledge, solid piety, and estates: and, nou and then, some of the Ministers of Edinburgh met with them, and all they did was in concert with them, joined with prayer, and flouing from great measures of a publick spirit—love to God, to souls, and abhorrence of sin; and the Lord wonderfully countenanced their honest essayes, and hath nou

* Always, uniformly.
blessed and crowned them with great and publick success. Their memory deserves to be transmitted to posterity; and if I can have the perusal of the MS. Register of their weekly meetings and procedure, if I live to bring down our Biography this length, their procedure, and the gradual steps they were led to as to the Societys for Reformation, and that for Propagating Christian Knowledge, this will make a glorious part of our Biography in that period.

But, to return to Mr Dundas of Philpston, he and Mr Spence, yet alive, had much of the burdensome work in all these great matters; and, by their diligent application and continuous attendance upon these purposes, with the advice and influence of the rest, many of whom are now got to heaven, helped on these great designs in Scotland. Besides these, Philpston was continually taken up in doing things of publick use as to ecclesiastical affairs. He formed the Abridgment of the Acts of Assembly; a work of great labour, and very useful. He published Directions for setting schools and manses. He, as Procurator for the Church, had the great weight of Ministers’ processes for their stipends before the Lords of Plantation of Churches and Valuation of Teinds. He wrote a little tract about the Laxes as to the Poor, and restraining of Beggars. He formed several valuable memorials about the power and incroachments of Patrons, in the case of East Calder; memorials about sists and citations of Presbyteries and Synods, before the Lords of Session, in Ecclesiastical matters. He has brought the Registers of the Assembly, since the Revolution, to an excellent bearing. I cannot but here remember how active and encouraging he was to me in my work of The History of our Sufferings. In short, there was nothing of a publick nature in the Church but he was foot and hand to it, as we use to say, and heartily engaged in it. As the Church has a very great loss in his death, so it’s a great mercy he has been spared so long, a faithfull, zealous, and laborious servant in all our publick affairs; and it’s a favour Mr Spence outlives him, and will be in case to let in his successor to the state of publick business, and the thread of managing our affairs. I take it to be a kind part of Providence to this Church, that a good number of piouse and religious gentlemen and others about
Edinburgh, the seat of our publick Church Judicatorys, engaged in Societys for prayer, and some of whom wer sufferers, who kneu the lau, and had interest with people of influence, wer raised up after the Revolution, and continuod so long in this Church. Alace! many of them are taken away, as well as the old Ministers with whom they acted in concert. The Lord grant, that when such are removed, who keeped all things regular and in a consisteny, under God, He may raise up a new sett of piouse, prudent, diligent, laborious, and publick-spirited persons, at the helm and direction of our Church affairs; and that the publick interests may not suffer by the change of hands! The residue of the Spirit is with Him.

To return to the Assembly. It was opned with Mr Hamiltoun's sermon on 1 Tim. i. 15, where he had severall open declarations as to Christ's Divinity, and some hints against a spirit of persecution which wer variously applyed. Ministers are to be pityed who preach on such occasions.

Ther was nothing singular in the King's Letter. The Moderationship fell upon Mr Smith; vide Letters, and to what is above. Mr William Millar was the person who would probably have been Moderator, had not Mr Smith be[en] a member; and, as it was, he had a considerable number: but I observe Mr Millar is considerably failed since his last sicknes, and Mr Smith has not that vivacity and readines that once he had. He is a little deaf; and his warmth and heat somtimes, on provocation, even discovering itself in passion, appears; which is no small token of his failour in naturall parts. His being chosen Moderator will, it may be, pave the way to setle him in the Divinity chair. It is certain he was not the person Professor Hamiltoun was at first for.

In the entry, let me observe that Mr Simson's affair came not in to this Assembly. I have noticied hou this matter stood above; and by the votes for Mr William Millar, and, afterwards, by the many warm and keen Instructions which came up from the North, in point of Doctrine and Patronages, it was soon seen that the meeting would not probably favour Mr Simson; and so no application was made. The

* Of votes.
Commissioner declared he was against its coming in, and so did the Moderator; so we have no heats upon this matter. However, the Church suffers, and the youth are neglected, and he enjoys his sellary without any body's looking on the youth.

I have noticed that the Instructions that came up from Presbitrys to this Assembly wer many [and] vigorous. An abreviat of them was taken, I suppose, by Mr J. Williamson, when they wer reading, and printed in half a sheet. I had them committed to me, and I am sure nobody ever sau them. They wer mostly from the Synod of Fife, of Stirling, Angus, and Aberdeen, and Murray, and related to doctrine, ane assertory act as to Patronages, notorial calls, and the Commission's members, and meeting-place, the method of preaching, and settlement of Parishes. See the copys of them this year.

Before I enter upon the bussines of the Assembly, let me begin with the Committy for revising Commissions, and nominating preachers. These wer Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun, Pr[incipal] Chalmers, P[principal] Campbell, and Mr Crawford, and some feu such. Ther wer several Presbitrys absent in Glenelg, and Argyle, and other places. The Presbytery of Orkney, I think, send up the Lord Aberdour, the Earle of Morton's son, and no Ministers. Ther was a double election for Haddingtoun or Dalkieht by a double set of Magistrates to the same person, both attested by the Presbytery, and Mr Gordon of Ardoch had a Commission not attested, and he was once throuen out as a member by the Committy, which I never kneu done since the Revolution, but all chosen wer susteaned pro hac vice, and Letter writt to the wrong choicers. But they designed to throu him out; yet another regular Commission from another Presbytery came up to him, and so they altered their report.

In their nomination of preachers they continou to nominat, as has been ordinary these severall years, mostly young men, who bear the name of "Bright Youths," and "Oratoriall Preachers." This is a considerable change within these feu years. For twenty years or more, since I keeped Assemblys, none but the elder, graver Ministers wer set

* Calls subscribed by notaries, or mandatories.
up to preach before the Assembly and Commission. What the motives are for this change I cannot divine. I am sure it's not a prudential step, and lookes as if the leading men, who have the direction of this matter, wer wearyed of the elder Ministers, and their way of preaching, or are inclined to please the vitiated tast of those about the throne, and inclined to set the neu way of preaching and harranguing against zeal, and other things, as [the] pattern to be followed by other Ministers, or that they are affrayed, lest, if others wer named, they would perhaps touch on some truths that are not moddish and complaisant enough for this time. Whatever their motive is, I thought they had got their bellyfull of such Preachers before the last Assembly by setting up of Mr Tailfour; of whom upon the former Assembly; and yet, this same year, they generally fix on such to preach.

Upon the first Sabbath we had Mr George Wisheart, who both in his prayers and sermon has more of a gospell strain then most of the younger celebrated preachers. He hath a decent, grave delivery, a neat and fluent stile, and very good matter. His text was, "Judge not"—a subject exceeding seasonable to the most part, but perhaps not so necessary at this time of a Generall Assembly, whose proper work, certainly, it's to judge, and set matters right. In the afternoon we had a good, grave man, Mr James Chalmers, Professor of Divinity in the Neu Toun of Aberdeen. He must be excepted out of what I am blaming. We had a serious and solid discourse on, "If ye be Christ's, ye are Abram's seed, and heirs according to the promise." He preached the Gospell, pressed preaching of Christ, and an interest in him; and I am sure, however he pleased the great men, he had suitable matter for the entertainment of those who wer in earnest about their souls. Next Sabbath there preached before the Commissioner Mr William Robison, Minister at Borthwick.* I did not hear him, neither this time nor last time he preached, about three or four years since; but I heard nothing much blamed in his discourse on "The unity of Spirit in the bond of peace." He had some things, but pretty cautious, upon doctrine, and pointing a little favourably to Mr Simson's prosecution; that retractations should not be uncharitably judged of, and the like; and pressed peace very much.

* Father of the celebrated Principal Robertson.
In the afternoon I heard, in the Commissioner's Church, Mr William Armstrong. He is the son of a worthy old Minister, but of another character. One Mr Burn, Minister of Fetteresso, was named; but he pleaded want of health, and this young [man] was named in his room. He is said to be a cusin of his, of the name of Armstrong, [who,] with Wallace of Moffat, Mr Telfair, and some other young men in that country of the Merse and the Dail, (Teviotdale,) [are] members of a Club, who do not favour Confessions, and seem to verge towards a latitude not consistent with the interests of this Church. He preached after the last Assembly, or before it, as Moderator of the Synod of Dumfreise, and had his sermon levelled against Church pouver and authority, much out of The Rights of the Christian Church.* Mr John Scot preached the next Synod sermon, in October last, and countered him without naming him, save under the generall, as the opposer of Church pouver, with the author of The Rights of the Christian Church. Mr Armstrong's sermon was so obnoxious, (as I am told, and if I be misinformed, thir things, as to particular persons, must stand in thir privat Collections, as if they wer not set down,) that hearing that the Synod wer to take notice of it, he took his horse straigue after sermon and left them. Nou, what wisdom it's for P[rofessor] Hamiltoun [and] those he directs, to set up such persons on such publick occasions, I cannot understand. He preached to us upon doing good. He read his papers, in his Bible, in the grossest, most indistinct, and undecent manner ever I was witnes to. The write was so large that I sau the letters at a good distance when he turned the leafe; but at every six or seven lines he mistook the line, and read a wrong one, and called himself back in a very undecent manner. The matter was very common and generall. He had some scrapes and sentences from Tillotson's Sermons, very ill put together. He had some flings at melancholy gloomy devotion, in the words of Mr Archibald Campbell's pamphlet on Enthusiasme. He said, pressing doing good, "That our good works would go in before to the aufull barr of Divine justice, and plead our cause there, throu the merits and rightiousnes of Christ," with several other expressions lyabel to exception: But his heavy manner of reading, without the least decency, was obvious to all.

* Published, in 1700, against the independence of the Church.  
† Handwriting.
This day, in the noon, I went to the Trone Church, and heard Mr Archibald Campbell, Minister at Larbert, and Professor of Ecclesiastical History at Saint Andreus. I have formerly hinted (and, for more accounts, vide Letters since October last) at his pamphlet about the Apostles not being enthusiasts, and the noise it has made in this Church. Five or six answers are come out to it by Mr Hunter, and Hog, his father-in-law, Mr Steuart, and [Mr] Wilson, Ministers in Perth, and some others. It was talked, that Mr Campbell, chosen by the Presbytery of Stirling member of this Assembly, was to ask liberty of the Assembly to vindicate himself in the Assembly by a speech against the aspersions cast upon him by these pamphlets; but, it seems, that project was not acceptable to his advisers, and it was dropped; and no wonder, unless he had been attacked by the Assembly for the propositions advanced in that pamphlet. He had liberty, unsought, to vindicate himself in the press; and so nothing of this came in publick. I was fond to hear a person who made so much noise of late, and the rather that we heard he was to make a sort of recantation and retraction. His text was, Rom. viii. 9, "He that hath not the Spirit of Christ is none of his." He had a grave, distinct, solid, pointed, clear delivery. The substance of his discourse was this: After he had noticed that the Apostle had laid down the doctrine of man’s generall apostacy and depravation in the first three chapters, and drauen, as a consequence from it, that no man could be justified by the works of the law, by which we were to understand all things done by us after the commission of sin, he sheued the necessity of a propitiation and satisfaction; as the pardon of sin necessarily went upon that, so justification, in the fourth and fifth chapters, could only be by faith in the righteousness of Christ. The Apostle, in the eighth chapter, came to the priviledges of the justified, among which this was a cheife one in the text, that they had the Spirit of Christ. And so he considered the priviledges of the disciples of Christ, or justified persons that have the Spirit—access to God, pardon of sin, the redemption of the body, and eternall life. Then he open'd up the character of justified persons, their having the Spirit of Christ. By "the Spirit of Christ," he said, we behoved to understand the third person of the Trinity; and, consequently, Christ was God: and the
having Him did not, could not, relate to having Him in his essence, since that was impossible to men to have, but in his influences, and works, and operations. He did not touch his indwelling. But I blame nobody for not having all that is to be said on a subject in three quarters of an hour. Then he considered the influences and operations of the Spirit of Christ as either universall, and common to all rationall creatures, yea, to all creatures: "The Spirit moved on the face of the watters," and "in Him we live and move," &c.; or his miraculous operations for the confirmation and spreading of Christianity. These wer not meant,* but the ordinary influences and operations common to all believers and disciples of Christ: These wer necessary, he said, because of our naturall darknes and confusion on our minds—"the natural man knoweth not," &c., "for they are spiritually discerned," and our passions and irregular affections. He did not touch on the corruption of the will, but, it may be, he thinks that is a consequent of the depravation of the mind. For this he cited, "the carnall mind is enmity against God;" which, perhaps, hitts not the passions so much. This work of the Spirit he described by a neu creation; a forming the mind to all rightiousnes, goodnes, and truth; and enlarged a little on it; at the close of which he said, that all this work might fail, and be ready to dye, by our quenching the Spirit and vexing Him. The phraze of "failing" may be taken charitably. It was the only exceptionable phraze I observed. Then he drew some inferences: The first was the necessity that all wer under to have this Spirit of Christ, which he expressed in pretty strong expressions; that as creatures had their being, and wer constitute creatures by the Divine energy and pouer, so Christians wer Christians, and had their very being from the influences and operations of the Spirit of Christ; and that we should walk in the Spirit, and depend on him, and take heed what manner of spirit we are of, and pray to God for the Spirit.

Let me only add, that when he came to Saint Andreus, he presented his patent to the Principal in November last; and the Principal called an University meeting, where they agreed to receive him upon a certi-

* Intended, in the text.
ficat of his having taken the oaths, and subscribed the Confession of Faith. In order to this last, the Presbytery was called pro re natu. The meeting was thin. The Principal and he applied to the Presbytery for liberty to signe the Confession of Faith. Mr Anderson* was the only person that made any difficulty. He said, that he did not think that the Presbytery could allou him to signe as one of their members, till he was loosed from his congregation of Larbert, otherwise he might keep both benefices. He adduced many instances, [as] of Mr Neil Campbell, who was transported from Renfreu before he was received into the Presbytery of Glasgou, and inaugurat; and so every one who wer settled in Colledges. He and another wer sent out to converse with him on that matter. Mr Campbell told them he did not think it proper to give them, as a Presbytery, any satisfaction as to that; he had opened his designe that way to Pr[incipal] Haddo, but would give the Presbytery no answer to that; his patent gave him a right to demand liberty to signe; if they refused, he knew what to do. This was pretty magisteriall with the Presbytery. However, Pr. Haddo told the Commissioners from the Presbytery, that he, Mr C[ampbell,] had told him he designed to give in a dimission of his ministeriall charge at Larbert at Whitsunday, and remove with his family from Larbert to Saint Andreus after that—his circumstances not allouing him to remove sooner. The two Commissioners represented all to the Presbytery. Mr Anderson declared himself not satisfied with the treatment, [statement?] but the rest alloued him to subscribe. After he had signed, he had his inaugurall discourse, and was admitted by the University. He preached none at Saint Andreues; and, in a few dayes, left them, and has not been there since November. This is all that passed as to his reception.

I return nou to the Assembly. The Instructions† brought in the generall bussines of the Assembly. The particular transportations need not be noticed. Ther was nothing very remarkable in them, save debates about regard to the heads of familys, in opposition to the Heretors, and Elders, and Patron; and, generally, the Patron’s side caryed it.

* Minister of St Andrews.  † From Presbyteries to their representatives.
By the Instructions, an adress against Patronages, an adress against Error, and the act about Setling of Parishes, wer the most considerable things the reasoning run upon; and I shall give what I remember most material on these heads, and the rather that now nothing of a publick concern, properly speaking, has been before our Assembly these four years. Mr Simson's affair took up three Assemblys, and the contentions about Principal Chambers' settlement, which wer so tedious, took up the last Assembly, so that nothing of a publick rule or general concern could get in.

To begin with Patronages, and our other grievances, ther wer Instructions from many Presbitrys to adress and take all proper ways to have the Church freed from them. This has been a long continuouing Instruction for twenty years, and nothing done upon it, save in the 1715 and 1718, when the clause about acceptations was added. The Commission hath been every year impouered to make addresses in order to removing the Churches Greivances; and after all the reasonings this year, this landed likewise in that, and in the act about Settlements, of which afterwards. We wer, as we have heard, upon March, threatened with new pressures, and the tying the yoke harder about our necks, last session of Parliament; and that was made a handle of to keep us quiet, lest a new law should be made; whereas, it may be, had a wise appearance been made by this Assembly, in a modest manner, to show the Government how much we groan under the burden of Patronages, this would effectually have prevented further impositions, unless the leading men at Court incline to have the peace of the Church broken, which is scarce supposable. What many Ministers fear is, that such countenance is given by Commissions and General Assemblys to settlements by Patrons, and such favour is shown in the more publick actings of the Church by the influence of some of the leading Ministers at Edinburgh, and the Ruling Elders there, to every case wherein a Patron is concerned, be the people and Presbytery never so averse, and the man never so unworthy or unacceptable, that the Court, which take their rules from what passes at Edinburgh, may readily judge that Patronage is turning easy to us, and no longer a burden. In conversation and reasoning on this head, I find it observed, what, perhaps, I noticed before, in March,
that if we be in earnest to have Patronages removed, we are in the wrong channell. We make a bustle at our Assembly about adressing the King, who, indeed, has it not in his pouer to help us, except when the Parliament is sitting; our adress is out of head till next Assembly, and so nothing is done. If our Scots Members of Parliament be not brought over to favour us in this matter, all adresses to King or Parliament are idle things. Our road, then, would be for the Assembly to appoint persons in every Presbytery to deal with our Scots Members of Parliament in the time of reces, when they are here in Scotland, and to bring them to be sensible of the hurt that lau brings to the Church, and hou it sours people's tempers, and is the occasion of breaches of the publick peace, and really alienats the common people not only from the Establishment in the Church, but the Civil Governement, which bears so hard upon them in their religiouse concerns, and the choice of their Ministers, nothing than this being dearer to them. And then, when matters are prepared this way, the Commission, in November before the Parliament meet, ought to adress the King, and some of our Members apply to the Parliament, in a regular way, for redress; and, if need be, Ministers should be sent to London, who are in earnest to have this greivance helped. This is the only feasible way, to my apprehension; and, indeed, could we prevail but with the two brothers, Argyll and Isla, there is little question to be made but all the rest would come in to it. Hou to prevail with them is the difficulty; but this is plain, wer they in earnest, ther would be little or no stop from the English Members of Parliament.

This brings to my mind a passage Mr Robert Stewart tells me he had from Mr Carstairs, who was sent up with others—1714 or 1715—about the Greivances. When he came doun from Court, he told my informer, that they had a fair lay* to be eased of Patronages; but the Duke of Argyle stood violently against them in this, and could by no means be got to yeild; and I think I have formerly noticed, in thir Collections, that Professor Hamiltoun told me, that when he, with others, wer up, in the 1717 or 1718, that the English Ministry wer most ready to ease

* Prospect.
us of this burden; and desired them to speak to Argyll and Isla, and
if they gained them, they might depend on their concurrence. When
he waited on the Duke, he frankly told them that, for his own share, he
needed not stick,* for he was sure of having his inclinations followed in
all his parishes, whether he were Patron or not; but, he was of opinion,
Patronage was a civil right, and a point of property, which he would
never give up; and if it were endeavoured to be wrested out of his hands,
he would oppose it with all his power. The same was Isla’s answer;
so that, indeed, the continuance of this burden upon us may justly lye
at their dore; and we know it was their worthy predecessor in the 1649
that struggled hard against the rescinding of them, and from the 1638
till then prevailed to have them continued.

There was not much reasoning in the Committy and Sub-committy
of Instructions, about an adress to ease us of Patronages. The affair
of the act about settlements was thought more of weight to take up our
time with, as what was in our power, and what might calm the general
cry at present from so many Presbitryes. Ther were some reasonings,
indeed, upon acceptations of presentations by intrants, for some time
pretty close† in the Committy of Instructions and Overtures. Several
Instructions came up from Presbitryes, that the Assembly should pass an
act discharging Ministers or Preachers to accept of presentations, as
being a plain grievance to this Church. Mr Gordon, Minister at Alford,
opened the debate, and said that he still took the clause of adding ac-
ceptations, 1719, to be designed as a favour to this Church; and that
our friends that added it were of opinion, that Patronages being declared
contrary to the privileges and principles of this Church, no Minister
nor Preacher of this Church, acting agreeably to our principles, would
ever accept; and so Patrons’ power would fall, and, therefore, we should
take the benefit of that favourable act, and discharge all to accept.
Prof[essor] Hamiltoun answered, that he would not dispute at present
whither Patronages were contrary to the principles of this Church; he
would allow them to be a grievance, but thought it hard to set our prin-
ciples in opposition to the practise of the Ministers of this Church in

* Resist obstinately. † Closely debated.
her purest times, from the 1637 to 1649: That it was evident that the Ministers, Mr Henderson, Gillespy, Dickson, &c., were not in principle against acceptance of presentations: That by the acts of Assembly, 1643 and [1642], upon concert with the King to name a list of six, and these reduced to three, the Assembly appointed all Ministers and Preachers that were in the list to accept of the King’s presentation. To this it was answered, that the list was made by the consent of the people, and prior to the acceptance, and that the choice was made before the acceptance was enacted; and so it did not at all come up to our present case. It was further urged, that the Assembly, 1565, declared they were not to act against the Queen’s civil right of presentation, and only claimed the judging of the intrant’s abilities and qualifications. To this the Book of Discipline declaring the Churches Patrimony to be disposed of by the Church, and the plain declarations in the second Book of the people’s right to choose their own pastor. Indeed, in the first period of our Church, the people’s consent was all long stuck by the Church.

Professor H[amilton] added, that as to the clause, 1719, about acceptations, it was designed in our favour, but not in the sense was urged; that by non-acceptance Patrons’ power should fall. This he was very sure was not, he said, the design of the act, but to prevent sham presentations. This, as I have more than once observed, is a fact, that persons who were at London at that time differ about. Colonel Erskine, L[ord] Grange, and others, assert that act run upon the known principle that no Presbyterians would accept presentations. P[rofessor] Hamiltoun still asserts the contrary. The President and Solicitor said that an act of Assembly discharging acceptations would be very like the old way, an act of the Commission against an act of Parliament, which they would be very sorry to think of: That it would be a material rescinding of an act of Parliament, and in our present circumstances would undoubtedly bring an explication of that British act, and new enforcements upon us.

To this Mr Gordon answered, that he could by no means see the justness of that reasoning. He still considered the clause about acceptation as a favourable clause for this Church, and designed for our benefit, and it was not a flying in the face of law for the Church to take the benefit of a law in her favour: That he considered this case much as the
case of Tolleration. The law about tolleration of meetings for the English Service, and the law about Patronages, were brought on us at the very same time, and with the same view, to break* us in this Church for our attachment to the Protestant Succession in the present Royall Family. He put the case, that the act of Tolleration and the act of Patronages still continued; but with this difference, that that of Patronages had the favourable clause, a saving clause added, putting Patrons, as it were, in a tollered state. He thought that it would not at all be a flying in the face of the law about Tolleration, if the Assembly should make a declaration and act, that whosoever, Minister or Preacher, should forsake her communion, and wait on the tollered meetings where the English Ceremonies are used, and joyne in them, should not be allowed to be planted in a parish. Just so, the act and declaration craved, that accepters of presentations should not be allowed to be ordeanaed, could never, in his opinion, be reasoned an act of Assembly against an act of Parliament; if so be we were agreed, as he hoped we were, that Patronages were a grievance, and contrary to the principles of this Church, which he thought the Commission and Assembly approving their address against them, 1711 and [17]12, had directly declared. I did not perceive this reasoning answered, save what is above, and that the members of the British Parliament would not be of the same opinion with us that Patronage is unlauffull.

The Laird of Affect interposed in this debate, upon another foot and manner. He observed, that he had not observed it questioned before, that Patronages were unlauffull and a grievance, and that he took it alwise for our principle in this Church, and hoped it would still be so: That he still considered patronages as a branch of Popery and Episcopacy: That as they came down from Popery, so they were still accounted unlauffull in Scotland, save in the periods when Prelacy was crammed down upon us; as soon as we reformed from Prelacy and Popery, the First Book of Discipline declares against them; after Tulchan Bishops† were cast out, the Second Book declares yet more against them; and they

* Crush.
† This singular phrase, by no means uncommon after the period of the Reformation, alludes to the practice of placing a tulchan, or stuffed calf, beside a cow deprived of her young, to prevail on her to give her milk.
came in again with Prelacy, and were declared against when Presbytery was set up, and brought in again at the Restoration, and cast out at the Revolution: That he still thought that a very essential difference betwixt Presbyterian parity* and Prelacy lay in the matter of settling parishes. Under Prelacy the Bishop settled whom he pleased, without consulting the people; but under Presbytery the people's consent was alwise sought; and if we loss this, we loss our Presbyterian constitution and parity.

The Lord Drummore answered him again, and said, he for [his] share reconed Patronages a greivance, and that not only upon the people but the Heretors; but could not think they were contrary to our principles, because they were used, and acceptation of them common, till the 1649, the plan of which act he heard was objected to by some of the best of the Ministers. But he should have minded it was not Presentation being removed which these Ministers excepted against, Mr Calderwood and others, but the manner of Election by Sessions. He observed even in the 1649 the plan was not by poll, but the Heads of Families were allowed to make exceptions and objections: That, for his part, he would never consent to elections only by Sessions: That at the Revolution the Heretors and Elders named, not Heads of Families; and that was the plan he thought most reasonable, and wished we could obtain it.

Thir reasonings ended in the act about Setling Parishes *tanquam jure devoluto*, when the right falls; see the copy of the act. Ther was an Instruction from the Synod of Glasgow, that the Assembly should lay down a rule for uniformity in planting of vacancies, since in different places different methods were taken. This matter was sub-committed, with all the Instructions relative to Patronages, Acceptance of them, &c. This Sub-committy entered upon the consideration what rule to lay down in an Ecclesiasticall way, since we had no stated Church rules this way. Ther was some time spent upon the plan laid down in the Act of Parliament 1690; and, at length, by a vote, this was agreed upon. It was said that was, till the 1712, that Patronages were imposed, the ge-

* Equality of rank among her Ministers.
nerall practise of this Church. It was observed, that that plan was the sentiments of the old Ministers at the Revolution; that we may be sure they got at that Parliament every thing which they asked; and that what was in that act might be considered as the Ministers' desire, and what they agreed unto.

Some said that it was formed by a meeting of Ministers then at Edinburgh waiting upon the Parliament; but above, in this Collection, I have set down the account of it from Sir James Steuart, who formed it; and I am at this time further assured, that the first part of the Act 1690 was formed by Sir, then Mr, James Steuart, and was presented to the Parliament by his brother, Sir Thomas Steuart of Cultnes; and the after part of the act, allowing the parishes to buy, and ordering the Patrons to accept of six hundred merks, was added by my [friend?] the Lord Whitlaw, Mr William Hamiltonoun, brother to the Laird of Houshill. The Act 1690 was read; and instead of "Heritors being Protestants," it was moved it should be in our act, "Heritors of our communion;" or, "a speciall regard being had to Heretors who joyne in ordinances." By others it was moved that it should run, "Heritors signing the Call;" by which signing they oblige themselves to subject to ordinances. Against these additions, it was reasoned that this was too much limiting: That, at the Revolution, the North was as much disaffected in its heretors as now, and yet no more was found needfull than "Heritors being Protestants:" That this was the most probable way to gain Heritors to come to be of our communion: That the clause, "subscribing the Call," would not be of great security, for subscription to subjection might be made, as the world goes, without following attendance on ordinances.

Then the clause about naming and proposing was reasoned upon. It was said that it was to [be] understood of electing and choosing, as is plain from the following clause, "as Royall Burghs wer," is used. Here, indeed, the strait of severalls lay, whither Heritors, as such, and non-residing Heretors, had a right to elect and choice. It was thought that this was the determining a very nice point, which had not yet been determined by this or any Reformed Church; and, therfor, in the first
draught, we kepted “named and proposed,” though in the Committy of Overtures, “elect and choice” was put in the room of it, for the above reason.

Then the clause of recomending this method to Presbitrys before the *jus devolutum* fell in their hands, and discharging them to delay unnecesarily, was reasoned upon. After this, it was sub-committed to Pr[incipal] Haddo and me to extend the Act, and put it in form of a Church Act. When we did this, and it was in some time brought in to the Committy of Overtures, ther wer severall debates and amendments. We had put in a clause of Patronages being a Greivance; that was turned out by the Ruling Elders, President, and others, as what, though true, yet it was not proper to put to an Ecclesiastical Act; then a clause about the Presbytry’s meeting, and calling the Heretors and Elders, (whose designation we had made “representatives of the people,”) and the clause naming and proposing to the people was altered, by Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun, to “electing and chusing.” Upon this there wer long debates. It was urged, that the Christian people wer the proper choicers and callers: That this was the practise before the 1649; and at the 1649, the Session wer appointed Electors, and the people to give in objections: That in the 1687, where Affect cited a paper of the Resolutions of some general meeting of Ministers, from my History, that Heretors, Elders, and Heads of Familys, should call; that this had been the constant practise till 1712, when the lau 1690 was in force.

It was urged by the Moderator, that Poll elections wer warranded by no practise in the Christian Church, and not to be gone into: That Heretors wer not to be put on a levell with their tennants. Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun, when this was like to come to some heat, interposed, and endeavoured to sheu, though the plan of the Act 1690 was the fixed interest in a congregation, and that was the midse,* that the people, by this Act, had their approbation and disapprobation allowed, with the reasons, of which the Presbytery wer judges, that this was the proper midse we wer to keep. The bretheren in the North wer generally

* Medium, means.
against this plan, by reason of the disaffection of their Heretors, and urged that, for the sake of the peace of the Goverment, somewhat should be added to exclude Jacobite and disaffected Heretors. It was answered to that, that taking the oaths was the proper test of affection and disaffection; and as the Parliament 1690 did not think fitt to put even that in, so it was not proper for the Church to do it.

Under all these variouse sentiments, it was agreed that the Act should be transmitted to Presbitrys, and under their consideration till next Assembly, and in the mean time have the force of an Act. Mr Willison of Dundee added a clause, which, he thought, might ease the minds of many as to acceptations, that the Assembly should recomend it to Ministers, Preachers, &c, not to encourage any other method of setlement but in the form of this Act; which was gone into without opposition. Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun was for making this a standing Act at present, as having long been under Presbyteries' consideration; but he yeilded.

This is all the lenth this method of setling Congregations could be brought. It's probable that, by the opposition the North country Ministers made to it, that it may not be passed to a standing Act next Assembly. To me, indeed, it's hard to determine whither one method will fully answer, in point of expediency, to the South, where the Heretors are not openly disaffected, and the North; but it seems necessary that some rule should be fixed. It is scarce to be expected we shall be relieved from presentations, and it's pretty hard to fix what should come in their room. One would think that the King might order the presentations in the Croun's pouer to be setled in this manner, and that would be a copy for all well disposed Patrons. I find it a debate among lauers, whither the King be Patron in most places where he claims it. In Erections made and doled by the Royall munificence, it seems yeilded that he is; and it's added, that where ther is no Patron, the King, as last heir, is so; but that is flatly denied by lauers; and they observe, further, that the most of the King's Patronages are by his succession to the Abbeyes and Monastryes under Popery; and the Patronages of many laymen and Lords of Erection come this way; but [they] say
little as to the Patronages of these who have the lands and teinds of Religiose Houses, since their tenour* of the Patronages may be much questioned. Many of the King's Patronages are where others have the lands and teinds. In England, indeed, the case is otherwise, when all Religiose Houses were put in the King’s hands, and all Church power vested in him as Suprem Head of the Church, and flowing from him by law. But this is not our law, and the Crown's right may be questioned in most of his presentations. This is what lausers should clear to us.

Mean while, it’s observed that King George the First gave it as a rule to the Court of Police, which have the Crown’s Presentations in their hand, to present, with concurrence of all concerned, which was done in most cases; and the Church had little trouble with many of them. But when the two brothers, especially Isla, came into the management, that instruction was left out to the Court of Police, and the King’s servants. It was moved, by some, to the Commissioner at this Assembly, that it would be of great use, if so be he could procure such a clause to be renewed. He smiled, and said, My Lord Isla might be spoke to; but he doubted it would not do, for that would loss the interest the managers inclined to have in the disposall of the King’s Patronages, which he was affrayed they would not easily part with; and, indeed, there our choak† lies. Certain persons incline to have the planting of Churches, and the bringing in Ministers depending on them to the Church, that all may be as they would have it. And this, as, I believe, I have formerly observed, has been the politick of a great and noble family, to have the Ministers of this Church at their devotion, both from the 1638-1649, and since the 1715, that our party work came. Hinc illæ lachrymæ, and origo malorum! Could we get any ease this way, by an adress to the King, when we can scarce expect the Patronage Act will be repealed, I know not; but it might have a good deal of influence on subject Patrons, providing Ministers would abide by the plan 1690. But, alace! our times are far from being on the bettering hand.

* Tenure.  † Difficulty.
Let me only further remark, that this Act as to Setling Parishes, *tanquam jure devoluto*, has been very long before Presbyteries, Commissions, and Generall Assemblys. It began about the 1711, and was resumed again about the 1721 or two, [1722,] and an Act printed and transmitted to Presbitrys. It was before Commissions and Committys of Assemblys severall times; and, I think, I have noticed some reasonings about it in the preceeding Volumes of thir Analecta. I shall only remark, that the leading men about Edinburgh did not seem to be for any such act its passing. Ther wer many difficultys, indeed; and my Lord Grange seemed to push matters very far against all heretors, as heretors, being electors of a Minister; and to lodge all in the hands of the Christian people and communicants. This was one of the things he was blamed for, as tending to rent and divide this Church. In several meetings—1723 and [172]4—where I was, the Sub-committy of the Commission to which this Act was referred to ripen, by the Assembly, seemed to come pretty near one another; but I observed Pr[ofessor] Hamilton and some others desert such meetings, as if they wer not pleased with the plan of this act; and so, indeed, it came to no bearing, though I think it was still continued, before the Commission, by the General Assembly, from that time to this. I am told, Mr Chalmers, last summer, got this Act, as amended by many Committys of the Commission, with him to Aberdeen; and it was not come up to this Assembly when we called for it.

The first open difficulty upon this affair of setling parishes was in the case of Lochmaben, 1723; see Letters and papers that year. That affair was made easy by the King's servants, and a neu presentation was procured for Mr Cuming, and Mr Carlisle was setled elsewhere. In the 1725, the affair of Mr William Chambers' settlement of Minister of Neu Aberdeen, in opposition to Mr . . . . who is there at present. There the Commission, and I among [the rest,] out of regard to the Whig Magistrates, and interest there, joyned in it; and he was setled by a Committy of Commission, contrary the plurality of the Presbitry, and the elders and heads of familys wer dubiouse. Indeed, we restricted the Committy to our own members; but, since that time, I
have not voted in any affair wher Committys wer clapped upon Presbitrys, nor probably will, for what I knou, till I see matters in another channell; though that setlment, as far as I see, hath indeed proved, in the event, happy enough.

By this time, some about Edinburgh came to take the affair of calling really to heart; but the directors of affairs, as I have said, lay off, and, it seems, inclined to have this pow'r of calling left loose, that it might really be in the Commission's hand to setle according as partys would have it; and so no rule was agreed to. The Commission after that, till this year, had really all the Churches setlments in their hands, the Assembly being so taken up with Mr Simson's affair, that there was no room for setlments save that of Aberdeen. And in the affair of Aberdeen, of Old Machir, of Touie, of Renfreu, of Hutton, [and] of Cri mond, they took odd steps, sometimes on the one side, sometimes on the other side, of the question, as partys led them; they having no fixed rule to act by. But especially last year, in the affair of Balfrone. Multitudes of these setlments were without any consent of the people, or such as are to be reconed proper calls. Sometimes the Commission were disapproven, but their wrongouse setlments were never reversed; so that now, really the spirits of people are perfectly soured by these arbitrary steps, meerly to serve courtiers and partys. This present Act is the only generall [Act] that ever the Assembly has yet agreed unto; but then it needs great amendments, some of which were reasoned and pretty much agreed upon in Committys of the Commission, which had the Act for setling _tanquam jure devoluto_ under consideration, as has been said. Ther it was agreed on, that speciall regard should be had to heretors that attended on ordinances, otherwise certainly it will be a great hardship on well-affected heretors. Heretors also would be defined, and somewhat of their paying cess, or some other rule, should be fixed; and, further, it was there agreed that heretors and elders should act in two separat bodyes; and that heads of familys, in case of a difference between those, should be taken in to make the ballance. Many other regulations would be needfull to make; but I doubt this is scarce a time for it; and I see some leading persons are not fond of rules.
Pouer is sweet, and such who get it in their hands are not willing to part with it.

The other affair of consequence before the Assembly was an act and warning against Error and Infidelity, so much grouing. Instructions for this, as has been noticed, came in from Fyfe, Stirling, Angus, Murray, and some particular Presbitrys. Because this matter had been urged by many Presbitrys ever since Mr Simson’s affair, and, indeed, the grouing infidelity and loosenes of principles in Tindall and others, very much taking among the gentry and others, seems to raise the concern about this, the Synod of Perth and Angus had addresses synodical, and commissioners named by the Synod subscribing them, directly to the Assembly, by the Committy of Bills. This matter came in to the Instructions, and was sub-committed twice; but the Committy did not meet, the directors not being inclined to medle in it; and, on some pretext or other, they kepted not the meetings; and when it came in in open Assembly, by the Bills, it was in the last sederunt, and went to the Commission, where it’s probable it will never come to any thing.

The matter was pretty much ripned, and terms agreed upon by the Synod and Presbitrys, who sent them up, with much exactnes. See the copy of them, papers this year. In them, which seems to be the choak of the thing, there is a particular deduction of Mr Simson’s errors, in the terms of the Assembly’s declarations. This was one sederunt debated, in the generall, in the Commity of Instructions, before it was sub-committed. The substance of the reasoning was this: When the Instructions were read, it was said, by such as favoured them, that the matter was much formed in the Instructions from Saint Andreus, and Synod of Angus and Murray, and might be sub-committed.

Professour Hamiltoun was of opinion, the terms, at least several of them, in these draughts, pointing to some phrases against the errors charged on Mr Simson, if adopted by the Assembly, would be an enlarging our Standards, and making additions to our Confession of Faith, which, he thought, was a work very tenderly to be gone about, and with much deliberation. This is the great bugbear that is used in this mat-
ter; and some of the Ruling Elders, who, I doubt, understand little of our doctrine, made large declamations on the excellency and fullness of our Confession, and how little need there was to give any warning in terms different from that. Mr J. Sanderson, in Elgine, answered: That enlarging Confessions has been what was ordinarily done in all ages; and it behoved to be done, as heretics and evil-minded men scogged themselves under scriptural and standard phrases, and yet vented new and unsafe terms of expressing themselves: That this was what all words [which] men could contrive were liable to; and when perverted, they needed explications.

Mr Alexander Anderson, in St Andreus, observed: That had been done already in Mr Simson’s process, and it behoved to be done when circumstances made it necessary: That he had observed very few or none proposed in any of the draughts of a warning before the Committy, but what were either directly or by very near illusion† in our Standards, and in Acts of Assembly; and he hoped, that if once we came to particulars, that would soon be made appear, if once we were come to particulars.

It was urged that many of the errors pointed at in these draughts were not among us, but in English Writings, which would be best answered by overlooking them. It was answered, These books were among us, and much read, and infectious: That, besides this, there were many assertions by writers among ourselves which were out of the common road, and liable to exception; here there were pointings toward Mr Campbell’s pamphlet.

My Lord Drummore hoped those errors, write and vented in England, were not taking here: He believed they were despised, and reconciled contradiction and nonsense: That a Church was not bound to give a publick warning when an author and pamphlet-writer took it in head to vent some old error, otherwise we would have work enough to do: That, if errors were vented among ourselves by Members or Ministers, the proper way was to raise a process, and libell the writers, and censure them as

* Hedged, sheltered.
† Inference.
the proof came out: That he thought that a far more habile way than to give any publick warning, which he did not take to be a remedy proportioned to the disease at all. Answers wer given to these, That error and infidelity was evidently growing, and innovations in point of doctrine among us: That the two ways wer not inconsistent, and the publick warning reached other ends than a process, and a lybell was a pretty difficult thing in points of doctrine. So the matter was referred to the Sub-committy, who had the act about settlements in their hand; but, as I said, what for one cause, what for others, and the throng with the affair of Setlments, nothing was done but a reference to the Commission by the Assembly.

Ther was a direct address from the Synod of Aberdeen about Notoriall Calls, that is, acceptance of and approving by the Synod of Aberdeen, and the Commission of the Assembly, of subscriptions of people under the hands and attestation of publick notars,* and not supervised by Ministers, yea, in opposition to the call supervised by the Presbytery. It only happened in the affair of Crimond, and Mr Forbes of Deer made a great bustle about it. It was said that the Presbytery refused to take in those subscribers, and they had no other way to verify their subscribing but by calling nottars to attest. The members of the Synod of Aberdeen wer very loud against [each] other on that address; Principal Chalmers and his party on the one side, and Mr John Forbes and Mr James Gordon on the other, and wer litle better than giving other the lye in the face of the Commity of Instructions. This matter went no further.

Ther was mostly from the Synod of Aberdeen strong instructions against Superior Judicatorys, Synods, Assemblyes, and especially Commissions appointing Joynnt-Committys, Correspondents, and other such meetings, to overrule Presbitrys. This related to the affair of Old Machir and Neu Machir, and was sadly abused in Balfron. This is, indeed, a taking the whole pouver on the matter from Presbitrys by a superior party in the Synod or Commission, and is like to have very ill

* Notaries public.
consequences. It was said, that where Presbitrys are rebellious to Superior Judicatorys, they must be quelled, and in other cases they wer not appointed. But this is certainly a dangerouse thing, and has been much abused of late by the Commission.

[May 15.]—There was a very shamefull squable betwixt the Moderator and Mr Gordon of Ardoch in the Commity of Overtures, May 15. Ardoch alledged the Moderator had given a wrong state of a thing. Some others had compleaned, particularly Afflect, of peculiar treatment from Mr Smith, which was reconed ane attacking the chair and the Judicatory, but that was soon over. But Ardoch and he came to an undecent hight. Ardoch is a man of great passion, and still interposing;* but when he contradicted the Moderator, and said he had mistated it, the Moderator being pushed to it by P[rofessor] Hamiltoun and Mr Crawford, would leave the chair and come to the barr. No body in the Commity was for it save these two. He would be to the barr, and Mr Gordon† was unwilling; the members of the Commity opposed. They wer so loud, I heard them at the distance of the street and Kirk! When I came in they wer not done. I heard the Moderator call Mr Gordon "a madman!" The Solicitor interposed, and Mr Gordon made some kind of acknowledgment, and Mr Smith closed with prayer, where he lamented weaknes and passion very much.

Mr James Bannatyne tells me, that, as has been noticed, P[rofessor] Hamiltoun very plainly opposed Mr Smith's coming in to Edinburgh, till he was forced to it, to get in Mr Gaudie: That since, he has, till of late, opposed Mr Smith's being Professor, and seems to be for Mr Gaudie, though he does not speak out: That this Assembly he thought to have prevented his being a member, but nou his being Moderator, though against the grain, was designed to sheu the interest Mr Smith had: That Professor Hamiltoun layes all the blame of any hights he goes to on Mr Crauford;‡ That he says he cannot hold up with him.

Mr Alston and I had a long conversation; and he told me all that

* Constantly interfering.
† Mr Gordon of Ardoch, the party alluded to.
‡ Professor of Ecclesiastical History, Edinburgh.
passed as to his being Principall and Professor. After he was last Moderator, 1729, when it was thought by the courtiers that he had managed that difficult post at that time without a breach, and before Mr Wisheart’s death, Mr Innies made a proposall to him of coming in to Edinburgh, and succeeding Mr Wisheart, in my Lord M[ilto]n or I[sl]a’s name. He declined talking of it till the vacancy should come. When that came, my L[ord] M[ilto]n sent messages to him; he still waved it for some time. At length they met, and he proposed his coming in and being Principall and Minister. He answered, that would meet with difficulties, and he could give no answer till he knew how the Colledge stood, and Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun. If party’s consented, he would take it to consideration, but would never come in to a flame, and till all were satisfied, nor be a bone of contention. Thus matters stood till my L[ord] I[sl]a came down. When he waited on him the same proposall was made, and the same answer given. He was several times with my L[ord,] and no more passed on it. After he was gone off he wrote a letter to another, desiring him to intimate to him, if he would take the Professor’s place it was in his offer, and half of the ministeriall charge, with the Professor as Principall. He offered, for which he was sorry afterward, to take the Professor’s post without any ministeriall charge, or the Principall’s post with half the charge. Thus the thorn was put in the Professor’s foot.

This was taken to consideration, and not gone into, but still kepted in suspense till the vacancy by Mr Scot’s son’s death. By this time he repented* the offer he had made to take the care of youth, as being unable for it, and it’s putting him to a quite new course of studys, and [he] acquainted L[ord] M[ilto]n, talking on the subject to him, that he would quite all pretensions and promises for any supposed service he had or could do, and live private where he was, and extricat them out of all the promises and difficulties from different claims, if they would put his son, if he were found qualified, on tryall, in the Greek Regent’s place. This was frankly promised by my L[or]d. But nothing was

* Repented.
done; he was excluded and dropt, and from that time to this he has not been spoke to. He is of opinion [that] nou the matter is made up betwixt Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun and Mr Smith, and that he is to be Principall, and the other Professor, and is very thankfull the snare is broken that was laid by his hast and simplicity. He never sought any thing; he was still courted, and stood off, except in the offer of being Professor, which greived him afterward. He recon the story of Mr Wisheart is nowise grounded, and is of opinion Mr Hamiltoun will never goe into it; that it will be long before Mr Wisheart’s influence in the Church can be so great as to be laid in ballance with Professor Hamiltoun by the courtiers; and that, therfor, Mr Wisheart will be dropt. Thus matters go at present, in disposall of places to such as they think will have most interest and influence for a Court party. May the Lord, who lives, overrule all else we shall soon run in confusion.

I mind no more I heard at Edinburgh, save that Mr Adam Colt, the old Minister, called up by King James, 1606, used to pray that he might dye at his work of preaching; and it was noticed that he was honoured with a long course of preaching, and, according to his wish, he dyed very soon after his last sermon.

The affair of Balfrone made a great noise this Assembly. See what is above. The Commission was disapproven in severall of their steps, but they wer not condescended upon. What was most spoken against was their going in to the call that had a minority, over the belly* of Presbytery and Synod, and their shamfull hasting of the affair, to prevent its coming before the Assembly.

The affair of the complaint of the Synod of Angus and Mearns, of the Commission’s reponing Mr Archibald to his ministry, though not at Guthry, contrary to precedents and acts discharging Commissions to renverse† Synods’ sentences, and just the reverse of what they had done in Mr Glass’ case, came in [and] was referred to a Committy. Ther wer many conferences between the members of the Synod and Commis-

* A singular phrase, then usual, meaning in defiance of, in spite of, or “in the teeth,” as we now say.
† Overtur, set aside. Fr. renverser.
sion. The Synod complained of the irregularity Mr Archibald would and did commit, being a Minister of this Church, and so having power to baptize and marry. The Commission was instructed to support the Synod in prosecuting him for future irregularities. The Assembly did not approve the Commission, but left things to stand as they were; so that one knows not what to make of the case of Mr Archibald, he [being] deposed by his Synod, and reponed by the Commission to the Ministry at large, though they had deposed Mr Glass for the same irregularitys. The Assembly does not approve what the Commission does, and yet leave matters to stand as they are. Such intricacies and mazes does the different tydes of men's humors bring us to! Mr Glass' deposition was carried by Mr Smith's interest in the Commission, contrary to Pr[ofessor] Hamiltoun. In Mr Archibald's case, Mr Smith and he agreed, and Mr Hamiltoun (as is said) would please the English Dissenters again by reponing Mr Archibald to his ministry, though the case was the same, and the Synod as much vexed with his irregularitys as with Mr Glass. Thus publick interest sometimes yeilds to privat vieus.

Ther is much talk of a man (see the publick prints this moneth) who hath left ten thousand pound to a Hospitall at Aberdeen. He was exceeding narrow, they say, and hard to his relations.

[May 10.]—On the tenth of this moneth, my Lord Justice-Clerk and Lord Poltoun keeped a Justiciary Court at Glasgou, wher the Riot at Kilpatrick came before them, which was hinted at above. The gentlemen cited—Mains, Kilmanan, &c.—came before the Lords in privat, acknowledged their offence, and asked favour, and promised to encourage their Minister, Mr Gray, and wer passed. There wer five or six wee-men who did not appear, and wer fugitat; and four men appeared, [and] pleaded guilty at the barr; but the matter was concerted. They wer condemned to some weeks imprisonment; but, upon the Minister's application, the Magistrates of Glasgou wer allowed to liberat them, which was done in a few hours; and all this is hushed over! I wish it have good consequences to the interest of Religion, and that all be encouraging to the Minister afterwards, and attend on ordinances.
May 26.—Upon the twenty-sixth of this moneth our Presbytery met. We had a discourse from Mr Ferguson, who was presented to Killellan by Barrochan. When the affair of that settlement was before us, the Patron desired Mr Ferguson might preach; and we sent him two dayes. At the same time, we had a petition from the Heretors—Dundonald, Fulwood, and the Elders, desiring one to be sent to try the people’s inclinations. This was counter to the Patron, and we granted it. This day the Provost of Glasgow and Mr Finlason came in, and insisted for Mr Moodie.* They desired their Representation to be read, which they had given in last Presbytery day: This was done. They allege that they pay the half of the stipend, and bind for the whole; and, by the decreet of erection, they are the sole presenters and callers. I remarked this was a strong and unusuall clause, and wished to see the Decreet: That was not produced. The people of Port-Glasgow insisted for Mr David Broun, and desired one might be sent to try the inclinations of the people. The Magistrates alleged they were sole callers. They promised, at least hoped, they would have a popular call for Mr Moody. We sent two to try the inclinations of the people, and report; and, in the meantime, reserved our judgment whither the right of presentation was elapsed or not.

June [16,] 1731.—I shall begin this moneth where I left. On the sixteenth the Presbytery met. The persons, Mr Mitchell and R. Maxwell, brought us in a Report of the state of Port-Glasgow. The Heretors and feuers were called; the Toun of Glasgow did not appear, nor any for them. All the feuers, all the Elders, and heads of familys, to the number of two hundred and seventy, or thereby, declared for Mr David Broun. Ther were many of them weemen, which was new, about forty or fifty; but then it was said they had commissions from their husbands at sea to appear for their interest; and the rest were heretrixes. For Mr Moodie, the Custom-house officers and their dependants, with a boatman or two they imploy, wer for Mr Moodie, to the number of thirty-seven; but we scarce reckoned them parishoners. The Magistrates

* To be Minister of Port-Glasgow.
of Glasgow and the feuers, for Mr Brown, came paid. These desired a
call to be moderat for Mr Broun. The Magistrates declared they con-
tinoued for Mr Moody, and would go into no other, and craved a delay.
We sent out a Committy to converse them, and to ask what they mean-
ed by a delay? They declared it was to have time to bring the people
to Mr Moody. We asked, if they would not come in, if they would
yeild? They wer very positive they would not, and yet insisted for a
delay. The feuars yeilded to a delay till next Presbytery day; and so
the Presbytery yeilded to it, with this declaration, that though they re-
ferred the consideration of the presentation in their hand, and find al-
most all the people for Mr Broun, yet they delayed, at the Magistrates’
desire, till next Presbytery, when they resolved to go on to a call.

As to Killellan, the Ministers, Mr Carrick and Mr P. Maxwell, brought
in a petition, signed by the Patron Barochan, Dundonald, Fulwood,
most of the Elders and heads of familys, for Mr W. Pollock to be their
Minister. There wer ten or twelve for Mr G. Adam, but they wer in-
considerable; and so we appointed a call to be moderat betwixt and the
next Presbytery day. Mr Ferguson sent a letter, declaring, that since
the persons concerned in the parish wer not for him, he renounced his
conditionall acceptance of the presentation. Barrochan was brought in
by Glencairn to be for him. The Heretors, headed by Dundonald,
banded against Mr Ferguson, and Craigmuir prevailed with Dundonald
to be for Mr Pollock; and so the settlement will go on, the Patron being
easy, and neu come in. I have not so much hopes in our other
vacaney.

This moneth, I hear from Mr Jervey, Minister of Camphire,* and
Mr Thomas Hamilton, student, who is come this season from Holland,
that old Professor Mark is dead, at Leyden. He was near eighty, and
has been near sixty years a Professor of Divinity. He has writ a great
deal, as the Dutch Professors generally do. He was Calvinist and
Voetian, and very laboriouse while he was able; but, for some years
since, very much failed by age.

* Campvere, in Holland, where there was a Scottish settlement, with a “Conservator of Scottish
Privileges.”
I hear also another famouse Professor Rush, in Physick, is dead. He was old, and turned, as it wer, a child again. He was very curiouse, and much valued, in his time, for his anatomical preparations.

Monsieur Saurine, Minister at the Hague, I hear, is likewise dead. Some things about him have been already noticed in thir Collections. Last year, the Synod where he was, the Waloon Synod, who have all the French Ministers under their jurisdiction, had a process against Saurine for what he had published about lying, and God's allowing it [in] some cases, last year. See the French Journalls. The States interposed, when he was like to be censured; and the matter was shuffled over without a direct retractation. He declared to the Synod that he had published a Catechisme some years ago, which was generally approved; and he stood by what doctrine he had delivered there, and desired that if he had writ any thing, in any of his writings, inconsistent with that, that what was in his Catechisme might be considered as his fixed sentiments; and so the matter was hushed. He was a person that was a kind of politician, and much valued by the States at the Hague, and consulted much by them. He had many friends, and two hundred pound Sterling, in pension, yearly, from England, from the Queen, when Princess, and since, and [from] some others.

I am told that Le Clerk is yet alive, but very much failed, and turned almost a child; and so that great man, in France, Abbe Vertot, so knouen by his Historys, is likewise superannuated, and quite failed. It's hard for men to stand out under closs writting and much study, which is a wearynes and wasting to the flesh; and really some of them die before their life be spun out. So did Mr Alexander Cunninghame, and others I could name.

Mr Thomas Hamiltoun tells me ther was not much remarkable in Holland last season. Ther was a Minister in North Holland prosecute before their Synod for Socinianisme, or doctrines tending that way. He has forgot his name; but when the proof was like to come out against him, he retired and went over to England, the sanctuary nou of Latitudinarians.

The same person tells me, that when he was at Amsterdam, he was
very near seeing the person who has published the Apparatus to the New Testament, of which before. That Apparatus makes a great noise abroad, and is generally displeasing to all sober persons. The author of the neu edition of the Neu Testament [that is] promised there is one Mr Wetstein, brother to the famous Wetstein who published the beautifull Neu Testament, 1711. This man was Professor of Divinity, or Minister, at Bale, in Germany; and for somewhat, (error I suppose,) was laid aside; and nou, for a long time, has been giving himself to this edition of the Neu Testament. His brother, or, I suppose, rather his nepheu, the present Wetstein, printer at Amsterdame, undertakes to print it, and, I belive, has begun the impression. At the information of the Ministers, the Magistrates took some umbrage at such an Edition of the Testament, and, some say, the printing of it was discharged; but nou that is evaded, and the ordinary text of the Neu Testament is to be printed in one column, and the neu text designed to be given is to [be] printed on the other column or page; and so this work is like to go on. Dr Bentley, in England, as some say, had his first hints from this learned critick and man, Wetstein; and, for some time, they went on together in concert; but the Doctor and he fell out, and now Wetstein is to stand alone.

Mr Randy tells me this account of Mr Alexander Hamiltoun, Minister of Edinburgh, (of whom, in some of the volumes of thir Analecta,) as what he had from good hands, and may be depended on. I knew he was son to the Laird of Houhill, near this place. His brother was Mr W. Hamiltoun, Lord Whitlau, a considerable lauer. His father had seven sons; and he used to say they were all among the best of their profession—lauers, physicians, ministers, &c.; and he had somewhat of all their skill; and, I think, for physick, he said, "Beans, differently used, were what he would prescribe for most distempers in man and beast." This was his merry, jocose way of speaking. But, to come to what Mr Randy tells me. Mr Alexander Hamiltoun, when he had passed the schools, resolved to study physick, and did so some years; and was going abroad to France to be graduat, and compleat his studys,
and his chest was put aboard, but himself never went. It pleased the Lord to visit him with a heavy sickness, and therby brought him very near the gates of death. However, he was recovered; and when he recovered, he turned exceed[ingly] concerned about his salvation, and, for near a year's time, he scarce ever came out of his room, save to hear sermon, and he did nothing almost but studied the Scripture; and, indeed, he was eminently seen in it, and master of it. At this time he laid aside the thoughts of physick, and took himself to Divinity; and Divinity is indeed founded in an exact knowledge of the Scripture.

At the Revolution, he was of very great use, and a person very highly valued among the Nobility in the time of the Convention of Estates. When Duke Hamiltoun was President, he was the person that broke a designe the Duke had formed, and which was like to take very much; and that was, a comprehension of all Ministers who would take the oaths to the Government, and settling the Church Government in their hands. This the Duke and others were fond of, as what would please England, and be a peaceable way, as was thought, to settle the Church. Many had dealt with the Duke to bring him off this foot, but in vain. At length Mr Alexander Hamiltoun, who was a very strong and close reasoner, went to the Duke one morning, and argued the matter with him on every side, and sheued him so many hazards in this comprehension, and dangers to himself, that the Duke (who was a man of strong sense) owned he was convinced, and dropt his designe. Mr Hamiltoun, in his old age, used to say, For as old as he was, he would be content to travel to London to understand some dark passages in the xiv. and xv. of John's Gospell. His book on the viii. of the Romans was but short notes dictated from his mouth, on the Saturndays, to one that wrote them for him, not being able to write himself; but his sermons were full of enlargements. What he said on the difficultys in the Epistle to the Romans, and his four volumes of sermons on the Epistle to the Hebreus, and his stopping in a sermon before the Convention of Estates, and breaking of his purpose, and encouraging the Members, who were his hearers, to go on, notwithstanding of a difficulty, unforseen, very soon to cast up to them, and then going on in his subject; and, next meet-
ing, King James’s Letter was presented to them, or else the defeat at Dunkeld, or some remarkable rub fell before them, as my informer, my L[ord] Pollock, who was present, informs me: These, I believe, and some other remarkable about him, I have formerly set down.

Mr Stewart tells me, that he was lately informed by Mr Hoarsly, minister and teacher of Mathematicks in Northumberland, that, of late, since the publishing of Mr Tyndal’s Book, a great many of the English Bishops and Clergy are returning to the doctrine of their Articles, which we call Calvinisme; and, he says, that several of them own, in conversation, that, upon the subject of the doctrine preached by Tillotson, Sherlock, and others, as to the sufficiency of man’s natural powers, it will be very hard to defend Christianity against the Deists.

He tells me, that he was never in conversation with the late Mr James Craigie, Minister at Edinburgh, (and he was ordinarily several days a week,) but he was bettered and edified. That he had a happy way of mixing in somewhat serious in conversation. That he was still uneasy almost in Church Judicatorys, from the heat and contention in them, and frequently he left them. He used to say to my informer, that of all the branches of his time, he had least peace, upon reflexion, on the time he necessarily spent in Church Judicatorys. My informer asked him his opinion of Mr Campbell’s pamphlet, On the Apostles’ Enthusiasme; and he said, it was an abominable paper. He used, not without reason, to express himself in so high terms.

The same person tells me, that Mr Daniel Douglass, Minister at Hiltoun, was a man of great piety and considerable learning: he was of perfect ability till, by the death of his son, about twenty or twenty-two, a youth of great hopes and expectations, and the melancholy which fell in on him upon this loss, he cracked,* and, some way, lost his reason. That the story is very true about the denuntiation upon the Laird of Hiltoun, as I have (I think) published it; and ther is a man yet alive who was witnes to it, and in the Church at the time: That when re-

* Became crazy or insane.
moved from his church, and restless in his head, he went abroad to Holland, and wandered about the country, and wandered up to Prussia, near Conningsburg. He had not the language; and after he had wandered all day, at night he came to woods, and was like to meet with no house; at length he discovered a light, and directed his way to it. It was late; and he found it a gentleman’s house. The gates were shut, and he knocked. The porter came, and, not understanding him, and fearing he might be a robber, he put him up in the gatehouse till he acquainted the gentleman. When brought before him, having neither the Prussian nor French, he spoke Latine exceeding well; and so he told the gentleman what he was—a banished Minister. The gentleman entertained him kindly. He told him it was a very singular Providence Mr Douglas was directed to his house, for otherwise he had been in great hazard, ther not being another Protestant family for several miles round. He soon discovered Mr D[ouglas]’s disorder, took a care of him, and sent him with a servant to Conningsburg. He sau Mr D[ouglas] could neither use nor keep money, and therefor wrote with him to ane acquaintance at Conningsburge to take care of him, and, at his charges, supply him in necessaryes, and to put him in the first ship that went thence to Scotland, and pay his freight, which was done, and he was brought home. Thus Providence remarkably watches over his own people and servants, and makes provision for them in all straites!

He tells me, that he is informed, that in Holland, in some places, white wine is used at the Table of the Lord: That it was thought necessary, at the Reformation there, to drive persons from the folly of transubstantiation, and is yet continued: That, in the Northern remot parts of Norroway and Denmark, where they can not have wine, the Sacrament is dispensed in malt-liquor: And he has been told that, at Aberdeen, yet, they use their wine at the Table mixed with watter. Enquire about this.

I find it observed, that, very soon, Scotland must be drained of money, in specie; and really it’s a wonder any almost is left with us. Indeed, except it be coals, and that is a trifle, lining cloath and black cattell, which may bring in a little, we have scarce any other branch of trade that brings in money to us in specie. Add to this, that there is twenty-
four thousand pound yearly in the Civil List and Croun Rents [which]
is carryed away, after all pensions, posts, garrisons, and officers are
payed, [and] what a prodigiose quantity of money is every year ex-
pended by every family of any rank, for body cloaths of English or
Forrainge produce! and to this may be added, that the greatest estates
in Scotland, in land-rent, are all taken out to England in specie;
Buccleugh, Roxburgh, Argyle, Montrose, Queensberry, &c. &c., besides
Members of Parliament, who spend at least more then they get.

July, 1731.—As to the affair of our vacancys this moneth, we had
a pretty unanimous call brought in to Mr Pollock from Kilellan. Some
feu heads of familys wer for Mr Adam; but the Patron, heretors, and
elders, and most of the people, wer subscribers;* so that settlement goes
on. As to Port-Glasgou, it continues as it was; the people universally
for Mr Broun, the Magistrates against him; see Letters this moneth.
We have granted a delay till next Presbytery day; and a very ill use
was made of it, as we shall see. The Magistrates want their director
and manager, Mr Finlason, at present in Edinburgh, and want to have
him in this country; and so we meet nothing but off-putts. Meanwhile,
Mr Moodie is really† on the matter off the feild, and in the road of setting
at Saline, where his relations, they say, are.

Mr Stewart observes to me, that Mr William Colville, whose mode-
ration, piety, and learning, are notore,‡ and Mr Andrew Ramsay, Min-
isters at Edinburgh in the year 1648, after the Duke’s Engagment, wer
very roughly treated. The Commission, I think, had made an act cross
to or against a Declaration of Parliament, and the Assembly follow-
ing approved this, and would have all Ministers to signe a Declaration in
the termes of that act. Mr Colvil and Ramsay declined to signe it, and
wer deposed by the Assembly for this. Mr Colvil was called before the
Assembly, and called on to give his reasons why he would not signe the
Declaration. He modestly declined this, saying the Assembly was bet-
ter judges of this matter then he, yet he had not freedom to comply;
and being still pressed to give his reasons publickly, he refused, saying,

* Of the call. † In point of fact. ‡ Well-known, notorious.
this was most unfitt to state himself a party to the Assembly, and that
the giving his reasons in publick could do no good, and would probably
do hurt, to propale* reasons before people who were ill judges of those
debatable points. When he continuoued, he and Mr Ramsay wer de-
posed for not signing, and not giving reasons for it. My Lord Egling-
toun, Graysteill, left the house in a pett. That same day, John Gilon,
a piouse but illiterat man, who had no language but his mother-tongue,
was ordered to be ordeaned a Minister. My Lord, when he came out,
said the Assembly wer going quite wrong. They had put out two great
lights in this Church, and had set John Gilon at Linlithgou, a ruff and
dark lantern in comparison with them.

After this Mr Colvil went abroad to Holland, and was at the Hague
during the Treaty of Breda, and there knouen to the King, and very
usefull to the Ministers in their dealing with the King. When he came
home, and when the sentence was taken off, I cannot say; but, after
the Restoration, the King wrote doun a letter to the magistrates of
Edinburgh, ordering them to choice him to be their Principall, and dis-
pening with his signing the Declaration, or taking any Oaths, save that
of Alledgeance. Mr Colvil at that time had the offer of any Bishoprick
save that of Saint Andreues, if he would comply; but that he refused;
and Mr Leighton, who was violent for the Declaration Mr Colvil scrupled
at, was made Bishop of Dumblain.

Mr Randy tells me he had this account from persons alive in Mr
Guthry's time. Mr William Guthry, when writting his treatise of a
Saving Interest, endeavoured to inform himself of all the Christians in
all the parts of [the] Church who had been under great deepths of ex-
ercise, or wer under them, and inclined to converse with them. Ther
was one Bahan, who lived in the Abbey of Haddingtoun, about a mile
from the town, who had been under great deepths and distress, and was
got out of them. Mr Guthry, as my informer was told, came once errand† to see him at Haddingtoun. He went to the house, and stayed
all night and next day, much taken with the conversation of this poor
man and his wife. Next morning, after breakfast, Mr Guthry said he

* Give publicity to. 
† Of sole purpose.
would divert himself a little, and proposed to go to the fishing. The
goodwife said she wondered hou such a man as he could spend his time
so. He answered he had pleasure in it. “Well,” said she, “Solomon
sayes, He that loveth pleasure shall be in poverty!” However, he and
the goodman went to the fishing, and, when they came in, Mr Guthry
was very facetiouse in conversation, as he used to be; and then they
went to worship. When Mr Guthry left them, he said to the goodwife,
“I hope you do not mistake my freedom in conversation?” “No, no!
Sir,” said she; “but I observe that, after all the freedom in talk and
conversation, when you come to prayer you seem to lament it to God.”
Great was the freedom Ministers and Christians used one with another
in former times.

We are like to fall under very great difficultys from the Setlment of
Congregations over the belly and cross to the inclinations of the people.
It’s nou many years since Kilmares was setled by Mr Cocheran, contrary
to the liking of the bulk of that people; and a great body of them still
stand out. Some of their elders wer at me some years ago, with heavy
complaints that they could not oun him as their minister; and, upon
that score of not hearing him, they wer excluded the benefite of the
Sacrament. Two of their sons wer with me this moneth, and are under
the same difficultys, and from his ungaining carriage they grow in their
aversion to him. They will neither hear him, nor ask tokens from him
to communicat at other places, because they pretend that this would be
a practicall ouning him as their minister, which they say they can never
do, since he came not in by the right dore, and for the stipend’s sake
came into place, contrary to Gospell rules. It is almost fruitless to
reason with them that the Church hath determined the matter, and that
he is certainly laufull Minister in the place, and may be ouned when they
have borne their testimony against what they recon sinfull in the manner
of his admission. The urging of the Churche’s authority with them is
but to encrease the scruples, and to enlarge* to the Church in generall;
and they are in hazard to separat from ordinances altogether. I am
really in a strait hou to reason with them.

* Extend their dissatisfaction.
The very same day one of the Elders of Neu Kilpatrick came to me on the same difficulties, as to Mr A. Gray, settled as above there. If he may be belived, matters are not much mended there. The dissenting elders do not joyn, and his* sullen temper hinders him, it may be, from the gaining methods [which] should be taken with them. I urged conversation between them, and that naturall tempers must be borne with, especially modesty; and I thought I gained my point. The man† difficulty was, though he should joyn, yet none of his people in his proportion; and so he could no longer be usefull as an elder among them. I said that was uncertain, and his example would probably have influence; and, if he had clearnes, certainly it was his duty. He lamented their letting doun their meetings for prayer since the rabble, which he condemned very much.

The confusions are yet more open and scandalous, in case of Lintoun and Balfrone; where, indeed, the settlements were much more irregular, and, if I may say so, unchristian, as has been noticed above. This moneth or the following, as I am told, Mr M'George at Penicook invited his neighbour, Mr Finlater at Lintoun, to assist him at the Sacrament. He was to have preached on Saturday, but, in the morning, Mr M'George his elders came to him, and told him they would not serve at the Tables if Mr Finlater preached! On which another supplyed his room on Saturday. However, he was present, and Sir John Clerk took him home with him on Saturday, brought him back on Sabbath, and set him above him in his own seat. But when he came down to the Table, it could not be got filled, though he was only to be a communicant. Some say he sat down, and the bulk of the people arose and left the Table on his sitting down! Others say that he was only at the head of the Table; and, upon that, though another Minister [was] with him and serve[d] it, there would none come to it till he removed from it! Whatever way it was, there was a terrible confusion, and he was obliged voluntarily to withdraw.

And Mr Sinclair in Balfrone was invited to the Sacrament by Mr

* Mr Gray's. † Main. ‡ In his district or division of the parish.
Edmistoun in Cardross, and preached on the Fast-day. When he came up the most part of the people went away, and left the place. He was to have assisted the whole time; but next day the Elders came in a body to Mr Edmistoun, and told him, that if Mr Sinclair was employed on that occasion they would by no means serve at the Tables. Upon which he thought it advisable voluntarily to withdraw and go home. These things are very sad and lamentable, especially at Communions; and, indeed, I see no other way remaining, almost, to discourage these cross-settlements that may come to prevail now, as matters stand at present, but [the] people discountenancing such irregular impositions upon them; though there is great danger here, and we shall run to much confusion. But I cannot but wonder that Ministers, who cannot but see the consequences of such unaccountable settlements, should not be deterred from them. Indeed, if the common people be lost, as they are like to be, to our general interests, I doubt our Nobility and Gentry are not much to lean to.

*August, 1731.*—This month, we hear of the affair of the town of Monross; see Letters. The town, that is, the Magistrates, wer for one Mr Hopper, Allanbank’s Chaplain; the rest of the town wer for another. Magistrates wer willing to let it come to a call, without using their interest as Patrons; and when it came to the Moderation, the Presbytery went on to count the votes; and, by their votes, laid aside three here-tors, because they attended not on ordinances, and others, for other causes, perhaps not tenible. Upon this, within due time, the[y,*] on a sudden, threw in their presentation. This did not mend the matter. The Presbytery went on to lessen the voters for Hopper, till they brought them to a minority, and concurred with the other, on which the Magistrates got a sist from Lord Drummore, Ordinary. The Presbytery went on, and gave their answers; (see them in print;) and, on the 28th of July, they wer brought in before the Fifteen.† Great pains was used, by Mr Grant and Mr Smith, to ward off this blow at the Church of giving sist; and much going about among the Lords. The

* The Magistrates. † Before the Court of Session, Inner-House.
President was very stiff and keen, that the Lords had a negative, and power to stop any but the presentee to be settled, though he owned they had no power but as to the civil right. The opinion of others was, that the Lords had no power to stop the Presbitry in their Ecclesiastical procedure, and that they might go on; but the Lords had power to stop the stipend, and refuse it after the Presbytery settled the man, if contrary to the Patron’s civil right. All that could be done, at the close of the Session—and, Mr Grant says to me, they reconed it a considerable point gained—was, that a delay was granted till November 1, that the matter be heard by the Bench; for though sister have been granted by the Ordinary, yet the matter has never been done by the Bench, nor the merits of the cause of sister heard by them in foro. The Lords delayed it till November, but continued the sister till then, and discharged even the Synod to settle another than the presentee, till they judged of the civil right. See the Interlocutor in print. This, I am of opinion, is a sore thrust, and a real sister to both Presbytery and Synod. However, it was all that could be got, as things now stand. Thir clashings and ridding marches,* between the Civil and Church powers, in settlements of parishes, is a most unhappy affair, which I wish had never cast up; but, every year, in our present gravaminous circumstances, new encroachments cast up. I cannot yet perceive that the Civil Courts can do any more, especially when the Church is still complaining of this burdensome law, put on us by our enemies, and the enemies of the Government, but stop the stipend in case the Patron’s right be found good, under pretext of a call and settlement on it, for which we have law. It’s unaccountable to hale in what is owned to be an Ecclesiastical matter before Civil Judges, so as to stop their procedure proper to themselves; and suppose a Presbytery should be pretended to injure a civil right, which they do not by a settlement, for the Patron has still power to claim the stipend till his civil right be determined, to bring in an usage of stopping Judicatorys of Christ in what is their proper work, this, to me, appears an evil of worse consequence than a stipend or particular Mini-

* In allusion to the old custom of riding the marches or boundaries of parishes, baronies, &c.
ster's setlement can balance; but certainly the civil title is still entire, and can be vindicat fully without stopping Ministers in their proper and spirituall powe. Be this as it will, the precedent is now begun; wher it will land, I do not knou! We hear, since, that the Presbitry are going on, and come the lenoth of the serving the edict; upon which the Magistrates of Monross came in to Edinburgh, to take advice what to do; and it's said that lauers advise to take the assistance of three Justices of the Peace, and get a warrand to their officers to guard the pulpit, and not suffer the Minister to enter it, untill he promise not to do any thing to the prejudice of the presentee. This, in former times, would have been thought strange advice and procedure; but it was done in the case of Old Machir, and, it seems, it's what some leading Ministers are not averse from. I hear, since, that the young man who has the call will not enter to such a flame, and that this will probably put an end to this unhappy affair.

[August 18.]—We in this Presbitry are like to meet with the same difficulty, though we have the advantage that no irregularity nor undue steps are chargable on us, as most part charge on the Presbitry of Brechin, in Monross affairs. Our Presbitry met on the 18th of this moneth, when we entered on the affair of Port-Glasgow. The Provost of Glasgow and Clerk Finlason compared, and the Moderator asked them their sentiments nou as to the setlment of the vacancy, after the Presbitry had delayed so long as they had done, and were ready to plant the place. The Provost said, they were willing that the Presbitry should moderat a call to Mr Moody; and the Clerk said, their final answer was, that they adhered to their call, and their representation, and presentation to Mr Moodie. Next, the elders and feuars were enquired, and they unanimously desired us to moderat a call to Mr Broun. When parties were removed, we went on in the usual step, to moderat a call, on September 6, to Mr Moody or Mr Broun, for which [soever] the plurality should be. In this we all agreed, save Mr Paton, who only said he was affrayed of inconveniencys. When this minute was read unto them, Mr Finlason gave in a sist from the Lord Cooper, Lord Balmerinno's son,
dated August 14. It was read; and when he had read it, it was desired back again, as what was not to be in our hands, but their paper. We asked, if we were not to have a copy of it? Mr Finlason answered, a copy might be had at the proper office, the Clerk of the Bills. So it was returned to them, and the party's removed.

The Presbytery took it to their consideration. We all spoke very fully on the head. Mr Paton said, he foresaw inconveniences, but never had the least apprehension that the Magistrates would take this extraordinary step, which he was extremely sorry for. All the rest spoke fully against it. It was observed, that there were several palpable untruths in the bill of advocation, (see the copy of it, and papers relative to it,) as that some elders were for Mr Moody, and that we were going to ordain Mr Broun: That this was asserted to the Lord Ordinary before we had taken any steps at all, and when matters were under conference and compromise: That our moderating a call to the presentee, if it should come out* to him, was far from this. It was noticed that this was a new step on this side of Tay, and would have very mischievous consequences; and very ungrateful to the Presbytery, when under communing, after so many delays, and condescensions, and professions of an amicable issue on their part: That it was sinful and unlawful to sist and interrupt a judicature when acting according to rules: That it was sinful especially in Elders, and when given us by an Elder in our own bounds. Wherupon we all agreed to alter our Presbytery day till September 1, that we might, before the moderation of the call, give in answers to the sist, and get advice in this extraordinary case. However, we adhered to our moderation of a call, notwithstanding the civil sist.

When party's were called in, we intimated this to them, upon which they appealed to the Synod, and craved extracts. After this, we ordered answers to be drawn to the bill of advocation, and given in to the Lord Ordinary, and lauers to appear; and a Testimony to be drawn up, to be inserted in our Registers, against this invasion upon us; both which, see _alibi_. This was done accordingly; and the answers presented by the Agent for the Church, in name of the Procurator, Mr Grant. The

* Turn out favourable to him.
Lord Cooper stuck a little on them, and desired two other Lords to advise with; but they were not to be had in town; and so, by himself, he continued the list till the 10th of September, from its expiration upon August 27.

I have put all this affair together this month.

The Commission of the Assembly sat at the ordinary time. See Letters this month. The affairs of West Kirk, Kinross, and Kettle were before them, and they carried all upon one side by a great majority; especially [in] the affair of Kinross and West Kirk, there were very warm speeches, and some indecencies among Ministers. Mr M'Vicar was plainly surprized, and had not got up his side, expecting the matter would be delayed, as had been agreed; but, it seems, Professor Hamilton pushed the matter, and would have the matter delayed no longer, and shewed in this his strength and ability to carry the matter as we [he?] would.

Since the Commission, in the end of the month, when Lord Isla is come down, I hear that he has desired three Ministers on each side of the West Kirk affair to reason the matter before him, that he may have a full view of it; for he must determine in it, it seems. This is a loss we are brought to! I mind no more, but an intimation made that the time of taking the oaths is prolonged for Ministers till January next. This, I fear, will breed new difficulties in the present broken state of the Church.

This month, the Communions are very much over. I have little to remark as to them. For many years it has been to observe that Ministers have not wanted very sensible assistance at these times, but it has not been felt that a proportioned fruit in many hearers has followed. I hope many are bettered. I do not, at least in the places where I have been assisting this season, observe so many Tables this season as last year. In this place we are near an hundred communicants short; but that may flow from various circumstances.

Mr Foster, Minister at Calder, a grandchild of Mr Foster's, who fell in to his uncle, Mr John Govan's, his predecessor's, his means, thirty
or forty thousand merks, about a year and a half Minister, dyed of a fever after 28 dayes sicknes. About the same time, we hear of Mr Patrick Lin, Minister at Dumfreice, his death; see Letters this moneth. He was constantly at odds with the Magistrates, and was a man of strong passions.

Mr M'Culloch, Minister at Cambuslang, communicat to me his case, which needs very much sympathy. I think ther seems a mixture of bodily and heavy spirituall distress in it. He ask[ed] me whither I thought it warrantable and laufull, and if [it] was not the better side for a Minister who knew he was not called of God, and who was nothing but a hollow hypocrite, to demitt his Ministry, and give way to another, who might be usefull? He opened his mind, as I thought, very fully to me. Since his ordination, he has been preaching on Conversion, and the nature of it, which, he tells me, he had not throughly considered and gone to the bottome of before; and nou he thinks he is perfectly a stranger to this great work: That he had some beginnings of seriousness when about seven years of age, and continoued to have a liking to good people and the formes of duty till about thirteen years: Then the Word, under Mr Ker's ministry, very much awakned him; and about that time, by the Minister's advice, he communicat: After that he went on in a form of duty and godlynes, but nou is made to raze all; and asked if I knew any in such a case? I told him I did, and a Minister of much longer standing than he; and asked him if he had any shakings during the time of his call and second trials? He told me had, though not to the hight he nou is under: That when he was entered on them, he was made very much to question matters, and came to a peremptory resolution to leave the country, and go wher he was not knouen; and, one night, he came to fix himself, and resolved to leave a Letter giving some hint of his distress to a confident comrade to whom he was to leave his books; and was going to write his letter, but resolved to take some time to pray and consult Scripture before he did it that night. After prayer, at the opening of the Bible, the first chapter of Jonah was the place that cast up to him, which stunned him very much, and made him lay aside that designe intirely: That, before his ordination a few dayes,

* To regard all as effaced, to obliterate.
his doubts recurred; and he set apart some time for prayer and meditation; and being under very sore trouble and distress, that place, "If Thy presence go not up with me, take me not up hence," was made very sweet, and what he thought he got leave to plead and wrestle upon; and, after that, the 2d of Malachy, about the Covenant of Levi, offered to him in reading with much pouver; both which gave him much releife. I presumed to say he had more of a call to the Ministry than severalls had atteaned to; and I took him to be of a thinking, melancholy disposition, and ready to dip too farr into things. I asked him if he could deny but the glory of Christ and the good of souls wer not in his eye in entring on the Ministry? He could not deny it, but said, "Much went to sincerity."* I said, I thought this was the greatest instance of sincerity! I noticced, that it was Satan's way to raise distress and sore battails when Ministers first enter on their work, and it was a token he was called to it. He is exceedingly haunted with Atheistical thought and blasphemouse injections,† in meditation, prayer, and when essaying to act faith. They are exceeding hideouse and strange; and though, at first, and some time, they wer extraordinary burdensome and hatefull, he thinks they are not so much nou so as they wer once. I observed to him, that thoughtfull, studious persons wer mostly, I supposed, haunted with these; and I likewise belived that they had many disadvantages beyond persons who wer not of their reach;‡ especially studiouse persons, and melancholy in their temper, ought to guard against them at the beginning.

He is also much damped in conversation with his people, and their telling him experiences he has been a stranger to; amidst all, he wants not like seals of his ministry. One, [particularly,] tells him he had peremptory assurance, three or four year, that he was to be Minister there; and, one would think, run it too far, when he said that his assurance was as great as for his own salvation. I hope the Lord has good to do by Mr M'Culloch, and is training him to be usefull; but he is, at present, in great hazard, and has bodily melancholy mixed in. He is jealouse and suspicious of his freinds; compleans of pride and workings of self-carnality, and thinks his case singular. He has a violent pain in

* It required much to be sincere. † Suggestions. ‡ Extent of attainments.
his hind-head, with the rack of thought and contrary tydes. I advised him to riding-exercise [and] conversation; but that, it seems, he much declines, and gives himself too much to thought and solitude. His life has been, all along, grave, serious, and contemplative. We who are Ministers have need of such an instance to quicken and awaken us!

September, 1731.—The first day of this moneth we met in Presbitry pretty numerously. The people of Port-Glasgow insisted for the moderation of the call. We had the reasons of the Magistrates’ Appeal, in a letter to our Moderator, in due time.* Non of the Magistrates wer present this day, nor any from them. Their second sist was not presented; perhaps they are ashamed of it, or advised to drop it, for their interest. Certainly, it would have done their cause much hurt at the Synod, and the dropping it will be pleaded as meritorious. However, we went on in our way. We had the report of the answers to bill of advocation, and the draught of the Testimony, which was approven unanimously; only Mr P[aton ?] said he could not judge of so long a paper on first hearing. Then, according to advice from Mr Grant, we went on to declare the _jus [presentandi]_ fallen in our hand. I did not oppose this, but was not much for it. I have an aversion at Presbitrys or Ecclesiastical Courts medling that way in civil rights; besides, I think this gives a handle to the Magistrates to procure another sist from the Lords with a better face than they had.

The point we go on is [a] pretty narrow point, Whither a parish is vacant at a transportation, or at the Minister’s settlement in the parish he is transported unto; and whither the presenting of the Presentation to the Moderator preserves the right till the Presbytery meet? We had a debate, whither, in our declaration of the _jus_ falling to our hand, we should declare that we had no evidence Mr Moody was qualifified.† Mr Paton and the Moderator wer much against this. I was unwilling to speak on it. I only said, I did not know that any Church Judicatory had taken hold on the matter of Nonjurancy as an argument against an intrant, and I was not for our doing it first; and I questioned if [we] should declare that it did not appear to us that Mr Moody was qualified,

* i.e. Within ten days from taking the appeal.  † By taking the oaths to government.
was a sufficient ground to us to go on for the *jus* being in our hand, since, in charity, we were bound to suppose he was, and we had no proof he was not; neither was there any obliging him to have extracts of his being qualified still* with him. The Presbitry generally seemed to be for taking this advantage, when we were pushed to it, by the Town’s treatment, but it ended in a general.† We named the six moneths being elapsed, and reserved a power to urge other things that might be legall objections, such as this (though we name them not) of not being qualified, of his letter of acceptance, and the Magistrates’ compromise. After this, we ordered the moderation to go on September 6, and a Committee to answer Glasgoues reasons of appeal September 8. This far we have gone.

[September 15?]—About the fifteenth of this moneth we met at Paislay in a Committee, for the answering Glasgoues reasons, against which we had no difference. We drew answers pretty smooth, but hard enough upon the Town. We had the call very unanimous to Mr David Broun, and a protest by Mr Walker in the Town’s name. We heard likewise of the second sist, which was not intimat to us, because, as is alleged, there was no need, since we had compted by our advocat; and so what is above, as if a second sist had not been, is to be considered as wanting a foundation. This second sist is signed by three Lords; see the copy of it, with the other papers relative to this process, in the Manuscripts this year. It was a surprize that my Lord Neuhall’s hand was at this sist; but he has either done it on wrong information, or upon a surprize. Sist, indeed, are things that go a course, and the Lords are not very nice about them.

[September 20.]—Meanwhile, about the twentieth of this moneth, we were informed that this affair was taking another [turn] at Edinburgh, (see Letters this moneth,) and that my Lord Miltoun was willing to come in to Mr Broun’s settledment at Port-Glasgou, and resolved [not?] to settle Mr Moody at Port-Glasgou, and that the Magistrates were to dorp the appeal and joyne. If this was so, as I doubt not it was, since Mr Grant,

* Always.
† A general claim of the *jus devolutum.*
who made the concert with my Lord Miltoun, and let him see how wrong it was to break with Judicatorys on such a plain point as this, and what a noise it would make, assures me, it seems Mr Finlason broke all when he went in to Edinburgh about the end of this moneth.

Our Presbitry met again toward the end of this moneth, and approved the call unanimously, and resolved to enter Mr Brown on his tryalls, and ordered him an exercise at the Synod. Here Mr P[aton] left us, though he approved the call, as reconing it unfit to go on under an appeal. The reason of this is, we had a third sist intimat to us, in name of the Magistrates, till November 5, and this put us to hasten tryalls, and resolve to fix him before this matter came before the Lords themselves, which we wer not willing they should have the trouble of.

I forgot to notice, that by the concurrence of the Presbitry, though not Presbiterially met, I drew a memorial, (see the copy of it among the papers this moneth in the MS.,) acquainting them* with the state of this affair, and begging them to come up to the Synod, since the case of a sist was neu, and of common concern. Those I dispatched about the 9th, with an abstract of the Testimony we had given, and about the 22d I sent a second memorial to each Presbitry on the third sist we got, and repeated our desires that members might punctually attend at Irwine. This is all I mind, except what may be gathered from the papers themselves, which lye all together in the MS. this year.

[September 8.]—At our Presbitry, September 8th, we had another very fashious affair before us, not in judgment, but in conversation. A terrible flame in the parish of Lochwinnioch, about leading stones to build the neu manse, to be built upon the excambion‡ with Colonel M'Dougall, of which notice, I suppose, was taken above. The parish banded against this, and got out a suspension. Letters wer execute in Mr Pinkartoun the Minister's name, as they behoved in form to be, and some of the Elders had letters execut against them. This made a terrible noise. The Elders took it ill, refused to stand at the plate, for a Sabbath or two

---

* The other Presbyteries within the Synod.  
‡ Troublesome.  
† Ground exchanged.
the kirk was deserted by too many, heavy complaints were made of the excambion, and it was pretended the Lords would disannull the bargain. However, this storm abated, and the Collonel took the service of three dayes* from the most part, and excluded some of the ringleaders, and all things came back to their former channell, which is a mercy to the Minister and people. Mr Finlason, in May last, led in the presbitry to the branch of their act at Lochwinioch about the leading stones, which was quarrelled by the Lords.

[September 10 ?]—About the tenth or eleventh of this moneth Mr George Park, Minister at Killearn, dyed. He had been Minister there thirty-seven or thirty-eight years, and has been failing and tender for several years. He succeeded, I think, Mr Thomas Foster, who preached sometime there, at and after the Liberty, and Mr Park married his daughter. He was a very ready and popular preacher, and pretty much followed for several years. His Communions were much frequented, especially during Mr Forrester’s life, who was his helper. He lived sparingly, though he had a good income, and has left a round sum of money behind him; but his sons are not like to be promising, and will probably soon get through it.

We heard about this time of Mr Andrew Darling, Minister near Perth, his death. He was a very awakning preacher, and a zealouse, forward, honest man. He has left a very large family behind him; at least, once he had sixteen or eighteen children living at once.

Mr Robert Stewart tells me, that I may depend upon what followes, for he minds it distinctly, and had it from several present. In the year 1685 or 1686, Mr Samuel Arnot dyed at Edinburgh, after all the persecutions and sufferings he had gone through since Pentland, in much peace and joy. Ther was generally much company came and saw him on his deathbed; among others, Mr James Rouat, Minister at Kilmarnock before the Restauration, came in to see him, and, among other things, he

* The feudal service of leading stones for kirk and manse, &c., for three days.
asked Mr Arnot if he had any hopes the Church of Scotland would get out from under this dark cloud she was under for twenty-five year, or therby? The other answered he had, and he was assured she would. “Yea,” added he, “I knou more, and that is, that you shall live to see and partake of the Church’s delivery!” And so it came about. Mr Rouat lived till the 1690, or a year, it may be, later or two, and sau the great work of God at the Revolution. I think Mr Rouat was succeeded by Mr Osburn, afterward Minister and Professor at Aberdeen. Amongst other present, when this was spoken, that good woman, Mrs Durham, relict of Mr Zachary Boyd, and Mr James Durham, was there, and she got up, and said to Mr Rouat, “Mr James, I am younger then [you,] I hope I shall see the day of delivery as well as you;” and she danced and skipped for joy; and so it came about. I was at her burial, at Glasgow, about the year 1692 or 1693.

The same person tells me he has what folloues from Mr Gilbert Kennedy, son to him I am to name, a man of learning and excellent sense: That his father, John Kennedy of Thorntoun, (or, his daughter was Lady Thorntoun, and another daughter Lady Langshau,) surgeon and apothecary at Edinburgh, an eminent Christian, lived to a vast age, I think I have been informed, upwards of an hundred years. He was surgeon to Mr Robert Blair, and heard him predict the Revolution many years before, as I think stands in the First Volume of this Collection. His son, Gilbert, tells my author, that when he was a boy at the Colledge, he lay in the same bed with his father, who was then about ninety-five years, or upward: That, as to every thing save Religion, he was turned perfectly as a child: He never sought meat unless it was offered him, nor drink: He kneu not his own children in the house with him, and would have asked who they wer: He minded nothing at all. For some time he remembered ancient things pretty wel, but nothing present, not the dayes of the week, or the like; but by this time he quite forgot every thing, though he had noe sicknes nor pain, but just a decay of nature, and eat and sleeped well enough; yet, when he was put to ask a blessing or pray in his family, he was most serious and distinct. He never missed one word; yea, he [had a] pleasant variety in expression,
in his petitions and arguments in prayer. One would think this is not only a good argument for a real distinction between soul and body, but a proof next to a demonstration of the reality of Religion, and the abiding nature of a work of grace, and the supernaturall habites and gifts of it in the soul, when the ordinary naturall pouers of the soul, memory, knowledge, and even the naturall apetit after meat and drink decay, and come to their first impotency and weaknes, during the state of nonage, infancy, and childhood. Here is Religion and gracious actings towards God, fresh, active, and vigorous, when all other things are failed! When his son came to bed to him, he would have ask[ed] who is that? and when he told him, he would [have] embraced him, and said, "O Gibbie! it's a good thing to be good and religiouse!" and then given him severall very religiouse advices. Then he would have sung a Psalm, or some lines of a Psalm; he had them all by heart: Then he cast the bedcloaths over his head, and fell a praying, till sleep interrupted him. This was generally his way every night, as the gentleman, his son, remembers well to this day.

The same person tells me two remarkable instances of two Parishes, served almost by three Ministers since the Reformation. The Parish of Dirlectoun was served by father, son, and grandson, one hundred and nineteen years, without intermission. Mr M'Gie was their name, and the last dyed or went out for [the] Test in the year 1685. The other Parish is that of Dalziell, in the Presbitry of Hamiltoun, hath been served by three Ministers during the space of one hundred and twenty-five years or more. Old Mr Main, who was a very long time Minister there; Mr John Lauther, who was near forty years Minister there, I knew him at the Revolution; and now Mr Alexander Adamson, who succeeded Mr Lauther, and was ordeane about the 1691, or therby, has been another forty years in that Parish, and may live some time yet, if God have service for him. These are rare instances. I belive the medium of Ministers' living, by a common calcule, will scarce be above twelve or fifteen years. So it has been in this Presbitry since I came to it, and I am ready to think that Ministers live shorter, generally speaking, than men of any other office, of which severall reasons [might] be given.
October, 1731.—This moneth begins ordinarily with the election of the Magistrates of Glasgow; Provost Murdoch continues, and Bailay James Hamilton, eldest Bailay, an English merchant, who is half of the year in England, and the rest tittle of it in toun, Mr Aiton, P. Stirling's son-in-law, and B. Peacock, a shoemaker. This turn is quite owing to Pr. Stark and his party. They have nou another hitt for it, if they manage it, which they have not hitherto done, when more than once they had the ball at their foot. This election was so managed, that the other side knew not of it till the night before the choice, and could not gather any great opposition to it. It is said P. Stirling and his freinds went into it when they saw they could do no better, because this weakens the Buchanans, and their side wer afterwards turned out of the Councill; and nou P. Stark, as I am told, has the next choice in his pouer, being seven or eight to five. It is said the management of the toun, very generally displeasing in our affair of Port-Glasgou, wanted not its own influence at this time. Mr Finlason has lost much of his interest by his carriage in our sist, and, if he be not misrepresented, deserves to do so.

In the toun of Edinburgh, Provost Osborn is chosen, and he is the former Provost, Lindsay, his intimat. I know not what to belive as to that choice. Some represent it as concerted with my Lord Isla, who was at Edinburgh at the time. Others say it was not so, and that he was for another sort of persons more obsequious to himself. Time will best determine. Provost Osburn, it is said, is for Mr Smith being Professor, as much as Pro[vost] Lindsay was, and will endeavour to have that carried through.

The Synod met at Irvine the same day with the election at Glasgow. We had the Synod opened with a very good sermon on "Who is sufficient for these things?" from Mr Dick. He had some things that looked as if he were not perfectly pleased with our procedure in Port-Glasgou, but they were safe generally, so cautiously worded as no just exception could be taken. The Presbitry of Irvine generally chuse the Moderator in their own toun, and designed it for Mr J. Montgomery, but he came not up, being willing, I think, to decline at this time; and so Mr...
James Semple, at Dreghorn, was chosen by a scrimp* majority, Mr Scot of Stonehouse being within a few votes of him. We had several lesser things before us. Some of the pretty quick decisions in matters of scandal, relating to Irwine, want not their bad effects there since the last Synod; and that Presbytery, it seems, inclined to have some stop put to them. But it’s easier giving things a wrong turn than right, and it’s hard to get a wrong cast mended. Litle petty lauers wer waiting on to see hou matters went, and they take the worst of causes by the hand, and harden scandalouse persons by their quirks of lau, and consume our time abominably. Our case in Neilston, with Isobell Erstoun, took up some litle time, and Mr B. of Muncton screued things to a poor pitch, by his fetches in lau for her; however, we wer unanimously aproven. He had nothing to plead on, save an omission in our Clerk of our sentence being intimate to her, with which he made a fearfull sputter; but that was soon got over. He made a prolix speech, and so lessned the evil of any crime by words omitted, as was exceeding offensive, and very hardning to a number of spectators, none of the best of people! It’s wrong that lauers are allowed such a liberty to extenuat [and] palliat sin before our Judicatorys, especially R[uling] Elders.

Ther was a process came in from the Presbytry of Air, about a man in Maybole guilty of incest concealed, marrying a woman who was his grand-neice, or some such relation, in the parish of Barr or Muirkirk. Some lauers had drauen up some virulent, ludicrouse papers, exceeding offensive. Mr Alexander Stevenston was blamed for them, lampouning the Scriptures and the Divine lau. The Synod aproved the procedure of the Presbytry of Air, and declared the paper scurrilouse, blasphemouse, and ludicrouse; and ordered a retractation, under pain of excommunication. This is a neu instance of the unbounded liberty that lauers take, when employed about scandals, where, indeed, they have nothing to do. Habit and reput will execut a man in other crimes; and yet, by no means will habit and reput, if we belive lauers, be proof of too near degrees of consanguinuity: The matter, I thought, turned much on this.

* Narrow, slender.
But the chief thing that took up the Synod's time was our affair of Port-Glasgou. I have not much to add to what is commonly knouen, and a hint of which is in the Letters this moneth. This affair came two ways before the Synod. The appealers brought it in the Commity of Bills the ordinary way. I proposed, in our Presbytery, and it was gone into, that we should separat the common* case of the sist, from that of our procedure in appointing the moderation of a call, on which the Toun appealed; that being a matter of no very great concern, though perfectly agreeable to our rules; but the matter of the sist is a matter of generall concern, and, indeed, of the [last] moment besides. This mode† might be of some use to our cause, as well as it was naturall and just; and the members of the Commity of Overtures would hereby have some occasion to knou the cause, at least in its more important part, before it came in by the other papers. I was ordeaned to form a Reference to the Commity of Overtures, which I did, and gave it in, representing our treatment by three sist, and desiring the Synod's advice and direction in the generall case. When I gave it in, ther wer very full and free speeches by the eldest and gravest men. Our Presbitry was commended. It was moved that the Synod should go straight‡ in to our Testimony, or some equivalent. Mr Montgomery of Stewartoun, and Mr Connell, wer very keen for us, and said, unles somewhat wer done against this encroachment, Ministers needed not go home to their pulpits. Mr J. Hamilton, Glasgow, Mr M'Dermit, Mr James Dick, [and] Mr J. Rouat, wer for delaying further consideration till the affair came in by the appeal, when the Synod had the full view of it. I said our Presbitry was easy§ when the Synod gave their opinion on the sist; the sist would come in very litte by the appeal, if the appellants could help it; but providing the Synod had time for it, and the generall case, which we took to be [the] main thing, wer not shuffled out, we wer easy as to the time. Thus we ended, the Synod time being come.

When the Synod met, the whole papers were read—our procedure, the reasons of appeal, and our answers; then Mr Finlason produced to

* Public.    † Order of procedure.    ‡ Agree at once.    § Indifferent as to the time.
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two] papers never before us—feuars' consent to him whom the Toun was for, and a desire of the Custom-house officers for Mr Moody. Answers wer made to both, though they came not regularly in. The subscribers of the first had all signed Mr Broun's call, and the methods in procuring that paper wer not so much for the honour of the Toun. The Custom-house paper was very idle.

In the afternoon, papers reading being ended, partys wer heard. Mr Finlason read his speech, which was very litle different from the reasons of appeal. He disclaimed any designe to do hurt to the Church by the sist; he said it was a perfect trifle; ther was no record of it, only an interim thing; compleaned of the Presbitry's invading their civill rights, and declared the Toun had no designe to force a person on the people. Mr Grant was imployed by the callers, and we gave way to him. He first called for the Toun's decreit, which they had alleged, and refused again and again to the Presbytry, when called for; because all, indeed, in point of right, depended on that. Mr Finlason said he had brought it with him; and it was not produced to the Presbytery, because papers of that nature wer not easily carryed from place to place, and in hazard of lossing or razing. Mr Grant read it out to the Synod; and, indeed, it made his work easy, and cut the very throat of the Toun's cause. The Lords declare the Toun of Glasgou, and the Magistrates, and the feuars, and tennants of Port-Glasgou, feuars and tennants, (to the Toun, I mean,) presenters and callers of a Minister in time to come. In short, the people who caryed on the process, and wer at the charges, which [were] the feuars and tennants, bore the half of the charges of the persuit,* and the Magistrates their part. This set all in a quite other light. Nou Mr Grant triumphed; he had the Patrons, forty-two or more, against three or four Magistrates, on the side of the call.

Mr Finlason alleged some small evasions, that it was the Toun of Glasgou, and counsell thereof, that wer mo in number; but the words are, Magistrates, feuars, and tennants of the bug† of the Toun of Glasgou; and Mr Finlason's best answer was, that nou all sau hou tender

* Process for erecting the parish.
† These three words appear to be superfluous. Probably the author first meant to write "burgh."
the Toun had been of the rights of the Christian people! The cause was so strongly pled by Mr Grant, that was little left to the Presbitry to say; and we were willing to spare time. Little was said, only that the Magistrates had either not known their paper, or misrepresented it when the[y] asserted they were sole callers, and given us too much trouble; but we were glad to find matters as they were, and hoped now all was plain, and so we were removed. The Synod entered on the affair; and ther were many free speeches made on our behalf. Principal Campbell, Mr Rouat, Mr Dick, Mr M'Dermit, and some few others, urged an amicable comity to bring in the Magistrates to comply with the settlement, and waved entering on our procedure. That could not well be refused, and so they, with Mr Kennedy, Steel, and some others, were sent to converse the Magistrates to pass from their appeal, and compromise with the Presbitry. They did not call us to the Comity, and we were affrayed of delays and amicable proposalls. However, they returned to the Synod with an answer, that the Magistrates, that is, P[rovost] Murdoch and Mr Finlason, insisted to have their appeal decided, (which was strange conduct, in so evident a weak cause, as theirs to every body now appeared,) and refused to submit to the Synod's determination till they heard what it should be: And so the Synod resumed the consideration of the affair.

The brethren just now named moved, which was the thing that had been concerted, as we were told privately, a delay till next Synod; and they reconed, in this singular case, it would be best not to be hasty; and a Comity to meet at Glasgow, and deal with the Magistrates to fall from the sist, and go in* with the people and Presbitry. This was, at first, like to take in the Synod, till some members opened fully, and said this was to disapprove the Presbitry, to confirm the sist given to them, and protract the settlement, and what could not be yeilded; and a vote, (and many reasons were given for it,) craved—"Approve the procedure of the Presbitry" or "Not." This landed on a vote on the state of the vote, or "Proceed" or "Delay;" and there were seventeen Delay, and about seventy-five Proceed. The next vote was, "Approve the Presbitry's procedure" or "Not;" and that was unanimous; all the

* Act in accordance.
delayers voted Approve; only one elder, T. M., was "Go on."* Then the Synod ordered the Moderator to signify to the Magistrates the Synod's dislike with the sist given the Presbytery, as unusuall, and what they judged an incroachment on the libertys of the Church. The Moderator did so, very softly, and hoped, he said, the Magistrates had no such intention. The Provost declared they never had, and appealed to the Assembly.

Nixt day, the Synod came to consider the generall case of the sist, and what [was] proper for them to do upon it. We did not urge things, being partys in some respects. Some proposed a present Testimony. A very short Minute was drawn up, and approved without a vote, declaring that sist from Civil Judicatorys wer an incroachment upon our constitution, and what the Synod could not but disapprove, as contrary to our principles, and of very dangerous tendency; or to this purpose. It's recommended to every Presbytery to consider this case, and to prepare ane Overture, to be ripened by the nixt Synod, to be laid before the Generall Assembly. A meeting of some from each Presbytery was proposed, to ripen the mater for the Synod; but that was not gone into, being the winter season; and the correspondents from neighbouring Synods wer allowd copyys, at their desire, of what we had done; and our correspondents to our neighbouring Synods wer ordeaned to lay the G[enerall] case before the Synods they went to, and desire them to consider it; and, if they found proper, ripen an Overture upon it to the next Assembly.

Thus this vexatious affair ended for this time. The Presbytery took Mr Broun's exegesis at Irwine, and appointed him a Presbiterial exercise, and popular sermon, to be delivered at Paislay next week, being nou resolved to settle him there as soon as the regular time for serving an edict would allow. Accordingly, that I may give all that concerns this affair together, we met at Paislay next week, took his discourses and privat tralls, wherein he acquitted himself much to our satisfaction. We had not time to acquaint the Magistrates before we resolved on the day of ordination, though I proposed that on the Wensday's night be-

* i.e. Declined to vote.
fore we appointed the day we should wait on them. Yet things fell in, that we wer so thin that we could not spare two, and take the tryalls also. We also agreed upon an act formed by Mr Grant,* with some amendments, (see the papers relative to this process in the MS.,) declaring we meened not, by going on to setle him, to prejudge the judgment of any Civil Court concerning the stipend, but only to fix a spirituall relation. This brought Mr Paton in to the ordination, and made us unanimous in it, and would have been good defence, had the sist been prosecute before the Lords; at least we hoped it might be so, and without prejudice to the intrant. The 28th of October was appointed for the ordination.

Next week, Mr R[ouat?] and Jo. Millar, Mr Paton, and I, went in to the Magistrates to begg them to drop their appeal, and countenance the setlment. They compleaned of our haste, [and] promised intirely to submitt to the Assembly: They alledged we distrusted the Assembly, and came to them after we had agreed on the setlment. To the last we told them it was not our choice, but necessity, and that we had made a provision which might pave their way to joyn: That it was not fear of the Assembly, but our being sisted before the Lords, that made us hast; and renewed our instances that they should joyn, though under a soft protestation. They told us the sist should not be prosecut till the Assembly; ther was no designe that way; and that the[y] could not drop their appeal; so we parted.

[October 28.]—On the twenty-eighth of October, we setled Mr D. Broun. We wer well† mett; and, several Ministers, from Dumbarton, Glasgow, and Irwin, joyning us, twenty-one or twenty-two Ministers. The people were all fond. We had great numbers from Glasgow. The Dean of Gild was to be with us, but hindered; we had the Conveener with us. Mr Maxwell preached on, "Finish course with joy, and the Ministry," &c.,‡ very well, but long. Mr Turner preached the people's

* Procurator for the Church.
† Fully.
‡ "So that I might finish my course with joy, and the ministry which I have received of the Lord Jesus, to testify the Gospel of the grace of God."—Acts xx. 24.
duty. Thus this affair, I hope, is ended, and happily ended, after a sore strugle, wherein we have been, I hope, guided of God. Indeed, the people of P[ort]-Glasgou stuck closs by us, and we by them; and the Magistrates, or rather Mr Finlason, their Clerk, acted a very poor part, and did not manage with that wisdome and wit they might have done. But we are the more in God’s debt, and we have the approbation of all good persons, who have the interest of the Gospell, and the freedom of this Church from civil encroachments, at heart; and this is our comfort. I hope the Toun nou will insist no more, and be kind to Mr Broun.

Little more offers this moneth, save what is in Letters and papers, save threatening Letters of Incendiarys in the shire of Lanerk, and parish of Lesmahagou and Straven, which hath very much allarumed that country; and, indeed, one can scarce knou what to make of them. It’s certain, the end of last moneth, and beginning of this moneth, Mr Aiton, of Walsely, a Justice of Peace, had threatening letter, to come to the Corsboat, at Lanerk, and bring fifty guineas, otherwise his house would be burnt; and assuring him, if he would come and joyn them, he should double his estate soon. He went to the place, but found none; a second was sent to him, and one within it to Sir James Hamiltoun of Rosehaugh, for two hundred guineas; and a third to the Laird of Over-toun. We have many storys of persons seen on the moors of Lesmahagou. The country was raised, and souldiers brought from Hamiltoun, but nobody found. Indeed, in a country like Scotland, wher strangers are presently knouen, it’s not likely that incendiarys can make a great hand, and some are of opinion that all this is mere jest of some rakish young men, to put persons in fear, without any reall designe. The letters to Walsley, who is a grave man, and not very wealthy, looks like this; but be this as it will, if’t’s] a most villainouse thing, and ought to be punished. The letters are droped at night, or throen in at the dore.

November, 1731.—This moneth, the Commission of the Assembly met at their ordinary time. They had nothing remarkable before them,
save our unhappy settlements, and contentious heats about them. The West Kirk* has been a long subject of heat in the Presbytery of Edinburgh, and I believe somewhat has been said above on it. The Commission had appointed it to be settled by Mr Witherspoon as soon as might be, and to report. The Presbytery did not go on to settle; and the Synod of Louthian, which met in the beginning of this month, censured the Presbytery of Edinburgh for not obeying the Commission’s appointment. When the matter came in before the Commission, there were some who ordinarily† were upon the Commission’s violent measures said fit to change hands. Mr Smith, I think, deserted the Commission. Mr Alstoun and Lord Drummore had pretty keen speeches against the settlement, and represented the hazard our general interests were in from violenting the people in settlements; and that if we lost the people’s inclinations, it would neither be our interest nor that of the government. This was a little surprising from that quarter! But, indeed, the case of the people is most clamant, as they say, in the West Kirk. They have agreed on a fund for an independent Minister, of 150 lb. a year, and will settle him as soon as ever Mr Witherspoon is settled. However, the Commission carried their point, and ordered Mr Witherspoon to be settled between the next Commission, and the Presbytery to report. It was moved that a Committee should be named to join them, and a day fixed; but that was fallen from. By any thing that appears as yet, the Presbytery will not go on to settle him. The screwing things thus so high, under pretext of preserving the authority of the Commission, will undoubtedly have very ill effects on this Church; and, if mercy prevent not, will rent us in pieces!

They had before them the settlement of Kinross with Mr Stark. They kept not the decency with the Presbytery of Dunfermline, whom they—some of them I mean—recon a rebellious Presbytery; and to them they appointed tutors and correspondents, with power to enter Mr Stark on trials, and settle him. These have since—I think in December—met. Not one of the Presbytery would meet with them, and they could have

* St Cuthbert’s Church, Edinburgh. † Usually supported.
no access to the Church; it was locked and barricaded against them. They met in a tavern, and began to take tryalls; see Letters in December.

Mean while, in the end of this moneth, I think, the session of the Old Kirk, and common session,* fixed on Mr P. Cuming, in Lochmaben, for Mr Craiges successor, and colleague to Mr Nisbit. I do not hear ther wer any dispute about it; and ther will, I suppose, be no difficulty in the matter. It is nou said that this matter will put an end to the long scufle about the West Kirk; and that if Lord Isla's credite may be salved, and Mr Witherspoon once settled in the West Kirk, he has promised he shall be presently presented to Lochmaben, and transported thither. Thus, in our present unhappy partyes and struggles, and shamefull subjection to great men, Kirkes and Ministers a[re] couped like horses, and bargains are made to please men and partys, in thir; matters, most shamefully. But I doubt much if this project hold, or be gone into; at least, hitherto, Mr Smith and his party in the Presbitery of Edinburgh sheu no great dispositions that way.

Which brings me to the state of the College at Edinburgh. It seems matters could not be agreed, when [Lord] Isla was doun, who should be Professor when Mr Hamilton is Principall. The Magistrates and Toun, and most of the College, are for Mr Smith, but P[rofessor] Hamiltoun nou sets up pretty openly for Mr Goudie. The matter yet lyes over, and P[rofessor] Ham[ilton] teaches this year. But this matter people recon is at the bottome of the turn in the West Kirk. Mr Smith's side, nou, are turned sour upon Mr Witherspoon, and hagle,§ and are for delays; and they certainly can carry the Presbitry's vote as they will. And in choosing the Moderator of the Presbytery—Mr S. Sempill—they have probably balked Mr Goudie of being chosen a member from the Presbitry to the nixt General Assembly.

* Towards the end of this moneth, Hugh Earl of Loudon dyed at his

* General Session of Edinburgh. † Bartered, made traffic of. ‡ These. § Hesitate.
house of Loudon, pretty sudclainly. He was well and hearty at supper, and went to bed early; but was sudtainly taken with a shortnes of breath, and got up and cast on his night-goun, and dyed in a hour or therby. See accounts of his offices and posts in the neuse papers. He got the estate low.* He has been alwise in some post since the Revolution almost, and yet I doubt his estate is not very much bettered. He was a well-natured gentlman, favourable enough to our interest, and pleased very well in our Assemblys these severall years. It's said he lost very much in the South-Sea; and that his pension at Court, which was considerable, and much of his one thousand pound for the Assembly, went very much to the Lord Isla, for the money he lent him to answer the South-Sea losses. His son succeeds. That family is low. He has but one son, and his brother only another; and failing these three, it's scarce knouen wher the estate would go if tailzied to heirs-male.

Towards the end of this moneth, Mss [Mrs] Luke's affair with Sir James Stirling of Glorat came in before the Lords. Sir James questions Mr Luke's setlement, and clames a third of Mr Luke's substance, though his lady gives in a reclaiming bill against him, and refuses to joyn in the prosecution. Hou far pity to an old family, and to a man miserable enough by his own choice in a wife, though fairly warned by her parents before marriage, which was irregular, will go in Sir James' favour, I knou not. Had advice been taken, and Sir James been setled with before Mr Luke's death, two or three hundred guineas might probably have bought a receipt and discharge; and nou twice that summ will not doe. My Lord Dun, in the end of this moneth, or beginning of the next, gave a favourable opinion in the Outter-House for Mr Luke's setlment; but hou that will take in the Inner, no body can well say. Partys in state, and litle emulations between the younger Lords and the elder, make matters in that Bench perfectly uncertain, and nobody can

* In value.  † The South-Sea bubble.
forcast the event and issue. This is a most dangerouse situation of things to the property and liberty, yea, lives of subjects!

The end of this moneth, dyed Mr Henry Hunter, my neighbour, Minister of Merns, of the gravell, at Glasgou. He came in to Glasgou, October 26, with his son, and was designed to be with us at the ordination, but not able. He was seized with the gravell, and languished under it for a moneth. He felt stones come over his hanch, and then no more pain, but constant vomiting and seiknes. He was a faithfull, freindly, usefull man. That parish wer never so happily setled. He was of a good temper, and dyed under comfort as to his soul, and easines as to his family, that God will take care of them, though they are but in lou circumstances. He expressed his fears to me of a young Ministry and corruptions, since the old way of preaching and dealing* was pretty much lost.

Mr Hutcheson hath been in Ireland this summer; and, in conversa-
tion, he gives me this hint of Irish matters: That the late Lord Carteret was not favourablie to the Presbiterians in Ireland, but struck in, at least in the end of his goverment, with the Torrys and Highflyers there. That when Mr Craighead went over about the Regium donum, as has been noticed above, he had free access to Sir Robert Walpool, and fair promises, [that] if Carteret did not doe his bussines, he should; but de-
cency required his† being applyed to. He did apply, and he wearyd him with delayes, and would never do any thing for him. When Dorset was named Lieutenant, this year, and the Instructions a-forming for him, great pains wer taken to get in one to take off the Sacramentall Test, which hes been so heavy to the Dissenters in Ireland, and, as we have seen, was the occasion of the vast run of many thousands to America two years ago. It was given out that he had this Instruction from the King. The Dissenters waited on him, and expected that they should have had a peculiar reception from him, as they would had he been to

* Dealing with scandalous persons.  
† Lord Carteret's.
take away that burden; but nothing passed but as usuall. The Archbishop of Armaugh, Primat, who is for removing the Test, and very freindly, came to the Ministers, and told them he belived they wer disappointed, and so was he himself; but he had nou seen the Lieutennant’s Instructions, and he was only empoured to take off the Test after the King’s bussines was over in parliament; and that appeared to be a perfect uncertainty to him and them. However, this, at present, keeps matters among Subs and Nons* quiet and at a hush.

Mr J. Hamiltoun tells me, that he had what followes from the Dutches of Hamiltoun’s own mouth—the old Dutches I mean, the heir to the family; and so, I think, it may be depended on:—He sayes, Bishop Guthry’s Memoires was published a little before Clarendon’s History, first printed, 1710, at Oxford: That it was then generally belived that the edition of Bishop Guthry was much altered from the Bishop’s papers, by the influence of the gentlemen of Oxford who had the publishing of Clarendon in their hands: That when he was talking of this with the Dutches, and the approaching edition of Clarendon, her Grace told him that, when she was at Court, after the Restoration, when the Earl of Clarendon was writting his History, he came and visited her, and told her that he knew her father very well, and took him to be one of the honestest men of his acquaintance. He added, her father had been abused and very ill used by the party writters, before and since his death; and that, nou he was writing a History of those times, he was willing to do the Duke all the justice in his powere, and desired her to furnish him with any papers which might give light to his actings. Accordingly, when she came doun to Scotland, her Grace called for Dr Burnet, and implored him to rummage all the papers in Hamiltoun that related to her father, and to lay out what he reconed might be of use to the Earle; and she sent up, by an express, a large bundell of papers, relative to her father, to England.

That next time she went to Court, a year or two after, the Earl of

* As subscribers and non-subscribers were then nicknamed.
Clarendon came and waited upon her at London, thanked her for the papers she had communicat to him, and returned them all safe: He told her he was nou perfectly satisfied as to her father's character, and that he was as honnest a man as breathed, and would give it fully and fairly to the world, only ther remained one particular about which he was not yet so clear as he could wish. The Duke's enemies allledged that he brought over ten thousand stand of armes from Holland, and seemed to vouch it: They pretended further that he himself had a designe on the Croun, to accomplish which he got these armes. This, the Dutchess said, touched her very nearly, and she immediatly resolved to send a servant express to Hamiltoun, and ordered a neu search to be made at Hamiltoun, particularly for any thing that related to ten thousand stand of armes; and very happily the servant brought her [the] originall Commission under the King's own hand to bring so many stand of armes for his service! This the Dutches immediatlie sent to the Earle. When he sau and read it, he came back with it to her Grace, and said, "Nou, Madam, I am satisfied in every point; and I beleive and am assured your father was one of the best, sincerest, and honnestest persons of that time; and I will give him, as is my duty, a just and fair character to the world."

This passed before Clarendon was published. Expectations wer great enough when the E[arl's] History was a printing. As soon as it came doun, the Dutches got it and read it. When Mr Hamiltoun sau her after she had got the printed Clarendon, he asked hou she liked it? she answered, with some concern, I have read it, and I and my family am greatly abussed in it, and I apprehend this is the fruit of the Earle's MS. its lying twenty years in the hands of the gentlemen at Oxford; and she verily beleived that the Earle's originall History was grossly vitiated. This passed, my informer tells me, as far as he mind[s,] in the year 1710; and that the Dutches was right, is nou apparent to all.

This was, we see, the occasion and begining of Dr Burnet's writing the Memoires of the House of Hamiltoun; and in that mater, since, I think, in the preface, or somwher, he mentions some papers, which, I
remember, by the King’s command, through the Duke of York’s influence, he was discharged to publish. Ther is room for some impartial hand, in better times, to glean many things in the Archives of Hamilton.

I am told, likewise, by Dougalstoun, who has seen the originall Letter from the Marquis of Montrose to the King, at Uxbridge Treaty, 1644, that the copy published by Dr Wellwood, in his Memoires, is a vitiated copy, and does not, in severall things, agree with the originall in the hands of the Family of Montrose. I incline to enquire further, and to get the particulars, if I can.

December, 1731.—Ther is very little offers this moneth, save what is in the publick prints and my Letters. My mother, my father’s relict, fell suddenly ill of a bleeding at the nose, and bled a great deal for four or five dayes; and it could not be stopped by bandages, or any other way. She is now seventy-five or [7]4; and, I doubt not, but she bled more then two Scots pints, yet was easy and without pain. It stopped naturally, and she seems recovering, which is a very rare instance. This bleeding uses to be mortall to old people.

On this occasion, [Mrs] Zuil told me a pleasant story of her mother, relict of Mr Antony Shaw, a woman of great piety, and much skill in physick, and long experience. She was in her house, I think, and a good age—seventy or more—and, one morning, she sent one of the bairns for my informer, to come and speak to her. She came to the room, and she gave her out of her hand a napkin-full of clotted blood; and when she saw it, she said, “Mother, what is that?” “Margaret,” said she, “be not surprized; it’s the foreruner of death! I have, this [l]our past, been bleeding at the nose. My father dyed of it; Mr Shau dyed of it; and I know it will be death to me, and I am ready for it! I have no pain nor sicknes!” After some other things, she said, “Margaret, is this a proper room for me to dye in? or will it not be better in the for-room?”* She owned it would. “Well,” says shee,

* Fore or front room.
cause make it ready, and make the bed, and I will go thither while I am able!” This was done, and she went to it, and cast off her cloaths, and lay down with much pleasure. “Nou,” said she, “Margaret, this is the pleasantest bed I ever lay in! I know my espousalls with Christ will be here compleated!” And so it was. After three or four dayes moderat bleeding, she got to her rest.

[December 24?].—In the end of this moneth, my brother, Mr Warner, having been about a bussines of mine, coming home, near [his house], his horse fell, all his feet going from him, and he bruised his breast much. The Lord support and spare him; his loss will be great to many!

December 25.—On the twenty-fifth, just the day after, my daughter, Martha, came through a very long crisis of a fever; and was speechles and without senses, as far as we could know, for near ten hours. This moneth, Bailay Peacock, youngest Bailay, dyed of a high fever at Glasgow, much regrated. He was a sober man, and no drinker; but was obliged to treat the Trades, and with them, it’s said, he was the worse of drinking with them. O! that people would take warning!

Mr Andreu Tait tells me, (perhaps it’s already set down,) that, about the [16]78, or, may be, afterwards, ther was a design laid, and a particular night fixed, by John Nisbit of Hardhill, who was said to [be] the principal promotter of it, and other violent Cameronians, as they wer called, to attack all the Indulged Ministers in the shire of Air their houses, and to murder them. That one privy to it revealed it to the Earl of Loudon, the last Earle Hugh’[s] father, a very little before it was to be execute; and the Earl immediately wrote letters to them, and sent expresses with them, requiring them to come to his house at Loudon, wher they should be safe that night; and that, accordingly, eight or nine of them came, among whom Mr Heu Campbell of Muirkirk was one, who told the informer. This information seems to be very indubitable; and yet it’s strange that, these forty years, I have met with no hint of this but this one. One would not wish to believe such a horrid
thing in people who have the name of Christians! I know such lengths were run to by some at this time, and the coal was blouen by Papists in disguise; but one would willingly believe that this may have been a false alarum, really given to the good Earle, by one who was an enemie to the sufferers, with a designe to leave a blott upon them. However, I have set [it] down as I have it.

END OF VOLUME SIXTH OF MS.
INDEX.
Aberdeen, Lord Chancellor; his attempt to ensnare the gentry of the western shires, ii. 365. 
Aberdeen, Earl of, iii. 290; loses the representation in 1727, id. 439. 
Aberdeen, town of, arming of Jacobites at, in 1714, ii. 276; applies for Mr Chalmers as Professor of Divinity, iii. 485; low salaries of the Professors at Marischal College, id. 
Abernethy, Mr, Presbyterian Minister at Antrim, iii. 468; Mr John, iv. 162. 
Abernethy, Bishop, his parentage; the son of Adam Constance, a baker in Perth; is educated for the ministry; travels with the son of Sir James McGill; on his return, changes his name, and studies law; returns to the Church, and is promoted by the Regent Morton, iii. 298. 
Addison, Mr, coldness between him and Steele; his papers in the Spectator and Tatler; his tragedy of Cato, ii. 213. 
Addresses; on the oath of abjuration, and the principles of Presbyterian government, ii. 55; to the Queen, in 1712, from the burghs and shires, 78; from the Lords of Session to the King, on the state of Scotland, in 1727, iii. 404; from the College of Glasgow, 405; numerous from Scotland, on the accession of George II., 439. 
Advocate, the; his remarks on Ministers' sons, ii. 51. 
Agriculture, remarks on, ii. 368. 
Aikman, Mrs, her account of Jerviswood's unburied limbs, ii. 337.
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Aikenhead, Hamilton of, iii. 219; Rector of Glasgow College, iv. 2, 5; interdict against, removed, 6, 18.

Aird, Mr Francis, Minister at Dalserf; his life, by Mr Stirling, ii. 56; his character, 172.

Mr James, his extraordinary wrestlings, i. 48; his doubts, 52, ii. 55; Provost of Glasgow in 1724, iii. 166; turned out of the Council in 1726, 334.

Airth, parish of; disputes respecting; Mr J. Gray presented to; parishioners refuse to receive him because he had accepted a presentation, and desire a Mr Russell, iii. 408; the patron obtains an interdict from the Court of Session, which is disregarded by the Presbytery; consequences of, 409; beats in, 450.

Aiton, The; a pamphlet published at Glasgow in the year 1729, iv. 29.

Albenmarle, Earl of, his surprise and defeat by the French, 1–75.

Alexander, Bally, of Glasgow, iii. 334; his death and character, iv. 77.

Clerk, ii. 207.

Mr James, Minister at Kilmalcolm, his history, by Mr Stirling, iii. 39.

Alexandria, Patriarch of; his letters to the Queen and the Archbishop of York, ii. 278.

Alix, Mr, some account of, ii. 273; re-ordained in England, 315.

Almoner to the King; nature and duties of the office, iii. 321.

Alston, Mr, lected for Edinburgh, but dropped, i. 287; made Chaplain to the King, iii. 320, 332, iv. 138, 259.

Amsterdam, licensed stews suppressed in, iii. 433.

Anderson, Mr James, W.S., author of the Diplomata Scotiae, iii. 142; his collection of papers illustrative of Queen Mary's reign, iii. 484; his death, 517.

Mr John; his anecdote of the Prince of Orange, i. 280; his account of the Laird of Auchinbreck's forfeiture, id.; divisions about his call to Glasgow, ii. 320; his death and character, 343; anecdote of, iii. 298.

Mr John, of Kirkmaiden; anecdote of his sister, ii. 363; his account of Mr Andrew Gray's preaching, 364; his anecdotes of Mr Ewart, Sir Robert Adair, Mr James Blair, Sir William Maxwell, Lady Harriette Campbell, Lady Freugh, M'Limont, a mountain man, and Colonel Gardiner, iii. 195–199.

Anderson, Mr, Professor at Aberdeen; his ill health, iv. 93.

Mr William, Professor of Church History at Glasgow; his account of the state of religion in Switzerland, France, and Italy; of Poiret, of Jansenism, and of Joseph of Arimathsea's staff, ii. 349; his history of a remarkable case of second sight, iii. 262, 302, 405; his account of the forcible conversion to Popery of the Prince of Saxony, 472; his interview with Poiret, id.; his account of that person, and of Madame Bourignon, 473; his visit to the Vatican, and examination of the New Testament there, id.; his anecdote of the Deist, iv. 191.

Andrew, Mr, effect of his preaching on a profligate person, i. 40; his papers, with the account of Greenholm's daughter, 237.

Andrews, St, town of, accident to seven boys at, i. 296; the first Minister settled under a presentation at, since the Revolution, ii. 90.

Angel, Miss, a gentleman's daughter in Fife, who had the power of curing scrofula by the touch, i. 350.

Angus, Ministers of the Synod of, cited before the Justices of Peace for their act about a fast, ii. 98.

Anjou, Duke of, acknowledged as King of Spain, i. 1; his army defeated, 295.

Annandale, Lord, i. 35–69.

Anthony, St, treatment of, by certain Italian mariners, iii. 267.

Apparition, history of, which appeared to Mr Ogilvy, Minister of Haddington, iv. 59; history of another, by Mr Anderson of Fordun, 60; account of one that appeared to Principal Rule, 88; Mr Mercer's vision on Sheriffmuir, 90; his apparition at Dundee, 91; in the island of Arran, 159.

Archibald, Mr, a Minister in Angus, who desired to renew the Covenant, iii. 358; increased contumacy of, 449; deposed from his charge, iv. 187; reposed to the Ministry, 261.

Ardoch, Laird of, graceless, i. 126.

Argyle, Marquis of, story respecting, and King Charles, ii. 1, 67; converted at Glasgow by Mr Henderson, 68; his behaviour on the day of his
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execution, 117; his last interview with Mr John Carstares, iii. 52.
Argyle, Marchioness of, her interview with Middleton to seek a reprieve, i. 68.
Duke of; rumour of his being in the Tower, i. 18; instigates the Queen against Marlborough, 285; is refused a regiment, 293; threatens to impeach Marlborough, id.; sells his regiment; his discontent, 318; his opposition to Episcopalcy, 319, ii. 34; made governor of Edinburgh Castle, 67; forfeiture of, revoked by King William, 103; his promotion to office in 1725, iii. 209; engages to disarm the Highlanders, id.; his abilities as a speaker, 227; combination of Scottish Peers against, 290; objects of his visit to Scotland in 1726, 316; his pension and offices, 318; said by the Jacobites to have offered his services to the Pretender at the close of Queen Anne’s reign, 375; opposed the abolition of Church patronage, 491; a fluent orator in the House of Lords, 492; success of his party at the burgh election of 1728, iv. 15; rumour of his being sent to Ireland as Lord Lieutenant, 21; visits Scotland in July 1729; his object in so doing, 68; at Inverary sees few people, id.; visits Sir John Shaw at Greenock, and Principal Campbell at Glasgow, 69; the Principal said to be his bastard brother, id.; declines an entertainment from the Magistrates of Glasgow; meddles little in Scottish affairs, and has little influence at Court, id.; conversation with the Magistrates of Glasgow, 74; receives a memorial from, 75; the influence of, and of his brother in Scotland, 191; objects to the abolition of patronage, 245.
Arianism, controversy concerning, in 1714, ii. 285; those professing turned Deists, iii. 516.
Armagh, Archbishop of, favourable to the Dissenters of Ireland, iv. 298.
Armies, Allied, expect a battle, i. 279.
Armstrong, Mr, his “odd” sermon before the Synod of Dumfries, iv. 165; his sermon before the Commission condemned, 240.
Army, changes made in, after the peace, ii. 192.
Arniston, Lord, grants a bill of suspension against certain parties at Aberdeen, 131.
Arnot, Mr Samuel, his death at Edinburgh in 1686, iv. 284.
Arran, island of; its population and condition in 1730, iv. 159; Lady Eglington and her maids disturbed by an apparition in, id.
Ashton, leader of a band of players at Edinburgh, iii. 486; enact plays at Glasgow, iv. 9.
Assembly, General, of October 1690, account of from Mr Shields’ memoir; the King recommends moderation, and refuses to receive Mr Shields’ paper; great heats and confusion; Mr Rule and Mr Blair deputed to Court, to obviate objections to; dissolved, and appointed to meet in November 1691, i. 198–202.
of 1692 dissolved summarily by the Commissioner; protest of the Moderator and Members, because no day for next session was named, 203.
letter of Mr Maxwell concerning, in 1701, 3; dissolved by order of the secretaries for Scotland, 13; account of its proceedings before and at the time of the King’s death, 15.
of May 1711, 331; remarks of the author on the constitution of such bodies, on the pre-dominancy of the ruling elders, on the intrigues of the members, and on the violent debates, id.
of May 1712, ii. 38, “the most hazardous of any since the Revolution;” opinions of the author on its proceedings, especially in regard to the recent acts on patronage, toleration, and the oath of abjuration, id.
of May 1713, ii. 193; its composition; a third part nonjurors; Mr Wishart, Moderator; much harmony among the members; Messrs. Scrymgeour and Doucat’s affair, 194; debate on the letter to the Queen, 195; the act of forbearance, 196; judgment in Mr Scrymgeour’s case, 197; the Doul affair, 200.
of May 1714, reference to, ii. 284.
of May 1724, iii. 153; division of its members, 193.
of May 1725, 193; fluctuates too much in its composition; mode of management by parties in Edinburgh, 200; formerly in the hands of the clergy exclusively, id.
of May 1726; departure from old forms, advocates being admitted to speak and plead; great heats and divisions, 300.
Committee of, meets in March 1727, to con-
sider Professor Simson's case, 379, 380, 392-398; advise a libel to be served on the Professor, 399; refers Mr Simson's case to the Commission, 484.

Assembly, General, of May 1727, Mr Simson's case before, 419; case of Mr Ogilvy, a ruling elder, 421; remarks on, 422; representation from the Synod of Aberdeen on the irregularities of Bishop Gatherer, 422; acts of; copies of, ordered to be lodged in the libraries of the four Universities, 428.

of May 1728, 497; Mr Simson's case considered at length by the author, with the difficulties of, as they presented themselves to the Assembly, 498-510; waives the question of the Son's independency in framing the libel, 511.

of May 1729, iv. 49; Mr Simson's case; the Assembly declare its right to form an independent judgment on, id.; remarks of the author on this question, and on the relative power of the "Church diffusive," and of the Church as represented, 50, 51; Mr Simson's suspension continued, 54; case of Mr Wilkie of Uphall, id.; of Principal Chambers of Aberdeen, of Towie and Hutton, and of Renfrew, 55.

of 1704, account of, by Lord Ross, 64.

of May 1730, 116; the Commission instructed to discourage further inquiry into Mr Simson's affair, 124; Professor Hamilton chosen Moderator, 125; character of the sermons preached before; Messrs Dick and Cummin's, unexceptionable; Messrs Wallace and Telfair's, not so, id.; objections of the Synod of Moray to the procedure of the Commission in Mr Glass' case, 126; overture from the Synod of Fife on queries, id.; Mr M'Dermit's case, 127; Principal Chalmers' case, id.; the affair of Hutton, id.; the dissenters to the settlement of Mr Robert Wauchope at, 129; complaints against the Commission lodged with, id.; Mr Dick's sermon, good; Mr Wallace's taken from "the Spectators;" Mr Telfair's altogether remarkable, id.; affair of Kinross referred to the Commission, 135; contains a great many young members, id.; the time of Assemblies, for many years back, taken up with litigious debates, 138.

Assembly, General, of May 1731, 233; Mr Grant chosen clerk, 234; Mr Smith, Moderator, 237; Mr Simson's case not discussed, id.; instructions from Presbyteries, 238; committee for revising Commissions, id.; double return from Haddington, id.; Mr Gordon of Ard-och thrown out, because his commission was not attested, id.; "bright youths" set up as preachers before the Assembly, id.; effects of, 239; Messrs George Wishart and James Chalmers' sermons, id.; Mr William Robertson's sermon before the Commissioner, id.; Mr William Armstrong's sermon, 240; address against patronage and error, 243; mode of dealing with the question of patronage, 245; Duke of Argyle's opposition to repeal of, id.; reasons on in the committee and sub-committee, 246; past practice of the Church quoted, 247; the President and Solicitor oppose an act of the Assembly discharging acceptations, as against an act of Parliament, id.; Mr Gordon's reply to this argument, id.; the Laird of Auchinleck's opinion, 248; Lord Drummore's opinion, 249; debate ends in the act for settling parishes tanguam jure devoluto, id.; rule of uniformity required by the Synod of Glasgow, id.; discussion on, 250; act extended by Professor Haddow and the author, 251; discussion on the clauses of, id.; transmitted to Presbyteries, 252; the right of the Crown to the patronages it claims questioned, id.; practice of the Crown in the dispensation of its patronage in the reign of George I., 253; the question of calls considered, and the Commission blamed for its mode of settling parishes, 255; question of infidelity and error before, 256; discussion on, id.; objections to enlarging confessions, 257; notarial calls, 258; objections by the Synod of Aberdeen to the practice of appointing joint committees to overrule Presbyteries, 258; dispute between Mr Gordon of Ardoch and the Moderator, 259; affair of Balfron, 261; equivocal judgment in the case of Mr Archibald, id.

Atterbury, Dr, his opinions on the intrinsic power of churches, i. 8; his trial, iii. 227.

Auchinames, Lady; her remarks on Mr Andrew Hamilton, iii. 29.
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Auchinleck, Laird of; his opinions on patronage, iv. 248.
Auchterarder, disturbances at; the commonalty prevent the burial service being read over the dead, ii. 30.
Auchterfardel, Laird of; his family poisoned by a maidservant, iv. 115.

Auchterlonny, Bishop, iii. 414.
Ayr, Session Book of, in Mr Welsh’s time, iii. 150; progress of infidelity in, iv. 63; man found murdered in the sands, near, 154.
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Baikie, Mr, Minister at Kirkwall, in Orkney, charged with the utterance of seditious words, ii. 72.
Baillie, Alexander; his account of hibernating swallows, ii. 372.

Mr Robert, anecdote of, i. 21; his chronology, 166; Episcopal in his youth, 321.
Mr Robert, Minister of Inverness, his death in 1726, iii. 275.
Mr, of Jerviswood, history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 78; his execution, id.; treatment of his remains, 80; his remarks on his accusers, 81.
Mr, of Moncoun, succeeds unexpectedly to a property in Calder parish, iv. 109.

Baird, Mr John; “his covenant with the Lord,” i. 141; his soul’s review, 148; his death in 1684, 170; his history, by Mr Stirling, iii. 59; assisted Mr Hutchison in the composition of the “Balm from Gilead,” 63.

Mrs, her anecdote of Sharpe, ii. 146; daughter of Mr Bruce, Minister at Kingsbarns; asked in marriage by Mr, afterwards Archbishop, Sharpe; reasons of her refusal, iii. 59.

Balcarras, Earl of; his death, i. 355; confessed to Mr John Anderson that he was the author of the correspondence that passes under his name; his account of the letter from Melfort to Perth in 1700; that letter genuine, ii. 260.

Balderneck, the honest man of, who was tormented by his wife, i. 114.

Baldune, Lady, her diary, i. 152; her death, ii. 157.

Laird of, his marriage under extraordinary circumstances, and death of his first wife, i. 354.

Balgarran, Laird of, his daughter bewitched, i. 360.

Ballantine, Mr, iii. 481.

Fallingray, parish of, neither baptism, burial, nor marriage in, for seven years, ii. 144.

Balmacellan, the witches of; one of, by name Elspy, had the power of transforming herself into a hare; was tried at Kirkcudbright after the Revolution, and executed, ii. 86.

Balmaghie, parish of, squabble at, i. 209, 258; settlement of a Minister at, 315.
Balmerrino, Lord, i. 259; made Master of the Mint, 259; objects to the Covenant, ii. 240.
Balquhanel, Dr, King James’ remark on, 1-78.

Balvaird, Mr David, his account of a murder in Athole, which was foretold by a vision, i. 100.

Bank, Royal, proposed institution of, in 1727, iii. 426; injury done to the Bank of Scotland by, 437, 461; first issued notes in January 1728, 476.

Banks, Old and New, debates in the Court of Session concerning, iv. 7.

Bannatyne, Mr, of Arran; his house burnt down, i. 307.

Bannockburn, Patterson of, a disguised Jacobite, iii. 153.

Barbeyrac, Professor, at Gröningen, iii. 303.
Barclay, Mr, his account of Mr Livingstone, i. 271; a scholar of Mr Wood at St Andrews, id.; his escapes when “intercommuned,” 272; his dread of divisions in the Church, id.

Barnwell, parish of, suppressed; tumults in consequence, ii. 37.

Baronets of Nova Scotia; an order of knighthood instituted in behalf of Sir William Alexander, iii. 299.
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Barony of Glasgow, attendance on Communions at, i. 271.
Barroch, Laird of, patron of Killearn, iv. 7.
Barron, Mr Robert, i. 124.
Mr John, deposed in 1649, i. 166.
Barrowfield, lands of, bought by the city of Glasgow, from Campbell of Shawfield, for L.5000, iii. 302.
Walkinshaw of; his son killed by a fall, i. 33; his quarrel with Sir Alexander Rigby, 288; frequents an Episcopal meeting-house in Glasgow, iv. 8; his death in 1721, 217.
Barsoc's Pass; origin of the proverb, ii. 283.
Baxter, Mr, the two points for which he would suffer death, i. 371; his opinion of Rutherford's writings, iii. 292.
Beaufort, Duchess of, widow of Lord Dundonald, her death in 1722, ii. 358.
Bedford, Mr, his chronological tables, iii. 428.
Beith, rioters at, tried, iii. 243.
Belfast, Synod of, divisions in, ii. 375; state of Presbytery in, in 1724, iii. 150.
Bellhaven, Lord, anecdote of, previously to his embarking for America, iii. 173.
Bentley, Dr, his edition of the New Testament, iii. 434; his renovation of the text of the New Testament, iv. 288; his contest with Burman of Leyden, id.; his disagreement with Wetstein, the printer, 269.
Berwick, Marshal, made a Duke and Peer of France, i. 279.
Biggart, Hugh, his experience, i. 338.
Jean, her experience at the Communion at Mearns, i. 47; her daughter's case, 55; her prayers for the Parliament, 173.
Mr William, transported from Bangor, in Ireland, to Inch, in Galloway, iv. 58.
Bill, Episcopal, by whom drawn up, ii. 7, 28; of conformity, ii. 2.
Binning, Mr Hugh, Minister at Govan, his death and character, i. 161; his life, by Mr Stirling, iii. 40, 438.
Bishop, Archbishop of Glasgow, Chancellor of the University ex officio, iv. 3.
Archbishop of York, Talbot, refuses to confirm three Scottish ladies, iv. 137; much esteemed, 146.
Burnet, of Sarum, his personal habits, i. 274.
Gibson, of London, offers a yearly sum to such as will set up Episcopal meeting-houses in Scotland, and pray for the King, iv. 137; much esteemed, 146.
Bishop of Bangor, Hoadley, sunk into a hackney writer, iv. 146; life of Dr Clarke, written by, 149.
of Bristol, refuses to read the King's declaration, i. 177; the King's answer, 178.
Bishops, English, filled by Low Churchmen, after the accession of George I., ii. 322.
Bishops, English, more inclined to Calvinism in 1728 than formerly, iv. 13; mostly Whigs in 1729, and the English Peers against their sitting in Parliament, 55; much sunk in public esteem, 146; revert to their Articles, 268.
Scotch; few who had taken the Covenant died a natural death, i. 64; title of Lord given to, by King James, 259; four of in London after the Restoration, when two of them were re-ordained, 90; controversy between the Bishop of Dunblane and Archbishop of St Andrews, 327; three consecrated, in 1712, to preserve the succession, ii. 51; six in number in 1721, but have neither cone d'élites, nor Episcopal titles, 353; cone d'élites from the Pretender to, seized at Leith, iii. 377; their discontent at the nomination of Mr Gullen, 413; refuse to consecrate him, 415.
Bishopston, Laird of, his death, i. 345.
Blackadder, Colonel, iii. 200; his death, iv. 79.
Blackhall, Stuart of, presents to Mearns, but desires to act in concert with the Presbytery, ii. 59.
Blackwell, Mr, sent to London about the Aberdeen nominations, i. 371, iii. 420, 485.
Blackwood, Mr, his case before the House of Commons; a contested return, iii. 477; loses his seat, 494, iv. 25.
Blair, Anne, daughter of the Minister of Dumbarton, her extraordinary piety, i. 54.
Bailie, of Glasgow, his failure in business, iv. 84.
Laird of, his death, i. 270.
Mr David, son to Mr Robert, anecdote of his father, i. 36; his death, 271.
Mr James, Minister at Portpatrick, accumulates much property, iii. 196.
Mr Robert, Minister at Penningham, his life of his father, i. 6; anecdote of, 24; his prophecy respecting the Prince of Orange, 25; cures a sick child, 26, 70, 84; his rebuke of the deputy, 85; story of the youth
INDEX.

313
to whom the devil appeared personally, supplying him with a sermon to be preached before the Presbytery, for which he gave a bond signed with blood, 102; anecdote of, by his son, 136; his advice to Messrs Warner and Welsh, ii. 58; his reproof of the drunkard at St Andrews, 65; reproof of the people for leaving the Church before the blessing was pronounced, 66; reproof of the Earl of Strafford for swearing, id.; story of, and the Prince of Orange, 136, 146; id., 331, 363; his peculiar talent, iii. 4; Mr Sirling’s history of, 91; his Commentary on the Proverbs, in the possession of his grand-daughter, 484.

Blair, Patrick, a Scotch student of medicine at Leyden, who instituted an Atheistical club there, iii. 342.

Mr William, Regent at Glasgow, his death, i. 271.

Blantyre, Lord, i. 15.

Bochel, an Irish Teague, who commanded the troops at Glasgow during Shawfield’s mob, and shot the people, iii. 212; his barbarities towards the prisoners, 217; process against, 244, 257; procures letters of remission from the Crown, 260.

Boerhaave, Dr, iii. 433.

Bogle, Bally, of Glasgow, iii. 334, 448.

Bolingbroke, Lord, difference between and Halifax, ii. 109; proposes to bring over the Duke of Brunswick, 281, iii. 232.

Bonar, Mr James, foretells the deaths of King Charles and King James, ii. 341; account of his birth, death, and resurrection, iii. 135; anecdote of, 150.

Book, Service, the reading of, in the New Kirk at Edinburgh in 1637, interrupted by lads in female attire, i. 64.

Books of the Non-subscribers seized in transit, iii. 184; scarcity of new, in England in 1728, 459; obscene, printed at London and sold in Edinburgh, 515.

Borrowstounness, town of, suffers by the capture of the fleet going to Holland, i. 218.

Boston, Mr, one of the Marrow Brethren, iv. 196.

Bounty, King’s, for preachers and catechists in the Highlands, iii. 288.

Royal, how distributed in Ireland, iii. 468; how managed, iv. 57; origin of, 233.
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Bourbon, Duke of, succeeds the Duke of Orleans as Regent of France in 1723, ii. 388.

Bourignon, Madame, educated at a Jesuit’s school, ii. 349; some account of, iii. 472.

Bowes, Mr James, minister at Lochend in Kintyre, i. 20; his account of certain noises and appearances in 1711, ii. 43.

Bowie, Mr, minister at Dalmphington, iii. 236.

Boyd, Mr Zachary, his death, i. 157.

William, detects his wife in the act of adultery, ii. 536.

Boyle, John, of Kellburn, plot of the Government against, ii. 265.

Mr, his account of Cujacius’ Works, i. 7.

Miss, sees an apparition of her brother; the consequences of, i. 126.

Honourable Robert, appears to his sister after death, i. 126.

Sir Robert, proposes questions to the apparition, i. 126.

Bradbury, Mr, a Dissenting minister of London, taken before the privy council for a sermon reflecting on the Treasurer, ii. 109; hissed at Salters’ Hall, 333; his anecdote respecting the Princess of Wales and Dr Waterland, iii. 459-516.

Bradbourne, Elector of, his piety, his engagement with the Swedes, i. 50; anecdote of, on hearing of the revocation of the Edict of Nantes, ii. 48.

Brand, Mr, of Borrowstounness; his anecdote of Mr John Welsh, iv. 17.

Mrs, iii. 379.

Brandon, Duke of, ii. 1; opposition to his sitting as an English Peer, iii. 292.

Brandt, Mr, character of his History, ii. 334.

Breadhbane, Earl of, tampers with the Governor of Edinburgh Castle, ii. 243.

Breda, conduct of the Scotch Commissioners at, in 1649, ii. 315.

Brethren, clean and unclean, ii. 106, 107; seven, banished, iii. 19, note; the Marrow, iv. 126; division among, 135.

Brett, Dr, turns Papist, iii. 300.

Brisbane, Dr, Professor of Anatomy and Botany at Glasgow: not bound by his patent to teach, iii. 332, 429, iv. 2.

Mr Matthew, minister at Erskine. ii. 125; deposed, iii. 22.

Brondy, Mr D., minister at Dalserff, his death, iv. 82.
Broady, Lord, his account of Mr Veitch, i. 366; his eminent piety, ii. 353; iii. 345.

William, an elder, accused of adultery, ii. 187.

Brodie, Commissary, iv. 235.

Bromly, Mr, Member of Parliament, proposes a fast for the sins of the age, i. 259; "a flaming Tory" chosen Speaker of the House of Commons in 1710, 310.

Brown, Mr David, his death, i. 60; character of his preaching, 127; notice of by Mr Stirling, iii. 198.

Mr David, of Neilston, called to Port-Glasgow by the people, iv. 264.

Mrs David, sudden death of at Glasgow, ii. 320.

Mr James, i. 22; his account of certain remarkable occurrences in New England, 354.

Mr John, an experienced Christian, i. 70.

Mr Thomas, minister at Paisley, i. 128.

Mr William, iii. 290; his conversation with Mr Simson, iv. 11, 193.

Mr, of Abercorn, had seen copies of letters between Mr John Carstares and Mr Fraser, on universal redemption, iii. 207.

Bruce, Sir John, iv. 73; refuses to pay Mr Erskine's stipend, 215.

Mr Michael, settled after the Revolution at Anworth; his political prophecies, ii. 60; his prophecy respecting Claverhouse, iii. 227; of Hollywood in Ireland, falls off in his doctrine, iii. 466.

Mr, employed in the composition of the "Impartial History," iii. 291.

Mr, presented to Killelan, iv. 7, 16, 25, 27, 57; returns to Ireland, 176, 185.

Sir William, of Kinross, iv. 78.

Buchan, Earl of, Commissioner of the General Assembly in 1729, iv. 43.

Buchanan, George, proposal for a new edition of his Works, iii. 142.

Mr John, minister at Dolphington, his death in 1736, iii. 275.

Buckingham, Villiers, Duke of, fondness of James the Sixth for, called by him "Steny," why, ii. 380; disliked by Charles the First, but afterwards his chief favourite, 381.

Bugs, troublesome at Glasgow in 1727; not known twenty years before, but introduced in timber and goods from Holland, iii. 452.

Buntine, of Ardoch, iii. 448.

Burden, Isachar's, title of a pamphlet written by Bishop Maxwell, temp. Carol. L., iii. 186.

Burghs, Royal, Convention of, in June 1712, remarks on, agrees to an Address to the Queen, ii. 74; of Jul. 1726, King's letter to, iii. 313; rivalry between Glasgow and Edinburgh for the presidency of, 314; of 1728, supremacy of Edinburgh in, heightens the tax on Glasgow, Rutherglen, and Dumbarton, iv. 2.

Burnet, Professor, at Leyden; proposes to republish Ruddiman's edition of Buchanan's Works, with a preface, iii. 142; his loose principles, 433; his contest with Dr Bentley, iv. 228.

Burnet, Bishop, "very huffy," i. 9; his personal habits, and works in preparation, 274; anecdote of, ii. 103; his remarks on the Patronage Bill, 174; tried to reconcile M. M. Claud and Alix, 273; his speech about the Covenant to the Duchess of Hamilton, 282; his remarks on the Liturgical Service, 298; his account of a Rosicrucian meeting in London, which he attends in disguise, 371; declares that Charles the First intended to violate the safe conduct of the Earl of Loudon, and to have him privately executed in the Tower, 382; the cause of his leaving Scotland, iii. 134; his History, 146; anecdote concerning when in Paris, 190; his opinion of Mr Guthrie's book on "Saving Interest," 256; his interview with the son of Lord Balcarras, 302; anecdotes concerning when in Paris, 319; his account of Rome and Italy inaccurate, 474; occasion of his writing the Memoirs of the House of Hamilton, iv. 300.

Dr, of Aberdeen, a Jacobite and Malignant, proposed as the successor of Mr Osburn; beats concerning; character of the man, i. 229.

Dr Gilbert, son of the Bishop, some account of, iii. 303.

Mr Gilbert, nephew of the Bishop, iii. 329.

Mr John, his intemperate habits, iii. 412.

Thomas, son of the Bishop, some account of, iv. 194.
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CADOGAN, Lord, his death, iii. 317.

Cesar, Mr John, minister of a Prussian Congregation in London; his account of the German Protestant Churches, i. 207.

Calamy, Dr, ii. 333; his influence among the Dissenters, 391; declares that patronage would have been abolished but for the influence of the Duke of Argyle and Lord Ilay, id.; assures Mr Stirling that Queen Anne did a Papist, iii. 147; inclines to English forms, 230; his son, 433; favourable to Professor Simson, and an enemy to Church judicatories, 444-489; his unkind treatment of his poorer brethren, iv. 81; his declining popularity, 86; his opinion of Mr Hutcheson, 227.

Calan, Mr, his account of Sir George Byng's action in 1708, ii. 310.

Calder, East, parish of, much neglected, iii. 244; discussion before the civil court as to the settlement of; the Presbytery cited to appear as parties to a riot, they having proceeded on a mere call; decision against them unanimous, with the exception of Lord Grange, iii. 328; on a representation from the Presbytery of Edinburgh, interlocutor reversed, and the several Presbyteries recommended by Lord Grange to discourage, for the future, the acceptance of a presentation without a call, 350.

Calderwood, Mr David, died about 1651; his attachment to the old Liturgies and forms, i. 163; curious anecdote of, when in Holland, 222; anecdotes respecting, ii. 139-239, 262; Mr Warner's account of his History, 393; doubts of his grand-nephew respecting the authenticity of, iii. 297, 363; account of his MSS., 364.

Sir David, Lord Poltoun, grand-nephew to the historian; his doubts respecting the authenticity of the printed Calderwood, iii. 363; his account of his ancestor's MSS., 364.

Young, ii. 62.

Caldwell, John, of that ilk, ii. 365.

Callander, Lady Anne, daughter of the Earl of Linlithgow, and the wife of Lord Kilmarnock, erects an Episcopal chapel at Linlithgow, iii. 415.

Calls, competitions of, ii. 104; positive, 120; abuse of, in the parish of Cramond, where fictitious voters are created, i. 290; the question raised in the Commission, whether a Minister settled in a parish without a presentation was entitled to stipends, and decided in the affirmative, iv. 37; practice of the Church regarding, in 1649, 251, 253; notorial, 258.

Calvert, Mr Henry, iii. 17.

Calvinists, many in Hanover, their ecclesiastical economy, ii. 183.

Cambray, Congress of, stipulation in favour of the Pretender, iii. 154.

Cambuslang, parish of, ii. 55, 112; Mr Findlater presented to, deplorable state of, vacant for fourteen years, iv. 5; case of before the Synod, 82; Mr M'Culloch settled at, 180.

Cameron, Dr John, some account of, i. 121.

Mr John, minister at Lochend in Kintyre, foretells the defeat at Bothwell, 144; his character, 165.

Mr Richard, his last sermon near the Water of Ken, in Galloway, i. 133.

Cameronians, plot of, to murder the Indulged Ministers in 1682, iii. 357; iv. 302.

Cammethan, Laird of, ii. 62.

Campbell, Mr Archibald, minister at Larbert, iv. 181; appointed Professor of History at St Andrews, 182; his sermon at Edinburgh, 241; his conduct at his induction as Professor, 243.

Bishop, iii. 423.

of Blythswood, his quarrel with Shawfield, reasons of, iii. 165; threatened by a Glasgow mob, 211, 259.

Captain; his account of the state of the camp at Stirling in November 1715, ii. 306.

of Cessnock, iii. 3.

Mr Colin, a celebrated architect in London, iv. 107.

Mr Colin, minister at . . . . followed trade in early life; his capture by the Spaniards;
hardships suffered by, in New Spain, and his ultimate release, iii. 268.

Campbell, Dr, of Paisley, iv. 28.

Mr George, his depression, i. 139.

Mr George, his opinion of Mr Simson's case, iv. 27.

Mr George, Professor of Divinity at Edinburgh, notice of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 123.

Lady Henrietta; her account of the Prince of Orange's embarkation, i. 280; of Auchinbreck's forfeiture, 281; refuses to sign an Address to Parliament for Toleration, drawn up by her brother, the Earl of Balcarns, 282; Satan appears to, in the shape of a black lion, iii. 196.

Mr Hugh, minister at Muirkirk, one of the "Antediluvian Ministers," his death, ii. 276.

Mr John, minister of Craigie, iii. 13; his encounter with an evil spirit, iv. 110.

Mr Lachlan, minister of Kintyre, his story about the Marquis of Argyile, 173, iii. 264.

of Monzie, iii. 290.

Mrs, a minister's wife, who destroyed herself, ii. 53.

Mr Neill, minister at Roseneath, made Principal of Glasgow College, iii. 44; his induction and inaugural discourse, 477; makes a poor figure in the Assembly of 1728, 510, iv. 2; his conduct in reference to Renfrew, 4; bastard brother to the Duke of Argyile, 69; his revenue from different sources, 76, 127; named to the Laigh Kirk in Glasgow, 163; his method of teaching, 198.

Mr Ninian, minister of Kilmarnock, removed to Roseneath, iii. 22.

of Shawfield, desires to get into the Town Council of Glasgow; opposed by Blythswood; dissatisfaction with his conduct in reference to the Tobacco Act, iii. 166; unpopularity of; in consequence of the malt-tax; his house at Glasgow sacked, 210, et seq.; his claim of damages against the city of Glasgow, 280; granted by act of parliament, 314; his disputed return, 477; it confirmed in his seat, 491; his wealth tremendous; his great influence and character, ii. 214; his mode of granting leases; made conditional on keeping family worship, and attending ordinances, i. 72; his character, 214; ii. 151; iii. 11.

Carmichael, Sir James of Bonnington, stands for the county of West Lothian in 1713, ii. 246.

Lord, his story of the Jesuit in disguise, i. 216; his account of a live toad found in a stone, 217; Commissioner of Assembly in 1694; recommends the ministers not to take the Oath of Allegiance, ii. 2.

Major, his pamphlet on the linen trade, iii. 319.

Mr, Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Glasgow, iii. 440; his death and character, iv. 95.

Carmunnock, parish of, i. 270; ii. 55.

Carnwath, Earl of, forfeiture reversed, iii. 145.

Lockhart, of his remarks in the House of Commons on the linen trade of Scotland, i. 318; 320; procures a congé d'êlire from the Pretender for the nomination of Mr Gullen to a bishopric, iii. 414; absconds in consequence of the seizure of the correspondence, id.

Memoirs of, alleged author of, iii. 378.
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Carpenter, George, his account of the Popish priests in Spain, ii. 318.
Carrick, Lady, her story of the old minister who wrestled with the bishops, i. 59.
Carruthers, Dr, chaplain to Earlston, excommunicated by the Presbytery of Dumfries for obstinacy and uncleanness, ii. 63.
Carstairs, parish of, troublesome settlement of, in 1713, ii. 245; a woman in, who saw apparitions, 330.
Carstairs, Mr John, his power in prayer, ii. 148, 309, iii. 8; his Life by Mr Stirling, 46; ejected from his charge at Glasgow in 1662, 47; prays with Lord Rothes before his death, 48; his behaviour at Calder and Kirkintilloch, 49, 50; averse from ministers interfering in civil politics, 51; charges his son not to intermeddle with public matters after his sufferings in Lauderdale's time, id.; is distressed by the plotting of his son, and son-in-law, Mr William Dunlop, id.; his injunction to both on his death-bed, id.; persecuted by Sharp, 42; his opinion of the Marquis of Argyle, id.; his last conversation with the Marquis, 53; his escape from the field of battle at Dunbar, and his behaviour before the Council at Edinburgh, id.; his death in 1686, id.
Mr William, chaplain to the Queen, i. 18, 46; his interview with Brigadier Weir, 214; his influence with King William, 264; his influence with Queen Anne, proposes to have his nephew, Mr Alexander Dunlop, made Professor of Divinity in Glasgow College; remarks of the author on, 370; conduct of, in reference to the Oath of Allegiance, ii. 3, 5, 7, 57, 98, 104; accuses the Non-jurors of being in a Popish plot, 110, 122; declares that Mr Robert Wyllie was a Jesuit, 131; his conversation with Bishop Burnet on patronage, 174; introduces the practice of stated speeches by the Moderator of the Assembly; evil consequences of, 301; his death in 1715, 311; edited Mr Durham's Works, iii. 180, 291; anecdote of, iv. 245.
Cartaret, Lord, a favourite at court, iii. 442; iv. 98, 141, 191; unfavourable to the Irish Presbyterians, 298.
Cartsburn, Laird of, mortifies two hundred merks to the General Session of Glasgow, iii. 237.
Cary, Mr, his death by drowning, iii. 339.
Casillis, Earl of, his loyalty, his dislike of Monk, waits on King Charles II., his speech to that monarch, ii. 89; his piety and formalities, 140, iii. 14.
Catechism, Shorter, authorship of, iii. 174.
Cathcart, Colonel, opposes the erection of an Episcopal chapel at Ayr in 1727, iii. 413.
Lord, the only nobleman who voted against the suppression of Presbytery in 1661, iv. 413.
Chalmers, Mr James, minister at Aberdeen, iii. 485; iv. 239.
Mr of Kilwinning, his treatment of an obstreporous woman, iii. 431.
Chambers, Mr, his conversation with Lord Mar on the prospects of the Church in 1713, ii. 256; iii. 420.
Princpal, of King's College, Aberdeen, ii. 333; his scandalous behaviour at the last election for the county, iii. 184; iv. 187.
Chancellor, contest between and the President of the Court of Session, ii. 265.
Mr, his shocking impiety at Lanark, iii. 427.
Chaplains, Royal, changes among, iii. 220; reflections of the author on, 226; contests respecting, 261; farther changes among, 320, 321, 454.
Regimental, desirous of a place of worship at Glasgow, iii. 256.
Charteris, Beau, his death, character, and will, ii. 255.
Colonel, his trial and condemnation, iv. 149.
Cheisly, Mr, the murderer of Sir George Lockhart, ii. 316.
Chesterfield, Earl of, a favourite with King George II., iii. 442; iv. 98.
Chislet, Sir John, author of "Light Established," i. 324.
Chubb, a Deist, promoted by Sir Joseph Jekyll, iii. 208; his book, iv. 146.
Church, disputed settlements in, in 1713; appeals from the Court of Session to the House of Lords concerning; decided against the Church, ii. 224; reflections on, 225.
Clan, Highland, five thousand pounds distributed among, in 1713, ii. 224.
Clarendon, Lady, romantic history of, ii. 362.
Clarendon, Lord, son of Lord Middleton, his letter to
Mr Houston, ii. 246.
Clarendon, Lord, anecdote of, and the Marquis of Ar-
gyle, ii. 325, 340, 389; receives contributions to his History from the Duchess of Ha-

ilton, iv. 299.

Clarke, Dr, his connection with the Arian controversy,
ii. 285; tendency of towards Socinianism, 341; narratives by, 344, 345; his views taken
up by the two houses of Convocation, ii. 285;
his written sentiments on the Generation of the Son and Holy Spirit, 288; his explana-
tion of his views, 289; decision of the Con-

vocation, 290; his intimacy with Sir Isaac
Newton, to whom he was indebted for many
of his opinions, iii. 205, 354; more sound
than formerly, iv. 13.

Clau, M., some account of, ii. 273.
Clavers, Graham of, ii. 60; his death foretold by Mr
Bruce, iii. 327.
Cleland, Mr James, iii. 217.
Clerc, M. Le, his dotage, iv. 265.

Clergy, Episcopal, Address by, to the Queen, in 1713,
ii. 188; their devotion to the Pretender, 189;
recognize George II., 297; their Address to
the Pretender, in 1731, 311; movements
among, and extremely ill paid, iii. 162; great
heats among, 306.

Clerk, Mr Alexander, a student of divinity, his letter
laid before the Synod, iii. 335.
Mr John, of Pennyruick, his extraordinary
dream about his uncle, ii. 346.

Clubs, the October, ii. 36; Infidel, in London, 323;
the Triumphant at Glasgow, iii. 178; the
Sopho-Cardian, 183; the Eleutherian, id.;
the Anti-Cappodocian, id.; their influence
on religion, id.; the Athiestical at Edin-

burgh, 309; the Hell-Fire, at London, secre-
tary of, a Scoto-man, 309.

Coates, Mr, minister at Gowan, iii. 334; his exertions
in behalf of the Gorbals church, iv. 493.
Mrs, her death, iii. 493.

Cocceian principles prevalent among the Protestants
of Hungary, ii. 102; kept under in Holland
by King William, 103.

Cochran, Miss Grizell, iii. 19.

Cockburn, Mr, minister at Lanark, i. 109; schism in
his congregation, 174.

Mr, minister of the English congregation at

Amsterdam, edis Madame Bourignon's Me-
moirs, abuses King William, and obliged to
leave Holland, i. 30.

Cockburn, Mr, an Episcopalian clergyman at Glasgow,
charges of immorality against, ii. 247.

Sir Richard, Privy Seal in 1627, iii. 299.

Coffee-house, Dean's, in Edinburgh, iii. 487.

Colquhoun, Mr John, his difficulties about a wife, i.
61.

Mrs, her suicide, iv. 58.

Colgrain, Laird of, graceless, i. 126.

Colset, Lady; her sinful aspirations after an heir,
and their consequences, iii. 293.

Col, Mr John, story concerning, ii. 314.

Mr Adam, his death, iv. 261.

Colville, Mr William, deposed in 1619, i. 166; the
reason of his deposition, iv. 270.

Lord, his apparition, iii. 519.

Colvin, Mr Patrick, minister at Beith, notice of, by
Mr Stirling, iii. 126.

Colzeam, Laird of, a great persecutor; his death, i. 270.

Colmer, his plot in France, ii. 332.

Collections for the poor at four different communions;
amount of, i. 290.

College of Glasgow, communions in, on the choosing
of a rector in 1725, iii. 185; Insolence of the
boys, 186; numbers attending, 210; royal
visitation expected, 248, 272; tumults among
the students of, on the election of a rector in
1726, 276; royal visitation of in October
1726, 292; the right of choosing a rector
conferred on the matriculated students, 330;
the day of election fixed for the 15th No-

vember, id.; objection to, 331; remarks on
the masters, and on the state of teaching at
the time, 332; rector of, chosen under the
new system, 265; Address to the Crown by,
in 1727, 405; struggle in, for the election of
the Dean of Faculty; Mr John Gray chosen,
429; regulations introduced by the commis-

sion of visitation; each professor restricted
to his own department; the faculty to be
called at the request of three masters; and
the senior to supply, ad interim, the prin-
cipal's place, 440; remarks of the author on,
441; contest in 1728 on the election of a
Dean of Faculty; the rector and vice-chan-

cellor interdicted from voting by the Cour-

t of Session; the Duke of Montrose's party
defeated, and Mr William Wishart chosen,
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iv. 2; the right of nominating the Chancellor belonged formerly to the town, and under prelacy the Archbishop was Chancellor ex officio, 3; note on the above, id.; interdict against the rector and vice-chancellor removed, 6; its meeting in October 1728; Principal Campbell reads lectures on divinity, vice Mr Simpson, 16; election of rector in 1728; a severe contest; the Master of Ross chosen, iv. 18; titular of the title of Renfrew, 21; disputes in, respecting the election of a factor and other matters, 28; meeting of the masters on Mr Simpson’s case, 48; Dean of Faculty chosen in June 1729; Mr Wishart re-elected, 63; Mr William Wood of Paisley chosen factor, 65; Mr Dunlop of Dunlop chosen rector in 1729, 91; the attendance at, in 1729, 98; Mr Hutcheson invited to, 99; injudicious in the dispensation of its honours, 168; October 1730, Mr Loudon claims, as senior master, to take the moral philosophy, 183; yields in favour of Mr Hutcheson, 184; professors of, oppose the erection of a new parish in Gorbals, as an infringement of their rights, as patrons of the parish of Govan, 220.

Colleges of Scotland, low state of, as to Principals and Professors of Divinity, iii. 485.

Comedians, company of, visits Edinburgh in 1727; the author’s indignation at, iii. 476; money wasted on, iv. 214.

Commission of Assembly sends an Address to the Queen, i. 15; of March 1710, deals with Mr McMillan’s case at Balmagie, 258.

of May 1710; business before; decides on the viability of a child born in the fifth month, and acquits Mr Elder of knowledge of his wife before marriage, 275.

of August 1710; considers the propriety of addressing the Queen anent Popery, and the Abjuration Oaths, 292.

of October 1710; little public business before, 307.

of January 1711; Mr Thomas Hay’s demission; Mr Elder’s case referred to the Assembly; question of a national fast considered, and finally ordered on the responsibility of the Church alone, 315.

of February 1711; Mr Mair’s business; case of Mr Arbuthnot, minister of Bervie, 320; power of the commission likely to prove dangerous, 332.

Commission of November 1711, 307; compliments the Lord Advocate, Sir James Stewart, on his return to office, 370.

of February 1712; petitions both Houses of Parliament against the bill for the restoration of patronage, ii. 29.

of March 1712; protests against patronage, 31.

of June 1712; appeal from the Synod of Fife on the transportation of Mr Walker from Port-on-Craig to Canongate; the case of St Martin’s, presbytery of Perth; a competition of calls; decision on; the question of lay patronage discussed; various opinions regarding; resolves to petition the Queen on patronage and toleration; case of Baiky, minister of Kirkwall; different views of, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73.

of September 1712; Mr Mair of Closeburn’s case resumed, and his call laid aside; the question of the oath much discussed, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95.

of December 1712; discussion on the effects on the Hanoverian succession of taking, or not taking, the oath, 120, 121, 122, 123.

of August 1713; case of Mr Menzies of Dull considered, and his settlement confirmed, 231; proposed correspondence with the different presbyteries for its maintenance of duty, and against the Pretender, id.; changed into an exhortatory letter, 253; separation of the brethren in Dumfries considered; a conference proposed, 254; legality of, called in question; author’s remarks on, 267.

of March 1714, 182.

of November 1717; appoints a day of thanksgiving for the accession of George L.; disputes in, on the right of naming the day; desire of some to petition against patronage and toleration, and for the prosecution of the Papists, overruled, 293, 294.

of March 1724; case of Mr Smith, a minister in Ross-shire; case of Mr James Ramsay, iii. 140; application of Dr Nicholl of New York, a Scotchman residing there, for a contribution towards a church, id.; grant of money to Messrs Deans and Maxwell to carry them to Carolina, 141; Mr James Davidson made printer to the Assembly by,
id.; memorial on church grievances, id.; draft of a new form of licence to probationers, id.; professors of divinity in colleges declared by, to be responsible to presbyteries, 142.

Commission of November 1724, 169.

of March 1725, the wishes of the Presbytery of Glasgow, as to the disposal of the money collected for Matthew Rodgers complied with, 186; discipline in Orkney; case of incest within the bounds of the Presbytery of Lanark; the third volume of the Confessions of the Church; discussion on the propriety of engrossing the Covenants and other documents in these volumes, 187; referred to a committee, 188; project for the publication of a Hebrew Dictionary, by an American divine, id.; the king subscribes L.1200 to the Society for the Propagation of Christian Knowledge, id.

of August 1725, 225.

of November 1725, 243.

of March 1726; case of Aberdeen; a competing claim decided, 283-287.

of November 1726, 324; Lady Logan’s case, act for planting parishes jure devoluto, 355; right of heritors to call considered, with Lord Grange’s opinion on, 356; draft of an act anent preaching, id.; committee for managing the royal bounty, id.; Highland schools, 357.

of June 1727, 429; Mr Junkesn’s affair; Professor Simson’s case, id.

of August 1727, 434; the case of Inverness; sends an Address to George II. on his accession; nothing done in Mr Simson’s case, in consequence of his absence.

of November 1727, 450; the case of the parish of Holden, id.; draft of an act anent calls in planting parishes, tanguum jure devoluto, id.; Chancellor’s affair, and the case of the Bith adulteress, 457.

of November 1728, Mr Glass’s case before, iv. 17.

of March 1729, the affair of Mr Kinloch’s settlement in the New Kirk of Edinburgh, 31; the Presbytery of Glasgow instructed to allow Mr Simson’s presence at the forthcoming General Assembly, 32; the same Presbytery instructed to hold the heritors of Campsie bound for the stipend of a minister settled on a call without a presentation, id.

Commission of August 1729, 71; Mr Glass’s affair referred to a future Commission; Mr Ren, the presentee to New Machir, ordered to be settled, 72: the Renfrew case, id.; Mr M’Dermitt’s call sustained: warm discussions between Lord Isla and others, who held that patronage was settled by Act of Parliament, that the Church judicatories had no power but what they derived from statute, and that Mr M’Dermitt’s settlement was imperative: and Mr George Gillespie, and other ministers, who held that no presentation was valid without the consent of the people: and that the Court sat by a commission from Christ, as the Head of the Church, 73.

of March 1730, 111: Mr Glass’s affair: the Commission sustains Mr M’Dermitt’s presentation, and decides that its sentence is equivalent to the concurrence of a Presbytery, id.

of August 1730, 109: the Balfron settlement, id.

of November 1730, ordering the settlement of Balfron in favour of the presentee, 187; Mr Archibald deposed from his charge, id.

of March 1731, the case of Balfron considered, 206: the question of patronage considered, 207: conduct of the Commission in reference to settlements: injurious to the Church, 209: their power monopolised by a few men about Edinburgh, 210: case of adultery before, 211.

of August 1731, affairs of West Kirk, Kinross, and Kettle, 278.

of November 1731, iv. 294: contentions about the West Kirk of Edinburgh, 295: settlement of Mr Stark at Kinross, id.; contest of, with the Presbytery of Dunfermline, id.; committee of, meets at Dumarton, to enforce the settlement of Mr Sinclair at Balfron, 219: proceeds to his settlement without the concurrence of the Presbytery of Dumarton, 224.

Commissioner dissolves the Assembly in the king’s name, i. 6; rumoured for 1711, 322.

Commons, House of, votes out the eldest sons of Scotch peers, i. 173; ii. 37-372.

Irish House of, in 1714, ii. 276.
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Communions, remarkable decrease of attendance on, i. 270; the author's sixth, 346; in the summer of 1729, iv. 67; occurrence at one in Glasgow, 93; first, celebrated by the Separatists, ii. 296, 297, 230.

Compton, Sir Spencer. Speaker of the House of Commons; his gratuity to Thomson the poet, iii. 432; his popularity, 442. Conduit, Mr., proposes to write a Life of Sir Isaac Newton, iv. 215.

Connell, Mr. of Kilbride, iv. 28.

Convocation, history of, i. 7; Lower House of, 173; meets in 1712, 326; controversy between the two houses on the Ariam heresy, 349; ii. 285; Dr Clarke's opinions considered by, 288.

Cooper, Lord of Session, grants an interdict against the Presbytery of Paisley, iv. 276.

Cordova, victory of, iii. 1.

Corporations, new bill anent, ii. 2.

Correspondents of presbyteries, meeting of, at Glasgow in 1710, i. 313.

Corsehill, Laird of, iii. 26.

Council, debate in, on the dissolution of Parliament, i. 292.

Courrayer, La., Father, his pension, iii. 487.

Court, state of, in 1724, iii. 145; further particulars concerning, 156; rumoured changes in, 179.

Courts of Regality, question concerning their jurisdiction, iv. 170.

Covenants, rumours of a bill to oblige the Scotch clergy to forswear them, ii. 325.

Cowan, Mr James, his account of the ghost at Melantree, i. 95; of a charmer, 98; of his father's dream, 111.

Cowie, Mr James, his account of a woman whose mind was overclouded, i. 72; iii. 14.

Craig, Mr James, minister of the Old Kirk, Edinburgh, his death and character, iv. 200; his poem entitled, "The Spiritual Life," 201, 208.

Craigcrook, Mr Strachan of, story of the murder and robbery committed in his house, iv. 172.

Craigie, Laird of, extraordinary story respecting; throws his "whinger" at the minister's head in the pulpit; is reproved by him; prophecy about his house and family, ii. 153, 154.

Mr John, his trials stopped, iv. 78

Cramond, parish of, the heritors of, in 1710; make heritors pro hac vice, to increase the strength of their respective parties in calling a minister, i. 260.

Cranston, Lord, his advice to King James on the banishment of Calderwood, ii. 239.

Crawfurd of Ardmillan, curious anecdote respecting, ii. 365.

of Crawfordland, antiquity of his family, iii. 168, 194.

Dr James, Professor of Hebrew in the University of Edinburgh, his death, iv. 212.

Lord Lindsay; state of his affairs; money left to his son by Beau Charteris, ii. 255.

Mr, his account of the Duchesse of Hamilton's dream, i. 10.

Mr M., his account of Mr Andrew's papers, i. 237; his account of Voetius, ii. 337; Professor of Church History in Edinburgh, iii. 456; defends patronage, iv. 211.

Mr M., minister at Eastwood, iii. 47, 142.

Mr Patrick, minister at Dailly, his death, i. 283.

Mr Stephen, of Glasgow, his death, iv. 85.

Mr William, merchant at Glasgow, character of his wife, iii. 312.

Crawfurdburn, Lady, her death, iii. 439.

Crichton, Lord, his band issued in 1688, i. 179.

Cromarty, Earl of, his bill of toleration promotes the growth of Episcopacy, iii. 147.

Cromwell, interview of Messrs Simson and Gillespie with, i. 90; some favourable to the memory of, 272; his reason for abolishing General Assemblies, that the members would have deposed one another, id.; speech of, in the General Assembly, ii. 283; intercepts Charles I.'s letters to his Queen, 384; anecdote of, when at Glasgow, iii. 292.

Cross, Mr H., minister at Bower; his accounts of the state of religion in Caithness, iii. 315.

Croussay, M., some account of, by Mr Robert Duncan, iii. 303; suspected of Pelagianism, 304.

Culdees, character of their society, ii. 326.

Cullen, Lord of Session, iv. 235.

Mr, iii. 413.

Culloden, Forbes of, objections to, as a ruling elder, iii. 301.

Cumbernauld, parish of, long vacant, iii. 244; Mr
George Wishart presented to, but opposed by the people, 256.

Cumin, Dr, at Irvine, his account of his relation, Mr John Cumin, of London, iv. 158.

Mr of London, iii. 360.

Mr Patrick, ii. 122; rebukes a man in Musselburgh church, 150; his account of Mr Hutchinson’s death, 214.

Mr Patrick, of Lochmaben, removed to Edinburgh, iv. 296.

Mr Patrick, minister of Ormiston, iii. 164, 326.

Mr William, his Life of Wedderburn, ii. 312; iii. 164.

Cunningham, Mr Alexander, of Block, his conversation with the Marquis L’Hopital at Paris, ii. 212; his opinion of the spread of Arianism, ii. 367; at one time British Envoy at Venice; some account of his personal history, studies, and writings, iv. 151.

Alexander, of Craigends, iii. 6.

of Blagan, his “brisk speech” in the House of Commons, ii. 37.

Chancellor, iii. 19; conversation of, with Mr James Ferguson, 42; his death, and attendant circumstances, 43.

Cunningham, Colonel, iii. 200.

Mr Gabriel, minister of Dunlop, History of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 118.

Mr, his remarks on the Stewarton sickness, iii. 431.

one, tried in the Baron-Bailie Court of Glasgow for horse-stealing, and condemned to death; case reviewed by the Justiciary Court, and sentence commuted to banishment; question of jurisdiction arising out of, iv. 170.

Sir Robert, the King’s Physician, iii. 19.

Sir William, of Cunninghamhead, anecdote of, and King William, i. 304; ii. 159.

Curate of Kilmours, trick played on, by the women of the parish, ii. 277.

Curates, their political zeal at the time of the Revolution, ii. 304.

Curle, of London, obscene books published by, iii. 515.

Curry, a Glasgow Town-Councillor in 1726, iii. 334.

Cuthbert, Mr James, minister at Culross, ii. 306.

Czar, the, his death in 1723, iii. 184.

D.

Dailly, Mr, Protestant minister at Paris, ii. 211.

Dalgliesh, Mary, wife of Dr Crawford, her experience, ii. 392.

Dalrymple, Sir David, conversation of the author with, i. 211; dismissed from the office of Advocate, 322; speaks against the Act of Toleration in the House of Commons, ii. 7; discovers a copy of King David’s laws, 369; his view of the act of parliament for settling parishes, iii. 358.

Hugh, President of the Court of Session, iii. 290; refused a retiring pension, 364; his illness in 1727, 461.

Sir John, account of, by Mr Veltch, i. 335.

Dalsert, ii. 111.

Dalziel, parish of, served by three ministers for the space of one hundred and twenty-five years, iv. 286.

Darling, Mr Andrew, iv. 128; his death, 284.

Darroch, an Irish Presbyterian; his case; deposed for drunkenness, and other offences; applies to the Civil Court, ii. 79.

Dartmouth, Secretary, complains to the Lord Advocate of the strictness of the Scotch clergy towards the English soldiers, i. 352.

Dauphin, death of, in 1711; new, favourable to peace, i. 323; effects of, on the politics of Britain, ii. 8.

Davidson, Mr, his account of Mr John Livingstone, ii. 249; one of the Marrow brethren, iv. 126.

Deaths, sudden, number of, in 1713, ii. 265; among the ministers of the Church of Scotland since the commencement of Mr Simson’s process, iv. 140.

Deer, Old, parish of, forcible settlement of Mr Gordon at, i. 326.

Defoe, Daniel, author of the True Englishman, i. 7; requested by Lord Treasurer Harley to write an account of the M’Millanite disturbances in Scotland, ii. 89; anecdote of, when in Scotland, 305.

Dennistoun, Mr, of Glasgow, iv. 108.

Denoon, Mr, his great age, iv. 4.
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Devil, allegory respecting, iii. 180.
Dick, Mr, Professor of Natural Philosophy in Glasgow College, iii. 440.
Dickson, Mr David, his conversation with the Countess of Eglintoun, i. 19, 85; his parentage and preaching, with his opinions of the Independents, 92; his remonstrance with Mr John Sirling, 136; heard the blast of a trumpet at the Countess of Eglintoun's death, 138; draws a Sum of Saving Knowledge; transported to Glasgow in 1639, and to Edinburgh in 1650; died in 1663; left many MSS.; his manner of instruction, 166; his interview with Montrose, 298; Episcopalt in his youth, 321; his style of preaching compared with Mr Durham's; his speech at the Assembly of 1638, ii. 116; his sermons not liked so well after his transportation to Edinburgh, and reason of, 290; his humility, 363; account of, by Mr Sirling, iii. 2; his adventure with the robber, 5; ballad of the "Malignants" on, 7; composes the "Sum of Saving Knowledge," on the crags near the High Church, Glasgow, 10.
Dillon, General, sees a vision of General Vendome at Paris, iii. 520.
Dinsmoor, John, Stewarton carrier, interesting anecdote of, ii. 233.
Dinwoodie, Mrs Mary, death of, iii. 366.
Discipline, want of, in the Church of England, i. 323.
Dissenters, English; their unpopularity in 1723; reasons of, ii. 377; low state of, in 1729, iv. 86. from the principle of a settlement without the consent of the people, Messrs J. Hog, Ebenezer Erskine, A. Darling, Moncrieff, Henry Erskine, J. Forbes, H. Hunter, Allan Logan, Charles Erskine, and Colonel Erskine, iv. 128.
Dissenting interest low in London, iv. 145; low in Ireland, 162.
Distemper, a, prevalent in September 1712, particularly in Edinburgh and Glasgow, and called indifferently "the Galloping Fever," or the "Dunkirk Sickness," ii. 90.
Divinity, Marrow of Modern; heats about, in Edinburgh, ii. 329.
students of, at Glasgow College, in 1724; declension of sound doctrine among, iii. 170; increased commotion among, 174, 181, 188; turbulence of, on choosing a rector, 185; number of, in the Church, in 1725, 193; in the west, their secret combinations against Confessions and Subscriptions, 237; prevailing looseness of, in doctrinal matters, 514; attend dancing schools, id.
Division, its effects on a Church, iii. 180.
Doctor, the Blantyre, predicts floods, ii. 107.
Doddington, Mr, of the Treasury; his gratitude to Thomson the poet, iii. 432.
Dodwell, author of a book recommending a junction between the Jurors and Non-jurors; his death, i. 325.
Dog, a story of a, which was put to death for an antipathy to soldiers, ii. 378; anecdote of the sagacity of a, iv. 227.
Dogs, madness of, ii. 90.
Donaldson, Mr Andrew, ii. 118.
Dorrell, Marmaduke, a student of divinity, who imposed on Mr John Hamilton, minister at Glasgow, iii. 224.
Dorset, Lord, Lieutenant of Ireland in 1731, iv. 298.
Doucat, Mr, presented to Burntisland by the Queen; his presentation waived by the commission, ii. 120; originally a Papist; case referred to Assembly; his appearance before that body; appeals to Parliament, 198, 199, 200; rabble concerning his scandal, 266.
Dougald, Mr John, minister of Rescobie; his account of the Regent Murray and the Laird of Dun, i. 112.
Douglas, Colonel; expense of his seat in Parliament, iii. 228.
Mr Daniel, minister at Hilton, iv. 268; his aberration of mind, and adventure in Prussia, 269.
Mr Daniel, anecdote of, ii. 154.
Mr Robert; wrote Memoirs of his times, i. 84; his history, the bastard son of a son of Queen Mary, by Douglas of Lochleven Castle, died in 1674; never wrote his sermons; his manner of preaching, 166; his conversation with Mr Patrick Simson, 367; served in the army of Gustavus Adolphus, and greatly esteemed by that prince, ii. 136; his reply to Sharp, id.; his son's account of his influence over Monk, 324; account of, by Mr Sirling, iii. 82.
Duke of; kills his cousin, and flies to Holland, iii. 208.
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Douglaston, i. 15.
Dowhill, Provost of Glasgow; incident to in Edinburgh, iii. 312.
Dreissen, Cocceian Professor of Divinity at Groningen; a scholar of Roe's, iii. 305.
Drought, extraordinary in Nithdale and Eskdale, in the spring of 1712, ii. 43.
Drummond,'Lord; his son baptized by a Popish bishop, ii. 294.
Commissioner; a dictator in the General Assembly, iii. 200; his conduct in reference to the Shawfield mob, 213; his story of the Scottish gentleman and the Host in Italy, 305; iv. 15.
Drummore, Lord of Session, iii. 435; his opinions on patronage, iv. 219; grants an interdict against the Presbytery of Montrose, 274, 295.
Dublin, population and trade of, in 1730, iv. 162.
Ducary, Major; his death and character, iii. 161.
Duelling, bill concerning, ii. 225.
Dull, parish of; the presentee refused admittance to the church; ordained in the house of Wemyss; a contest between the Dukes of Athol and Argyle, ii. 200, 201.
Dumbarton, burgh elections of, in 1727, iii. 448; iv. 2.
Dumfries, Earl of; his account of Eikon Basilike, i. 295.
origin of the name, ii. 84.
Synod of, five members of, threaten a secession in 1713, ii. 297.
Dun, Lord of Session, iv. 297.
Dunbar, context between the magistrates of, and the Synod of Lothian, on the appointment of a schoolmaster, iii. 450.
Duncan, Mr Alexander; an ejected Episcopalian minister at Glasgow; refuses the sacrament to the officer, because he served King George, ii. 347; iii. 414; supports Wingate, the Non-juring preacher, iv. 8; old and failed, but would willingly preach if he dared in a hired meeting-house, 19; preaches in his own house, 26.
Mr Henry, minister at Lanark; his death in 1712, ii. 53.
Mr Robert; his account of Crouasz and others, iii. 303.
Dundas, Mr, counsel for the magistrates of Glasgow in 1725, iii. 221; opposes the malt-tax in Parliament, 280; carries an address to London against the malt-tax, 404.
Dundas, Mr, of Arniston, iv. 104.
Dr, iii. 290; iv. 235.
Mr John, of Philipston, clerk to the General Assembly for twenty-eight years, iv. 233; his death and character, 234, 235; one of the originators of the Society for the Propagation of Christian Knowledge, and reformation of the Highlands and Islands, id.; his Abridgment of the Acts of Assembly and other works, 236.
Dundonald, Lady; a good woman, but "highly pre-
latical in her principles;" her death, i. 309.
Lady, delivered of a son, Lord Cochran, who is baptized by Mr Duncan, the Non-juring minister, 84.
Lord, has the sacrament celebrated after the English manner at Paisley, i. 313; a Non-juror, ii. 31; his death in 1719, 336; death of, in 1725, iii. 180; his will, 181; law plea of his successor with the Duke of Hamilton, 185; gains his case, 245; consults the inclinations of the people in the case of Lochwinnoch, iv. 7; low state of his fortunes in 1729, given to improvements, which do not answer, iv. 67; sells his estate of Kilmarnock to the Duke of Montrose, 68.
Dunfermline, presbytery of, rebellious, iv. 293.
Dunlop, Mr Alexander, of Paisley, father of the Principal, his character, ii. 149; his history, by Mr Stirling, iii. 10; his death, in 1667, i. 168; iii. 19.
of Dunlop, chosen Rector of Glasgow College in 1729, iv. 91.
Mr John, minister at Buttle, iii. 23.
Mr, Professor of Greek in the University of Glasgow, iii. 332-429.
Robert; his visions, i. 53.
Mr W., his prayers the Sabbath before his death, i. 84.
Mr William, Professor of History in the University of Glasgow, his death, in 1720, ii. 342.
Dunmore, Earl of, ii. 35; a representative Peer in 1727, iii. 430.
Duntrurry, Laird of, ii. 115.
Durham, Mr James, his prophetic announcement on his death-bed, i. 46; his doubts, 136; as-
sists Mr Dickson in the composition of the
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Sum of Saving Knowledge, 166; his history, 167; his conversion, ii. 115; originally a captain in the army, 116; further account of, 139; iii. 10; history of, by Mr Stirling, 104-297.

Durham, Mrs, relict of Mr Zachary Boyd; anecdote of, iv. 285.

Dury, Mr John, minister at Dalmeny, iii. 25; his division of the members of Assembly into vowels and consonants, 193.

E.

Eagles, contest between an eagle and a corby, ii. 87.
Eaglesham, ii. 111.
Earl, Mr, a Dissenting minister in London, iii. 360.
Earlston, Laird of, i. 191; under "ill fame" for adultery; frequents Mr McMillan's congregation, and maintains a private chaplain, ii. 62.
Eastwood, affair of, ii. 33.
Eccles, Mr William, minister at Ayr, history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 66.
Edict, decided by the Commission of 1726 not to be necessary in the transportation of a minister from one place to another, iii. 288.
Edinburgh, conduct of the magistrates of, in the case of a bawd who was ordered to be whipped, ii. 209; great consternation in, 279; woman recovered after being hanged at, in 1724, iii. 168; municipality unable to pay ministers' stipends, 175; club in, for the promotion of a greater freedom of thought in matters religious, 175; contests between the professors of, and private teachers, 203; resolution of the brewers of, in reference to the malt-tax, 223; consequences of, 224; money to be given to the brewers, 226; Athelstical club in, 309; much distressed for money in 1726, 339; club of gamesters in, 486; playhouse proposed to be built in, 487; Beaum's coffee-house, id.; disputed municipal elections in, iv. 25; declension of public morals, 31; influence of the Earl of Isla in the affairs of, 76; contests in, concerning the settlement of ministers and professors, 138; the settlement of ministers at, in 1730, 166; Quakers in, 175; wildness of the students at; and money spent on players at, 214; burgh elections at, in 1731, 287; contests in the college of, 296.
Edminston, Mr, contest respecting Cardross, iii. 295; settled at, 336; succeeds to some property, iv. 109.

Eglinton, Earl of, his affection for Mr William Guthrie, i. 283; proposes the introduction of Episcopacy into Scotland; judgment on his wife for, 318; speech of, in favour of patronage and toleration, 320, ii. 35-54; his account of the organization of the Rebellion in 1715, 339; state and prospects of the family of, in 1729, iv. 67.

Lady, her repeated miscarriages, i. 217; bears a daughter, to the great displeasure of her Lord, 287.

Elder, Mr, his account of an extraordinary atmospheric phenomenon at Penpont in 1711, ii. 43.

Elders of the Church, remarks on, ii. 300; sat as moderators in the Presbytery of Ayr in Mr Welsh's time, iii. 150.

Election for the shire of West Lothian, "curious passage in," ii. 246; for the burgh and shire in 1713, 248; for the shire of Moray, anecdote respecting, 255.

burgh, in October 1724, 160; do. in October 1725, 234; general, 1727; character of; much corruption, and mercenary parliaments feared, 433; burgh, for 1727, 448; in October 1728; Aberdeen, Edinburgh, Glasgow, iv. 15; disputed, of Dumfarton, 25; of Edinburgh, id.; in October 1729; Glasgow and Dumbarton; at Glasgow, 1730, 177; 1731, 286; Glasgow and Edinburgh.

English, in November 1710, riots at, l. 308.

Elgin, magistrates of, claim one of the city churches as public property, and cause the Liturgy to be read in, ii. 74.

Elliot, Sir Gilbert, of Minto, iii. 290; kills Colonel Stewart in a duel, 318.

Elphinstone, Lord, iii. 408.

Emperor, his death, l. 323.

England, Church of, on the form of prayer used by, i. 258; its character, and the character of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDEX.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>its clergy in 1723; the most corrupt of the Reformed Churches, i. 201; slender trials required in, before ordination, 230.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England, Parliament of, declares the Prince of Hanover successor to the throne, i. 5; political condition of, in 1710, 306; progress of the Fine Arts in, and sumptuousness of, iii. 250; immorality of, in 1727, 443.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English, Mr John, minister at Hamilton, iii. 127.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englishwoman, two feet and a half high, i. 22.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episcopacy, remarkable inclination towards, in Scotland, in 1709, and the reasons of, i. 218; also in 1711, 352.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episcopalian chapel proposed to be built at Ayr in 1727; how prevented, iii. 413; the laity dissatisfied with the conduct of their clergy, 414; chapel at Linlithgow, 415.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clergy, meeting of, in reference to Mr Greenshields' affair, i. 211; their conduct in 1710, 311; refuse to qualify; their opinion of the act of Toleration; resume the Liturgy, ii. 109; divisions among, 378.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting-houses, increase of, in the North, ii. 378.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error, its effects on a Church, iii. 180.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Faberius, M., of Hamburgh, his voluminous writings, iii. 305. |
| Fairfoul, Archbishop, his character, i. 38.                  |
| Families of rank in Scotland unfriendly to the Presbyterian Church, iv. 84. |
| Famine, effects of, in the last age, i. 114.                  |
| Fasting, cases of extraordinary, i. 273.                     |
| Fasts, Queen's power of calling, considered, i. 260; one ordered in 1710, 306; of January 1712, 309; Queen's Letter anent, 370; ii. 5, 59; observations on, iii. 205; the Assembly's Act concerning, 308. |
| Fawside, Mr H., ii. 104.                                     |
| Ferguson, Mr David, a preacher at Dunfermline, i. 129.      |
| Mr James, minister at Kilwinning, his diary; is apprised of the date and manner of his death, i. 65; his death-bed difficulties, ii. 357; his Life by Mr Stirling, iii. 40; his opinion of Calderwood, 41; his controversy with Mr Guthrie, id.; his discourse with Chevalier Cunningham on the introduction of Prelacy, 42; his repute of the profane nobleman at Lord Eglinton's table, 43; his death in 1667, 44; his Commentary on the Epistles of Timothy, 164. |
| Ferguson, Janet, an eminent Christian in the parish of Eastwood, i. 224. |
| Mr, presented to Kilellan, iv. 263; renounced the presentation in consequence of the objections of the people, 264. |
| Robert, "the Plotter," his history and character; said of Presbyterian that it "had a head too big for the body," ii. 270. |
Fever, galloping, preceded the plague of 1645, i. 105.

Findlater, Earl of, commissioner to the Assembly in 1724, iii. 151, 273.

Mr, minister at Hamilton, his account of the Duchess of Hamilton's dream, i. 10; libelled for adultery, iii. 237, 240, 251, 296; scandal against revived, iv. 5; materials for a libel on his conduct, 6; his case before the Synod, 14; supported by the profane of the place, but deserted by the "godly and serious," id.; continual offences of, 221.

Mr H., presented to Cambuslang, iv. 5; settled at Linton, 82; rabbling at, 167, 188, 273.

Findlay, Dr Robert, iii. 257, note.

Findlayson, Mr Alexander, town-clerk of Glasgow in 1725, iii. 214.

Mr Francis, minister at Kilmarnock, his death, i. 237.

Fisher, Mr Henry, a minister in South Carolina, his views on Psalmody, iv. 174.

Fishing, Company for the promotion of, proposed at Glasgow, but unsuccessfully, iii. 233.

Fleckfield, Mr David, minister of Balfon, his death, iv. 62.

Fleet, Virginia, captured by the French in 1710, i. 315.

Fleming, Mr Robert, minister at Cambuslang, and afterwards of the Scotch Congregation at Rotterdam, not banished but "outed," i. 170; pastor of a congregation in London in 1711, 339; notice of by Mr Stirling, iii. 126; anecdote of, 362; Dr Watts' opinion of, 206.

Thomas, his death, under peculiar circumstances, ii. 66.

Fletcher, Mr, made a Lord of Session, iii. 155.

Flint, Mr John, minister at Edinburgh, ii. 122; his death, iv. 100.

Flood, great land, in Scotland, in September 1712, the water covering the roads, and washing the crops off the ground; effects of at Glasgow and elsewhere, ii. 90.

Forbes, Bishop, addicted to profane swearing, iii. 315.

Mr Duncan, a minister in the North, iii. 125; iv. 44, 128, 258.

Mr Duncan, Lord Advocate in 1725; his overbearing conduct at Glasgow, on occasion of the Shawfield riots, iii. 216, et seq.

Mr John, of Aberdeen, iii. 194.

Forbes, Mr, Professor of Law in the University of Glasgow, iii. 333, 429.

Fordyce, Mr, of Aberdeen; his anecdote of John Card, with his account of the minister near Fraserburgh who denounced the man who mocked him, ii. 175; iv. 15.

Foreman, author of a Letter to Patluey; some account of, iii. 233.

Forgland, Lord of Session, iii. 364.

Fork, Mr, minister at Kilellan, his case, iii. 130; declines the visitation of the Presbytery, 148; 185; visitation of, by a committee of his brethren; obviously insane, yet the people adhere to him; preaches seven hours at a time, 210; his conversation with his brethren, 279; his continued obstinacy, 370; suspended for contumacy, 449; his death in 1727, 466.

Forrester, Mr Thomas, followed the Bishops with reluctance, ii. 157, 284.

Forret, Mr David, turned from Episcopacy and Arminianism, by Mr Alexander Henderson, ii. 116.

Forster, Mrs, iii. 18.

Foster, Mr, minister at Calder, his death, iv. 278.

Fountainhall, Lord, his account of a story then in circulation about the marriage of Robert the Second, in opposition to Buchanan, ii. 51.

Fowler, Mr, his views on the Millennium, i. 276.

Foyer, Mr, his case, i. 210; loses his eye-sight, 258; his death, 314.

France, King of, i. 1; breach between, and Spain, iii. 193; extreme poverty of, in 1725, 231; political relations of, in 1726, 260.

Fraser, James, Esq., agent for the Dominicans and Jansenists in France; his account of an interview with Pope Clement at Rome, ii. 379; states that there is a paper in the Bodleian Library criminating Queen Elizabeth with the Earl of Leicester, 380; account of an extraordinary conversation with Bishop Burnet and Lord Clarendon, after the Revolution, 382.

Mrs; Mr John Welsh dies in her house at London, iv. 17.

Freebairn, Bishop, iii. 414.

Mr D., his account of a difference among the Bishops in 1674, i. 327.

Freugh, Lady, anecdote of, iii. 197.
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Fullarton, Mr Andrew: his anecdotes of King William and other distinguished persons, including the Dukes of Atholl and Hamilton, Lord Belhaven, and Mr Carstares, i. 264; celebrates the Sacrament at Paisley according to the English way, 313; his account of the English Service, ii. 155.

Fulton, Hugh, surgeon in Glasgow, his death, iii. 475.

Fund, Sinking, instituted by Sir Robert Walpole, iii. 488.

G.

Gallas, Count de, Envoy from Germany, dismissed by the Queen, i. 366.

Galt, Mary, of Eastwood, her case, i. 93.

Games of Chance, Assembly proposes to interdict, ii. 231.

Gamesters, club of, at Edinburgh, iii. 486.

Gardener at the Craig of Blantyre, who discriminates diseases by the inspection of the urine, i. 260.

Gardiner, Colonel, some account of, iii. 198.

Garnes, a Bourignon, his history, i. 371; iii. 174.

Garscadden, Colquhoun of, iv. 204.

Gatherer, Bishop, ii. 378-388; iii. 174; his irregularities and intollerance, 422.

Gaudy, Mr, appointed to Lady Yester's Church, iv. 104, 138.

Geneva, conduct of Turretin at, iv. 149.

Gentlemen, Scottish, becoming loose in their notions of religion and morality, iii. 413.

Gibraltar, affair of, iii. 143.

Gilmour, Mr, ii. 125.

Gillagie, Bailie, of Glasgow, his treatment of Mr Ralph Rodger, never prospered afterwards, iii. 46.

Gillespie, Mr David, i. 47.

Mr George, his death in 1648, i. 83, 154, 155; his Life by Mr Stirling, iii. 109; his behaviour at the Westminster Assembly, 110; assisted in the compilation of the Confession of Faith and the Catechisms, 111; called the Malleus Maleficientum, 112; his writings, 112; his views on the constitution of Church Courts, iv. 73.

Mr Patrick, his history, born in 1617, died at Leith in 1675, i. 168; his reason impaired, and his habits intemperate in later life, his gifts and manner of preaching, ii. 3.

Gillies, Mr Neill, i. 67; his extraordinary prayer in the Tron Church of Glasgow, ii. 336; anecdotes of, iv. 45.

Gilmour, Sir John, his speech on the Marquis of Argyle's trials, ii. 145.

a "Glasgow youth," some account of, i. 349.

Glanderston, his account of Mr Williamson's illness, i. 12.

Glasgow, suffers much from the capture of the fleet going to Holland in 1709, i. 218; College of, 236; uncleanness and immorality of the soldiers lying at, in 1711, 323; magistrates of, unkind to the author, ii. 42; claim the patronage of Port-Glasgow, 105; number of ships employed in the tobacco trade of, in 1723, 392; state of religion and morality at, in 1724, iii. 129; neglect of discipline, and general backsliding in, 130; municipal election in 1724, great struggle in the Council, Provost Aird and his friend, Campbell of Shawfield, opposed by Campbell of Blythswood and his faction, 166; decline of sound doctrine among the Students of Divinity at the College of, 169; many young men go to Edinburgh in consequence, 172; more on the same subject, 178-181, 182; clubs in, in 1725, 183; their effect upon religion, id.; confusions on the choosing of a Rector for the College of, 185; riots at, about the malt-tax; Campbell of Shawfield's house attacked and pillaged; the military called out, and several people shot; the magistrates accused of remissness; imprisoned by the Advocate, who refuses bail; sent to the Castle of Edinburgh, but liberated by order of the Justiciary Court, 210, ad pagin, 223; communion at, in November 1725; rational doctrines taught
Glasgow, Earl of, mentions a proposal for building a Scotch Church in London, ii. 182.

Port, dispute respecting the settlement of a minister at, iv. 298; the magistrates of Glasgow, as lords paramount, claim the right of presentation absolutely, which is contested, on the plea of a previous promise to the town of Port-Glasgow, 229, et seq.; Mr Moodie presented by the town of Glasgow, but Mr David Brown preferred, 263, 270; presentation to, falls by the jus devolutorum into the hands of the Presbytery, 281; Mr Brown's call sustained, 283; Mr Brown settled at, 293.

Glass, Mr, minister at Kinclaven, preaches against the covenants, iii. 323; Independent in his principles, 337; ordered to be prosecuted by the Synod, 449; breaks the sentence of suspension, and resolves to become an Independent, iv. 3; his decline in popularity, 135.

Glassford, parish of, settlement of Mr M'Taggart at, in 1726; opposition to, by idle persons, iii. 366; division in the Presbytery, as to the settlement, 367; ordained at Chapleton in consequence of the threats of his opponents, 308.

Glassites, origin of the sect, iii. 323, note.

Glen, Mrs, of Glasgow, her great trade in silks and Hollands, falls, iv. 86.

Glencairn, Earl of, chancellor, his agony on account of the state of the Kirk, i. 66; imprisoned in Cromwell's time, and visited by Mr William Guthrie, who offers him assistance, 283; applies afterwards, in 1663, to Fairfoul, Bishop of Glasgow, in Mr Guthrie's behalf, but unsuccessfully; his remarks on, 284; claims the patronage of Port-Glasgow, ii. 89; presents to, 105; his misconduct at Barrochan, 156.

Glendinning, Mr James, iii. 29.

Glengary, Lady, starved on a rock by order of her husband, iii. 426.

Laird of, his barbarous usage of his wife, iii. 426.

Glengeber, general meeting at, in October 1688, whereat many matters connected with the political and ecclesiastical state of Scotland were discussed, i. 181.

Glenlee, Lady, her death, l. 304.

Gloucester, Duke of, his death, i. 2.

Godolphin, Lord-Treasurer, surrenders the white staff.
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in August 1710, i. 292; his death and character, ii. 100; friendly to the institution of a Council of State for Scotland after the Union, iii. 308.

Goodman, (Gauden,) the transcriber of the MS. of the Icon Basiliké, i. 293.

Goodwin, his idea of the value of study to a preacher, ii. 205.

Gorbals, ii. 55; goods resettled in the house of a person in, iii. 185; fire in, 209.

New Kirk in, finished, iv. 94; dispute between Mr Coats, the minister of Govan, and the magistrates of Glasgow, about, 95; subscription in Glasgow for a minister's stipend to, 193, 202; contest respecting the New Church in, 220.

Gordon, Mr Alexander, minister at Inverary, his account of the personal and private habits of the Marquis of Argyle, i. 22; his dream, as related by Mr Muir, ii. 108.

Captain, brother to the Earl of Sutherland, iii. 315.

Duchess of, a zealous Papist, iii. 502; keeps a dispensary in the Canongate of Edinburgh, for the use of the poor, 523.

Duke of, his behaviour after his pardon in 1716; favours Popery, and a great hinderer of the Reformation, iii. 308; lets his lands to Papists exclusively, 309; his death in 1728, iv. 20; his son educated in the Protestant faith, 21.

Mr, of Ardoch, his unseemly contest with the Moderator of the Commission, iv. 239.

Mr James, minister at Cardross, his experience when in Ireland, i. 41; his gift of prophecy, 42; the singularity of his texts, 43; his death, 44; his conduct at the siege of Der- ry, 124; his presentment of his death, 125; ii. 108.

Mr John, surgeon at Glasgow, iii. 248.

Mr, minister at Alford, iv. 246.

Mr, of Kirkmichael, his account of Mr Peden, ii. 85.

Mr, his "Popery no Christianity," ii. 324; his personal appearance and mysterious history, iii. 424; suspected to be a Jesuit in disguise, 425.

Mr, "son to Provost Gordon," his forcible settlement at Old Deer in 1711, i. 328.

Goudy, Mr, iv. 296.

Govan, Corresponding Society of, ii. 55.

Mr John, minister of Campsie, his death and character, iv. 77.

John, younger of Mains, assaulted and robbed, iii. 475.

Mr T., minister of the Scotch Congregation at Leyden, his learning, and the laborious preparation of his sermons, ii. 380.

Graa, his death, i. 373; his history, ii. 49.

Graham, Anne, of Eastwood, her experience, i. 92; iii. 136.


Mr James, minister at Dunfermline, iii. 350.

Mr, of Airth; his controversy with the Presbytery of Stirling, iii. 408; obtains an interdict against, from the Court of Session, 409.

Richard, attends an Episcopal meeting-house in Glasgow, iv. 8.

James, of Glengyle, otherwise Gregor McGregor, his black thigh, iv. 185.

Mr John, a scholar and a recluse, who died at Glasgow in 1729, iv. 85; money concealed by, for forty years, 108.

Granard, Forbes, Lord, iv. 231; has the settlement of the Crown revenues in Ireland in the reign of Charles II., id.; begins the regium dominium, 222.

Grange, Lord of Session, made Justice-Clerk in 1710, i. 291; correspondence of, with the Lord-Treasurer on the keeping of the thanksgiving of June 1713, ii. 227; his anecdote of the Duke of Queensberry, 376; his account of Dr Pitcairn's apparition, 379; letter of Dr Isaac Watts to, iii. 206; his interview with Mr Simpson and others, 207; complains of the prevalent style of preaching, id.; remarks of, on the introduction to the Confession of Faith, 208; complains of being misrepresented to the Government, 306; his account of a woman who was possessed, 307, 329; his opinion on the East Calder case, 358; his advice to the presbyteries, 359, 362, 379, 386, 397, 398; carries an Address from the Lords of Session to London in 1727, 404; his opinion of the Jacobites, 410; his conversation with Lord Chancellor King, 437; with Lord Townsend, 438; his anecdote of the Princess of Wales and Dr Waterland, 439; his account of the Dissenting
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Clergy in London, 460; of Principal Chambers, 484; his usefulness in the Assembly of 1728, 498; papers affixed to his door, 510; remonstrance of the commissioner with, 511; receives Mr Nisbet's Diary, and other papers, 518; his account of Lord Townsend, iv. 141; of Lord Somers, 142; of the designs of Walpole and the Court on the Church of Scotland, 144; of Queen Caroline, id.; of King George II., 145; of the Pretender, id.; of the Dissenting interest in London; and of the mode of holding a Bed of Justice in France, 146; of the adoption by the French King of the Bull Unigenitus, 147; of the pietism of Monzie and others, 148; unhappy breach in his family; accused of infidelity by his wife, who separates from him, 165; goes to London, 227; his views on popular election, 254.

Grant, Sir Francis, one of the Lords of Session, his death and character, iii. 281.

Sir John, iii. 484.

Laird of, ii. 31.

Mr, of Aberdeen, opposes the malt-tax in Parliament, iii. 283.

Mr, minister of Auchinleck, falls heir to a considerable fortune, iv. 107; law-plea concerning, 184.

Mr William, afterwards Lord Prestongrange, made clerk of Assembly in 1731, iv. 234.

Gray, Mr, i. 6.

Mr, of Glasgow, his controversy with Andrew Watson, a M'Millanite, ii. 261; his great popularity as a preacher, 361.

Mr, minister at Glasgow in 1725, opposed Mr Wishart, iii. 247-254; elected Dean of Faculty in 1727, 289; his death and character, iv. 82.

Mr Andrew, died in 1656, i. 168; life by Mr Stirling, iii. 54; anecdote of, 397.

Mr, agent in London for the Scotch Episcopalians, ii. 378.

Mr Andrew, called to Tillicoultry, iv. 97; his settlement at New-Kilpatrick, 197; his ordination, 216.

Mr J., presented by the patron to the parish of Airth, but objected to by certain of the people, because they held presentations to be contrary to Presbyterian principles, iii. 408.

Grays, Scots, their origin, Stair's Grey Horse, iii. 198.

Greenholm, his daughter guilty of murder, put to death privately, i. 237.

Greenock, separate presbytery proposed for, i. 302.

Greenshields, Mr, his case, with the Queen's answer, i. 211, 228; an act of toleration to be found ed on, 201, 312; remarks on his affair, 321; Presbytery of Edinburgh protests against, 322; excisions of Scotch members in favour of, 326, 328.

Gregory, Mr, professor at Edinburgh, iv. 215.

Groningen, disputes in the University of, iii. 305.

Grosvenor, Mr, made a Doctor of Divinity by the College of Glasgow, iv. 167, 191.

Grotius, his papers bought by the Socinians after his death, and his commentaries mutilated, ii. 341.

Guiscard, his attack on Harley's life, i. 322.

Gullen, Mr John, designed by Lockhart of Carnwath for the Scottish Primacy; a nomination displeasing to the older members of the prelatic body, iii. 377; said to be the author of "Carnwath's Memoirs," 378; beats in the Episcopal College concerning, 413; the bishops will not consecrate him, 415.

Gustard, Mr, made one of the Royal Chaplains, iii. 454.

Guthrie, Mr, of Irongray, his peculiar sympathies, ii. 85.

Mr James, minister at Stirling, his nose bleeds violently in the pulpit, i. 63; excommunicates the Earl of Middleton, 106; entering Edinburgh, meets the hangman; impression made on his mind by that incident, 107; his behaviour in prison, 108; his execution and burial, 109, 108; his legal knowledge remarkable, ii. 137; his interview with the Marquis of Argyle before that nobleman's execution, 138; "at first of the Episcopal way," 158; his son William, 230; history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 92; beset by a mob, 193.

Mr John, minister of Tarbolton, history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 70.

Mr William, minister at Fenwick, his conversation with the curate who preached his kirk vacant, i. 47; foretells the manner of his own and of Mr James Guthrie's death, id.; expelled from his parish in 1664, 163; died in 1665, 169, 299; idolized at Fenwick,
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300; his dream, when a student, about a kirk, verified, ii. 62; his great success as a preacher, 64; his prayer at Sir Daniel Carmichael's, 140; history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 66; his division of the members of Assembly, 193; anecdote of, iv. 271.

Guyse, Mr, iii. 460.

H.

HADDIN, (Haldane,) Mr Peter, iii. 144; made a Commissioner of Excise, 153; spoken of as a successor to Lord Collen, 290.

Haddow, Professor at St Andrews; his account of the "outed clergy," and of the practices of "one Strachan," of Archdeacon Waddell, and of a Mr Forsyth, who read the Liturgy to their several congregations, ii. 50; his answer to the "Sober Inquiry," iii. 236; burnt to death in his room, 409.

Hail, extraordinary shower of, in 1716, ii. 334.

Hairsborough, Lady, sister to Sir James Carmichael, suspected of witchcraft, i. 323.

Halifax, Lord, speaks against toleration, ii. 7.

Hall, Mr Gilbert, minister of Kirkliston, his illness, ii. 361; his preaching, 371; his appearance, 372; history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 112.

Sir James, turns a burial-place of the Earl of Hume into a stable, ii. 51.

Robert, accident that befell him at Inchinnan, i. 237.

Thomas, iii. 6.

Halliday, Mr, of Belfast, his objections to the obligations of the Confession of Faith in baptism, ii. 369; iii. 467; prefers the Baxterian to the Calvinistic scheme, iv. 57.

Hamilton, Mr Alexander, minister at Lochwinnoch, his death, iii. 22.

Mr Alexander, one of the Marrow brethren, his case, iii. 356.

Mr Alexander, minister of Edinburgh, some account of, iv. 266.

Mr Andrew, minister at Kilbarchan, iii. 22; deposed for drunkenness; a son of the house of Millhouse in Kilbaldy, 29.

Mr Archibald, his account of the Earl of Linlithgow, ii. 171; his anecdote of Mr How, 172.

Hamilton, Bishop, his speech about Mr Gilbert Hall, and his own subsequent illness, ii. 361.

Duchess of, her dream, i. 10; rumour that she had renounced Presbytery, ii. 320; communicates to Lord Clarendon materials for his History, iv. 299; produces the commission to her father from Charles I., for obtaining 10,000 stand of arms from Holland, 300.

Duke of, his visit to Scotland on King William's death, i. 15; posts Angus's regiment near the enemy, 192; rebuked by the King, 193; in conjunction with the Duke of Queensberry and the Marquis of Athol, is for "the highest pitch of Presbytery," 197; remarks of, on the Union, 318; voted out of the House of Peers as Duke of Brandon, ii. 1; his death; effects of, on public politics, 329; his ambition, 320; marriage of his successor, 372; Duke William assures Mr Fraser that the warrant for the execution of the Earl of Loudon, granted by Charles I., was among his papers at Hamilton, 385; Duke James confirms this account, 384; remarks of, on Mr John Carstres' prayer, iii. 48; misbehaviour of the troops of, at Carphairn commotion, in 1648, 149; made Knight of the Thistle, 310; his dispute with Sir Robert Walpole in 1727, 431; his marriage to an heiress, 432, 437; peremptory in the presentation of Mr Findlater to Cambuslang, iv. 5; his bailie reads the riot-act at the Kirk of Shotts, 10.

Dr, physician to Queen Anne, and author of "The Private Christian's Witness," becomes an Episcopalian, ii. 149.
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Hamilton, Marquis of, his remonstrance with Charles I., on the order for the execution of the Earl of Loudon, ii. 383.

Mr J., Dean of Faculty in the College of Glasgow in 1727, iii. 405; Vice-Chancellor of, in 1729, iv. 2.

Mr James, minister at Edinburgh, his sufferings when outed, i. 91.

Sir James, iii. 431.

Mr John, minister at Strathaven, called to Edinburgh, but dropped, i. 287.

Mr P., deposed for drunkenness in 1645, refused admission in 1648, because of a libel on the Assembly, i. 165.

Mr R. W., his peculiar sermon, ii. 47.

Mr William, minister at Glassford, notice of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 128.

Mr William, loses his office of Almoner to the King, iii. 390.

Mr, of Bordonie, iv. 160.

Mr, minister at Innerkip, iii. 10.

Mr, of Kinkell, his intimacy with Mr John Webh, iv. 12.

Mr, of Whitelaw, iv. 250.

Mrs, her story of a dead woman who returned partially to life, i. 118.

Parish of, its deplorable state, iv. 5; servant-maid to, who succeeds to a large fortune, 109.

Presbytery of, its conduct in reference to the Separatists, ii. 241.

Professor of Divinity in Edinburgh, ii. 142, 203, 290; his opinion on the doctrine of the Trinity, 302; his views on presentations and patronage, 490, 491; his account of the state of religious feeling in England in 1728, iv. 13; his correspondence with Mr Simson on the Personality of Christ, 48; desires a church in Edinburgh, in conjunction with the Principality, 104, 138; doubts respecting his soundness, 139; his intimacy with the English Dissenters, id.; project for conferring the Hebrew professorship on, 213, 245, 296.

Town of, great immorality and vice in, iii. 335.

Hammer, Sir Thomas, against patronage, ii. 33.

Hanover, Electoral Prince of, i. 286; offered the place of Captain-General, 293; affairs of, ii. 68; remarks of the Elector of, on the bill of patronage, 174.

Harley, Mr Secretary, his accession to power; is assisted by Argyle, Queensberry, and others of the Scottish Nobility; rumours of his intentions as a minister of the Crown, and effects of, on foreign politics, i. 286; proposes to visit Scotland, 290; a great but mysterious man, 293; his influence at court increases, 312; rumoured accommodation of, with Marlborough, 316; attempt of Guiseard on, 322; his wound mends pace; gets more into the confidence of the Queen, is to be made Earl of Oxford and Mortimer when Parliament meets; his habits; "takes a bottle," but is moral, and never fails to pray with his family, 324; difference with Bolingbroke, ii. 109; Mr Redpath's account of; makes "a stalking-horse" of his religion, 216; Lord Oxford; his conversation with Principal Stirling on the Presbyterian Establishment, iii. 291; his mean opinion of Rutherford, 292.

Harleys, a family of cheats, who lived at Cotmuir, i. 272.

Harrington, author of the Oceana, strange delusion of, i. 31.

Hart, Mr, ii. 125; made King's Almoner, iii. 320.

Mr James, minister at Retho, his death, iv. 62.

William, printer to Redpath and Burnet, pilloried, ii. 230.

Hartwood, Lady, her anecdote of the Stewarton carrier, whose house was burnt, ii. 253; Laird of, iii. 219.

Harvest of 1725 late, but well got in, iii. 235; of 1727, early, 435.

Harvey, Principal, iii. 192, 193.

Mr Thomas, iv. 28.

Hastie, Mr, anecdotes respecting Mr Honeyman and others, i. 37, 363; iii. 18.

Hattrick, John, surgeon in Glasgow, attempts suicide under religious depression, ii. 370.

Hay, Mr, minister at Kilsyth; his death, i. 280.

Sir Charles; his house struck with lightning, which hurt every one but his lady—"a good woman," ii. 8.

Mr James; remarkable conversation of, with Mr Langlands, ii. 33.

Priest, the supper at his mother's, where the
INDEX.

Duke of Queensberry offers to renounce his religion, ii. 370.

Hay, Mr, minister at Renfrew, deposed for drunkenness, iii. 37.

Mr, the Pretender's Catamite, iv. 145.

Heinsius, Pensionary, his death, iii. 431.

Henderson, Mr Abraham, examination of, by the Duke of Newcastle, in re, the defence of the Magistrates of Glasgow, iii. 249.

Mr Alexander, converts Mr Wood, i. 29; some account of, 190; last letter to the King, 165; his death, 357; converts Messrs Wood and Forret from Episcopacy, ii. 116; account of his death by Sir James Stewart, iii. 209.

John, his suicide, iii. 28.

Mr Andrew, history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 83.

Hepburn, Mr, i. 289; commissioner from the societies in the south and west, ii. 33, 125; his death, 1723, 378; one of the Marrow brethren in 1726, iii. 320; his case before the Presbytery of Auckernard, iv. 182.

Heritors, mode of calling by, leads to great abuses, i. 260.

Herron, Laird of, his letter respecting the bill on patronage, iv. 206.

Heydager, a German, who introduces masquerades into London, iii. 443.

Hicks, Dr, iii. 422.

Highlanders, cruelties committed by, in the town of Crieff in 1715, ii. 212.


Hill, Mr, minister at Holywood; his great depression of spirits, iv. 11, 63.

Samuel, opposes Atterbury, i. 8.

Hilton, Laird of, prophecy regarding his death, ii. 154.

History, the Impartial; an answer to Dr Burnet and Mr Wodrow, iii. 291; authors of, id.

Scots, institution of a society for the promotion of, iii. 142.

Hoddy, Mr, burnt in effigy by the mob in 1710, i. 229.

Hodges, Mr James, becomes insane before his death, ii. 214.

Hogg, Mr James, minister at Carnock; insulted in his church, ii. 377; iv. 128; attacks Mr Telfair's sermon, 134.

Mr Thomas, minister at Kiltarl; his rebuke of a scoffing factor, who dies shortly after, i. 265; sends a message to the Prince of Orange by Polwarth; had a pension from King William, 267; history of, ii. 162, 171; diligent in the prosecution of witches, id.; his interview with King James II. in London, who grants him permission to retire to Holland, 284; minister at Rotterdam in 1719, 334.

Holland, spread of Cocceianism in, in 1714, ii. 283; state of, in 1718, 334; extension of the Gospel in, iii. 156; club of Atheists in, 427; consisted chiefly of Scotchmen, 452; criminal jurisdiction of, iv. 156; standing army of; wealth of the clergy; sodomy prevails in, 157; extension of Deism, 158; manner of receiving the sacrament in, 169; marriages in, 231; use white wine at the communion table, 269.

Home-Glen, stone found in a crow's nest at, ii. 87.

Honnaard, Van, Cocceian Professor at Leyden, iii. 433.

Honnyman, Mr Andrew, i. 169.

Bishop, originally a Presbyterian, and wrote against Episcopacy, i. 37; his dreadful death, 64; ii. 118, 325.

Hopetous, Earl of, iii. 200.

Hopper, Mr, presented to Montrose, iv. 274; his presentation refused by the Presbytery, id.

Hornbeck, Pensionary of Holland, his intercourse with Lord Sinclair, iii. 231.

Horse, a, at Finlayston, 48 years old, iii. 452.

Horsely, Mr, his account of the Non-conformists, and of the Church of England, in 1725, iii. 201; desires to lecture on Experimental Philosophy in Glasgow, but opposed by the magistrates and professors, 255; his account of the theological attainments of the English Bishops in 1731, iv. 268.

Hottentots, their language, as examined by Professor Reeland, different from all known languages; their brutishness, iii. 266.

Houses, meeting, their origin in Scotland, iii. 147; increase of Episcopalian, in Aberdeenshire, 422.

Houston, Mr David, his rescue, i. 178; predicts the approach of Turcism, 196; his capture, ii. 61.

Sir John, sees a live toad taken out of a stone, i. 217; his death and character, ii. 328.

Lady, the daughter of Lord Melforth, i. 2.

Sir Patrick, iii. 6.

Sir Ludovic, iii. 8.
Houston, Mr, stands on the Tory interest for West Lothian in 1713, iii. 246.
How, Mr, anecdote of, ii. 172; his powers of prayer, iii. 303.
Huet, M., Bishop of Aenaches, of Scotch descent, name originally Houet, iii. 267.
Hunter, Mr A., his mother assured of his salvation at his birth, i. 71.
Mr of Ayr, iv. 19; his remissness, 63.
Mr Henry, minister of Mearns, his death in 1731, iv. 298.
Mr J., ii. 107; iii. 398.
Mr Robert, possessed a manuscript copy of Mr Robert Blair's Commentary on the Proverbs, iii. 484.
Huntly, Gordon of, his interview with Charles I., and his explanation of the circumstances attending the Earl of Murray's murder, and the burning of Dunbarzel House, ii. 381.
Hutcheson, Mr Francis, of Dublin, invited by the College of Glasgow to supply Mr Carmichael's place as Professor of Moral Philosophy, iv. 99; enters on his duties, 184, 185; his inaugural discourse, 186 190; his parentage, 231; influence of his grandfather with Lord Granard, 232; procures a reversion of six hundred pounds a-year, to be paid to the dissenting and Presbyterian clergy of Ireland in the reign of Charles II., which was the beginning of the regium donum, id. ; his farther proposes, in the year 1712, to enrol a body of volunteers for the Hanoverian interest, 233; his account of Irish politics in 1731, 298.
Hutcheson, Mr George, minister at Edinburgh, his account of Honyman's apostacy, i. 37; originally Arminian, converted by Mr David Dickson, ii. 119; his character as a divine, 344; his Life by Mr Stirling, iii. 12; accompanies Mr Wood to Breda in 1650, 14; his sudden death in 1674, id. 168.
Mr James, minister at Carmunnock, ejected from his living, and goes to England, i. 130.
—— son of, his history, latterly minister at Kilkellan, i. 130; his conversation with the author, 131; iii. 8; his history by Mr Stirling, 37.
Hutton, Dr, tutor to Sir Harry Sidney, the Earl of Leicester's son; his connection with the Prince of Orange; is sent to London from the Hague, to gain intelligence of the Queen's pregnancy; gets an account of the Queen's miscarriage from the Countess of Clarendon; the reasons why King William did not institute a Parliamentary inquiry into the circumstances of the Pretender's birth, ii. 214, 215.
Parish of, iv. 127; violent settlement at, 167.
Hyndford, Earl of, his cure for the toothache, i. 290; his death, 296.

I.

INCEPIARISM in the Upper Ward of Lanarkshire in 1731, iv. 294.
Inclusions, much land inclosed in 1724, and many families dispossessed. consequences of, iii. 153.
Influenza, epidemic in Europe in the winter of 1729, iv. 97.
Innes, Father, his inquiries in the Advocates' Library, iii. 316; his opinion of the Reformed Churches, 517.
Inquiry, "The Sober," a book by Mr Rutherfurd, iii. 236.
Interdicts against the Presbytery of Paisley, forbidding the settlement of a minister at Port-Glasgow, on the call of the people, iv. 282, 283; declared by the Synod of Glasgow and Ayr to be an encroachment on the rights of the Church, 292; against the Presbytery of Montrose, 274; to be argued before the Bench, 275; one granted against the Presbytery of Paisley, 276.
Interdicts against the Presbytery of Stirling, at the instance of the patron of Airth, in 1727, iii. 408.
Intruders, Episcopalian, "on Churches," at the be-
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ginning of the eighteenth century, iv. 64, 137.

Invasion, alarm of, from France, i. 212, 220, 278, 306, 321; threatened by Spain in 1718, ii. 332; the country defenceless, and torn by party divisions, 333.

Ireland, Mr Bruce's prophecy respecting, ii. 60; humour in, about the copper money, iii. 164.

Isla, Earl of, his answer to the English "Tory Duke," i. 262; his pique at Marlborough, 293; his appearance in Scotland in 1713; alleged cause of, ii. 208; his bill for the regulation of the Court of Session, iii. 144; visit to Scotland in 1725, 226, 261, 316, 318, 329; desires to be made Secretary for Scotland; reasons for and against such an appointment, 273; heads the royal visitation of the College of Glasgow, in 1726, 329; his object in visiting Glasgow on that occasion, 333; President and Deputy-Governor of the Royal Bank, 426, 429; his activity at the general election of 1727, 436; anecdote of, 439, 441; recommends moderation in Mr Drummond's case, 444, 485; his great intimacy with Sir Robert Walpole, 489; little intimacy between him and his brother; his great influence at Court, iv. 69; promises his assistance to the magistrates of Glasgow, 75; his influence in Edinburgh, 76; rumour of an intended bill by, on patronage, 203, 260; resolves to determine between parties in the case of the West Kirk of Edinburgh, 278.

J.

Jack, Mr, minister at Carluke; his remarkable success in his parish, ii. 374.

Jacobites, i. 1; strength of their party in England, 257; "very uppish," in 1710, 286; many among Harley's associates, 293; reflections on, 304; Parliamentary, mostly from Scotland, 311; oppose Harley in the October Club, 319, ii. 126; excited, after the accession of King George, and calculate on foreign diversions in their favour, 295; spread of Jacobitism during the last four years of Queen Anne's reign, 300; treatment of the ministers of the North by, in 1715, 302; their growing influence in 1724, iii. 145; impugns the accuracy of the author's History, 146: very busy in spreading disaffection, 153; their opinion of the Advocate's severities in 1725, 226; their condition in France and Holland, 231; Lord Sinclair and Lord George Murray, 232; danger of too extensive pardons to, id.; their hopes from the Emperor and the King of Spain, 240; their intrigues in 1727, 373; some promoted and others pardoned, 374; their allegation against the Duke of Argyll, 375; dissatisfied with the Pretender, 410; many of the Jacobite Peers did not vote at the election of 1727, 441; adopt the English Service, and pray for King George, iv. 19; consequences of, 20.

Jamsen, Mr Alexander, his election to a regency at St Andrews, i. 140; subsequently minister at Govan; history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 75.

Mr George, his adultery, i. 302.

Matthew, his account of his mother-in-law, ii. 319.

Mr William, his conversation with Mr Simson in 1728, iv. 11.

Mr William, his death at Glasgow in 1720, ii. 342.

Mr, i. 4.

Jedburgh, Lord, his conversion, i. 11; anecdote of, 23.

Jekyll, Sir Joseph, iii. 208, 234, 260.

Jervy, Mr, Scotch Minister at Campvere, in Holland, iv. 264.

Jerviswood, Laird of, i. 192.

Jesuits, their manner of preaching in France, iii. 267.

Johnston, Dr, Professor of Medicine in the College of Glasgow, iii. 353.

John, an eminent Christian in Paisley, i. 326.
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Johnston, Reverend John, the associate of Melville at St Andrews, some account of, i. 120.

Mr Samuel, ii. 128.

Secretary, his great intimacy with Harley, i. 294; designs a breach between the King and the Church, ii. 2; his account of his father's papers, 218; his remarks on King James' minority, 210; his opinion of the peace, 220; "cracked in the head," iii. 206; gives the Registers of the Church to Mr Kelpath, to be conveyed to Scotland, id.

Johnston, Mr, curate at Turreff, anecdote of, ii. 316.

Jordanhill, iii. 259.

Judges, jealousies between young and old, iv. 297.

Junkeson, Mr his affair before the Commission, iii. 429.

Jurius, Secretary to the Royal Society, iii. 200.

Justiciary, Lords of, review the proceedings of the Regality Courts; summoned before the Privy Council, iv. 170; Court held at Glasgow for the trial of the Kilpatrick rioters, 262.

K.

Karkettle, Mr C. H., a Lithuanian Student of Scotch descent, his grandfather having been minister of Humble in Lothian, iii. 327; his account of the state and constitution of the Protestant Church in Poland, 328.

Keir, his apprehension and imprisonment in 1727, iii. 436; attends an Episcopal meeting-house at Glasgow, iv. 8.

Keith, Mr R., notice of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 125.

Keltso, Mrs, her extraordinary family history, one of her sons executed for the murder of his brother, iii. 163; her daughter drowns herself, 181.

Kemp, Mr, his account of Mr Wright's Dissenting congregation in London, iii. 371.

Kenmure, Viscount, his unwillingness to join the rebels in 1715, ii. 310; warned by Mr Ewart not to do so, iii. 195.

Kenn, Bishop, his death, i. 325.

Kennedy, Mr Gilbert, his account of the state of the Presbyterian Church in the North of Ireland in 1721, ii. 351; sufferings of, 366.

Mr John, of Thornton, his great age and piety, iv. 283.

Mrs Elizabeth, suddenly cured of stone in the bladder, ii. 354.

Kerr, Mr James, a minister in the Morse, seventy years a minister, i. 273; lived in the reign of James VI., ii. 240.

Mr James, a merchant in Glasgow, his reverses of fortune, ii. 274.

Kerr, Lord John, son of, killed by the Duke of Douglas, iii. 208.

Judge, his experience, i. 59; a sleep-walker in his youth, 115; iii. 14.

Sir Thomas, of Fernlees, his story, as given in "Satan's Invisible World," ii. 87.

Keyl, Matthew, his account of Mr James Stirling, i. 216.

Kid, Mr Peter, minister of Douglas, notice of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 120.

Kilbride, ii. 111.

Killellan, settlement of, iv. 7, 27, 263, 264, 270.

Killicrankie, ii. 60.

Kilmahew, Napier of, iii. 295.

Kilmalcolm, parish of, effects of lightning in, in 1710, i. 283.

Kilmanan, Graham of, iv. 204.

Kilmarnock, Laird of, iv. 204.

Kilmarnock, Laird of, a Member of Parliament, i. 320.

Lord, account by, of the discovery of a murder at Dumfries, i. 294; his kind treatment of the magistrates of Glasgow on their journey to Edinburgh, iii. 229; rumours concerning, iv. 26.

Kilmours, unpopular settlement at; consequences of, iv. 272.

Kilpatrick, Mr, Presbyterian minister of Belfast, iii. 468.

New, riot at, on a Sabbath morning, iv. 204; rioters tried, 262.
Kilpatrick, Old, parish of, difficulty in the settlement of, iii. 478; Mr Millar presented to, 479; difficulties concerning, 480; settlement of Mr Millar at, iv. 15.

Kilsyth, Viscountess of, relic of Dundee, her hatred of conventicles, her death at Rotterdam under distressing circumstances, ii. 250, id.; 263.

King, Bailie, of Glasgow, his death, iv. 16.

Charles I., his intimacy with Buckingham, his rudeness to the English nobility, and his uxoriousness, ii. 581; his reply to Gordon of Huntly, 282; reported by Burnet to have granted a warrant for the private execution of the Earl of Loudon in the Tower, id.; his intrigues with the Parliamentary leaders in 1647, discovered by Cromwell, 384.

Charles II., anecdote of his death-bed, i. 31; his remark on Popery, iii. 186.

George I., the proclamation of, ii. 290; accession of, a great blow to the Jacobites, id.; married to the Duchess of Munster, 329; growing unpopularity of, in Scotland, 392; his conduct in the affair of Mr Haldane, from which his reputation suffers, iii. 144; complains of the changeable temper of the English people, becomes insolent, and resigns himself wholly to Walpole, 156; his grant of £1000 yearly to the Commission of the Kirk, 201; difference between, and his son, 227; his death in 1727, 430; little regretted in England, 441.

George II., popularity of, iii. 441; will follow out the measure of his father, 459; his narrowness, 489; his ill-health in 1729, iv. 39, 44; of no account in the government, 61; parsimonious in his habits, 70; attends more to business, 97; is led by Sir Robert Walpole and the Queen, 145.

James II., reported to be dying, i. 5; his death at St Germain's, iii. 376.

James VI., his contest with Balmerino on the title of the Pope, i. 259; his character by Lord Clarendon, ii. 326; his original letters in the Bodleian Library, 380.

Margaret, her opinion of Mr M'Millan and the Separatists, i. 152.

Sir Peter, draws up the queries to the Episcopal bill, ii. 7; one of the most religious men in England, 291; his opinion of the state of affairs in 1714, and his description of the Whigs and Tories of that age, 328; peculiarities of his patent as Lord Chancellor, iii. 229, 234; his religious opinions, 437; objects to the prosecution of Papists in Scotland, 438; too deep in his uncle, Mr Locke's principles of toleration, id.

King Robert, his Confession of Faith, i. 309.

of Prussia, anecdote of, iv. 154.

Stanislaus, iii. 261.

William III., importance of his life to the Protestant interest, i. 2; his illness and death, 13; his dying injunctions to Queen Anne, 16; Mr Haste's account of, 29; his reply to the Bishop of Bristol, 78; his behaviour towards the Scottish troops, 203; an anecdote of, by Mr Carstares, 264; declares himself a Presbyterian, 304; anecdote of, and Sir William Cunningham, id.; his reasons for fighting the Battle of the Boyne, 374; anecdote of, and the Church of Scotland, iii. 189.

Kings, Indian, visit London in 1710, their account of the French Missionaries in Canada, i. 320.

Kincos, Mr, of Dundee, called to Edinburgh, iii. 522.

Kinross, parish of, disputes in, concerning Mr Craigie, iv. 78.

Kintyre, a blind man in, who "saw visions," iv. 110.

Kippen, John, ii. 60; his account of Mr Cant's children, 374.

Kirk, Major, conduct of, at the siege of Derry, i. 124.

Kirkaldy, Mr Thomas, of Dalserf, his character, iii. 172.

Kirkcudbright, Stewarty of, narrative of a journey into, by the author, in September 1712, ii. 81.

Kirkton, Mr James, his remarks on the Elector of Saxony, i. 50.

Knight, Dr, his intimacy with the Earl of Nottingham, iii. 460.

Knowledge, Sum of, the joint production of Messrs Dickson and Durham, iii. 10.

Knox, John, an eminent Christian in the 17th century, and a relation of the Laird of Ranfurly, iii. 21.

Kuster, Mr, turns Papist, ii. 283.
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L.

La-Chaize, Pere, his death, i. 175.
Lanark, ii. 55, 111; shire of, Justices of the Peace for, in 1728, iii. 454.
Lang, Margaret, burnt as a witch, i. 365.
Langlands, Mr R., remarkable prophecy of, ii. 33.
Langshaw, Laird of, expenditure of, on account of the Royal Family, ii. 317; attempt of the government to ensnare, 363; Lord Shaftesbury's opinion of, 390.
Lauderdale, Earl of, his death in 1710, i. 294; his dying roundelay, ii. 238; his remarks on Archbishop Sharp and the Duke of Argyle, 313; proposes greater liberty to the Presbyterian ministers in 1677, 341; disliked Sharp, and foretold his violent death, 333; anecdote of, on the death of Mr John Welsh, iv. 17.
Law, Mr, minister at Inchinnan, deposed for drunkenness, iii. 22.
Mr John, minister at Edinburgh, ii. 348.
Mr John, minister at Neilston, deposed for insufficiency, iii. 22.
of Lauriston, his "paper bubbles," ii. 350.
Mr Mungo, his account of the woman at Kirkaldy who was tempted by witches, ii. 134.
Mr, Professor in the College of Edinburgh, his death, iv. 23.
Lawrie, Mr James, minister at Dalrymple, his death in 1736, iii. 275.
Mr John, minister at Auchinleck, his death, i. 283.
Mr Robert, minister at Edinburgh, i. 170.
Mr Thomas, minister at Closeburn, deposed for adultery, i. 236.
Mr Thomas, minister at Lesmahago, iii. 10.
Lebaudin, Francis, his death at the age of 107, i. 175.
Leckie, Mrs, her criminal intercourse with Colonel Gardiner, iii. 199.
Le Clerc, ii. 283.
Lectures, origin of, as a part of public worship, ii. 290.
Legacies, numerous, fall to various individuals in the year 1730, iv. 106.
Leicester, Earl of, intrigue of, with Queen Elizabeth, ii. 380.
Leidocker, Mr, minister at Middleburgh, his answer to Brandt's History, ii. 334.
Leighton, Bishop, anecdote of, i. 26; an intimate friend of Mr James Guthrie, and at bottom a Presbyterian, 274; his personal habits, 397; suspected of Arianism, ii. 212; anecdote of, 348; his conduct as minister of Newbattle, 361; anecdote of, iii. 297; recommends Thomas-à-Kempis as the next best book to the Scriptures, 452.
Leith, Articles of, iii. 153; fire at, iv. 105.
Leily, Dr, his opinion of Mr Lather's book against Prolacy, iii. 203.
Mr, disturbed by a vision at the seat of the Earl of Tullibardin, i. 112.
Lesmahago, parish of, ii. 55; committee at, 284.
Levellers in 1724, a band of men who destroyed enclosures in Galloway and Nithsdale, iii. 152; generally broken tenants, dispossessed for arrears of rent, 157; increase of, work at night, 170-198; subdued, 210.
Leven, Earl of, turned out of all his places, ii. 67.
Liddell, Mr, Professor of Divinity at Glasgow, the raptures of his child, i. 115.
Limburn, succeeded at Amsterdam by Le Clerc, ii. 283.
Linden-Vander, Professor of Law at Leyden, his new edition of the Institutes, iii. 433.
Lindsay, Sir David, of the Mount; some account of, i. 119.
Dr, iv. 15.
Mr of Bothkinnar, iii. 408.
Linen, proposed introduction of the manufacture of, into Glasgow, iii. 319.
Linlithgow, Countess of; the history of her chamberlain, i. 3.
Mr Earl of, originally Episcopalian and Jacobite, but reclaimed; anecdote of his interview with the King, ii. 171.
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Linneg, Mr, lected for Edinburgh, but dropped, i. 287; his account of Mr Hepburn, 289; his story of the fatal green at Ardlincaple, 291; ii. 106; commissioned by the Non-jurors to visit the Court of Hanover in 1713, 238; made sub-dean of the chapel-royal in 1727, 444.

Linshaw, Montgomery of, Clerk to the Justiciary Court; remarkable thickness of the walls of his house, ii. 222.

Linton, rabbling at, on the settlement of Mr Findlater, iv. 167; more concerning, 188, 273.

Lithuania, Scottish families settled in, iii. 327; Protestant ministers in, constitution of their Church, and penalties against conversion to, 328.

Lithuanian collection, ii. 333.

Liturgy strongly recommended to the Scottish Episcopalians by the English Bishops, ii. 98; iii. 143.

Livingston, Mr, minister at Biggar, his death in 1726, iii. 275.

Mr of Temple-Patrick, in Ireland, his account of the spread of Arianism in the Synod of Belfast in 1723, ii. 85; his sermon at the Shotts, 271; Mr Davidson's account of, ii. 249; his opinion of English sermons, 294; his opinion of his Scottish brethren, iii. 8.

Sir William, governor of the Tower, receives a warrant from Charles I. to execute the Earl of Loudon, ii. 382.

Loch, Thomas, schoolmaster at Eastwood, iii. 448.

Lochhead, James, sees Satan in the shape of a black dog, i. 24.

a boy at Hillington, who saw visions in a trance, i. 51.

Lochmaben, settlement at, under a competition of presentations, ii. 385.

Lochwinnoch, harmonious settlement of Mr Pinkerton at, iv. 7.

Locke, Mr John, anecdote of—read little—latterly took to the study of the Scriptures—formerly a prop of the Socinians and Deists, i. 39; his epitaph, as written by himself, 135.

Lockhart, Colonel of, his conversation respecting his embassy at Paris in 1662, ii. 210; his remarks on the Test Act, 211.

Lockhart, Sir George, manner of his death, i. 11; shot by Cheisly of Dalry, 189; his conversation with Mr Oliphant, ii. 54.

Mr Samuel, his interview with Mr Cockburn, the minister of the English Congregation at Amsterdam, i. 30.

Logan, Mr Allan, minister of Cutross, ii. 122; iii. 513; iv. 128.

Mr John, minister of New Kilpatrick, his death, iv. 103.

Lady, untractable, iii. 354.

Laird of, iii. 370.

London, immorality of, in 1724, iii. 156; also in 1727, 443.

Longevity, instances of, in France, i. 220; in Scotland, 367.

Lords, House of, carries everything before it, in 1711, i. 316.

Lothian, Countess of, dies in 1712, ii. 80.

County of, food of the labouring people of, in 1722, chiefly pea-meal, ii. 368.

Marquis of, a promising young nobleman, iii. 200.

Loudon, Earl of, his behaviour in reference to the ministers' petition to Parliament, i. 35; protects the indulged ministers, 64, 104; his moderation, 224; Burnet's account of his danger in London in 1639, 382, iii. 289, 329; Hugh, Earl of, his death in 1731, iv. 296.

Mr, his account of Lord Jedburgh's conversion, i. 11.

Louis XIV., his false bulletins and pride, i. 314; his death, ii. 301.

Louisa, sister of the Pretender, her death, ii. 36.

Love, Mr, his anecdote of Mr Shields when in Holland, i. 221; also of Mr Calderwood, 222; of Lord Pollock, 287.

Loyd, Bishop of Worcester, his prophecies, ii. 328.

Luke, Agnes, her irregular marriage with Joseph Williamson, iv. 33.

Martha, her marriage to Sir James Stirling of Glorrat, iii. 524.

Mr John, his illness, iv. 189.

Thomas, disturbed about the real presence, i. 63.

Mrs, her spiritual trials, i. 128; her dream, 129, ii. 461; her anecdotes of Mr Neil Gillies, iv. 45; her story of the corpse at Kilmarnock which rose and spoke, 47; her
anecdote of her mother, and of the Messrs Wishart and Hamilton, id.; her account of the suicide of Mrs Colquhoun, 58; her law-plea with her son-in-law, Sir James Stirling, 297

Lutherans, their use of Sacred Hymns, iv. 193.

Lyell, Mr David, some account of, i. 101.

L—— K. James, his memorandum of the merciful Providences of God, i. 238-236.

M.

MACCLESFIELD, Earl of, his impeachment, iii. 189.

Mack, Colonel, projects a rising, i. 196.

Main, Mr Alexander, minister at Glasgow, i. 366.

Mains, Laird of, iv. 204.

Mair, Mr, his call to Closeburn, ii. 71.

Mr G., his case, iii. 350.

Maitland, Mr, his connection with Old Kilpatrick, iii. 478.

Mr William, minister at Beith, notice of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 126.

Malcolm, Mr, piety of his child, i. 114.

Malignants make a ballad on Mr Dickson, iii. 7.

Malpas, Lord, tutor to the Prince of Wales, iv. 70.

Malt-tax imposed on Scotland in 1713, opposed by the Scottish members, who join the Wbigs, and threaten a dissolution of the Union, ii. 220.

Manchester, Lord, ii. 329.

Mangy, Dr, his edition of Philo Judaeus, iii. 428.

Manufactury of wool, proposed erection of, in Wigton, Stranraer, and Kirkcudbright, iii. 210; proposed introduction of the linen, into Glasgow, 319.

Mar, Earl of, breaks with Godolphin, i. 293; his conversation on the oath, ii. 89; blamed for the oaths imposed on the ministers, 103; his conversation with Mr Chambers on the prospects of the Church of Scotland in 1713, with his opinion of the two classes of agitators then in existence, 256; his connection with the rising in 1715, 302; death of his father in 1689, iii. 194; probable removal of the outlawry of, 487.

Marchmont, Earl of, iii. 273, 290; a representative Peer in 1727, 439.

Mack, Professor, of Leyden, his Oration de Pace, ii. 211; opposes Vitringa, iii. 428; his writings, 433; his remarks on Mr Simson's case, iv. 149, 193; his death in 1731, 264.

Mariscotti, iii. 425.

Marlborough, Duke of, i. 17, 279; his conduct in the affair of Colonel Hill, Mrs Masham's brother, 284; abroad when the treasurer resigns; hostility of Argyle and his brother Isla to; articles of impeachment threatened, 293; pressed hard on the miscarriage at Toulon; letter to, from the King of Sweden, 321; takes Bouchain, 353; ii. 1; his going abroad in November, 1712, a mystery, 131.

Marriages, irregular, by curates "turning fashionable," iii. 434; reflections on, iv. 33, 38.

Martin, Mr Andrew, of Glasgow, his connection with the Sheffield riot, iii. 248.

Mr Nathaniel, notice of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 125.

Martinay, M., his communication to Mr Wm. Anderson on the second-sight, iii. 262.


Marvel, Andrew, his intimacy with Harrington, i. 31; some account of, ii. 214.

Masham, Lady Frances, Mr Locke dies in her house, i. 135.

Mr, out of favour at Court, ii. 109.

Masquerades in London discouraged by Queen Caroline, iii. 444.

Masterton, Mr Charles, his account of the Presbyterians of Ireland in 1729, iv. 58; his account of the double spectacles, 62.

Mather, Dr Cotton, of Boston, anecdote of the lost notes of a sermon, which came flying to him through the air, ii. 236; his death in 1728, iii. 524; reflections on, iv. 174.

Mauchlin, i. 111.

Maule, Mr, deceived by the Earl of Mar in 1715, ii. 339.
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Maule, Mr H., assists in the composition of the Impartial History, iii. 291.

Maxwell, Mr, i. 3, 138.
Mr, of Blawarthill, iv. 18.
Mr, younger of Pollock, his marriage to Miss B. Stewart, iii. 437; birth of a son to, iv. 92.
Mr, returns from South Carolina, where he had been settled as a minister for seven years, iv. 173; his account of the state of religion and society in that country, 174.

Mr George, minister at Eastwood, demits his charge, iii. 22.

Sir George, of Pollock, good order of his house, ii. 62; his generosity towards Mrs Guthrie after her husband's death, i. 304; iii. 27.

John, Bishop of Ross, his designation in Lex Rex, i. 167; much in favour with Charles I.; his attachment to his Sovereign; his death, iii. 186; author of "Isaacar's Burden," id.
Mr R., of Irvine, iv. 13.

Robert, his death and character, i. 307.
Sir William, of Moneith, his character, iii. 196.

M'Alpin, Mr, his interview with Drs Watts and Calamy, iv. 80, 81.
Mr, minister at Arrochar, iv. 220.

M'Aulay, Bailie, of Glasgow, in 1725, iii. 219.
Mr Robert, i. 9; his account of the conversion of a boat-builder at Greenock, 71; his call to Inchinnan, 219; his death, ii. 305.

M'Bride, Mr David, saw an armament at Havre de Grace, when the Queen died, ii. 317.

Mr James, his account of a witch, i. 65; driven out of Ireland, 298; ii. 52.

M'Carteny, Mr, a blind student in the Presbytery of Stirling, to whom a licence was refused, iv. 181.

M'Cracken, Mr Alexander, of Lisburn in Ireland, his account of the Presbyterian Churches of Ireland, i. 219; driven out of Ireland, 291; his interview with the Queen's ministers; recommends the repeal of the Penal Statutes, 339; his remarks on the Dissenting clergy of London, 341; his account of the state of parties in England, and interview with the Archbishop of Canterbury, 312; the Hanoverian succession, 343.

M'Connell, Mr, his case of irregular absolution, iii. 236.

M'Crie, a stone-mason, who succeeds to a large fortune, iv. 109.

M'Culloch, Mr, desired by the people of Cambuslang, iv. 5; his extreme depression, iv. 279.

M'Dermit, Mr John, minister at Ayr, his presentation to Renfrew, iv. 4; objections to his settlement, 7; his call rejected by the Presbytery, 21; his remissness at Ayr, 63; Presbytery refuses to sustain his presentation, 101; letter concerning, from Lord Isla to Principal Campbell, 102; his presentation sustained by the Commission of March 1730, 111, 127.

M'Douall, Colonel, buys the estate of Castle Semple from Lord Semple in 1727, iii. 376.

Mr, minister at Mearns, his death in 1712, ill used by his people, ii. 53.

M'Dougall, Mr James, his extraordinary preservation at Paisley, i. 57.

M'Ewan, a Glasgow printer in 1725, iii. 213.

M'Farlane, Mr R., minister at Buchanan, iii. 272; suspended for a month, 336.

M'George, Mr, minister at Pennycook, ii. 123; iii. 379, 438; curious occurrence at the sacrament of, iv. 273.

M'Gie, Messrs, father, son, and grandson, successively ministers of the parish of Dritleton, iv. 286.

M'Gilchrist, Mr, a preacher, premature death of, ii. 53.

M'Gill, Mr John, minister at Coupar, history of, by Mr Stirling, ii. 112.

M'Intosh of M'Intosh, seizes the money in the Customhouse at Inverness in 1715, for the use of the Pretender, ii. 302.

M'Kail, Mr Hugh, his mode of cultivating psalmody, ii. 134; his habits of study, 176.

M'Kean, Mr, sent to London in 1710, on the part of the College of Edinburgh, to solicit the patronage of the English Dissenters, i. 256.

Mr George, his religious difficulties, i. 372; strange circumstances attending his death, ii. 211; farther particulars, 315.

Mackenzie, Mr Murdoch, first Bishop of Ross, his immoral character and ignorance, iv. 4.

Macknight, Mr, of Irvine, iv. 13.

M'Laren, Mr John, transported to Edinburgh, i. 324; his work on Limburn's System of Divinity, iii. 236; his Projected System of Divinity, iv. 150.

M'Laurin, Mr, minister at Glasgow; captious complaints against, iii. 130; his account of the
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progress of the Bourignon heresy in the north, 174; and of the Constitutionalists of France, 206; opposes Mr Wishart, 247; disputes on Arianism with a student of theology, 257, 342, 379.

M' Laurin, Mr Colin, Professor of Mathematics at Aberdeen, ii. 341; receives a "peculiar honour" from the French Academy, iii. 161; his account of Sir Isaac Newton's papers, 461; his description of Sir Isaac's work on prophecy, iv. 59; Sir Isaac's high opinion of, 215; proposes to draw up a history of Sir Isaac's discoveries, 216.

M'Lean, of Coll, his liberality to the Church, iii. 288.

M'Lellan, Mr John, minister at Kirkcudbright, remarkable for his prophetic powers, ii. 149; foretells the death of the Marquis of Argyll, 160; more concerning, 223; his sermon before the Duke of Hamilton, 301; life of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 58.

M'Limont, a Mountain-man; his blasphemy, iii. 197.

M'Millan, Mr, his Apology; authors of, i. 278, 287; his extraordinary conduct at his ordination; differences among his followers; offers to submit to the Presbytery on certain conditions, 290; letter from Middleton to, 306; partisans of, obstruct the ordination of his successor, 315; decries the last fast because held on a Friday, ii. 9; his communion at Crawfordjohn, and renewal of the Covenant, ii. 75; detailed account of a great meeting of the followers of, on Douglas Moor, in July 1712, 76, 78; accepts a compensation from his people of a thousand marks yearly, in lieu of his stipend, 88; attempts to point the teind at Balmaghie, 238; preaches in Eastwood parish, 252; his marriage in 1725; expected to get money with his wife, but disappointed; retains the church of Balmaghie, iii. 244.

M'Millanites, ii. 122; much divided in 1723, iii. 378; id. in 1725; iii. 243.

M' Neilly, Mr, an associate of Mr M'Millan, ii. 232.

M'Taggart, Michael, an elder of Mr Bruce's, ii. 60. Michael, minister at Glassford, iii. 366; his anecdote of Mr Semple, 375; his death in 1731, iv. 202.

M'Waird, Mr Robert, minister of the Outer High Church in Glasgow; his death in Holland, whither he was banished, in 1683, i. 170; regretted the divisions in the Church, ii. 285; life by Mr Stirling, iii. 55.

Meal, price of, in the autumn of 1724, iii. 163; in the winter of 1729, iv. 26.

Mean, Mrs, threw the first stool when the Service-Book was read in the New Kirk at Edinburgh in 1637, i. 64.

Mearns, parish of, attendance on communions at, i. 271.

Meeting-house, Episcopalian, opened at Glasgow in June 1728, iv. 8, 19.

Meldrum, Mr George, minister at Edinburgh, his life, i. 175; notice of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 122; Principal Wishart's sermon on his death, iv. 61, 235.

Melford, Lord, letter of Duke of Perth to, i. 1; banished, 5; ii. 260; his death, 279; is said to have renounced his religion, 376.

Meillanres, Johnstone of, his house haunted by a ghost, i. 95.

Melville, Mr Ephraim, minister at Queensferry, i. 136; converts Mr Durham, ii. 115; his death, iii. 23.

Lord, notice of, by Mr Veitch, i. 326; his account of Lauderdale's intentions in 1677, ii. 341.

Mr Thomas, minister at Calder, author of the "Band of Peace," i. 324; his father's difficulty in preaching, 372; his death at Drumry in 1686, iii. 53.

Memoranda, or notes as to ministers, i. 103.

Menzies, Mr John, his variations, i. 170; his distress in his latter years, 269; testifies against Prelacy, 325.

Professor, at Aberdeen, iii. 10; account of, by Mr Stirling, 123; conforms to Prelacy, 124; his writings, 125.

Mercer, Mr James, minister at Aberdeen, his account of the apparition that appeared to Principal Rule, iv. 87; sees a vision on Sheriffmuir, 90; and the apparition of two boys at Dundee, 91.

Mercury, the Caledonian, in 1725, a Jacobite paper, iii. 213.

Murse, great sickness in, in 1729, ii. 362.

Meteor seen by the author in May 1710, i. 278.


Middleton, Lord, sees an apparition of Balbigni, i. 34; his death at Tangier, 37; interview of the
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Marchioness of Argyle with, 68; the request made to him by the King at Breda, 73; his dream at Tangier, ii. 273; iv. 13.

Millar, Mr James, presented to the Kirk of Shotts, but refused admission to the church by the people, who excite a riot, iv. 10; his settlement there; the rabble taken to task by the Duke of Hamilton, 15.

Mr John, his mother’s testimony to the conspiracy against the Indulged Ministers, ii. 64; presented to Port-Glasgow, 105.

Mr John, irregular marriage of his daughter, iii. 454.

Mr John, student of divinity, his improper letter to Mr A. Clerk considered by the Synod of Glasgow and Ayr, iii. 411; his trials before the Presbytery, 416; the author’s history of the case, 417, 430; his presentation to the parish of Old Kilpatrick, 478; unacceptable to the people, 480; his case compromised, 493; his settlement at Kilpatrick, iv. 15.

Mr Matthew, of Glenlee, anecdote of, by his sister, iii. 462.

Provost, iii. 167.

Mr Robert, his conversation with the author on miracles, i. 4; his “three wonders of Renfrewshire,” 10; circumstances attending his settlement at Paisley, 219; his account of the death of one of his elders, 223; his account of the designs of the government against the loyal gentry of the Western shires, ii. 365; of the coolness between Lord Ross and the Duke of York, 366; of the sufferings of his father after his ejection in 1662, id.

Mr Robert, minister of Ochiltree, history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 119.

Mr Robert, a young minister, his habits of intemperance, iii. 412.

Mr Robert, surgeon at Kilmarnock, anecdote of, by his cousin, Mrs Zuill, iii. 463.

Mr William, made sub-dean of the Chapel Royal, iii. 462.

of Westerton, iii. 334.

Milton, Lord, of Session, his improper dealings with writs in 1727, iii. 430, 485; iv. 2, 96, 92, 260.

Ministers, since the Revolution, guilty of terrible sins —incest, adultery, fornication, and suicide, i. 269; of the North, their unceasing since 1711, 329; maltreated, 368; many of the most eminent given to drink, 483; of Glasgow, preach against interludes and plays, iv. 9; live a shorter time than men of other professions, 286.

Ministers, Dissenting, of England, their fears for the succession in 1714, ii. 278; much injured by their debates on Arminianism and subscription, 300; their mode of preaching, 301; their condition as a body in 1727, iii. 460, id.; in 1728, 488, 489, 576.

Dutch, their manner of preaching, and their form of service; ignorant of the Scotch constitution and practice, ii. 390.

Young, falling off among, iii. 155, 541.

Ministry, change of, in 1710, i. 284; of 1718, undervalued, ii. 329.

Minto, Lord, i. 260.

Mitchell, Bailie, of Glasgow, iii. 448.

Mr, of Abernetye, his case, iii. 323, 450.

Mr, a native of Glasgow, who amasses a large fortune as a pawnbroker in London, iv. 106.

Mr William, made chaplain to the Queen, i. 287; deserts his old friends in the Assembly, iii. 286, 379; his death in 1727, 447; had the chief direction of the affairs of the Church after the death of Mr Carstares, id.; much regretted in Edinburgh, 461.

Moncrieff, Mr, of Kilfargie, iv. 128; demands a declaratory act on doctrine, 134.

Mr, of Largo, ii. 303.

Money, great scarcity of, in 1727, iii. 461.

Monk, General, destitute of all religion, i. 86, 89.

Monro, Mr Alexander, a dyer to trade, and illicrate, but called to the ministry by a voice from heaven; settled at Dunns in Sutherlandshire, where he had great success as a preacher; translated many portions of the Scripture into Gaelic verse; much esteemed by Bishop Forbes, i. 297.

Dr, displaced for not signing the Confession of Faith, i. 197; originally a Papist, ii. 49.

of Fowles, iii. 315.

Sir George, accuses the Bishop of Ross of ignorance of Latin, who prosecutes him for disaffection to the government, iv. 4.

Mr Hugh, son of Mr Alexander, succeeded his father, and died in 1698, i. 268.
INDEX.

More, Ludovic, a resetter of stolen goods in the Gorbals, iii. 181.
Mores, a family of robbers near Maybole, iii. 475.
Morocco, Emperor of, his death, iii. 431.
Morton, Mr Andrew, his conversion, ii. 119; iii. 3.
Arthur, author of Meditations, in MS., i. 165.
Mountain folk, or "Separatists," some account of "the baggage borse of the Papists," ii. 88.
Muir, James, accused of the murder of Mr Purcell, iv. 66; his trial and acquittal, 75.
Janet, her prophecies, i. 60.
Margaret, sees, "glorious lights" in a fever, i. 95.
Mr, his account of King James's proclamation.
Mr Blair's prophecy, and the miraculous cure of Mr Campbell's child, i. 25; the story of the beggar and the pot, 27; his preservation in the troublous times, 58; his account of Mr Gordon's dream, 73; his escape, 82.
Mr, minister at Orwell, his account of the parish of Kinross, iv. 78.
Mr Robert, his account of Mr Guthrie's preaching, i. 64, 67.
Muir of Ayr, ii. 309.
Murders, their frequency in 1730, iv. 154; cases of detected after death and burial, 163.
Murdoch, Bailie, of Glasgow, ii. 219, 448; warns Mr A. Duncan of his danger in attending an Episcopal meeting-house in Glasgow, iv. 8; grants the use of the Weigh-house to the players, 9, 287.
John, his daughter's illness, i. 313.
Murray, Earl of, his murder by Huntly; account of that transaction, ii. 381.
Lord George, account of, iii. 232.
Murchland, Mr, iii. 405; clerk of the College of Glasgow, iv. 2; dismissed, 3.

N.

Naismith, Mr James, minister of Hamilton, anecdote of, ii. 281; life of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 127; iv. 56.
Mr Robert, ii. 335, 379.

N 

Naismith, Mr, his book on "Children's Privileges," iii. 193.
Nantes, Edict of, under what pretence revoked, ii. 271.
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Naphthali, written by Mr James Stirling, minister at Paisley, and Sir James Stewart, the Lord Advocate, iii. 23.


Narration, edifying, of the blessed death of Dame Mary Rutherford, Lady Humbalie, and Mary M'Konnell, written by Mr Archibald Porteous, in the year 1639 or 1612, ii. 9.

Nassau, Prince of, iv. 156.

Neve, Mr John, i. 170.

Newcastle, Duke of, examines Mr Abraham Henderson, iii. 249; the most unpopular man in England, 442.

Newentyte, a Dutch physician, and author of a work, entitled, the "Religious Philosopher," 262; his remarkable histories of the possession of the second-sight, 263, 264.

Newton, Sir Isaac, i. 325; suspected of Arianism, ii. 285; much failed in 1723, 391; his intimacy with Dr Clarke, iii. 205; his poor opinion of Whiston, id.; leads the mathematical party in the Royal Society, 206; Mr Stewart's account of, before his death, 432; his papers after his death, 461 meddled little with divinity, 462; his papers on prophecy, iv. 59.

Nicholson, Dr, Bishop of Derry, ii. 352.

Mr, i. 7.

Ninian's, St, parish of, heats in, iii. 450.

Nisbet, Mr Alexander, died 1668, i. 168. John, of Hardhill, his death in 1728, iii. 518; commits his diary and papers to the care of Lord Grange, id.; his estate passes to his nephew, who is an apprentice to a barber, id.; his conspiracy against the indulged ministers, iv. 302.

Mr of the Old Kirk, Edinburgh, iv. 296.

Niven, Mr, of Downpatrick, iii. 468.

Non-Conformists, low state of, in England in 1725, and great tendency to Deism, 201.

Non-Jurants, iii. 243.


North, state of in 1713, ii. 202; brethren of, keen against innovations in the Church, iv. 53.

Northside, iv. 8.

Nottingham, Earl of, ii. 2; iii. 460.

Nugent, Captain, iii. 413.

OATH, Abjuration, last day of taking, in Nov. 1712; the author's views upon it; those who took it called Jurors, those who refused, Non-Jurors; opinion of Mr Carstares on the subject, ii. 109, 110, 111, 112, 113.

of Allegiance extensively taken, iii. 145.

Officers, military, several religiously inclined, iii. 90.

Ogilvy, Mr, minister of Haddington, an apparition appears to, iv. 59.

Mr George, son of the Earl of Findlater, iv. 134.

Oliphant, Mr, his diary, ii. 332.

O'Neal, Squire, iii. 185.

Orange, Prince of, his inauguration at Oxford as Doctor of Laws, iii. 146; the remark of Dr Fell, the chancellor, on his observations on the Duke of Queensberry, and Lords Hyndford and Seafeld, 147; declares himself a Presbyterian, id.

Orleans, Duke of, favourable to the Protestants, ii. 317; his death in 1727, 387.

Ornston, Lord, loses the Justice-Clerkship, i. 291; ii. 207; iii. 364, 394.

Ormond, Duke of, to succeed Lord Wharton in Ireland, i. 295; his retreat, ii. 67.

Orr, Mr, of Muirkirk, his transportation to Had-dam refused by the Synod, iv. 13; his transportation completed, 55.

Mr Alexander, of St Quivox, his death, i. 303.

Bailie, of Glasgow, iii. 212, 354; falls heir to a fortune through his wife, iv. 106; gives a sum of money to the College Library at Glasgow, 164; subscribes for a minister's stipend in Gorbals, 193.
INDEX.

Orr, Mr Robert, his account of a woman to whom the devil appeared, i. 3.
Osburn, Mr, of Aberdeen, his death, i. 329.

Owen, Dr, his opinion of the Scotch Divines, i. 336; his opinion of Presbyterian government, ii. 263, 309.

P.

Paisley, Bailie, iii. 21.
Mr John, gets a call to Glasgow, i. 288; his anecdote of Lord Pollock, 291.
Mr John, minister of Lochwinnoch, his death and character, iii. 496.
Mr Patrick, minister of Lochwinnoch, his account of Margaret Knock, and anecdote of, ii. 367; his narrative of the last days of his grandfather, Mr Patrick Simson, 368; his Arian views, iii. 412.
Mr Robert, minister of Stewarton, his death and character, i. 306.
Town of, great fire in, iv. 65.
Palatines, 12,000 come to England in the spring of 1709; how disposed of, i. 174.
Panther, Dr, of St Andrews, ii. 116.
Papists, Irish, attend the Protestant meeting-houses, i. 280; disturbances among, in Dublin, 283.
their openness all over Scotland, ii. 265; prosecution of, recommended by the Commission of 1724, iii. 292; numerous in the North, and befriended by the Depute Sheriffs, 204; fearful mob of, in the North, 236; insolence of, 423; less formidable since the conversion of the Gordon family, iv. 137.
Pardovan, his account of the stolen sermons, i. 12; of King Charles's death, 18; his opinion of the fathers, 19.
Parishes, two vacant at the same time in 1728; the first occurrence of the kind since the Revolution, within the bounds of the Presbytery of Paisley, iii. 477.
settlement of, by the *jus decaulatum* act, concerning, iv. 249; drawn up by Mr Robert Wodrow and Professor Haddow, 251; debates on the wording of, *ibid*; transmitted to Presbyteries, 252; first difficulties of settling, 254; two served by three ministers since the Reformation, 286.

Park, Mr George, minister of Killearn, iv. 284.
Mr John, wrote on patronage, i. 170.
Lady, some account of, ii. 316.
Parliament, British, prohibits the exportation of corn in 1706, i. 213; rumours respecting the dissolution of, in 1710, 293; new, of 1710, 310, 311; of 1713, its character, ii. 228; money required to procure a seat in, iii. 228; want of patriotism of the Scottish members of, iii. 281; meeting of, in January 1727; and character of the speech from the throne, 372; meeting of, on the death of George I., and settlement by, of the Civil List of George II., 431; "forking" for members, what, *ibid*; first of George II., the most Whiggish yet seen, 435; meeting of, in January 1729, iv. 25; bill for the prevention of bribery and corruption in the election of its members, 70.

Paton, Mr, minister at Barnwell, iii. 12, 47; had a presentiment of his death, 451.
Mr R., of Haddington, iv. 176, 186; settled at Renfrew, 199.
Thomas, an "eminent Christian," who gets "positive and direct" answers to his prayers; his history of the changeling, i. 32.
Patronage, proposed bill anent, i. 348; bill for restoring, and reasons why contemplated by the ministry, ii. 34; legality of, discussed in the Commission, 70; protest of the Presbytery of Paisley against, 105; probable effects of, 133; assumed by the municipalities of burghs, iii. 163; how it might be made easy to the Church, 358, 359; attempts made in 1717 to have it abolished, 490; resisted as an invasion of personal property, 491; discussion concerning, in the General Assembly of 1731, iv. 244; abrogation of, opposed by the Duke of Argyle in 1715, 245; practice of
INDEX.

the Church respecting, from 1637 to 1649, 247; the clause of 1719, id.; Lord Grange's interpretation of, id.; Lord Drummore's Act of 1690, concerning, drawn up by Sir James Stewart, and presented to Parliament by Sir George Stewart of Coldingham, 290; patronage of the Crown of questionable validity, 232; how dispensed by the government of George I, 233.

Patterson, Archibishop, i. 18; author of a book entitled "Hackstone's Ghost;" his son joins the rebels in 1715, ii. 302.

Paul, Mr W., a "metaphysical lad" at Glasgow, who adopts Arrian tenets, and disputes with Mr M'Laurin, iii. 257.

Peace concluded by the Allies in 1713, ii. 188; rumoured between France and the Emperor, and effects of, on the Protestant interest, 255; preliminaries of a general, in 1728, iii. 487; with Spain, iv. 97.

Justices of the, their slackness, iv. 9.

Peacecock, Bailie, of Glasgow, his death, iv. 302.

Peddie, Mr Provost of Glasgow, iii. 418; his death, iv. 1; his son's death, 199.

Pedden, Mr Alexander, his last words, i. 134; some account of, ii. 83; anecdotes of, 320.

Peebles, Mr Hugh, minister at Lochwinnoch, his account of a person accused of adultery, i. 69; history of, by Mr Sirling, iii. 116.

Peers, twelve new British, created, ii. 30.

Scottish representative, election of, in November 1710, i. 307; vote with the ministry in 1711, id.; desire an act of toleration, 319; three of them join Harley, id.; dissatisfied with their treatment in England, 348; determine not to attend Parliament unless peremptorily summoned, id.; leave the House of Lords during the debate on the peace, ii. 8; "boughted," 30; election of, in 1712, 80; character and conduct of, in 1713, 224; incline to conformity with England, 225; mostly Jacobites, 251; differences among, in 1714, 297; movement among, at London, iii. 290; oppose the Duke of Hamilton's sitting under an English title, 291; election of, in 1727, 439, 441.

Peirce, Mr, his proposal to admit infants and children to the Communion-Table, iii. 516.

Pemberton, Mr, iii. 461.

Pencilland, Lord of Session, ii. 207; iii. 456.

Pension bill thrown out by the House of Lords, iv. 213.

Perizonius, Presbyterian in his lessons, ii. 283.

Perth, Articles of, iii. 11.

Duke of, letter of to Melforth, about the invasion of England, i. 1; revisits Scotland in the summer of 1713, ii. 228; great hunting party projected by, 238; renounces his religion, 376.

Pestilence, alarm respecting, i. 206, 211; in France, 290; in Denmark, 333; at Gluckstadt in 1712, ii. 80.

Peterborough, Lord, spoken of for the command of the army on Marlborough's retirement, i. 293.

Petition, from the Scottish ministers to the House of Lords, refused, because it wanted the words, "Lords Spiritual," ii. 35.

Philip, of Spain, interdicts all communication with Rome, i. 173.

Philipston, iii. 380.

Phipps, Chancellor, ii. 276.

Pictet, M., some account of, ii. 273.

Pinkerton, Mr, Lord Pollock's chaplain, his case in reference to Old Kilpatrick, iii. 478; his settlement at Lochwinnoch, iv. 2; his induction, 19.

Pitscairn, Dr, his alleged profaneness, i. 323; his familiar spirit, ii. 47; his remedy against infection, 107; his death and character, 255; accused of Atheism by Mr Webster, iii. 207; vision of his friend, David Lindsay, 520.

Playhouse, proposal for the erection of one in Edinburgh, iii. 486.

Poirret, Mr William Anderson's interview with, iii. 172; his connection with Madame Bourignon, 473; his Cogitations Rationales, an Anti-Cartesian tract; his "Divine Economy," and the effects of his writings on the French Protestants after the revocation of the edict of Nantes, id.

Poland, Augustus, King of, i. 175.

Politics, European, in 1726; causes of the war, and jealousies of England by foreign powers, iii. 361.

Pollock, Janet, her diary, i. 74, 78.

Lady, her account of her father, i. 71.

Sir Robert, his account of the morals of Treasurer Harley and Lord Bolingbroke, ii. 63; 209; anecdotes of, 292, 431, 435; his ac-
INDEX.

count of public affairs in 1729, iv. 70; death of his son, 100.

Pollock, Lord of Session, his penitence for an involuntary oath, i. 287; told by the Earl of Dumfries that he was employed by the King to carry the MS. of Icon Basilich to a clergyman for transcription, ii. 295; his account of the French Church at Charenton, 296; ii. 207; iii. 364.

Family of, its good order, ii. 63.

Pollock, Lord of Session, iv. 262.

Pont, Mrs, i. 54.

Mr Robertson, foretells Queen Elizabeth's death, ii. 341.

Pooley, Dr John, Bishop of Raphoe; committed by the Irish House of Lords, i. 174.

Poor, discussion concerning the support of, iii. 317; liberal provision for, in the Seven United Provinces, iv. 157; workhouse for in Glasgow designed, 189; Mr Dundas of Philippston's Tract on, 236.

Pope, benevolence disposed of without his concurrence, i. 175.

Clement IX., Mr Fraser's interview with, ii. 279; his opinion of his own infallibility, 380.

Popery, increase of, in the North, iii. 423; causes of, 424.

Portugal, women of, conceive early, ii. 213.

Post, Edinburgh Evening, No. 185, ii. 5.

Prayers, form of, used in the Churches of Edinburgh till 1619, i. 274.

Preaching, field, effects of, on ignorant minds, i. 324; mode of, practised in the East Country, iii. 203; legal, overturn concerning, 498.

Presbyterians, movement against, in Ireland and Scotland, ii. 79.

Irish, poor, pay L. 5000 a-year to their clergy, ii. 391; their condition in 1729, iv. 56.

Presbyteries, their views generally as to Mr Simson's case, iv. 27.

Presbytery of Ayr, refuses to sustain Mr M'Dermit's presentation to Renfrew, because unaccompanied with a call, iv. 101; refuses to transport him in obedience to the Commission, 114.

of Dumbarton, refuses to obey the Commission in the settlement of Balfron, iv. 220.

of Edinburgh, its conduct in reference to the fast of February, 1711, i. 319; ii. 97.

of Paisley, in September, 1712, ii. 89; of January, 1713, the planting of Mearns, 156; orders a visitation of Kilellan in January, 1724, iii. 130; ordains Messrs Deans and Maxwell for missionary service in Carolina, 131; of March, 148; Mr Fork's case before, 278.

Presbytery of November, 1728, iv. 18; the case of Renfrew discussed in; determined to send a deputation to Renfrew, to moderate a free call to Mr M'Dermit, the presentee, or whosoever else the majority of the people might choose; appeal taken to the Synod by the Provost of Renfrew and others, 19.

of December, 1729, instruct their Commissioner to the General Assembly by a large majority, to move the deposition of Professor Simson, iv. 20; the deputation to Renfrew return with a call in favour of Mr Robert Wodrow, which the Presbytery sustains in preference to that for Mr M'Dermit, who appeals, 21.

of January, 1729, Mr Simson's affair considered on reference from the General Assembly, iv. 23; resolves to postpone its final judgment till February, 24; the case of Renfrew, and the author's call to; he opposes the transportation; referred to the Synod, id.

of February, 1729, discussion on Mr Simson's case postponed till March, iv. 30.

of March, 1729, decides by a majority, that Mr Simson should not be allowed to teach or preach, iv. 32.

of November, 1729, iv. 92; expected an application from Elthwood to concur in Mr M'Dermit's call, but none made; Presbyteries not what they used to be, 93.

of May, 1731, Mr Ferguson, the presentee to Kilellan, preaches before, iv. 263; resolves, however, to consult the inclinations of the people, id.; Provost of Glasgow and Town-clerk appear before, and insist on their right of patronage at Port-Glasgow, id.; people prefer a Mr Brown; a deputation sent to consult them, and report, id.

of June, the deputation reports that there is a great majority at Port-Glasgow for Mr Brown, many women having signed his call, while there were few for Mr Moodie, the presentee of the Magistrates of Glasgow, iv. 263; the moderation of the call delayed till next meeting, 264.
Presbytery of August, the case of Port-Glasgow considered, iv. 276; the Magistrates of Glasgow insist on their right of presentation, and produce an interdict from Lord Cooper against the Presbytery's proceedings, id.; discussion on, and consideration of, postponed till next month, 277.

of September, declares the right of presentation to Port-Glasgow to have fallen into its hands by the jus devolution, iv. 281; Mr Brown's settlement interdicted by the Court of Session, as not the legal presentee, 282; resolves to sustain Mr Brown's call in opposition to the patron, and in face of a third interdict, 283; Presbyterial letter to the Presbyteries of the bounds on the interdict, as a novelty, id.; discussion in, concerning the feudal right of three days' service in leading stones to build a new manse at Loch-winnoch, id.

of Glasgow, of March, 1726, Messrs Simson and Wishart's case before, iii. 279.

of April, 1726, much business before, the Presbytery of Ayr's contumacy, iii. 294; the affair of Cardross, a competing claim between the presentee of the Crown and another individual, 297; Mr Richardson's case appealed to the Assembly, 296; Mr Findlater's referred to next Synod, id.

of September, 1726, the queries of the committee delivered to Professor Simson, who received them coldly, iii. 324; Mr Wishart's opposition to the queries, as unjust and inquisitorial, 325.

of November, 1726, Professor Simson appears personally before, and complains of the conduct of the Assembly, as tyrannical and unscriptural, iii. 341; his case referred to the Commission, 342.

of March 14, 1727, receives a report of the pre-cognition against Mr Simson, and appoints members to confer with the Assembly's committee on his case, iii. 378; also receives a paper drawn up by Messrs Gray, M'Laurin, and Hamilton, in answer to the Professor's remarks, 379.

of March 22, Professor Simson summoned before, but declines to appear, iii. 399; his paper, called a "Representation," 401.

Presbytery of April, the case of Mr J. Millar before, and his trials carried, iii. 416.

of June, the case of Messrs Millar and Pollock, iii. 430.

of November, dispute with Mr Simson, who insisted on having his name called as a member, iii. 453; decided that by last Act of Assembly he was suspended from preaching and teaching, 454.

of January, 1728, takes precognitions in Mr Simson's case; his doctrine Pelagian, iii. 480.

of September, 1730, Mr J. Anderson transported from Port-Glasgow to Glasgow by, iii. 175; remarks on the Renfrew case, iv. 176.

Presentations, Lord Ila's opinion of the validity of, iv. 73; his rumoured bill respecting, 205.

Press, bill concerning the liberty of, ii. 220.

Pretender, rumours of his death, ii. 36; demonstrations at Edinburgh in favour of, 38; his alleged bastardy, 215; his birthday celebrated by the Edinburgh Jacobites in June, 1713, 221; discussions in both Houses of Parliament respecting, 222; said to have turned Protestant, 226; hunting party in his behalf projected by the Dukes of Perth and Athol, 236; copper-plate cuts of him circulated in England in 1714, 270; stipulations in favour of, at the Congress of Cambrai, iii. 154; apprehensions of an attempt from, in 1727, 372; letters from, seized at Leith, 377; plot against, by Lord Stair, iv. 28; abandoned habits of, 145.

Priests, seminary, abundance of in Scotland, in 1712, ii. 113.

Prince of Wales, beards a party in Parliament, in 1720, ii. 387; some account of, iv. 70.

Princes, Muscovite, i. 173.

Pringle, Sir Francis, iv. 235.

Prior, Mr, his embassy to Paris in 1711, i. 367.

Proclamation against certain books, in 1688, i. 180.

Proclamations, how proposed to be issued after the Union, iii. 291.

Prophets of Stirling and Glasgow, i. 309; a sect about Aberdeen so called, ii. 304.

Protestantism, defection from, i. 278.

Protestants of Silesia, their hardships, i. 174; of France; their importance to England, ii. 101; their condition in Polish Lithuania, iii. 398.
INDEX.

Provinces, singular, notice of, iii. 474.
Prussia, Elector of, refuses the Crown of Poland, i. 80; King of, receives converts from Popery into his dominions, iii. 328; crazed, and neglects the education of his son, 362; anecdotes of, iv. 154.
Prince of, to marry the Emperor's daughter, and to be declared King of the Romans, iii. 361.
Pultney, Mr Foreman's letter to, iii. 233, 260.
Purell, Mr, his murder, iv. 66.
Pye, Sir Robert, his anecdote of Charles I. and his Queen, ii. 280.

Q.

Quair, Mr Mac, threatens to write against Mr Blair's Commentary on the Proverbs, i. 29.
Quakers, their probable conduct on the occasion of Godolphin's retirement, i. 293.
Queen Anne proclaimed, i. 13; promises to maintain the Presbyterian government, i. 14; advised to adjourn the Parliament, 17; sanctions the Assembly's Fast, 279; dismisses the Duchess of Marlborough, and assumes Mrs Masham, 284; her quarrel with the Duke of Marlborough, 285; her dislike to the family of Hanover, 290; her speech at the opening of Parliament in 1710, 310; account of her personal habits, by Mr Walter Stewart, 316; her conversation with Lord Harley on the creation of the twelve Peers, ii. 68; her illness, 131; rumours respecting, 173; state of her health in 1713, and story of her projected assassination, 229; dangerous illness of, in 1714, 275; her death, 290.
Queen Caroline, her influence at court, and intimacy with Dr Clarke, iii. 412; her interview, as Princess of Wales, with Dr Waterland, 459; her dislike at the Duke of Argyle, id.; her loose principles, iv. 144; her influence over the King, 145; her "narrowness," 195.
Elizabeth, her intrigue with the Earl of Leicester, ii. 380.
Henrietta, her influence over her husband, Charles I., ii. 381.
Queensberry, Earl of, conference with the Queen, i. 17; Duke of, offers to renounce his religion, ii. 376.

R.

Rabbie at Kirkaldy, ii. 256.
Rae, Lord, his liberality to the Church, iii. 289, 316.
Rains, excessive, in December, 1710, i. 312.
Raitt, Mr William, i. 170; some account of, iii. 146.
Ralston, Lady, iii. 18.
Ramsay, Allan, lends out play-books, and other works of an injurious tendency, iii. 515; his shop searched by order of the magistrates, id.
Mr Andrew, minister at Edinburgh in 1618, deposed by the Assembly, because he would not sign a declaration contrary to an act of Parliament, iv. 270.
Baillie of Glasgow, iii. 334, 419.

Ramsay, General, his deceased wife appears to him before his death, i. 117.
Mr James, minister at Kelso, iii. 320.
Lady, iii. 5.
Mr Matthew, minister at Paisley, some account of, ii. 336; his life, by Mr Stirling, iii. 63; offered a bishopric by Chancellor Cunningham, 64; his behaviour towards Archbishop Leighton, 65; anecdote of, 293.
Mr, of the family of Dalhousie, his death, iii. 31.
Randy, Mr, his account of public affairs, iv. 61; of Mr Alexander Hamilton, 266.
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Rape of a pregnant woman, all the parties concerned in which died, deaths, ii. 320.

Hatcliffe, Dr. anecdote of, iii. 523.

Rattray, Dr., an Episcopalian clergyman, his extreme rigour as a Non-Juror, iii. 306.

Rector of the College of Glasgow, students claim to elect him, iii. 185; chosen by them for the first time, in November, 1726, 364; dreadful caballing and heats in the election of, in 1727, 462.

Recusants, their complaint to the Commission in 1712, i. 124; their testimony and warning, 125; their disclaimers of Jacobitism, 127; appoint corresponding members, 128.

Redemption, universal, letters on, iii. 207.

Redpath, Mr., his account of Andrew Marvel and Mr James Hodges, ii. 202; his narrative of Dr Owen's death, 309; obtains the Church's Registers from Secretary Johnston, to whom they had been entrusted by his father, Lord Wariston, iii. 206.

Reed, Professor, at Utrecht, designs a comparison of the alphabets of all languages, iii. 265; his difficulty as to the Hottentot tongue; how removed, ii.

Reformation, proposed vindication of, iii. 143.

Reformers, Scottish, supported by Cecil and Walsingham, ii. 326.

Regimen, Angus', meeting concerning, i. 189; contents of, 190; marches to Inverary, 191; petition and address of, id.

Registers of the Church, given by Secretary Johnston to Mr Redpath, in 1725, to be conveyed to Scotland, iii. 206; four volumes of, discovered at Lliberton, 428.

Reid, Mr Andrew, minister of Kirkbean, his account of a young woman who was turned into a devil, i. 351; his account of a woman, to whom her dead husband appeared in the shape of a devil, 356.

Bailie, of Glasgow, iii. 334.

Mr George, minister of Ochiltree, his Arian views, iii. 412.

Mr, a Separatist, who acknowledges his errors, iv. 15.

Mr, of Symington, iii. 412.

Mr William, his account of the Earl of Mar's death, iii. 194.

Renfrew, parish of, Mr John M'Dermit presented to, iv. 5; objections to his settlement, 7; discussion concerning, in the Presbytery, 11; a free call demanded, 16; a deputation sent to, by the Presbytery, to moderate a free call, 19; call drawn out in favour of Mr Wodrow, 21, 27; Mr Wodrow's call sustained by the Presbytery, 39; remitted to the Commission, 55; meeting of the licentates of, who agree to call Mr Anderson of Port-Glasgow; and, should he not come, Mr J. Millar of Neilston; Mr Paton called, 186; settlement of Mr Paton at, 199.

Renny, Mr, his school, iii. 357.

Renton, Thomas, a native of Crawfordjohn, in the parish of Douglas, knighted by George I. for his success in curing rupatures, iii. 413.

Rents, Bishops', how disposed of, ii. 205.

Renwick, Mr James, notes of a preface by, i. 134; his escapes during the troublous times, 289.

Representers, favourers of the "Marrow" doctrine, iii. 350.

Revolution settlement, too many young men taken into the church at that time, and too many curates driven out, ii. 265.

in Spain, general effects of, i. 302.

Rewallan, designs of the government against, ii. 365.

Reynolds, Mr Thomas, a Dissenting minister, iii. 460.

Riccarton, Mr, author of the "Sober Inquiry," iv. 126.

Richardson, Mr James, a probationer, accused of a libel against the Provost of Edinburgh, iii. 245, 250; case of, appealed to the Assembly, 296.

Richly, Mr John, his account of Mr James Gordon, ii. 108.

Riddell, Mr, driven out of Ireland, i. 291; his account of the Abjuration Oath, ii. 67; his death, 180.

Rigby, Sir Alexander, quarrel of, with Barrowfield, about the Pretender, i. 288.

Riot at the Kirk of Shotts on a Sabbath-day; the minister deformed, and the Riot Act read, iv. 10.

Ritchie, Mr, minister at Kilpatrick, his death and character, iii. 370.

Rivers, Earl, sent on a special mission to Hanover, i. 293.

Robb, Mr, of Kilisly, preaches against meeting-houses, plays, error, and profaneness, iv. 9; his sermons at Glasgow much spoken of for their freedom, 16.
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Robertland, Lady, the profissigacy of her children, i. 140.

Robertson, Mr Alexander, of Tinwald, his opinion of Professor Simon, iii. 481.

an extruded student, who presents an address to the King on the subject of the election of the Lord Rector at Glasgow, iii. 247.

Elder in Neilston parish, his monomania, i. 223.

Mr William, minister of Borthwick, father of Principal Robertson, preaches before the Commissioner in 1731, iv. 239.

Robison, Dr, Bishop of Bristol, made Lord Privy Seal, i. 349.

Rochester, Bishop of, prevaricates before a committee of the Privy Council, ii. 310.

Rodger, Mr Ralph, iii. 13; his life by Mr Stirling, 45, 217.

Rodgers, Mr, Dean of Guild of Glasgow, succeeds to considerable property, iv. 109.

Roland, Professor, his character by Mr Wm. Anderson, iv. 192.

Ross, Lady, iii. 18.

Lord, demands access to the Council, i. 15; anecdote of King Charles I. by, ii. 280; his account of the people of England, id.; loses his places under the crown in 1686, 281; asked by the Duke of York to change his religion, 366; his anecdote of Lord Sunderland, iii. 229, 443; his account of the death of James VII. at St Germain's, iii. 376, 440; his story about the Earl of Stair, iv. 28; his account of the Assembly of 1704, of which he was Commissioner; sent down by the Queen to propitiate the church, and pass the act against intruders, the Episcopal clergy of the North being in the habit of "intruding on churches," iv. 64; the Assembly dissolved for the first time, by the Moderator before the Commissioner, 65.

Master of, chosen Rector of the College of Glasgow in 1726, iii. 365; appointed to the Board of Customs, iv. 173.

Bishop of Edinburgh, ii. 109.

Mr A., Professor of Humanity at Glasgow, his health seriously impaired by the excitement of the rectorial election, iii. 462.

Mr, iv. 2, non compos mentis, 3.

Vol. iv.

Ross, Mr Walter, minister in Sutherlandshire; his account of the communions there, iv. 4.

Rossland, Lady, account of the supper at her house, at which the Duke of Queensberry professes a wish to become a Catholic, iii. 376.

Rothes, Earl of, calls Mr John Carstairs on his death-bed, ii. 48, 145; his clemency to the Presbyterians, iv. 41; anecdotes illustrative of, 42-3; Countess of, her excellent character, 172.

Rouat, Mr James, minister of Kilmarnock, iii. 11; his life by Mr Stirling, 113; anecdote of, iv. 284.

Mr, of Dunlop, a candidate for Renfrew, iv. 176.

Rowan, Mr Robert, his account of his child, i. 86; his remarks on novelty of doctrine among the students at the universities, ii. 54, 58.

Roxburgh, Duke of, no speaker, ii. 318, 329; his indolence, iii. 145, 179; his party lose power, 439, 455; opposes the abolition of patronage, 491; iv. 98.

Royston, Lord of Session, his daughter's illness and death, iv. 45; appears to her brother, 46.

Ruddiman, Mr, his edition of Buchanan's Works, objections to, iii. 142.

Rule, Dr, sent to London, in conjunction with Mr Blair, on the part of the Assembly of 1690, i. 201; the king assures them of his protection, but declares that they "must expect to be dependent and subordinate," 202; his death, 215; history of an apparition which appeared to, iv. 88.

Rumbold, Mr Robert Stewart's account of his grandfather's conversation with, ii. 364.

Rush, Professor, at Leyden, his death, iv. 265.

Russell, Mr, a call to the parish of Airth to, moderated by the Presbytery of Stirling in defiance of an interdict, iii. 408.

Rutherford, Mr, falls into a well when young, i. 57; ii. 118; anecdotes of, 147, 161; iii. 4, 11; Mr Stirling's life of, 88; supposed to have been visited by an angel when a boy, 89; visit of Archbishop Usher to, 132.

Principal, conduct of, towards Mr Jamieson, i. 140.

Mr Samuel, died in 1661, under process, the Marquis of Argyle's opinion of, i. 163; his last words, 225, 236.
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Rutherford, Mr, a minister in the East Country in 1725, and author of a work called "The Sober Inquiry," iii. 236.

R.—Lord, his account of the King of France, and of the Protestant troops serving on the Continent, ii. 246.

SABBATHS, wonderful providences on, ii. 310.
Sacheverell, Dr, author of a paper entitled "The New Scotch Association, or Covenant against our Synodical Subscriptions," i. 250; called to the Bar of the House of Commons, 257; his trial and condemnation; is in league with France and the Pretender. 259.
Sacraments, white wine used at, in Holland, malt liquor in Norway and Denmark, and wine and water in Aberdeenshire, iv. 296.
Saltoun, Fletcher of, his conversation with the author on the Darien expedition, and the tax on vellum and Scotch law papers, ii. 44; his remarks on Sunderland, Wharton, and the leading English Whigs, 43; his interview with the Duke of Hamilton, when he demanded the restitution of his estate, 46; his opinion of the Pretender, and his History of the Union, id.; his plan for licensing probationers, and planting ministers, id., 47.
Sanders, Mr, of Auldhouse, leaves his property to the merchants of Glasgow, iv. 192.
Sanderson, Mr, the blind Professor of Mathematics at Cambridge, iii. 205.
Mr J. at Elgin, iv. 257.
Satan appears visibly to Lady Harriet Campbell, iii. 196.
Saurin, M. minister at the Hague, some account of, iii. 320; his preaching and reputation, 433; his death in 1731, iv. 265.
Saxony, Elector of, King of Poland, deposed by the States, i. 51; Prince of, turns Papist, 279.
Scarborough, Lord, moves a vote of thanks to Marlborough in the House of Peers, i. 311; a favourite at Court, iii. 442; iv. 98.
Schomberg, Duke of, his speech before the battle of the Boyne, i. 374; his son sent over to Charles II. on behalf of the French Protestants; the conversation of, overheard by the Duke of York, and reported to the French King; seized on his return, imprisoned, and never more heard of, ii. 48.
Scotland, linen trade of, debate on in the House of Commons, i. 318; state of in 1724, great depreciation of public prosperity, the gentry disaffected and immoral, and the people idle and worldly, iii. 161; poverty and debt on the increase, the population superabundant, and trade on the decline, 162; a tax of 6d. a barrel placed on ale, discontents created by, 173; L.6000 to be raised for the Treasury by, which the country cannot bear, 177; continued opposition to the tax, 180-183; change in the political officers of, in 1725, 209; fishing company proposed, 229; the cultivation of lint neglected, 230; proposed secretary for, 273; fund for carrying on national improvements, 281; proposal for a Scotch council, 308; condition of in Church and State, in 1726; papery in the north, schism in the west, and disaffection among all classes, 300; state of in 1727, 372-375; causes of the disaffection, the disarming of the Highlands, the malt-tax, the Glasgow riots, and the pardoning of the Jacobites, id.; addresses to the Crown on the state of, 404; injuries alleged to be inflicted on, by the brothers Argyle and Isla, 430; decline of public morals in, 486; money scarce and provisions dear in the winter of 1729, iv. 26; design, attributed to Lord Isla and Sir Robert Walpole, to alter the constitution of the Church of, by abolishing General Assemblies, and re-creating an order of Superintendents, 144; drained of money, and without trade, 269; specie carried out of the country by absentees, 270.
Scots, their conduct in reference to King Charles I.
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vindicated by Hollis, Wellwood, and Argyle, i. 18.
Scott, Mr John, ii. 56; transported to Glasgow, 104; his case before the Commission, 121.
Mr, his loose mode of teaching divinity; considered Homer the next best book to the New Testament, iii. 515.
Robert, bit by his wife, and dies, iv. 186.
Scriptures, reading of in the churches; when discontinued, ii. 368.
Scoope, Lord, contest with Sir Robert Walpole, iii. 488; the great enemy of Scotchmen, id.
Scrymgeour, Mr, Regent at St Andrews, presented to the Chair of Divinity by the Crown, but refused admittance to by the Presbytery, reasons of, 198; known to be Episcopalian, efforts to get rid of, iii. 409.
Seafield, Lord, his house burnt; behaviour of the bystanders, ii. 178; his character, iv. 175.
Seaford, Mr James, schoolmaster at Cambuslang, i. 119; early life of, 260.
Semple, Mr Gabriel, his testimony to the Spirit, i. 344; occurrence in his church at Jedburgh, id.; his habit of preaching with his tongue out, ii. 187; his early history, 311.
Mr John, minister of Carsphairn, anecdotes of, iii. 375.
Mr James, his Arian views, iii. 412.
Mr S, in conjunction with the author, discovers four volumes of the Church's registers at Liberton in 1727, iii. 428; his history, id.
Mr William, his life, by Mr Stirling, iii. 74.
Mr, a native of Cardross, who acquires a large fortune in London, iv. 109.
Lord, his death in 1727, iii. 376.
Lady, suspected of adultery, iii. 22.
Separatists, some account of in 1709, i. 152; celebrate a communion at Penpont in 1713, and preach against each other, ii. 297; their proposals to the Presbytery of Hamilton, 242.
Sermons, best in Paris, delivered by the Jesuits; the English alone read them, iii. 290; question as to whether they should be written out, considered, iv. 161.
Service, English, set up at Glasgow for the first time since the Revolution in 1712, ii. 100.
Session, Court of, bill to regulate, iii. 144; character of the Judges appointed by William, 364; state of, in 1727, 436; bill granting the power of adjournment, iv. 105; passed in 1730, 115.
Lords of, and lawyers, the evil effects of their introduction into the Church Judicatures, iv. 56.
Shaftesbury, Lord, his intercourse with the Scottish gentry in London, iii. 365.
Sand, Mr, cited before the Court of Justiciary, ii. 72.
Sharpe, Archbishop, anecdote of, i. 14; conversation of, with Bishop Juxton and Dr Calamy, 90; his "double" appears at St Andrews, 104; confers with the devil, 105; took the covenant, 167; his conversation with Mr Wood, ii. 118; his death predicted by Mr Wood in 1692, 250; his remarks on the efficacy of morality, 253; scene at St Andrews, 300; called a "knave" by Chancellor Cunningham, iii. 43; refused in marriage by Miss Bruce, 59; anecdote of, iv. 41.
Mr, his "Reflections on the Orange Declaration," i. 183.
Shaw, Mr Anthony, his account of a conspiracy to kill the indulged ministers, ii. 63.
Sir John, of Greenock, iii. 317, 431; returned for Renfrewshire, 433.
Shiel, Andrew, his conversion, i. 61.
Shields, Mr Alexander, his extracts from his Memoirs for the years 1688-94, i. 187 to 205; finishes a life of Mr Renwick, 180; dissuades the Whigs from "falling on the Prelates," 186; reads the National Covenant to a great meeting at Blackhill, Lesmahago, March, 1689, 187; joins the King's camp with his regiment in 1691; the King's remarks to, 203; at Druges in 1693; converses with a lad who saw his deceased master's spirit; the Duke of Queensberry's chamberlain, 204; hears of miracles in and about London; the Scotch troops at Rosebock ordered to yield the precedence to the English; General Ramsay remonstrates, 203; story of, when in Holland, 224; his dream in Flanders, ii. 339.
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Students of Divinity in the shire of Ayr, iii. 337.

Stevenson, Mr. James, minister of Linlithgow; his detection of a witch, ii. 339.

Marion, her extraordinary account of a newly born child, i. 209.

Stewart, Mr A., of Stewarthal, his irregular marriage to Miss Stewart of Blackhall, iii. 454.

of Blackhall, iii. 454.

Brigadier, opposes the malt-tax, iii. 280.

Colonel, Governor of Edinburgh Castle in 1713; his conversation with the Earl of Breadalbane, ii. 245; killed in a duel by Sir Gilbert Elliot, iii. 318.

Cuthbert, writer in Glasgow, iii. 183.

Hugh, his conversation with Professor Hamilton, iv. 15.

Mr H., his account of the discovery of a murder in Yorkshire, under remarkable circumstances, iv. 163.

Sir James, had a Bible in every room of his house, i. 71, 136; his death and character, ii. 202; drew the act for the abolition of patronage; his views of its meaning, 273, 352; son of, 283; his anecdote of his father and the Pentland people, 327; his account of the Duke of Hamilton's intrigues, and of Sir Peter King's prophecies, 328; waits on George I. in 1717, 329; treatment of, by the Duke of Roxburgh, id.; writes the law part of Napthall, iii. 23; anecdote of, 237; draws up the first part of the act, 1690, iv. 250.

Lady, her grief for the loss of her son; afterwards bears a fatuous daughter, ii. 79.

Mrs Lilias, her account of Sir James Carmichael, i. 207; of Mr Alexander Henderson's death, 357.

Mr, minister at Blair in Athole, his account of a cure wrought by a charm, i. 68.

Mr, of Galloway, falls into fornication, i. 302.

Mr Robert, his story of the French lady at Geneva, i. 19; of Charles II., ii. 31; his account of the address to the Queen by the Episcopal clergy, 188; anecdote of his grandfather, Sir James, 360; his conversation with Mr Cunningham on the state of affairs in 1701, 367; his conversation with Mr Horsley, iii. 201, 290; his remarks on the heat of the body, as ascertained by the thermometer, 310; his anecdote of Principal Carstairs, iv. 245.

Stewart, Sir Thomas, of Coltness, presents the act 1690 to Parliament, iv. 250.

Mr Walter, his settlement at Ashkirk, in Teviotdale, iv. 173.

Stings of poisonous animals, how cured, ii. 320.

Stirling, Mr Archibald, elder brother of Mr John, his remarkable memory; a land-steward, but could neither read nor write; married Mr Alexander Dunlop's sister, iii. 36.

Mr James, his account of Mr Ferguson, i. 66; of his father and uncle, 136; of Mr Durham, Mr Rutherdale, Mr Dickson, and Mr Ballie, 324; his farther account of Mr Durham, ii. 113; of 'Sharpe's turning,' 117; his early piety, 119, 122; materials communicated to the author by, iii. 1; Life of Mr David Hutchinson, 12; of Mr Alexander Dunlop, 16; of Mr James Stirling, 23; of Mr John Stirling, 24; of Mr James Hutchinson, 37; of Mr James Alexander, 39; of Mr David Veitch, id.; of Mr Hugh Binning, 40; of Mr James Ferguson, id.; of Mr Ralph Rodger, 45; of Mr John Carstares, 46; of Mr Andrew Gray, 54; of Mr M. Ward, 55; of Mr Francis Aird, 56; of Mr William Vilant, 57; of Mr John M. Lellan, 58; of Mr John Baird, 59; of Mr Matthew Ramsay, 63; of Mr William Eccles, 66; of Mr William Semple, 74; of Mr Alexander Jamieson, 75; of Mr John Wallace, 77; of Mr Robert Douglass, 82; of Mr Andrew Henderson, 89; of Mr Wood, 84; of Mr Robert Douglass, 87; of Mr Rutherdale, 88; of Mr Robert Blair, 91; of Mr James Durham, 104; of Mr George Gillespie, 109; of Mr Gilbert Hall and Mr John McGill, 112; of Mr James Rowat, 113; of Mr Matthew Mowat, id.; of Mr Patrick Simson, 115; of Mr Hugh Peebles, 116; of Mr James Wodrow, 116; of Mr Gabriel Cunningham, 117; of Mr Thomas Wyllie, 119; of Mr Robert Miller, id.; of Mr Peter Kid, 120; of Mr James Vetch, 121; of Mr George Campbell, 122; of Mr George Meldrum, id.; of Mr John Menzies, 123; of Mr Andrew Cant, 125; of Messrs R. Keith, Nathaniel Martin, and
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Duncan Forbes, *id.*; of Mr Patrick Colvin, 126; of Mr William Maitland, *id.*; of Mr Robert Fleming, *id.*; of Mr James Naismith, 127; of Mr John English, *id.*; of Mr William Hamilton, 128; of Mr David Brown, *id.*; of Mr David Brown of Nellston, 128.

Stirling, Mr James, minister at Paisley; his history by his nephew, iii. 23; wrote the Ecclesiastical Part of Naphthali, *id.*; died at Bombay in 1671, 24.

Mr James, intercedes with the Presbytery in behalf of his son-in-law, Mr Simson, iv. 20.

Mr John, minister at Kilbarchan, life of by his son, iii. 24; his success as a preacher not immediate, 29; five adulteries in his parish, *id.*; preached in the heat of harvest, 30; was against popular insurrections, 34; letter of his brother James from India to, 39; his death in 1683, *id.*; accident to the candles on the day of his burial, 37; noises in the kirk of, on the day that the battle of Bothwell Brig was fought, *id.*.

Principal, his acquaintance of Mr Robert Blair, i. 84; his communications to the author about the Prince of Orange, iii. 146; states, on the authority of Dr Calamy, that Queen Anne died a Papist, 147; his interview with the Earl of Oxford in 1708, 291; his proposed demission, 321, 429; his death and character, 444; his legacies, 416; recommended the author to write a history of the Church, 447.

Sir James, of Glorat, iii. 524.

town of, third minister proposed for, iv. 198; heats in, respecting, 226.

Walter, Bailie of Glasgow, iii. 418.

Mr William, Baron Bailie of Glasgow, striking circumstances attending his death, ii. 247.

William Alexander, first Earl of, an outline of his history, iii. 298; the Order of Nova Scotia Baronets created in his favour, 310.

St John, Mr Secretary, "one of the lowest men in England," ii. 67.

Stormont, Lord, issues a presentation to St Martin's in favour of Mr Smith, ii. 99.

Saracan, Colonel, his character, ii. 86; offered the command of the forces in Scotland by Cromwell, but refused it, *id.*

Strang, Dr, his character, i. 260; descended from the House of Balcaskie in Fife, iii. 298.

Strichen, Laird of, made Commissary of Edinburgh, iv. 104.

Succession, Protestant, i. 286.

Succoth, Laird of, iv. 204.

Sums, Mr Alexander, i. 20.

Sunderland, Earl of, his influence, Secretary to King James, whom he betrayed, i. 17; uses disrespectful language to the Queen, 283; his resignation, 286; refuses a pension, *id.*; suspected of Jacobitism, iii. 299; strange accusation against, by Lord Ross, 443.

Suspensions threatened against the stipends of the Non-jurant ministers, ii. 257.

Sutherland, Earl of, eminent for his religious zeal, iii. 316.

Sutherlandshire, no charge made for the entertainment of strangers attending communions in, iv. 4.

Sweden, King of, defeated by the Muscovites, i. 209; his death, and its effects on the Reformed interest, ii. 332.

Synod of Glasgow and Ayr, of October, 1710, meets at Irvine; Mr Paisley's case decided; the author requested by, to collect papers anent the late sufferings, i. 303.

of April, 1711, the question of patronage discussed in; petitions against, i. 323.

of October, 1711, i. 333.

of April, 1712, meets at Ayr; prelacy, ceremonies, patronage, toleration, and the oath of abjuration, considered, ii. 133.

of June, 1712, text and sermon on the occasion; the origin of the ministerial power stated; conference about the oath; arguments pro and con; appoints a letter of thanks to be written to the Earl of Loudon for his conduct in the matter of toleration and patronage, ii. 56; enjoins a Synodical Fast, which is broken through by the Justices of the Peace, and the Synod's order burnt by the hands of the hangman, 74.

of April, 1713, ii. 188.

of October, 1713, Mr Linning's affair discussed, ii. 251.

of April, 1724, thinly attended, and little done at; Mr Fork's case remitted to the Presbytery, iii. 149.

of October, 1724, collects L.26 for the re-
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discernment from Turkish slavery of Matthew Rodgers, who dies; his widow claims the money, which the Presbytery desires to apply to pious purposes, ii. 165.

Synod of October, 1725, discussion on the imputed heresies of Professor Simson, and on the irregularities of his pupils, iii. 190; Mr Fork's case before, 191; mode of providing for the poor, id. ; Act of Assembly ordered to be enforced in the case of Professor Simson and the students of divinity, 193; case of the parish of Arrochar, id.

of October, 1725, competing claims in the parish of Glassford; Mr Fork's case, iii. 235. of January, 1725, a pro re nata meeting, to consider Mr Findlater's affair, iii. 251.

of April, 1726, iii. 334; Mr Findlater's case dropped; the Glassford settlement, with remarks on, 335; the respectable people and the heritors desire Mr McTaggart, who is opposed by the "scum of the parish and people," id. ; passes an act against profaneness, 336; Mr Alexander Clerk's letter to Mr Millar, in ridicule of Scripture, considered, id. ; secret meetings of the students of the West, against confessions and subscriptions, 337.

of April, 1727, attendance thin, Mr Campbell's process, iii. 410; licences; antenuptial fornication—Messrs Millar and Pollock's case, 411; Synods much fallen off, reasons of, 412.

of October, 1727, Professor Simson's name removed from the roll of members, he being under suspension, iii. 440; Mr Fork ordered to be suspended, id.

of April, 1728, case of blasphemy from the parish of Douglas, before, iii. 492; Mr Simson's three appeals, 493; Mr J. Millar's acceptance of Kilpatrick, id. ; conduct of intrants to parishes considered, id.

Synod of October, 1728, iv. 13; Mr Orr of Muirkirk's case of transportation, id. ; Mr Findlater's affair, 14; Mr Simson's process, 15.

of April, 1729, iv. 39; the case of Renfrew considered, and finally appealed to the Assembly; Mr Findlater rebuked, 41.

of October, 1729, iv. 81; the case of Cambuslang; a thanksgiving for the good harvest ordered, 82.

of April, 1730, meets at Ayr, iv. 112.

of October, 1730, iv. 177; members absent at last meeting fined, 178; discussion on the place of meeting of, id. ; Mr Dick transported from Carluke to Glasgow, 178; the affair of Balfon, 180; heritors of Renfrew request that a call be drawn up by, in favour of Mr Paton, id.

of April, 1731, question of the division of, iv. 222; three appeals upon scandal, two of adultery, and one of fornication, 223.

of October, 1731, iv. 287; cases of scandal at Irvine, 288; case of incest at Maybole, id. ; petulance of lawyers before, id. ; the case of Port-Glasgow considered, 289; the decrees of the Lords produced, which assigns the patronage to the town of Glasgow, in conjunction with the magistrates, feuars, and the tenants of Port-Glasgow, 290; Mr Brown's settlement determined on by, 291; interdicts from a Civil Court declared to be an encroachment on the rights of the church, 292.

T.

TAYF, Mr Andrew, minister at Carmunnock, his anecdote of the author of the "Christian Armour," i. 24; story of, respecting a vessel which sailed from Greencock, id. ; his views on the reformation in Scotland, and the statutory constitution of Presbytery, 27; his account of Lieutenant Mossman's death, 150; of a barbarous murder at Newmilns, id. ; of a vision in the kirk of Irvine, 151; his narrative of the "indulged," ii. 63; his notice of
the conversion of Mr Morton, his father-in-law, 119; his account of Mr McCall's habits of study, 176; his anecdote of a man at a communion service who would not move though his house was on fire, 356; iii. 378; his account of the plot by the Cameronians to murder the indulged ministers, iv. 302.

Tattler, author, "one Captain Steele, a Scotchman," i. 279.

Tax malt, ii. 328; iii. 173-183; riots at Glasgow in consequence of, 210; petitions from the burgesses against, 260; of 3d. a bushel passed in March 1726, opposed by Mr Dundas, Mr Grant, and Brigadier Stewart, 290; any surplus that may remain after paying L.20,000 to the Treasury, to be applied to the encouragement of Scottish manufactures, id.; will ruin Scotland, 360.

Taylor, Mr Abraham, a dissenting preacher in London, iii. 460; wrote against Watts, and on the Trinity, id.

Mr Thomas, iii. id.

Telfair, Mr, of Hawick, his sermon at the Glasgow communion in November 1725, iii. 239; his extraordinary sermon before the Assembly of 1730, iv. 129; attacked in the Committee of Instructions by Mr Hogg, 134.

Tempest in June 1728, iv. 10.

Tennent, Baillie, of Glasgow, iii. 334.

Tennison, Archbishop, carries to Court the instrument of Regency, ii. 290.

Tenock, Mr, his letter on the Glasgow riots, iii. 226.


Thanksgiving for the peace of 1713; how kept in Edinburgh, ii. 221; days of; correspondence respecting, i. 301.

Thomson, author of "The Seasons," some account of, iii. 432.

Dr, saw a man in Holland whose neck grew out of his side, and a boy with Deus Meus written on his eye, i. 3, 4.

Mr Hugh, minister of Kilmaurs; his death in 1731, iv. 203.

John, merchant at Glasgow; his failure, iv. 84.

Mr James, minister at Elgin, ii. 332.

Tillotson, Archbishop, his death-bed, i. 349; his remarks on ministers' stipends, iii. 189.

Tippermalloch, his dream, ii. 314.

Tobacco, smoking of, injurious to digestion, i. 263; trade in, ii. 392.

Tod, Mr, ii. 123.

Toleration bill, ii. 1, 5; Lord Somers' expression respecting, 138; unlimited, dangers of, would lead to the increase of Popery and Jacobitism, iv. 9.

Tories, efforts to get them in, in England, discouraged by the Queen, i. 279; character of in both Houses of Parliament, in 1713, ii. 223; divided into Jacobite and Hanoverian, 279; Sir Peter King's opinion of, 328; discon- tentenced by George II., iii. 433.

Toward, John, a farmer at Loss, who was spirited away by witches, i. 350.

Townsend, Lord, iii. 202; his conversation with Lord Grange on the Scotch Church, 438; his difference with his brother-in-law, Sir Robert Walpole; throws up his post at Court; his character, iv. 141.

Trade, had state of in 1729, iv. 84.

Traill, Mr, his sermon at Musselburgh, ii. 186.

Mr William, minister at Borthwick, heard strange sounds in the morning, ii. 307.

Mr Robert, account of his papers by his nephew, iv. 60.

Tran, Mr J., iii. 13.

Treasurer, Lord. (Harley,) his desperate measures; sends money to the Highland chieftains and clans, ii. 8; his impure morals, 67; vote on the peace cost him L600,000, 68; manages the Queen through Mrs Masham, id.; rumoured coalition of with the Whigs, 131; his remarks on the succession, 277.

Trenchard, Professor of Divinity at Geneva; suspended from his office, iii. 517.

Tullidelf, Mr William, his reminiscences, i. 358; his account of Mr Durham, ii. 115.

Turner, Mr Andrew, minister at Erskine; his anecdote of Mr James Hutchison, i. 136, 215; his child bewitched; narrative of, 363; iv. 18.

Turretin, the Elder, some accounts of, ii. 273; remarks of, on the Reformation, 340.

the Younger, overturns everything at Geneva, iv. 149.

Tweeddale, Lord, demands access to the Council, i. 15; iii. 145, 290; his claim to nominate a reader to the parish church, iv. 215.
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U.

Union, the, discussion on overtures respecting, i. 191; conference about, 193; debate on; the Prince of Orange to take the Covenant, 195; effects of on the Scottish Whigs, 312; proposal for the breach of, in January, 1713, broken by the secession of the Scottish members, 236; repeal of might be carried by a union of the Scottish Presbyterians and the English Whigs, 237; the party that opposed it miserably disjointed, 269; rumoured breach of, by the Elector of Hanover, 285; the mother of all the Scottish taxes, iii. 311.

Universities, Scottish, protest of, against the General Assembly's interfering with the doctrine of their members, iii. 523.

Uphall, number of churches within four miles of, i. 272.

Urie, Walter, his cure, i. 63.

Usher, Archbishop, anecdotes of, ii. 364; visits Mr Rutherfurd in Galloway, iii. 132.

V.

Vane, Sir Harry, tricks Scotland in the affair of the Covenant, ii. 240.

Veitch, Mr David, minister at Govan, Mr Stirling's life of, iii. 39; his death in 1658, 40.

Mr James, minister at Mauchline, iii. 17; history of, by Mr Stirling, 121.

Mr, minister at Dumfries, his account of his brother's death, i. 46; his troubles in a fever, 68; his anecdote of the Bailie of Jedburgh, 333; his capture by Major Ogilthorpe, his trial, danger, and deliverance, 334; his account of Sir John Dalrymple, 335; of Lord Melville, of Owen, and of Lord Broady, 336; of the old ministers, and of the appearance made by the Scotch Commissioners at the Westminster Assembly, 337; his account of Archbishop Usher's prophecy, ii. 84.

Vendome, General, appears after death to General Dillon, iii. 320.

Vertot, M., iv. 255.

Violant, Mr William, his account of Mr Blair, ii. 65; his controversy with Mr Wedderburn, 332; his life by Mr Stirling, iii. 57, 438.

Visions, three instances of, mentioned by Lord Grange, iii. 519.

Vittinga, Mark, his removal from Groning to Utrecht forbidden by King William, ii. 102; his Arian views, iii. 427.

Voetian principles, state of, in Holland, in 1718, ii. 334.

Voetius, account of, by Mr Crawford, a miracle wrought by his preaching, ii. 337.

Voy, Alexander, his account of the lady in Orkney, whose children were born maimed, iv. 190.
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W.

WADE, General, marches into Glasgow, in 1725, with a considerable military force, iii. 214.

Walker, Mr Hugh, ii. 60.

Mr James, ii. 332.

one, in Stewarton parish, his escape with his child from fire, ii. 336.

Mr John, minister of the Canongate church, Edinburgh, iv. 32.

John, his rashness, iv. 150.

Walkinshaw, Lieutenant, his death, ii. 266.

Wall, Roman, remains of, at Douglaston, iv. 66; constituted, in 1729, a quarry for stones to build dykes and houses, 67.

Wallace, Mr Archibald, i. 4; his account of Mr Gordon, 134.

Mr John, minister at Erskine; his death and character, ii. 331, 337; history of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 77.

Michael, a Town Councillor of Edinburgh, iii. 334.

Mr, of Moffat, his sermon "On Works," iii. 167; declares Confessions to be "imposed forms of orthodoxy," 168; another in commendation of man's reason, 169, 239; his sermon before the Assembly of 1730, iv. 125; attacked by Mr Forbes of Deer, id.; its character, 129.

Wallis, the celebrated mathematician; the author of the Assembly's Shorter Catechism, iii. 174.

Wallwood, Mr John, his prophecies about the Kirk and people of Scotland, i. 132.

Walpole, Sir Robert, his hostility to Mr Haldane, iii. 144; manages everything at Court, 145; his policy in Scotland, 184, 202; balances parties, 209; absolute in England, 228; his great influence with the trading companies, and over the House of Commons, id.; uses money profusely, and alleged to be raising a great estate, id.; accused of underhand dealings with the Dutch East India Company, 233; his band of pensioners, 260; not liked, 442; deserted by his adherents, on George the First's death, 487; restoration of his influence, and state of his finances, 488; his intimacy with Lord Isla, id.; his contest with Lord Scroop, id.; his sinking fund, id.; his silence on public affairs, iv. 21; his power in Parliament, 25; his increasing influence, 28; in conjunction with the King, manages the business of the nation, 61; remarks on the Scottish revenue, 69; intrigues against, 98, 141; his alleged designs against the Church of Scotland, 144, 195.

War, alarm of, between Sweden and Denmark, in 1709, i. 212.

Warden, Mr, his story of Archbishop Ross, ii. 325.

Wardrop, William, i. 15; abuse of at Douglaston, 63.

Mr, minister of a parish in Fife, where there was neither death, baptism, nor marriage, for seven years, ii. 303.

Warner, Mr Patrick, his extraordinary prayer at Irvine for the relief of Londonderry, i. 3; his account of an English clergyman, 252; his anecdote of Archbishop Sharpe, 253; his account of events in England in 1677-78, 258; of the heats between the Protesters and Resolutioners; of the fama clamosa against Mr Simson of Airth, and of the offer of a bishopric to Mr Wood, 259; his anecdote of King James and Dr Usher, 260, 264; his death in 1724, iii. 152.

Mr Thomas, minister at Balmaccland, his narrative about Mr Welsh of Irongray, ii. 58; after being outed, dies in London, 50.

Mr, his account of the state of the Dissenting interest in Ireland in 1730, and of the city of Dublin, iv. 162, 302.

Mrs, her illness, iv. 161.

Warriaston, Lord, prays for fourteen hours, i. 59; ii. 135; the King's hatred of, 145; unwilling to go to England, knowing his own weakness; previously a great Royalist; takes office under Cromwell; excuse for, 158;
INDEX.

papers of, examined by his son, Mr Secretary Johnston, 218; account of the Records lost in the time of James VI., 219.

Waterland, Dr, his interview with the Princess of Wales, iii. 459.

Watson, Andrew, a Macmillanite, imprisoned for contumacy by the magistrates of Glasgow, ii. 261.

Mr John, minister at Denny, his death in 1726, iii. 275.

Walter, Provost of Dumbarton, his death, i. 165.

Watts, Dr Isaac, ii. 333; preaches seldom, 391; his letter to Lord Grange on the Trinity, iii. 206; his opinion of Scotch preaching, iv. 80.

Weather, state of, in the summer of 1723, ii. 377; remarks on, in September, 1724, iii. 163; twelve consecutive good harvests before that year, which brought down the price of meal to 4d. a peck, id.; in the spring of 1725, 184.

Webster, Mr, denounced by a woman in church, i. 91; his conduct in the Presbytery of Edinburgh, 260; his account of Mr Guthrie's conversion, 277; his prayer about the Queen, 286; refuses to keep the fast of 1712, ii. 5; his violence; accuses Dr Pitcairn of Atheism, iii. 307.

Wedderburn, Mr Alexander, minister at Kilmarnock, his skill in controversy, and his great learning, ii. 331.

Weir, Brigadier, has an English regiment near Edinburgh, with a chaplain; asks leave for his chaplain to preach and dispense the sacraments to his own people, refused, i. 214.

Welsh, Mr John, his speech after the "break" of Bothwell Bridge, i. 132; visited Edinburgh in 1679, iv. 12; his death at London, id.; anecdote of, 17.

Wesselius, Professor, his remarks on Mr Simson's case, iv. 140.

Wetstein, a bookseller in Holland, the greatest in Europe, iii. 467; his edition of the New Testament, iv. 266.

Wharton, Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, i. 295.

Whigs, English, lend money to the government; their fears respecting; propose to join the Pretender if he will engage to pay the National Debt, i. 323; rumoured coalition of with Harley, ii. 131; effects of on Scottish interests, 132; their conduct on the subject of the Succession, 237; Sir P. King's opinion of, 328.

Whiston, Professor of Mathematics at Cambridge; some account of his beresies, i. 325; his book on Primitive Christianity seized by the magistrates of Edinburgh, ii. 6; said to have turned atheistical, ii. 133; his Arianism, 285; progress of his scheme, 322; his reflections on Sir Isaac Newton, iv. 59.

Whitehill, James, boatman at Inchinnan, anecdote of, ii. 155.

Jean, "a mighty great professor," her adultery, iii. 29.

Witlow, Lord, proposes an address to the Queen to continue the Parliament, i. 17.

Wightman, Dean of Guild, brings two Englishmen to Edinburgh to teach natural philosophy, iii. 203.

Wilkie, Mr, of Uphall, iv. 54.

Williamson, Mr David, of Edinburgh, "a ratioc sits down on his Bible," while preaching, i. 12; his action sermon at the West Kirk, 109.

Mr David, of Aberdeen, much annoyed by Jacobites and Episcopalians, who caused a man to sing "Dainty Davie" before him as he went to church, iv. 44.

Mr John, his account of his father's sufferings, both before and after the Restoration, ii. 176; his anecdote of Mr Riddell, and of his widow, 180; his account of his boysis days, 181; strange coincidence between his own feelings, and those of one of his parishioners, 282; his second communion in 1706, 183; his account of the ordination of elders at Musselburgh, 186; his story of the man who was struck with palsy for mocking Mr Semple, 187; his account of William Broady, an elder, who was accused of adultery, id.; charges the ministers of Edinburgh with neglect of duty, and threatened with a libel, 212.

Mr Joseph, his irregular marriage with Agnes Luke, a girl under age, iv. 33.

Wilson, Commissioner, iii. 493.

Mr Gabriel, his death in 1726, iii. 276.

Mr James, bis anecdote of the King of Prussia, iv. 154; his account of the state of society in Holland, iv. 156.

Mr John, minister of Largs, ii. 62; his character, wrote out all his sermons, iv. 161.
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Wilson, Mr, one of the Marrow Brethren, iv. 126.
Mr, minister at Perth, iv. 241.

Wingate, a Non-juring clergyman, opens an Episcopal meeting-house at Glasgow, iv. 8; threatened with imprisonment, id.; sent off, 19.

Winter of 1729, money scarce, and provisions dear in, iv. 26; of 1731, its character, 201.

Wishart, Mr George, gets a presentation to Cumbernauld, iii. 256; succeeds his father in the Tron Church of Edinburgh, iv. 104.
Principal, carries a memorial to Government in 1735, for the appropriation of a portion of the royal gift to the prosecution of the Papists, iii. 202; his death in 1729, iv. 61; his writings, id.; some account of his family, 62.

Mr W., ii. 122; settled at Glasgow in 1724, iii. 163; manner of, 164; his dispute with Mr Gray, 176; suspected of heresy, 178, 183, 240, 246; his conduct in reference to the magistrates of Glasgow, 218-250; contest with Mr Gray, 254; encourages Mr Horsley, an English Dissenter, to lecture on Experimental Philosophy in Glasgow, 255; intimate with the English officers and chaplain, 261, 274; supports Professor Simson in the Presbytery, 325; a candidate for the Principality of Glasgow College, 332, 342, 343; his opinion of Professor Simson's views, 354; accompanies Shawfield's friends to Govan, 494; iv. 20; his health declines, 32, 19; his call to London, 78; his remarks to the author on his call to London, 85; singular occurrence at one of his communions, 93; accepts the call to London, and demits his charge in Glasgow, 112; his last sermon in the Tron Church of Glasgow, 153; made a Doctor of Divinity by the College of Glasgow, 167, 191, 193, 197; talked of for the principality of Edinburgh, 226.

Witches, case of Balgarran's daughter, i. 363; of Balmacallan, ii. 86; in the North, prosecutions against, iii. 302.

Witsius preaches in London before the Dutch congregation, i. 338.

Wodrow, Agnes, her death, iii. 131.
John, Bailie of Glasgow in 1725, iii. 216.
John, matman in Glasgow, his death in 1722, at the age of 106, ii. 339.
Henry, the author's cousin, his death, iii. 475.

Wodrow, Mr James, Professor of Divinity in the College of Glasgow, and father of the historian, life of, by Mr Stirling, iii. 116.

Mr Robert, history of his name and family, i. 19; his father's conversation with the old ministers, 139; ii. 192; proposed as one of the ministers of Glasgow, iii. 134; becomes a member of an historical society in Edinburgh, 142; his "History," 146; providences in his family, 148; his brother's marriage, 185, 188; death of his child, 189; his opinion on the prosecution of the Papists, 202; of Dr Clarke's work on the Trinity, 271; a legacy of 700 merks left to, 310; his wife's visit to Professor Simson, 311; accident to his son James, 312; anecdote by his mother, id.; his wife's illness, 315; birth of his seventh daughter, 329; his brother's story of the second-sight, 339; attends the commission on Professor Simson's case, 343; his views on the nature of his offence, the mode of dealing with it, and the power of the Church Courts to proceed by questions, stated, 344-52; legacies to, from Miss Dinwoodie and Lord Pollock, 366; accident to his wife, iv. 16; receives a call from Renfrew, which the Presbytery sustains, 21; death of an infant child, 22; manifestations of the Spirit to his wife, id.; the Presbytery recommends his settlement at Renfrew, which he opposes, 24; distress caused to, by one of his female servants giving birth to a child, 29; his reflections on marriages irregularly contracted, 33-38; his call to Renfrew sustained by the Presbytery, 39; his behaviour before the Presbytery in reference to Renfrew, 40; his opinion on the relative powers of the General Assembly and Presbyteries, 49-51; his conversation with the Earl of Buchan on the Bishops having seats in the House of Lords, 55; proposal to set aside his call to Renfrew, 63; his account of the state of the Roman wall at Dougalston in 1729, 66; his brother John, 98; birth of his son James, 112; anecdote of his father, 114; his reasons for refusing to repone Mr Simson, 116-124; his account of Mr Telfair's sermon before the Assembly of 1730, 129-131; anecdote of his father by Mr Stirling, 133; health of his
son Alexander, 164; stops a foot-race in his parish, 168; story of the herd, id.; his sacrament in August 1730, 169; the misbehaviour of his cousin Catherine Wodrow, 187; death of his son Alexander, 188; conversation of, with Messrs. Hamilton, M'Laurin, and Maxwell, on Mr. Simson's case, 189; distress in his family, 200; death of his daughter, 207; much assisted in his historical work by Mr. Dundas of Philipston, 236; his mother's illness, 301; his daughter's illness, 302.

Wolfenbottle, Duke of, turns Papist, i. 220.

Wood, Mr. James, originally an Episcopalian, converted by Mr. Alexander Henderson, i. 29; died in 1666, 169; ii. 116; his style of preaching, 325.

Mr. Matthew, his account of the incident between Mr. Daniel Douglas and the Laird of Hilton, iii. 202, 290.

Mr. William, of Paisley, a Jacobite, chosen Rector of the College of Glasgow, iv. 65, 76.

Woodstock, meeting of peers at, iii. 234.

Woolaston, his book on Miracles, iii. 474; read by a club in Ayr on the Sundays, iv. 63.

Workhouses, proposed erection of, in 1726, iii. 317; one for the poor at Glasgow, designed, iv. 189; progress of the subscription for, 203.

Works, deistical, increase of, iii. 474.

Wotherspoon, Mr., presented to the West Kirk of Edinburgh, iv. 295.

Wright, Mr., minister at Kilmarnock, his death, iii. 160.

Mr. W., ii. 107, 129.

Wyllie, Mr., his account of the Commissions before the year 1660, ii. 240; his proposal for bringing back the Separatists, 242; his narrative of the rising at Bothwell, 266; his account of draft of Presbyterian government submitted to the Assembly of 1690, and of the proposed allocation of the bishops' rents, 268; of Robert Ferguson, "the Plotter," 270; of the conduct of the King of France to the French Protestants, 271; of Popish bigotry at Orleans, 272; of Turretin, Pictet, Claud, and Alix, 273.

Mr. Thomas, minister of Mauchline, his life by Mr. Suttling, iii. 119.

Y.

YEARS, 1701, i. 1; 1702, 12; 1703, 39; 1704, 50; 1705, 57; 1706, 82; 1707, 88; 1708, 119; 1709, 150; 1710, 220; 1711, 314; 1712, ii. 1; 1713, 145; 1714, 275; 1715, 300; 1716, 309; 1717, 319; 1718, 329; 1719, 331; 1720, 339; 1721, 343; 1722, 355; 1723, 374; 1724, iii. 129; 1725, 178; 1726, 251; 1727, 371; 1728, 470; 1729, iv. 22; 1730, 102; 1731, 197.

Yorker, what, iii. 30.

Young, Mr. John, iii. 7.

Sir William, turned out of the Treasury, iii. 443.
Z.

Zuill, Mrs., of Glasgow, an angel appears to, ii. 142; anecdote of her son, 306; her account of her sister, Mrs. Crawfurd, iii. 312; anecdotes of her brother, Mr. Miller of Glenlee; and of her cousin, Mr. Robert Miller of Kilmarnock, 402; anecdote of her mother, iv. 301.